The role of the Janus-faced transcription factor PAX5-JAK2 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia
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Key Points

- PAX5-JAK2 is the first nuclear, DNA-binding JAK2 fusion protein with kinase activity.
- JAK2 inhibitors block the kinase activity of PAX5-JAK2.

Abstract

PAX5-JAK2 has recently been identified as a novel recurrent fusion gene in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL) but the function of the encoded chimeric protein has not yet been characterized in detail. Herein we show that the PAX5-JAK2 chimera, which consists of the DNA-binding paired domain of PAX5 and the active kinase domain of JAK2, is a nuclear protein that has the ability to bind to wild-type PAX5 target loci. Moreover, our data provide compelling evidence that PAX5-JAK2 functions as nuclear catalytically active kinase that autophosphorylates and in turn phosphorylates and activates downstream STATs in an apparently non-canonical mode. The chimeric protein also enables cytokine-independent growth of Ba/F3 cells and, therefore, possessing transforming potential. Importantly, the kinase activity of PAX5-JAK2 can be efficiently blocked by JAK2 inhibitors rendering it a potential target for therapeutic intervention. Together, our data show that PAX5-JAK2 simultaneously deregulates the PAX5 downstream transcriptional program and activates the JAK-STAT signaling cascade, and thus, by interfering with these two important pathways, may promote leukemogenesis.
INTRODUCTION

The fusion protein PAX5-JAK2 has been recurrently detected in B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (BCP-ALL).\textsuperscript{1-4} Both fusion partner proteins play key roles in hematopoiesis and somatic mutations in their encoding genes have been found in different hematological neoplasms.\textsuperscript{5-7}

The paired box transcription factor PAX5, a master regulator of B-cell commitment and maintenance,\textsuperscript{6} is a frequent target of genetic alterations in BCP-ALL.\textsuperscript{5,8} In about 2-3\% of the cases structural rearrangements result in the expression of \textit{PAX5} in-frame fusion genes.\textsuperscript{1,2,4,5,8-10} PAX5 fusion partners comprise a heterogeneous group of genes encoding transcription factors, structural proteins, kinases, and genes with so far unknown functions.\textsuperscript{1,2,8,9,11-13} Regardless of the functional and structural diversity of the fusion partners, a unique feature of PAX5-fusions is the retention of the PAX5 DNA-binding domain, conferring nuclear localization and the ability to occupy PAX5 target sites.\textsuperscript{14} Generally, it is hypothesized that PAX5-fusions act as aberrant transcription factors antagonizing wild-type PAX5 function in a dominant negative mode.\textsuperscript{1,8,9,11,15-18} However, in a recent study we have shown that a subset of the PAX5 fusion proteins may have a cellular context-dependent activation potential, indicating that some PAX5-fusions may also activate target genes, thus arguing against their simplified trans-dominant negative function.\textsuperscript{14}

The Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) belongs to a family of non-receptor tyrosine kinases and is involved in signal transduction from many cytokine and growth hormone receptors regulating hematopoiesis, immunity, growth, and development.\textsuperscript{19} Besides gain-of-function mutations, mainly found in or near the pseudokinase (JH2) domain, JAK2 also participates in gene rearrangements resulting in the expression of in-frame fusion transcripts encoding chimeric proteins.\textsuperscript{4,7,20-28} A common feature of all JAK2-fusions is the retention of the catalytically active kinase (JH1) domain and for several of them constitutive activation has been demonstrated.\textsuperscript{3,4,23,28-30} Moreover, BCR-JAK2, ETV6-JAK2, and SEC31A-JAK2, which are all localized in the cytoplasm, are activated upon dimerization via domains provided by the partner proteins, which in turn leads to the activation of the JAK-STAT signaling cascade.\textsuperscript{23,28-30} Since the activation of the JAK-STAT pathway plays a pivotal role in leukemogenesis, a number of small molecule inhibitors is currently under clinical investigation.\textsuperscript{7,31,32} In this respect, PAX5-JAK2+ leukemia has been found within the
recently identified BCR-ABL1-like (Ph-like) BCP-ALL subtype, which is, at least in part, characterized by genetic alterations resulting in constitutive kinase and cytokine receptor signaling, and it has been suggested that some of these patients might benefit from targeted therapies.\textsuperscript{3,4}

We herein demonstrate that PAX5-JAK2 represents the first nuclear JAK2 fusion protein that not only displays DNA-binding capacity and deregulates PAX5 target genes but also possesses an active kinase domain and constitutively activates the JAK-STAT signaling pathway. By showing that JAK2 inhibitors efficiently block hyperactivation of the kinase, we substantiate the notion that PAX5-JAK2 represents a potential druggable target for therapeutic intervention.
Material and Methods

Patients
This study includes pediatric patients enrolled in the ALL-BFM 2000 and the DCOG
ALL-8, ALL-9, ALL-10, and COALL 97/03 studies who were treated with informed
consent obtained from the patients, their parents or their legal guardians in
accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A detailed description of the patients
analyzed by gene expression profiling is provided in Tables S1-S3.

Constructs and transposon vectors
The coding regions of PAX5-JAK2, PAX5, and JAK2 were PCR-amplified with
Phusion Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Finnzymes) according to the
manufacturer’s instructions using cDNA of patient or NALM-6 cells. N-terminal V5- or
tandem HA-tagged versions were cloned into the following vectors: pcDNA3
(Invitrogen), pIRES-EGFP (Clontech), and the inducible sleeping beauty construct
pITR-TCE-Ins-UTR33,34 (Figure S1G) (kindly provided by E. Kowarz and R.
Marschalek, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-University, Frankfurt/Main, Germany).
Mutations within the kinase and the DNA-binding domain were introduced using the
QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent). Details concerning the mutant
forms of PAX5 and PAX5-JAK2 and the sequences of the oligonucleotides used for
the generation of the constructs are provided in the Supplementary Methods and
Table S4.

Cell Culture, Ba/F3 starvation, drug treatment, and apoptosis staining
HEK293, HeLa, and JAK2-deficient γ2a fibrosarcoma cells35 (kindly provided by B.
Strobl, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria) were maintained in DMEM
high glucose (4.5 g/l) with stable glutamine (PAA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum
(FBS) (PAA) and antibiotics. NALM-6 and IL3 secreting WEHI-3B cells were
maintained in RPMI 1640 with GlutaMAX (Gibco) containing 10% FBS and
antibiotics. Ba/F3 cells (kindly provided by M. Busslinger, Research Institute of
Molecular Pathology, Vienna, Austria) were grown in the latter medium supplemented
with 10% IL3 containing WEHI-3B supernatant. Selection of Ba/F3 and NALM-6 cells
and induction of protein expression were carried out using 2 µg/ml puromycin and
250 ng/ml doxycycline, respectively. For starvation experiments, cells were washed
three times with medium without IL3; 10^6 Ba/F3 cells were seeded and cultured for 7
days in the presence or absence of IL3. Viable cell counts were determined every 24 h using trypan blue exclusion staining.
The drug treatment was conducted with Ruxolitinib (INCB18424), Fedratinib (SAR302503) or Dasatinib (all from Selleckchem) using the indicated concentrations. For pSTAT5 and gene expression analysis, Ba/F3 cells were washed two times with RPMI without supplements and treated for 1 and 8 h with inhibitor, respectively. For apoptosis-staining a no-wash procedure was performed 24 h and 48 h after treatment. Cells were stained with Annexin-V-APC (eBioscience) and DAPI for 15 min at room temperature (RT) and immediately analyzed. Data were acquired on a FACS Calibur or FACS Fortessa cytometer (Becton Dickinson) and analyzed using FlowJo (Tree Star).

Transfection and electroporation
Transient transfection of HEK293, HeLa, and γ2a cells was carried out using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Electroporation of Ba/F3 and NALM-6 cells was performed using the Amaxa Cell Line Nucleofector Kit V or T (Lonza) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Indirect immunofluorescence
HeLa cells grown on coverslips were transiently transfected with the respective HA-tag constructs. 24 h after transfection cells were fixed using 1% formaldehyde in PBS, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton-X100 in PBS and blocked with 3% bovine serum albumine (BSA), 0.2% Triton-X100 in PBS. Washed coverslips were incubated with primary and secondary antibodies (Table S7), followed by nuclei counterstaining with DAPI solution and mounting in Mowiol 4-88 (Sigma).

Western blotting, reverse phase protein arrays
Cells were lysed in high salt buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP40, 0.3% Triton X-100) with 125 µM ortho-pervanadate (Sigma-Aldrich) and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Protein lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and blocked using blocking reagent (Roche). Western blotting was performed with appropriate dilutions of primary antibodies followed by incubation with IRDye secondary antibodies (Pierce) and detected using
the Odyssey system (LI-COR Biosciences). The antibodies used are listed in Table S7. Reverse Phase Protein Arrays (RPPA) were performed as described previously\textsuperscript{37-40} in collaboration with E. Petricoin (George Mason University, USA). The experimental procedure and analysis are described in the Supplementary Methods.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation, quantitative real-time PCR**

ChIP was performed as described elsewhere\textsuperscript{14} using 2×10\textsuperscript{7} cells and 2 \( \mu \)g mouse V5 (Invitrogen) or normal IgG antibody (sc-2025, Santa Cruz). Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using iQ-SYBR-Green-Supermix (Bio-Rad) and primers specific for known PAX5 target loci or a negative control region (Table S5). Analysis and normalization were performed using the 7500 Software v2.0.6 (Applied Biosystems) and signals were normalized to the individual input DNA using the \( 2^{-\Delta Ct} \) method (percent input in ChIP).

For mRNA quantification RNA was isolated using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), reverse transcribed using MMLV-RT (Promega), oligo(dT)\textsubscript{18}, and random hexamers. Target gene expression was normalized to \textit{Abl1} and \textit{Gusb} reference gene expression. Primers are listed in Table S6.

**Luciferase assays**

HEK293 cells were transiently co-transfected with 200 ng firefly luciferase vector pGL4-CD79A-TSS\textsuperscript{14} or luc-CD19 (kindly provided by M. Busslinger),\textsuperscript{41} and 600 ng expression vector containing either wild-type PAX5 or a PAX5-fusion and 20 ng pRL-null (Promega) for normalization purposes. Luciferase activity was measured on an Enspire plate reader (Perkin Elmer) 48 h after transfection using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Firefly to Renilla luciferase count ratios were further normalized to empty expression vector and empty luciferase vector controls. Results represent the means +/- SD of at least three independent experiments each conducted in triplicates. Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test comparing the mean fold activation values of three independent experiments with the hypothetical value of 1.
Intracellular phosphoprotein analysis
For intracellular phosphoprotein staining,42,43 cells were harvested and fixed with 2% methanol-free formaldehyde (Polysciences) for 15 min at RT. Cells were centrifuged and permeabilized by the addition of ice-cold methanol while vortexing and immediately transferred to -20°C for 1-3 h. After centrifugation the cells were resuspended in PBS supplemented with 0.02% BSA and stained for 1 h at RT using the appropriate antibody (Table S7). For staining of phospho-JAK2 cells were further incubated with a labelled secondary antibody (Jackson Immuno Research Inc).

Gene expression analysis by microarray technology
Gene expression profiling of primary leukemia samples was conducted using HG-U133-PLUS2 arrays (Affymetrix). RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen) and the quality was checked on a Bioanalyzer (Agilent). cRNA target synthesis and GeneChip processing were performed according to standard protocols (Affymetrix). Microarray data analyses were performed in compliance with MIAME guidelines. All further analyses were carried out in R statistical environment using Bioconductor packages.44 Affymetrix CEL files were preprocessed using frma45 (using the "robust_weighted_average" method). Batch effects were removed using combat.46 Probe sets with z-scores <2 (using the barcode function in the frma package) in all samples and probe sets with an SD <0.5 were excluded. Finally, one probe set (the most variable across all samples) was chosen for each gene. Together, this filtering resulted in a matrix with 6217 probe sets.
Four groups were defined according to their PAX5 status: PAX5-JAK2 (n=5; four primary bone marrow samples collected at diagnoses and one matched relapse sample), PAX5-ETV6 (n=4), PAX5-C20orf112 (n=6), and BCP-ALL cases with no PAX5 alteration named PAX5-WT (n=17). A detailed description of the patients and the selection criteria are provided in Tables S1-S3. Differentially expressed genes were determined using a moderated t-test in the R package “limma”.47 All p-values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Genes with a log2 expression ratio (logFC) >1 and p-values <0.05 were considered significantly different. For data visualization gene expression relative to the mean expression of PAX5-WT was calculated. Additional details are described in Supplementary Methods. Microarray data are available at GEO (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo, accession number GSE56449).
RESULTS

PAX5-JAK2 binds to PAX5 target loci and activates these genes

Given the fact that the PAX5 DNA-binding domain is retained in the fusion protein (Figure 1A), we investigated whether PAX5-JAK2 binds to known endogenous PAX5 target loci. Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by quantitative real-time PCR with PAX5 target sites specific primers was performed in NALM-6 cells inducibly expressing the indicated proteins. Significantly higher levels of specific DNA were precipitated in cells expressing V5-PAX5 and V5-PAX5-JAK2 compared to luciferase or the DNA-binding deficient mutant (V5-PAX5-K67/87/89A) expressing cells (Figure 1B). No signals above background levels were obtained using primers targeting a negative control region (CD19 intron7/exon8), in uninduced NALM-6 cells, or using an IgG control antibody (Figure S1A-C). Since the expression level of PAX5-JAK2 is comparable to that of wild-type PAX5 (Figure S1D), the lower ChIP signal intensities may reflect a decreased binding affinity.

As PAX5-JAK2 occupies endogenous wild-type PAX5 target sites (Figure 1B), we next investigated its impact on target gene expression. In reporter gene assays using a luciferase vector carrying the endogenous CD79A promoter or the luc-CD19 vector PAX5-JAK2 activated both constructs (Figure 1C-D). However, the activation capability of PAX5-JAK2 was lower compared to PAX5 and in competition assays increasing amounts of PAX5-JAK2 had no significant influence on the activation potential of PAX5 (Figure S1E, S1F).

The nuclear protein PAX5-JAK2 is phosphorylated and activates STATs

Since the JH1 kinase domain is present in PAX5-JAK2 (Figure 1A), we examined whether the fusion itself is tyrosine phosphorylated and whether it activates downstream signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) proteins. As shown by intracellular phosphoprotein analyses using flow cytometry, transiently transfected, unstimulated JAK2-deficient γ2a cells exhibited a high degree of tyrosine phosphorylation of PAX5-JAK2 (pPAX5-JAK2) (Figure 2A), strongly suggesting cytokine stimulation-independent kinase activity of the fusion protein. Additionally, ectopic expression of PAX5-JAK2 resulted in constitutive phosphorylation of STAT1, 3, and 5 (Figure 2A). Western blotting, including not only a mutant of the tyrosine residues in the activation loop of the kinase (PAX5-JAK2-YY/AA) but also a kinase dead mutant (PAX5-JAK2-K/E), both of which abolished PAX5-JAK2
phosphorylation, confirmed that the STAT activation is pPAX5-JAK2-dependent (Figure 2B). Importantly, analysis of the pPAX5-JAK2 status in bone marrow cells obtained from a PAX5-JAK2+ patient confirmed that PAX5-JAK2 is also phosphorylated in primary leukemia cells (Figure 2C). As assessed by reverse phase protein arrays, similar to BCR-ABL1+ samples, two PAX5-JAK2+ cases showed higher levels of pSTAT5 compared to B-other leukemia (Figure 2D). The phosphorylation of other STATs in PAX5-JAK2+ leukemia varied and, due to the small number of patients, no conclusions could be drawn (Figure S2A). As for other kinase-activated fusions48-50 pSTAT5 is essential for transformation and disease maintenance and STAT5 is probably the main downstream STAT target of PAX5-JAK2.

To further investigate the capability of pPAX5-JAK2 to induce STAT5 activity, we conducted reporter gene assays using a 6x-STAT5-TK-luc reporter construct. PAX5-JAK2 significantly activated the reporter in γ2a cells, while its mutant forms or wild-type JAK2 did not (Figure S2B). Collectively, PAX5-JAK2 itself is phosphorylated and not only phosphorylates downstream STATs but also activates STAT5. Main signaling functions of wild-type JAK2 are linked to its cytoplasmatic localization.7 In this context, all JAK2 fusion proteins investigated so far reside in the cytoplasm and, therefore can activate the canonical JAK-STAT pathway.7,51 However, a common feature of PAX5-fusions is their mainly nuclear localization.14 To verify that PAX5-JAK2, which retains the nuclear localization signal and the paired domain of PAX5 (Figure 1A), is also a nuclear protein, we ectopically expressed it in cell lines and performed indirect immunofluorescence (Figure 2E, S2C). In line with its DNA-binding capacity (Figure 1B), pPAX5-JAK2 displayed exclusive nuclear localization (Figure 2E).

The majority of JAK2 fusion proteins possess an oligomerization domain provided by the fusion partner, which facilitates trans-autophosphorylation.7,51 However, PAX5-JAK2 lacks a self-interaction motif and self-association was ruled out by co-immunoprecipitation experiments (Figure S2D). Since the kinase dead PAX5-JAK2 mutant was completely unphosphorylated, trans-phosphorylation by another kinase is highly unlikely. Moreover, a DNA-binding deficient PAX5-JAK2 mutant revealed no impairment of phosphorylation (Figure S2E), indicating that the autophosphorylation of PAX5-JAK2 is DNA-binding-independent.
Treatment with JAK2 inhibitors leads to death of PAX5-JAK2 transformed cells

To determine the in vitro transforming potential of PAX5-JAK2, we ectopically expressed it in IL3-dependent Ba/F3 cells using an inducible vector system. Western blotting confirmed that only upon administration of doxycycline PAX5-JAK2 was expressed and consequently the STATs were phosphorylated (Figure S3A). Twenty-four hours after PAX5-JAK2 induction IL3 starvation experiments were started. While in the presence of IL3 no differences in growth between Ba/F3 cells with or without PAX5-JAK2 induction were observable, upon IL3 deprivation only cells expressing the fusion protein survived (Figure 3A). Although under IL3 starvation the cells showed a decreased growth rate, PAX5-JAK2 clearly triggered factor-independent proliferation of Ba/F3 cells.

To assess the effectiveness of JAK2 inhibitors against the constitutive kinase activity of PAX5-JAK2, we treated IL3-independent Ba/F3 cells expressing PAX5-JAK2 with Ruxolitinib and Fedratinib. Application of both compounds clearly decreased pSTAT5 levels, whereas they remained unaffected when the cells were treated with the Src-family tyrosine kinase inhibitor Dasatinib (Figure 3B-C). The effect of the JAK2 inhibitors on pSTAT5 was confirmed in NALM-6-EcoR cells stably expressing PAX5-JAK2 (Figure S3B). Inhibitory effects of Ruxolitinib on PAX5-JAK2 were also observed by Roberts et al. Furthermore, we show that the drug treatment leads to apoptosis induction and rapid cell death (Figure 3D).

Known JAK-STAT target genes like Socs1, Pim1 or Cish were significantly up-regulated in PAX5-JAK2 expressing Ba/F3 cells (Figure 3E), but Cd19, which in Ba/F3 cells is also not activated by wild-type PAX5 (data not shown), was not. While the expression of JAK-STAT targets was reduced upon treatment with JAK inhibitors, Cd79a levels remained unaffected (Figure 3F), clearly showing a correlation between decreasing pSTAT5 levels and target gene expression. A moderate reduction of JAK-STAT target genes (Figure 3F) was observed upon Dasatinib treatment, however, it did neither affect pSTAT5 levels (Figure 3B-C) nor induce apoptosis (data not shown).

Although, due to its nuclear localization, the function of PAX5-JAK2 may be uncoupled from cytoplasmatic interaction-mediated JAK-STAT signaling, JAK2 inhibitors block STAT5 activation, down-regulate target gene expression, and cause cell death.
PAX5-JAK2 gene expression profiles reveal deregulation of JAK-STAT and PAX5 target genes

To further elucidate the function of PAX5-JAK2, we performed gene expression profiling (GEP) of PAX5-JAK2+ primary leukemia samples. The obtained gene expression signature was compared with datasets from B-other BCP-ALL with normal PAX5 status and lacking known sentinel alterations (non-BCR-ABL1-like, PAX5-WT) as well as cases harboring a PAX5-C20orf112 or PAX5-ETV6 fusion. The most salient findings of these analyses are the confirmation of a JAK-STAT signature in PAX5-JAK2+ leukemia and the fact that the most differentially expressed genes show an expression pattern distinct from samples carrying other PAX5-fusions as shown by unsupervised hierarchical clustering and principal component analysis (Figure 4A, S4A-B, Table S8).

In line with its kinase activity, there are typical JAK-STAT targets among the most upregulated genes in PAX5-JAK2+ leukemia compared to PAX5-WT, for instance, SOCS1, CISH, and PIM1 (Figure 4B, S4C). While PIM1 enhances tumor growth and confers protection against drug-induced apoptosis, it is interesting to note that two other up-regulated genes, MSI2 and ALOX5 (Figure 4B), are implicated in leukemic stem cell functions. Together with the moderate up-regulation of the anti-apoptotic gene BCL2L1, it appears that PAX5-JAK2 activates the JAK-STAT signaling pathway (Figure S4C), affects survival and induces a more stem-cell-like phenotype.

In accordance with the function of PAX5-JAK2 as aberrant transcription factor, not only JAK-STAT, but also PAX5 targets, like NEDD9 or CD79A, showed differential expression (Figure 4C). While CD79A displayed the highest expression levels in PAX5-JAK2+ leukemia, no significant differences in CD19 expression were observed (Figure 4C). Furthermore, although IGJ is usually repressed by PAX5, it is highly up-regulated in PAX5-JAK2+ but not in PAX5-ETV6+ and PAX5-C20orf112+ leukemia. Notably, up-regulation of IGJ has been detected in BCR-ABL1-like leukemia, suggesting an association with the kinase-activated signature. Moreover, while all leukemia subgroups - independent of their PAX5 status - expressed equal levels of EBF1, an upstream regulator of PAX5, in PAX5-JAK2+ leukemia RAG1 (Figure 4C) was significantly up-regulated, possibly reflecting a slightly different developmental arrest.
To further evaluate the influence of PAX5-fusions on PAX5-responsive targets and genes regulated during B-lymphoid development, we performed gene set enrichment analysis using previously published datasets.\textsuperscript{57,59-63} This analysis indicates that, at least in the examined subtypes with different PAX5-fusions, the altered gene sets show common and distinct patterns.

The most significant changes between PAX5-JAK2\(^+\) and PAX5-WT samples were seen in the previously delineated top regulated gene set differentially expressed in human \textit{ETV6-RUNX1+} leukemia with and without \textit{PAX5} mutations (Figure S4D, Table S9).\textsuperscript{59} Remarkably, this gene set was also deregulated in leukemia with other PAX5-fusions, indicating that a common subset of genes is affected by PAX5 alterations.

Gene sets representing murine early B-lymphoid development-associated PAX5-activated targets were predominantly expressed at higher levels in PAX5-JAK2\(^+\) and PAX5-ETV6\(^+\) compared to PAX5-WT leukemia, suggesting that these PAX5-fusions might not generally antagonize wild-type PAX5 function. The lower expression levels of genes activated in mature B-cells supposedly reflect the developmental stage of the leukemia rather than a repressive function of PAX5-fusions. Particular gene sets, as, for example, those activated in murine pro B-cells, were down-regulated in PAX5-C20orf112\(^+\) leukemia, indicating a potential repressive capacity of this fusion protein. While some subsets of PAX5-repressed genes appeared significantly up-regulated in all PAX5-fusion\(^+\) samples, additional distinct subsets seem to be uniquely induced in PAX5-ETV6\(^+\) leukemia.

All in all, our GEP analysis of leukemia samples supports the notion that PAX5-JAK2 has an impact on both the JAK2 and the PAX5 downstream networks and that different PAX5-fusions may result in distinct expression signatures.
DISCUSSION

In this study we have assessed the functional consequences of PAX5-JAK2, a recurrent genetic lesion, which has recently been identified in BCP-ALL. The PAX5-JAK2 chimeric protein consists of the PAX5 DNA-binding domain and the catalytically active kinase domain of JAK2, suggesting that it may have an impact on both the B-cell transcription program and the activation of the JAK-STAT signaling pathway.

Intriguingly, in stark contrast to the cytoplasmic localization of all other JAK2 fusion proteins analyzed to date, PAX5-JAK2 localizes exclusively to the nucleus. The nuclear localization of PAX5-JAK2 is most likely driven by the nuclear localization signal and the paired domain of PAX5, the latter enabling the chimera to bind to endogenous PAX5 loci.

In line with the anticipated dual function of PAX5-JAK2, gene expression profiling of primary leukemia cells showed that typical JAK-STAT as well as PAX5 targets are among the deregulated genes in PAX5-JAK2+ compared to PAX5-WT leukemia. Furthermore, the expression signature of PAX5-JAK2+ leukemia is clearly distinct from that of other PAX5 chimeras, illustrating for the first time on a genome-wide level that different PAX5 fusion proteins have a distinct impact on gene regulation. The differences in target gene transcription elicited by the fusion proteins may be explained by the lack of the potent C-terminal regulatory elements of PAX5 as well as by their distinct DNA affinities and interactions with the wild-type partner proteins. Furthermore, transcriptional deregulation may also be influenced by changes in interactions with co-factors, such as chromatin-remodeling, histone-modifying, basal transcription factor complexes, and ETS-factors. Since nuclear PAX5-JAK2 interacts with chromatin, it may well be involved in chromatin modifications, as recently described for nuclear JAK2. Together, our data support the concept that PAX5 chimeras have distinct properties conferred by the partner proteins, which are likely to shape their function and impact on leukemia development.

Our findings regarding the tyrosine phosphorylation in the JH1 kinase domain of PAX5-JAK2 raise the question by which mechanism it may occur. The majority of JAK2 fusion proteins possess an oligomerization domain provided by the fusion partner, which facilitates trans-autophosphorylation. However, PAX5-JAK2 does not form oligomers. Furthermore, using a kinase dead or DNA-binding deficient
mutant of the chimeric protein, we show that the kinase activation occurs via a mechanism independent of transphosphorylation by another kinase and DNA-binding. Therefore, we hypothesize that the lack of inhibition by the JH2 domain enhances the basal JH1 kinase activity and thereby drives PAX5-JAK2 phosphorylation and activation. Alternatively, conformational changes of PAX5-JAK2 may facilitate its autophosphorylation. Although the underlying mechanism remains unclear, it is tempting to speculate that PAX5-JAK2 activates the JAK-STAT pathway in a manner that is independent from classical cytoplasmatic interactions. Consequently, it is conceivable that PAX5-JAK2 phosphorylates STATs in the nucleus, where they are present also in an unphosphorylated state.

Due to the high frequency of JAK2 mutations in hematological malignancies, a plethora of small molecule inhibitors has been developed and several of them are currently under clinical investigations. Although the function of PAX5-JAK2 may be uncoupled from canonical JAK-STAT signaling, JAK2 inhibitors efficiently inhibit its kinase activity, resulting in dephosphorylation of its downstream target STAT5, transcriptional downregulation of JAK-STAT target genes and rapid cell death.

In summary, PAX5-JAK2 represents the first monomeric, autophosphorylating, nuclear, DNA-binding JAK2 fusion protein that, on the one hand, has the potential to deregulate PAX5 target genes via its binding to PAX5 target loci and, on the other hand, displays kinase activity and constitutively activates the JAK-STAT signaling cascade in a supposedly non-canonical manner. Importantly, the kinase activity of PAX5-JAK2 can be blocked by JAK2 inhibitors, suggesting a potential therapeutic benefit for patients suffering from this specific subtype of leukemia.
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Figure 1. PAX5-JAK2 is able to bind and regulate PAX5 target genes. (A) Schematic representation of the PAX5-JAK2 fusion protein, PAX5, and JAK2. PD, paired DNA-binding domain; OP, octapeptide; HD, partial homeodomain; TA, transactivation domain; I, inhibitory domain; JH1, kinase domain; JH2, pseudokinase domain; JH3-4, SH2-like domain; JH6-7, FERM domain; arrows indicate nuclear localization signals. (B) Chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by quantitative real-time PCR (qChIP) analysis of NALM-6 cells carrying a luciferase vector (luc) or expressing V5-tagged PAX5, a DNA-binding deficient mutant thereof (V5-PAX5-K67/87/89A) or V5-PAX5-JAK2. The amount of DNA precipitated with V5 antibody was determined by qPCR using PAX5 target loci specific primers and normalized to input DNA. The results of one representative experiment out of three are shown. 3’, 3-prime region; TSS, transcription start site; I, intron; E, exon. (C) and (D) Reporter gene assays in HEK293 cells transfected with luc-CD19 (C) or pGL4-CD79A-TSS (D) luciferase reporter and indicated V5-tagged expression vectors. Firefly was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity, and to the empty luciferase vector, and the expression vector control. The bars represent the means +/- SD of at least three independent experiments each performed in triplicates. Significance levels were determined using an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test comparing the mean fold activation values of three independent experiments with the hypothetical value of 1 (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001).

Figure 2. PAX5-JAK2 is phosphorylated and activates STAT proteins. (A) Intracellular phosphoprotein analyses using flow cytometry were performed in JAK2-deficient γ2a cells transfected with the indicated proteins. One representative experiment out of three is shown; black lines, empty vector, pIRES2-EGFP; orange lines, PAX5; red lines, JAK2; blue lines, PAX5-JAK2. (B) Western blotting of whole cell lysates of γ2a cells; pc, pcDNA3 empty vector control; P-J, PAX5-JAK2; P-J-YY/AA, tyrosine mutant; P-J-K/E, kinase dead mutant (GAPDH ~37kDa, HA ~60kDa, pJAK2 ~60kDa, STATs/pSTATs ~90kDa). (C) PAX5-JAK2 phosphorylation detected in whole cell lysate of a bone marrow sample of a PAX5-JAK2+ patient; -PV, without pervanadate, +PV treated with phosphatase inhibitor pervanadate; **, PAX5-JAK2, *, PAX5. (D) pSTAT5 levels in bone marrow cells of patients with PAX5-JAK2+ (n=2), BCR-ABL1+ (n=33) or B-other (n=43) leukemia were measured by reverse phase protein arrays and levels were normalized for total protein levels in each cell lysate.
Immunofluorescence was performed in HeLa cells transfected with HA-tagged PAX5-JAK2 (upper panel) as well as with the mutated forms PAX5-JAK2-YY/AA (middle panel) and PAX5-JAK2-K/E (lower panel) using an HA (green), and a p-Y1007/8-JAK2 (red) antibody, and DAPI (blue) for DNA-counterstaining. Images were acquired on a Leica TCS SP5 equipped with an HCX PL APO CS 63.0x1.40 oil objective in sequential scan mode using identical settings for all conditions; white bars indicate 20 µm.

**Figure 3.** PAX5-JAK2 transforms Ba/F3 cells and its kinase activity can be blocked by JAK2 inhibitors. (A) Growth rates of Ba/F3 cells in the presence (red) or absence (blue) of doxycycline (DOX) with (full lines) or without (dashed lines) IL3 were monitored over 7 days by trypan blue exclusion staining. The means +/- SD of three independent biological replicates are shown. (B) IL3-independent Ba/F3 cells expressing PAX5-JAK2 were treated with the indicated inhibitors for 1 h. Intracellular pSTAT5 levels were measured by flow cytometry analysis. One representative experiment out of three is shown. (C) Summary of geometric means +/- SD (n=3) of pSTAT5 levels as determined in (B). (D) Cytokine-independent Ba/F3 cells expressing PAX5-JAK2 were treated with the indicated inhibitors for 24 h (left panel) and 48 h (right panel). Staining for apoptotic / dead cells was performed using Annexin-V-APC and DAPI, respectively. Means of three independent biological replicates are shown, error bars represent SD. (E) Ba/F3 cells harboring the luc-control vector or PAX5-JAK2 vector were treated with DOX for 24 h. Gene expression was measured using quantitative Real-time PCR. Expression values were normalized using Abl1 and Gusb as control genes. Expression relative to luc-control of at least 3 independent experiments is shown. Significance levels were determined using an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test comparing the mean fold activation values of three independent experiments with the hypothetical value of 1 (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001). (F) Cytokine-independent Ba/F3 cells expressing PAX5-JAK2 were treated with indicated inhibitors for 8 h. Relative gene expression was determined as in (E).

**Figure 4.** Gene expression profile of PAX5-JAK2+ patients. (A) Heatmap showing the 50 most up/down-regulated genes in cases with PAX5-JAK2+ leukemia (green bar) relative to the mean expression of the genes in B-cell precursor acute
lymphoblastic leukemia without known sentinel alterations and with normal PAX5 status (PAX5-WT). Additionally, PAX5-ETV6+ (blue bar) and PAX5-C20orf112+ (red bar) samples are shown. (B) and (C) Boxplots depicting log2 fold changes of selected genes relative to the mean expression in PAX5-WT cases; the black line represents the median. Tukey-style whiskers extending to a maximum of 1.5 the interquartile range beyond the box are depicted. Outliers beyond the range are individually plotted as circles; p-values according to “limma” comparing PAX5-WT to the indicated PAX5-fusion are depicted (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001); P-C, PAX5-C20orf112; P-E, PAX5-ETV6; P-J, PAX5-JAK2; WT, PAX5 wild-type.
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