

Transformed Follicular non Hodgkin Lymphoma

Carla Casulo¹, W. Richard Burack², Jonathan W. Friedberg¹

¹Division of Hematology and Oncology; ²Department of Pathology, James P. Wilmot Cancer Center, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester NY, 14642, USA

Dr. Casulo and Dr. Burack contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding Author:

Jonathan W. Friedberg

James P. Wilmot Cancer Center

University of Rochester

601 Elmwood Avenue, Box 704

Rochester, NY 14642

Tel: 585-275-4911

Fax 585-276-2743

Email: jonathan_friedberg@urmc.rochester.edu

Abstract

Histologic transformation of follicular lymphoma to an aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma is a critical biologic event with profound implications on the natural history of this otherwise indolent disease. Recent insights into the genetic and epigenetic basis of transformation have been described, with the recognition of pivotal events governing the initiation and persistence of tumor evolution. Outcomes of patients with transformed lymphoma have historically been poor; however several studies in the rituximab era suggest that survival may be more favorable than previously recognized. This review highlights our current understanding of transformed follicular lymphoma biology and pathogenesis, current treatment and future directions.

Introduction

Histologic transformation (HT) refers to a biologic event leading to the development of a high grade, aggressive non Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) in patients with an underlying follicular lymphoma (FL)¹. Transformation to diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) or Burkitt lymphoma (BL) is also known to take place in in other subtypes of indolent lymphoma such as marginal zone lymphoma, lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma, small lymphocytic lymphoma/chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and lymphocyte predominant Hodgkin lymphoma, but is best described as occurring in FL². For the purposes of this review, we will focus our discussion on histologic transformation of FL specifically to DLBCL.

Biology of Transformation

Origin of HT

Although useful for clinical care, the term “high grade transformation” may obscure the biology of FL for two reasons. First, the natural history of FL from its beginning is likely a series of transformations converting a FL-precursor cell to incrementally greater malignant potential or varying its clinical behavior. While the characteristic IGH:BCL2 translocation arises in early B cells within the marrow environment, these cells are common in healthy individuals. The subsequent accumulation of oncogenic mutations sufficient to produce bona fide FL is thought to occur through the mis-targeted activity of AID (Activation Induced Deaminase) which is expressed in the precursor-FL cells as they iteratively reside in germinal centers (GC) in lymph nodes. Expression of AID in normal GCs results in somatic hypermutation (SHM) of immunoglobulin loci. This collateral damage that is done to the genome, termed aberrant Somatic Hypermutation (aSHM) may be at the root of the many B cell malignancies that have the follicular B cell as the cell of origin. The second reason that the term “transformation” may cause misconceptions is that only rarely does the DLBCL “transform” directly from the most

abundant cell population present in the FL at the time of diagnosis. Arguably every study that has examined serial specimens using genetic methods have found examples of “non-linear” transformation in which the clone detected at transformation is more closely related to a common progenitor than the clone predominating at the time (or site) of prior sampling³⁻¹¹. Newer studies with greater detail of genetic analysis reveal a higher fraction of the patients with non-linear transformation, and it seems likely that non-linear transformation is the rule rather than the exception. Most recent whole exome studies show definitively that the low grade FL at diagnosis frequently possesses one or more “driver” mutations NOT found in the subsequent transformed FL^{3,4,9}. While the term “high grade transformation” implies a binary switch from indolent to aggressive disease, the reality is that each FL is probably undergoing multiple “transformations” during the life of any patient with this low-grade disease. This observation indicates that the FL tumor cell population is composed of numerous sub-populations with distinct driver mutations and presumably distinct sensitivities to therapies, particularly targeted ones. Sequencing results obtained on any one biopsy from a patient is only an incomplete picture of the tumor's genetic composition and thus an inaccurate picture of the responsiveness to targeted therapies. Recognizing this model of continuous tumor evolution may allow investigators to target the mechanisms causing continued genetic instability (such as inhibiting AID), thus potentially interdicting the progression of FL.

Drivers of HT

Detailed genetic analyses indicate there is no single mechanism driving transformation from FL to DLBCL³⁻⁵¹². Rather, studies suggest several discrete mechanisms are likely affected, including alterations of cell cycle control [through mutation or deletion of cyclin-dependent kinase 2A/B (CDKN2A/B) and alterations in myc] and impairment of the DNA damage response (through loss of p53 and/or CDKN2A)^{3,4}. Furthermore, there are consistent losses of genes associated with regulation of the immune response, such as the entire HLA class 1 locus,

mutations specifically in beta-2-microglobulin (a critical component of the class 1 complex), and mutations in CD58 (involved in regulating the complement-mediated effects on cells)^{4,5,13}. In general, the driver mutation profile of transformed FL resembles that of germinal center B (GCB) DLBCL and may lack the mutations in the B cell receptor (BCR) signaling pathway that are characteristic of activated B cell (ABC) DLBCL (such as CD79b).

In addition to enumerating the specific point mutations in coding regions that may drive transformation, the studies of paired low grade and HT specimens have also described qualitative changes in genetic features, which suggest the mechanisms permitting these mutations to occur in the first place. Changes in chromosomal copy number ("chromosomal number variation"; CNV) have been implicated in HT, yet it remains unclear if the acquisition of CNV is a major genetic mechanism driving transformation. Eide found no evidence that globally increased CNV number predicted transformation⁸, while Pasqualucci found that a statistically significant (although modest) increase in genomic complexity accompanied transformation based on CNV analysis⁴. Recent efforts to identify mutations associated with HT have also defined which mutational events are early in the life history of FL (Figure 1 A and B). These early lesions in FL primarily affect epigenetic regulators (genes controlling chromatin structure), including MLL2 (more formally known as KMTD), EZH2, and CREBBP^{14,15,3,4}. Pasqualucci et al suggest that these mutations may abet the "mutator" phenotype of the FL, allowing AID to access inappropriate regions of the genome, leading to aberrant SHM, and genetic instability driving further transformation. These data raise the possibility that epigenetic dys-regulation may be key to development of HT, but perhaps not critical to the aggressive phenotype once established. Furthermore, the observation by Pasqualucci that HT is associated with a striking increase in aSHM⁴ implies that recognition of intraclonal variation due to aSHM may be a predictor of HT.

Predictors of Risk

In efforts to identify the mechanisms driving transformation, several groups have looked at possible biologic and molecular features as predictors of HT. Gene expression profiling (GEP) studies have identified features of the immune microenvironment that predict progression or transformation¹⁶⁻¹⁹. Two general models explaining the tumor microenvironment's support of lymphomagenesis have been widely discussed: either the microenvironment supports proliferation (or blocks apoptosis) directly, or it offsets intrinsic anti-tumor immunologic effects^{20,21}. Existing data support both of these hypotheses although the studies of the exact mechanisms remain contradictory²⁰. Genetic studies provide a possible alternative understanding for the relationship between the immune microenvironment and HT. For example, the loss of class 1 and B2M expression (features now shown to be associated with HT) would be expected to result in an alteration in the immune components of the microenvironment if it preceded overt HT. Furthermore, the immune microenvironment may affect AID expression in FL cells; since AID is possibly at the root of the "mutator" phenotype, facilitating evolution of FL, the immune microenvironment may directly regulate the emergence of an aggressive subclone. Just as mutations found in the class 1 or B2M might be at the root of the GEPs that suggest a role for the immune microenvironment in determining transformation, perhaps the changes in GEP due epigenetic dysregulation results in the "pluripotency" (or stem-cell like) gene expression pattern that Gentles et al correlated with a propensity to transform²². Similarly, the observation that a small gene expression panel based on components of the NFkB pathway components is predictive of HT may directly reflect the recent sequencing data showing that HT is frequently accompanied by mutations in genes encoding components of the NFkB pathway, as mutations affecting the NFkB pathway appear to be among the most consistent of the stepwise changes that ultimately lead to clinically recognizable HT^{3,23}.

Summary: Biology of Transformation

The recent genetic analyses of paired FL/HT specimens potentially link several disparate parameters that have been shown to predict HT, and have emphasized the complex clonal architecture of FL, a complexity which is consistent with the clinical behavior. These genetic data suggest attractive therapeutic targets, thought to be those mutations which occur earliest, such that intervening on these would affect both the DLBCL and the numerous underlying FL precursor clones, and thus potentially reducing the likelihood of relapse.

Clinical Definition of transformation

When determined pathologically through a biopsy, transformation is defined by the histologic documentation of increased numbers of large cells that eradicate the follicular architecture, leading to a high-grade lymphoma that is related to the original FL²⁴. Establishing HT with a biopsy is considered the gold standard diagnostically, and whenever possible, suspicion of HT should be verified pathologically. The resultant DLBCL frequently maintains a GC pattern, but with large cells infiltrating the lymph nodes diffusely and leading to effacement of the follicular structure. In the absence of a tissue diagnosis, HT has been reliably established clinically in the presence of rising LDH, rapid nodal growth, sudden decline in performance status, new “B” symptoms or hypercalcemia, or new involvement in extranodal sites of disease²⁵⁻²⁷. Studies using clinical criterion to establish transformation have found reliably similar rates of survival compared to patients in whom HT was based on a biopsy. To further assist in the diagnosis of HT, ¹⁸[F] fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) scans have also become useful tools in predicting HT, with higher standardized uptake values (SUV) correlating with more aggressive histology^{28,29}. SUV values above 10 in one study were able to reliably predict aggressive lymphoma with a specificity of 80%; and values above 13 did so with 90% certainty^{30,31}. In a study focusing on PET in defining HT, the SUVs in biopsy-proven sites of

transformation ranged from 3 to 38, with a mean of 14 and median of 12²⁹. Bodet-Molin and colleagues recapitulated these findings in a study of 38 patients with clinically suspected transformation of indolent lymphoma³². HT was detected pathologically in 45% of cases (17/38). Among patients with SUV max of > 17, the positive predictive value of FDG-PET for detecting HT was 100%. On the contrary, SUV <11.7 was associated with a low risk of HT, such that no patient with SUVmax <11.7 showed HT. Given the overlap in the degree of FDG uptake between indolent and aggressive lymphomas and the lack of data supporting a specific practice, FDG-PET is not likely to replace biopsy as the gold standard to confirm HT. However, in very select circumstances, such as in the setting of an SUV above 17, in a patient with an inaccessible biopsy site, rapidly deteriorating clinical status, and other clinical features suggestive of HT, it may be reasonable to consider foregoing a biopsy and therapeutically approach the disease as transformed histology.

Incidence of HT

Estimates of the true incidence of HT in FL have wavered over the past several decades. Most studies assessing HT did not determine clonality of paired specimens, in order to determine true HT events from *de novo* aggressive lymphomas and are based on retrospective series. Many of the publications addressing the frequency of HT occurred prior to the use of rituximab as treatment for lymphoma; and using both clinical and pathologic criteria have reported broad estimates of risk ranging from 24-70% overall^{27,33}, 11-17% at 5 years^{25,27}, and approximately 30% at 10 years³⁴. These differences lie in large part to varying methodology in diagnosing HT, including differences in histologic definition and classification, cohort size, varying follow up times among studies, and methods of pathologic assessment, some of which include post mortem assessment of HT or repeat biopsy^{33,35,36}. Despite these differences, more recent studies support the notion of a stable and consistent risk of about 2-3% per year through at least 10-15 years of diagnosis. A large population based Canadian study of 600 patients with a

median follow up of 9 years showed the risk of transformation remained stable over time at approximately 3% per year, with no evidence of plateau²⁶. In a large retrospective series out of the United Kingdom, 325 patients were followed for 16 years, with a similar risk of HT of about 2-3% per year, and a suggestion that in a subgroup of patients, risk of HT was mitigated after 16 years³⁴. A prospective observational study of over 600 patients with HT characterized risk in the rituximab era. With a median follow up of 5 years, HT was reported in 11% of patients, and estimated to occur at 2-3% per year, consistent with earlier studies²⁵. Three other studies in the rituximab era by Bains³⁷, the National Lymphocare Study group (NLCS)³⁸, and Conconi³⁹, also suggest similar frequency of HT, suggesting that incorporation of rituximab over time may not assuage the risk of HT, rather, HT is an inherent potential of at least a subset of FL, and therapy does not appear to abrogate this risk.

Determinants of Risk and Mitigating Factors

Despite the bounty of biologic information on the pathogenesis of HT, how to best implement this knowledge into clinical practice remains unknown. Given the historically poor prognosis of patient with HT, the precise impact of various clinical factors on HT risk has been well studied in an attempt to identify the most vulnerable patients. Among these are the follicular lymphoma international prognostic index score (FLIPI) as well as the international prognostic index (IPI), which not surprisingly have reliably predicted HT in those with higher scores among many studies. Fixed adverse clinical factors represented in the FLIPI and IPI have been independently shown to confer higher risk as well, including advanced age, and LDH^{25,34,38-40}. Of pragmatic importance and relevant to the practicing clinician, is whether any intervention can offset the threat of HT, since no specific criteria can unequivocally predict its occurrence. Compared to expectant observation, early initiation of treatment has been suggested to decrease the risk of HT in some series^{25,34,38}, but not others, including a randomized study^{36,41}. When specifically analyzing type of treatment selected, two studies from the British Columbia Cancer Center

suggest that the introduction of chemoimmunotherapy, in particular anthracyclines (compared to those receiving alkylator/purine combinations), as well as maintenance rituximab, led to a reduced incidence of HT^{42,43}. In contrast, two other series from the NLCS and the Spanish found no differences in development of HT among patients using doxorubicin^{38,40}. The completed trial conducted by Ardeshtna and colleagues which randomized patients to early intensive rituximab therapy or observation has addressed the question of whether early rituximab changes HT risk. With a median follow up of almost 4 years, no difference in the time to transformation, or incidence of HT was detected between the 3 groups, though longer follow up will be required⁴⁴. Based on the available literature, it is not possible to conclude definitely that early initiation of any treatment meaningfully influences the risk of HT.

Natural History of Transformation, prognosis and outcome

The prognosis of HT has varied in the literature. Prior to the use of rituximab, median overall survival (OS) of patients with HT was historically very poor, approximating 1-2 years across several series². Several more recent studies suggest this may be improving with the use of rituximab. In a large study from the University of Iowa evaluating 631 patients with FL, 60 patients developed biopsy proven HT. In stark difference from previous eras, median OS for all patients after HT was 50 months, and at 5 years, OS was 73% in patients treated with RCHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, hydroxydaunorubicin, oncovin, prednisone) chemotherapy. This mirrored outcomes of RCHOP treated patients with DLCBL in a validation cohort²⁵. Survival following HT was similarly high in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) database study, which reported median OS of nearly 5 years in 118 patients with FL and biopsy confirmed HT⁴⁵; as well as for patients with early stage FL undergoing HT, who had 3 year OS of 44%³⁷. Other studies also indicate that combination chemo-immunotherapy improves outcomes in patients with HT^{37,46,47}. Not surprisingly, patients having a complete response to treatment also fare better, as do those with early versus advanced disease at their initial

diagnosis, and patients who are chemotherapy naïve at the time of transformation, compared to patients receiving prior treatments^{26,27,34,45,46,48}. It's unclear to what degree the timing of HT - early versus late - is clinically meaningful but in one study, HT < 18 months from diagnosis was associated with a worse outcome compared to later HT, with 5 yr OS 22% vs 76% ($p < 0.001$)²⁵. Precisely defining early or late timing of HT is challenging, since specific time points have not been validated. Early HT may represent the manifestation of underappreciated composite histologies present at diagnosis, and many early studies describing the natural history of HT excluded HT at diagnosis.

Management Challenges

Chemotherapy and Transplantation

The treatment approach for a patient with HT is often individualized, as there are no randomized studies in the modern era to guide practice. Since these patients are often excluded from clinical trial participation, there is a paucity of objective data guiding optimal management of HT. The literature suggests patients treated with rituximab-containing chemotherapy can experience significantly better OS compared to other published retrospective cohorts of patients treated with chemotherapy alone, who have 5-year OS rates of 20% to 30%, and median OS ranging between 1 to 2 years^{25,27}. However, patients receiving dose intensification and consolidation seem to have improved outcomes. As such the historically poor prognosis of patients with HT prompted many centers to adopt high-dose therapy (HDT) and autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT) as standard treatment in an attempt to overcome its negative prognostic impact. The role of ASCT is of continued importance in the rituximab era, as more recent studies would suggest that its incorporation into standard chemotherapy may have altered the outcome of patients with HT. To what degree chemo-immunotherapy has abrogated the need for ASCT remains a question.

Of historical relevance, patients with HT in older phase II and transplant registry series prior to the incorporation of immunotherapy demonstrate efficacy of ASCT,^{2,49} as up to 40% of patients can experience long term benefit, with survival rates that parallel that of patients with high grade relapsed lymphoma receiving the same treatment⁵⁰. Most transplant studies conducted in the pre-rituximab era are small retrospective series of between 20-50 patients^{47,50-53}. Given the heterogeneity in follow up, inclusion of various indolent histologies, sample size and other variables, direct comparison between these studies is problematic. Nearly all included patients were highly selected and young; with a median age in the 40s across most⁵¹⁻⁵⁵, though not all studies^{47,56,57}, and only minimal or no disease at time of ASCT. A multicenter Norwegian study of 47 patients is the only prospective series evaluating HDT and ASCT in patients with HT of FL, though conducted in the pre-rituximab era⁵⁷. Two thirds of patients were chemoresponsive (in either CR or PR) and permitted to receive HDT. This study did not complete its planned accrual, though outcomes here were similar to those seen in older retrospective studies, with 5-year rates of PFS and OS of 32% and 47% (Table 1).

Contemporary studies examining ASCT in the rituximab era are particularly relevant to current practice. The Canadian Bone Marrow Transplant Group (CBMTG) conducted the largest study to date; evaluating 172 patients with HT. Ninety seven underwent ASCT. Here, ASCT improved OS and PFS of patients over rituximab containing chemotherapy regimens, but the degree of improvement was modest (5 year OS 65% with ASCT; 61% with rituximab and chemotherapy; hazard ratio [HR], 0.13; $p < 0.001$)⁵⁸. Importantly, this study included a proportion of patients who did not receive rituximab, which may have influenced results, as likely did inclusion of patients from multiple centers. Wirk et al reported on 108 patients with biopsy proven HT undergoing ASCT from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR). OS at 5 years was 50%, and while only 28% of patients received rituximab prior to ASCT, multivariate analysis showed no discernible impact of pre-transplantation rituximab⁵⁹. Similar findings were noted after HT in 104 patients from Princess Margaret

Hospital (50 proceeded to ASCT) with OS 54% at 3 years⁶⁰, and in a subgroup analysis of the prospective NCIC LY12 ASCT trial, where 4 year EFS was 45% for those undergoing ASCT, similar to that for patient with relapsed DLBCL⁶¹. A smaller study of 18 patients with HT and prior rituximab exposure undergoing ASCT described more favorable outcomes compared to earlier series; with 2 year OS and PFS of 82% and 59%, respectively⁶². Notably, patients who were rituximab naïve prior to their ASCT seemed to fare better than those with prior rituximab exposure; paralleling the observation in *de novo* DLBCL patients undergoing ASCT in the CORAL study⁶³. Reddy and colleagues studied 44 patients with HT treated with ASCT in the rituximab era in a series including patients up to the age of 70, as well as patients with early stage disease and HT⁶⁴. A small fraction of patients also underwent allogeneic transplant. They found no differences between ASCT and allogeneic transplant; OS mirrored that observed in other studies; 62% at 5 years^{50,53}. Furthermore, patients with early HT (HT detected at diagnosis or within 1 year of diagnosis) fared significantly better, with OS of 80% at 5 years compared to those with later HT 31%; $p=0.01$), in contrast to findings using chemoimmunotherapy only²⁵.

In one of the largest prospective HT cohorts in the rituximab era, patients in the NCCN database undergoing ASCT had similarly good outcomes and experienced a 2-year OS of 83%, which was superior compared to chemotherapy alone, or to ASCT conducted prior to the incorporation of monoclonal antibodies and better than in other series^{60,65}. Notwithstanding, younger (under 60 yo) rituximab naïve patients not undergoing HDT and ASCT and treated with chemoimmunotherapy alone still fared well; with 80% OS at 5 years⁴⁵. A small subset of patients from the recently published Princess Margaret experience who did not proceed with ASCT for reasons other than disease progression also did well, experiencing 3 year OS of 65%, not statistically significant when compared to patients receiving ASCT ($p=0.330$)⁶⁰. This is in line with findings from a multicenter Danish registry series comparing ASCT with rituximab containing treatment. PFS but not OS was significantly improved in patients receiving upfront ASCT (57% vs 30% at 5 years ($p=0.02$); 65% vs. 48% ($p=0.11$), respectively)⁶⁶.

Allogeneic transplantation (allo) in HT has been less well studied, with smaller numbers of patients in mostly retrospective series. For HT relapsing after ASCT, further salvage therapy with allo may improve outcomes at the expense of significant treatment related mortality (TRM)^{67,68}. The CBMTG series included 22 patients undergoing allo, resulting in 5 year OS of 46%, and no OS difference between allo and rituximab-containing chemotherapy (HR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.16 to 1.24; p=0.12)⁵⁸. Data from the CIBMTR showed significant TRM in upfront allo, and poor survival that seemingly obscured any benefit gained from this modality. However reduced intensity (RIC) allo had the best results⁵⁹. RIC also seemed to benefit a select few patients relapsing after ASCT in an 18 patient study by Clavert, who reported a high 4 year OS of 68%, but with high TRM (26%) as well as graft versus host disease (GVH) (48%)⁶⁹. A British study included both patients with *de novo* and transformed FL and was similarly promising; 47% OS at 4 years; with no significant difference in outcomes for either group, though there was a trend toward improved survival in those with HT⁷⁰. Other reports demonstrating inferior outcomes using this modality (OS 20-46%) are eclipsed by formidable rates of GVH disease and TRM; but offering a small possibility of durable disease control in some^{58,59,68,71,72}.

Radioimmunotherapy

Radioimmunotherapy (RIT) incorporating monoclonal antibodies with radioisotopes iodine-131 tositumomab (Bexxar) or yttrium-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan (Zevalin) has shown promise as a therapeutic agent in HT. In patients with HT, overall response rates using RIT range between 39-79%, with 50% of patients achieving complete remissions⁴⁹. In the largest of these, Zelenetz et al evaluated 71 patients from several Iodine-131 tositumomab studies and showed a median duration of response of 36 months in responding patients⁷³. An area of ongoing investigation involves the integration of RIT with HDT and transplant in transformed lymphoma, given promise that toxicities are similar to HDT alone, and has the potential to improve disease control, as well as prevent early relapse before graft versus lymphoma effect⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶.

Novel Regimens

Since most patients with HT are excluded from clinical trials, there is limited data on novel agents in this landscape. Czuczman et al reported on a phase II study using Lenalidomide, an immunomodulatory agent showing ORR of 57%, with median response duration of over 1 year in patients with HT⁷⁷. Other drugs inhibiting novel targets such as Aurora A Kinase (alisertib)⁷⁸, Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase (ibrutinib)⁷⁹, the delta isoform of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (idelalisib)^{80,81}, and the BCL2 protein (GDC-0199/ABT199)⁸² currently being studied in both indolent and aggressive lymphomas have the potential to significantly impact patients with HT. The possibility of disabling immune tolerance is also emerging as an exciting alternative to conventional therapy, particularly in light of the studies suggesting a critical role of the immune microenvironment in HT. Programmed Death-1 (PD-1) is a member of the B7 receptor family that has critical functions as an immune checkpoint regulator. Pidilizumab is a monoclonal antibody to PD-1 that was recently studied following ASCT in patients with DLBCL, including a subset with HT⁸³.

Our approach to management of transformed FL

Despite a large literature and advances in technology, no biomarker other than histologic grade has proven to be sufficiently robust to be widely adopted; many are felt to be too complex or are too subjective for general use⁸⁴. Can we then capitalize on the comprehensive genetic characterization of HT now available, for implementation into clinical practice? Notably, the two most prominently deregulated pathways in HT involve apoptotic resistance and epigenetic modification, two seemingly promising targets, for which trials are already underway (ie, GDC-0199/ABT199). Alternatively, another approach may lie in eradication of the early precursor clone by treating de novo FL, and possibly preventing HT altogether. These possibilities may inspire future clinical trial designs, since optimal treatment strategies for HT remain undefined and selecting the least toxic and most efficacious therapy is the ultimate goal. Unfortunately

most clinical trials exclude patients with HT, leaving a dearth of evidence on novel treatments. We hope this paradigm can change in the future, as this clearly represents an unmet need.

Our approach to management is detailed in Figure 2. Diagnostically, we insist that whenever possible excisional or needle biopsies be obtained in all patients with FL with clinical suspicion of HT. Furthermore we consider FDG-PET imaging to help choose biopsy sites, based upon the nodal region with highest SUV. Based on our interpretation of the existing literature, if patients are anthracycline naïve, our first therapy choice is R-CHOP for six cycles. We then strongly consider consolidation with HDT and ASCT for younger, fit patients, particularly if they have had prior therapy for follicular lymphoma, given evidence suggesting this approach yields the best outcomes in the rituximab era. Selected treatment-naïve patients who obtain CR to R-CHOP may be approached similarly, or with rituximab maintenance in place of ASCT, though data is lacking on maintenance in this particular setting. For older patients, we have considered consolidation after R-CHOP with radioimmunotherapy, extrapolated from existing literature. If patients have had previous anthracycline exposure, we utilize aggressive platinum-containing salvage chemotherapy regimens in younger, fit patients in place of R-CHOP, followed by ASCT consolidation. For the older patient with previous anthracycline exposure, or frail patient who cannot tolerate R-CHOP, we would consider modified or miniCHOP⁸⁵, or non anthracycline containing regimens such as R-CEOP⁸⁶ or R-GVP⁸⁷. We also then consider radioimmunotherapy consolidation, extrapolating from single agent activity of these drugs for transformed disease. As an alternative to chemotherapy, or if patients progress after chemotherapy, single agent lenalidomide treatment and clinical trial participation may be considered.

Conclusions

In conclusion, HT is an important cause of morbidity and mortality in patients with FL. Given the biological heterogeneity of HT, and the relative rarity of the event, it is unlikely we will have prospective, randomized trials to guide management of this complication. However, anticipating rapid translation of genomic insights into clinical practice, the implementation of targeted DNA sequencing approaches, and a plethora of novel, targeted therapeutic agents in development, we look forward to a day soon when a molecularly defined, precision therapy approach will replace our current empiricism in the approach to HT.

Authorship Contributions

Casulo: performed research, wrote paper

Burack: performed research, wrote paper

Friedberg: performed research, wrote paper

Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose

References

1. Cullen MH, Lister TA, Brearley RI, Shand WS, Stansfeld AG. Histological transformation of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a prospective study. *Cancer*. 1979;44(2):645-651.
2. Montoto S, Fitzgibbon J. Transformation of indolent B-cell lymphomas. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29(14):1827-1834.
3. Okosun J, Bodor C, Wang J, et al. Integrated genomic analysis identifies recurrent mutations and evolution patterns driving the initiation and progression of follicular lymphoma. *Nat Genet*. 2014;46(2):176-181.
4. Pasqualucci L, Khiabanian H, Fangazio M, et al. Genetics of follicular lymphoma transformation. *Cell Rep*. 2014;6(1):130-140.
5. Bouska A, McKeithan TW, Deffenbacher KE, et al. Genome-wide copy-number analyses reveal genomic abnormalities involved in transformation of follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. 2014;123(11):1681-1690.
6. Wartenberg M, Vasil P, zum Bueschenfelde CM, et al. Somatic hypermutation analysis in follicular lymphoma provides evidence suggesting bidirectional cell migration between lymph node and bone marrow during disease progression and relapse. *Haematologica*. 2013;98(9):1433-1441.
7. Green MR, Gentles AJ, Nair RV, et al. Hierarchy in somatic mutations arising during genomic evolution and progression of follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. 2013;121(9):1604-1611.
8. Eide MB, Liestol K, Lingjaerde OC, et al. Genomic alterations reveal potential for higher grade transformation in follicular lymphoma and confirm parallel evolution of tumor cell clones. *Blood*. 2010;116(9):1489-1497.
9. Carlotti E, Wrench D, Matthews J, et al. Transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma may occur by divergent evolution from a common progenitor cell or by direct evolution from the follicular lymphoma clone. *Blood*. 2009;113(15):3553-3557.
10. Ruminy P, Jardin F, Picquenot JM, et al. S(mu) mutation patterns suggest different progression pathways in follicular lymphoma: early direct or late from FL progenitor cells. *Blood*. 2008;112(5):1951-1959.
11. Halldorsdottir AM, Fruhwirth M, Deutsch A, et al. Quantifying the role of aberrant somatic hypermutation in transformation of follicular lymphoma. *Leuk Res*. 2008;32(7):1015-1021.
12. Davies AJ, Rosenwald A, Wright G, et al. Transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma proceeds by distinct oncogenic mechanisms. *Br J Haematol*. 2007;136(2):286-293.
13. Challa-Malladi M, Lieu YK, Califano O, et al. Combined genetic inactivation of beta2-Microglobulin and CD58 reveals frequent escape from immune recognition in diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Cancer Cell*. 2011;20(6):728-740.
14. Morin RD, Johnson NA, Severson TM, et al. Somatic mutations altering EZH2 (Tyr641) in follicular and diffuse large B-cell lymphomas of germinal-center origin. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42(2):181-185.
15. Morin RD, Mendez-Lago M, Mungall AJ, et al. Frequent mutation of histone-modifying genes in non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Nature*. 2011;476(7360):298-303.
16. Dave SS, Wright G, Tan B, et al. Prediction of survival in follicular lymphoma based on molecular features of tumor-infiltrating immune cells. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351(21):2159-2169.
17. Farinha P, Al-Tourah A, Gill K, Klasa R, Connors JM, Gascoyne RD. The architectural pattern of FOXP3-positive T cells in follicular lymphoma is an independent predictor of survival and histologic transformation. *Blood*. 2010;115(2):289-295.
18. Farinha P, Kyle AH, Minchinton AI, Connors JM, Karsan A, Gascoyne RD. Vascularization predicts overall survival and risk of transformation in follicular lymphoma. *Haematologica*. 2010;95(12):2157-2160.
19. Farinha P, Masoudi H, Skinnider BF, et al. Analysis of multiple biomarkers shows that lymphoma-associated macrophage (LAM) content is an independent predictor of survival in follicular lymphoma (FL). *Blood*. 2005;106(6):2169-2174.

20. Kridel R, Sehn LH, Gascoyne RD. Pathogenesis of follicular lymphoma. *J Clin Invest*. 2012;122(10):3424-3431.
21. de Jong D. Molecular pathogenesis of follicular lymphoma: a cross talk of genetic and immunologic factors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(26):6358-6363.
22. Gentles AJ, Alizadeh AA, Lee SI, et al. A pluripotency signature predicts histologic transformation and influences survival in follicular lymphoma patients. *Blood*. 2009;114(15):3158-3166.
23. Brodtkorb M, Lingjaerde OC, Huse K, et al. Whole-genome integrative analysis reveals expression signatures predicting transformation in follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. 2014;123(7):1051-1054.
24. Lossos IS, Gascoyne RD. Transformation of follicular lymphoma. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol*. 2011;24(2):147-163.
25. Link BK, Maurer MJ, Nowakowski GS, et al. Rates and outcomes of follicular lymphoma transformation in the immunochemotherapy era: a report from the University of Iowa/MayoClinic Specialized Program of Research Excellence Molecular Epidemiology Resource. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(26):3272-3278.
26. Al-Tourah AJ, Gill KK, Chhanabhai M, et al. Population-based analysis of incidence and outcome of transformed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26(32):5165-5169.
27. Bastion Y, Sebban C, Berger F, et al. Incidence, predictive factors, and outcome of lymphoma transformation in follicular lymphoma patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 1997;15(4):1587-1594.
28. Karam M, Novak L, Cyriac J, Ali A, Nazeer T, Nugent F. Role of fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography scan in the evaluation and follow-up of patients with low-grade lymphomas. *Cancer*. 2006;107(1):175-183.
29. Noy A, Schoder H, Gonen M, et al. The majority of transformed lymphomas have high standardized uptake values (SUVs) on positron emission tomography (PET) scanning similar to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). *Ann Oncol*. 2009;20(3):508-512.
30. Schoder H, Noy A, Gonen M, et al. Intensity of 18fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in positron emission tomography distinguishes between indolent and aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(21):4643-4651.
31. Moskowitz CH, Matasar MJ, Zelenetz AD, et al. Normalization of pre-ASCT, FDG-PET imaging with second-line, non-cross-resistant, chemotherapy programs improves event-free survival in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood*. 2012;119(7):1665-1670.
32. Bodet-Milin C, Kraeber-Bodere F, Moreau P, Campion L, Dupas B, Le Gouill S. Investigation of FDG-PET/CT imaging to guide biopsies in the detection of histological transformation of indolent lymphoma. *Haematologica*. 2008;93(3):471-472.
33. Garvin AJ, Simon RM, Osborne CK, Merrill J, Young RC, Berard CW. An autopsy study of histologic progression in non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. 192 cases from the National Cancer Institute. *Cancer*. 1983;52(3):393-398.
34. Montoto S, Davies AJ, Matthews J, et al. Risk and clinical implications of transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25(17):2426-2433.
35. Qazi R, Aisenberg AC, Long JC. The natural history of nodular lymphoma. *Cancer*. 1976;37(4):1923-1927.
36. Horning SJ, Rosenberg SA. The natural history of initially untreated low-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *N Engl J Med*. 1984;311(23):1471-1475.
37. Bains P, Al Tourah A, Campbell BA, et al. Incidence of transformation to aggressive lymphoma in limited-stage follicular lymphoma treated with radiotherapy. *Ann Oncol*. 2013;24(2):428-432.
38. Wagner-Johnson N LB, Taylor M. Risk Factors for Early Transformation of Follicular Lymphoma (FL): Report From the National LymphoCare Study (NLCS) *Blood*. 2009;abstract 2698.
39. Conconi A, Ponzio C, Lobetti-Bodoni C, et al. Incidence, risk factors and outcome of histological transformation in follicular lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2012;157(2):188-196.
40. Gine E, Montoto S, Bosch F, et al. The Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index (FLIPI) and the histological subtype are the most important factors to predict histological transformation in follicular lymphoma. *Ann Oncol*. 2006;17(10):1539-1545.

41. Brice P, Bastion Y, Lepage E, et al. Comparison in low-tumor-burden follicular lymphomas between an initial no-treatment policy, prednimustine, or interferon alfa: a randomized study from the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes Folliculaires. Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. *J Clin Oncol*. 1997;15(3):1110-1117.
42. Al Tourah A, Gill K, Hoskins PJ. The impact of initial treatment of advanced stage indolent lymphoma on the risk of transformation *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2006;24, No 18S
43. Al-Tourah A SL, Moccia A et al. Transformation of follicular lymphoma in the era of immunochemotherapy: A population-based study from British Columbia. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2012;abstract 8049.
44. Ardeshtna KM, Qian W, Smith P, et al. Rituximab versus a watch-and-wait approach in patients with advanced-stage, asymptomatic, non-bulky follicular lymphoma: an open-label randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014.
45. Ban-Hoefen M, Vanderplas A, Crosby-Thompson AL, et al. Transformed non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the rituximab era: analysis of the NCCN outcomes database. *Br J Haematol*. 2013;163(4):487-495.
46. Tan D, Horning SJ, Hoppe RT, et al. Improvements in observed and relative survival in follicular grade 1-2 lymphoma during 4 decades: the Stanford University experience. *Blood*. 2013;122(6):981-987.
47. Hamadani M, Benson DM, Jr., Lin TS, Porcu P, Blum KA, Devine SM. High-dose therapy and autologous stem cell transplantation for follicular lymphoma undergoing transformation to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Eur J Haematol*. 2008;81(6):425-431.
48. Yuen AR, Kamel OW, Halpern J, Horning SJ. Long-term survival after histologic transformation of low-grade follicular lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 1995;13(7):1726-1733.
49. Bernstein SH, Burack WR. The incidence, natural history, biology, and treatment of transformed lymphomas. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2009:532-541.
50. Williams CD, Harrison CN, Lister TA, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous stem-cell support for chemosensitive transformed low-grade follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a case-matched study from the European Bone Marrow Transplant Registry. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001;19(3):727-735.
51. Chen CI, Crump M, Tsang R, Stewart AK, Keating A. Autotransplants for histologically transformed follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2001;113(1):202-208.
52. Friedberg JW, Neuberg D, Gribben JG, et al. Autologous bone marrow transplantation after histologic transformation of indolent B cell malignancies. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 1999;5(4):262-268.
53. Sabloff M, Atkins HL, Bence-Bruckler I, et al. A 15-year analysis of early and late autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant in relapsed, aggressive, transformed, and nontransformed follicular lymphoma. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2007;13(8):956-964.
54. Davis TA, White CA, Grillo-Lopez AJ, et al. Single-agent monoclonal antibody efficacy in bulky non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: results of a phase II trial of rituximab. *J Clin Oncol*. 1999;17(6):1851-1857.
55. Foran JM, Apostolidis J, Papamichael D, et al. High-dose therapy with autologous haematopoietic support in patients with transformed follicular lymphoma: a study of 27 patients from a single centre. *Ann Oncol*. 1998;9(8):865-869.
56. Andreadis C, Schuster SJ, Chong EA, et al. Long-term event-free survivors after high-dose therapy and autologous stem-cell transplantation for low-grade follicular lymphoma. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2005;36(11):955-961.
57. Eide MB, Lauritzsen GF, Kvalheim G, et al. High dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell support for patients with histologically transformed B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphomas. A Norwegian multi centre phase II study. *Br J Haematol*. 2011;152(5):600-610.
58. Villa D, Crump M, Panzarella T, et al. Autologous and allogeneic stem-cell transplantation for transformed follicular lymphoma: a report of the Canadian blood and marrow transplant group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(9):1164-1171.

59. Wirk B, Fenske TS, Hamadani M, et al. Outcomes of Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma Transformed from Follicular Lymphoma. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* 2014.
60. Villa D, Crump M, Keating A, Panzarella T, Feng B, Kuruvilla J. Outcome of patients with transformed indolent non-Hodgkin lymphoma referred for autologous stem-cell transplantation. *Ann Oncol.* 2013;24(6):1603-1609.
61. Kuruvilla J. Salvage Chemotherapy and ASCT for Transformed Indolent Lymphoma (TR): A Subgroup Analysis of NCIC CTG LY12 (abstract #64). *Annals of Oncology (supp).* 2013.
62. Ban-Hoefen M, Kelly JL, Bernstein SH, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous stem cell transplant for transformed non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the rituximab era. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2012;53(5):830-835.
63. Gisselbrecht C, Glass B, Mounier N, et al. Salvage regimens with autologous transplantation for relapsed large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(27):4184-4190.
64. Reddy N, Oluwole O, Greer JP, et al. Superior long-term outcome of patients with early transformation of non-Hodgkin lymphoma undergoing stem cell transplantation. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 2012;12(6):406-411.
65. Smith SD, Bolwell BJ, Advani AS, et al. High rate of survival in transformed lymphoma after autologous stem cell transplant: pathologic analysis and comparison with de novo diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2009;50(10):1625-1631.
66. Madsen C PM, Segel E et al. Upfront Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation in Transformed Indolent Non Hodgkins Lymphoma : An Outcome Analysis (abstract #202). *Annals of Oncology supplement.* 2013.
67. Ratanatharathorn V, Uberti J, Karanes C, et al. Prospective comparative trial of autologous versus allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Blood.* 1994;84(4):1050-1055.
68. Doocey RT, Toze CL, Connors JM, et al. Allogeneic haematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for relapsed and refractory aggressive histology non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Br J Haematol.* 2005;131(2):223-230.
69. Clavert A, Le Gouill S, Brissot E, et al. Reduced-intensity conditioning allogeneic stem cell transplant for relapsed or transformed aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2010;51(8):1502-1508.
70. Thomson KJ, Morris EC, Bloor A, et al. Favorable long-term survival after reduced-intensity allogeneic transplantation for multiple-relapse aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(3):426-432.
71. Rezvani AR, Storer B, Maris M, et al. Nonmyeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in relapsed, refractory, and transformed indolent non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(2):211-217.
72. Ramadan KM, Connors JM, Al-Tourah, et al. . Autologous stem cell transplantation is superior to myeloablative allogeneic SCT as a salvage therapy for patients with refractory/relapsed transformed lymphoma (abstract). *Blood* 2008;112.
73. Zelenetz A SM, Vose J, Younes A, Kaminski M. Patients with transformed low grade lymphoma attain durable responses following outpatient radioimmunotherapy with tositumomab and iodine I 131 tositumomab (Bexxar). *Blood (abstract).* 2002;100.
74. Krishnan A, Nademane A, Fung HC, et al. Phase II trial of a transplantation regimen of yttrium-90 ibritumomab tiuxetan and high-dose chemotherapy in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(1):90-95.
75. Wondergem MJ, Zijlstra JM, de Rooij M, Visser OJ, Huijgens PC, Zweegman S. Improving survival in patients with transformed B cell non Hodgkin lymphoma: consolidation with (9)(0)Yttrium ibritumomab tiuxetan-BEAM and autologous stem cell transplantation. *Br J Haematol.* 2012;157(3):395-397.

76. Reddy N, Savani BN. Treatment options for transformed lymphoma: incorporating allogeneic stem cell transplantation in a multimodality approach. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2011;17(9):1265-1272.
77. Czuczman MS, Vose JM, Witzig TE, et al. The differential effect of lenalidomide monotherapy in patients with relapsed or refractory transformed non-Hodgkin lymphoma of distinct histological origin. *Br J Haematol*. 2011;154(4):477-481.
78. Friedberg JW, Mahadevan D, Cebula E, et al. Phase II study of alisertib, a selective Aurora A kinase inhibitor, in relapsed and refractory aggressive B- and T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphomas. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(1):44-50.
79. Aalipour A, Advani RH. Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors: a promising novel targeted treatment for B cell lymphomas. *Br J Haematol*. 2013;163(4):436-443.
80. Gopal AK, Kahl BS, de Vos S, et al. PI3Kdelta inhibition by idelalisib in patients with relapsed indolent lymphoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370(11):1008-1018.
81. Burger JA, Okkenhaug K. Haematological cancer: idelalisib-targeting PI3Kdelta in patients with B-cell malignancies. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2014;11(4):184-186.
82. Seymour J. F. DMS, Pagel J.M, et al. Bcl-2 Inhibitor ABT-199 (GDC-0199) Monotherapy Shows Anti-Tumor Activity Including Complete Remissions In High-Risk Relapsed/Refractory (R/R) Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL) and Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma (SLL). *Blood (abstract)*. 2013.
83. Armand P, Nagler A, Weller EA, et al. Disabling immune tolerance by programmed death-1 blockade with pidilizumab after autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: results of an international phase II trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(33):4199-4206.
84. Relander T, Johnson NA, Farinha P, Connors JM, Sehn LH, Gascoyne RD. Prognostic factors in follicular lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(17):2902-2913.
85. Peyrade F, Jardin F, Thieblemont C, et al. Attenuated immunochemotherapy regimen (R-miniCHOP) in elderly patients older than 80 years with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2011;12(5):460-468.
86. Moccia A SA, Hoskins K et al R-CHOP with Etoposide Substituted for Doxorubicin (R-CEOP); Excellent Outcome in Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma for Patients with a Contraindication to Anthracyclines (#408). *Blood (abstract)*. 2009.
87. Fields PA, Townsend W, Webb A, et al. De novo treatment of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with rituximab, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, gemcitabine, and prednisolone in patients with cardiac comorbidity: a United Kingdom National Cancer Research Institute trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(4):282-287.

Table 1: Studies Evaluating ASCT in Transformed Lymphoma

Study	N	OS	PFS or EFS	Median follow up	Rituximab Era
Williams ⁵⁰	50	2 yr 64% 5 yr 51%	5 yr PFS 30% Median PFS 1.1 yr	4.9 years	No
Chen ⁵¹	35	5 yr 37%	5 yr PFS: 36%	4.3 years	No
Ramadan ⁷²	33	2 yr 72% 5 yr 72%	2 yr EFS 47% 5 yr EFS 33%	1.7 years	No
Friedberg ⁵²	27	5 yr 58%	5 yr DFS 46%	3.0 years	No
Foran ⁵⁵	27	Median 8.5 yr	N/A	2.4 years	No
Sabloff ⁵³	23	5 yr 56%	5 yr PFS 25%	15 years	No
Andreadis ⁵⁶	22	Median 4.6 yr	Median EFS 1.4 yr	5.5 years	No
Eide ⁵⁷	32	5 yr 32%	5 yr PFS 47%	6.25 years	No
Hamadani ⁴⁷	24	3 yr 52%	3 yr PFS 40%	3.16 years	No
Reddy ⁶⁴	51	5 yr: 62%	5 yr 45%	3 years	Yes
Ban-Hoefen ⁴⁵	50	2 yr 83%	N/A	3.4 years	Yes
Ban-Hoefen ⁶²	18	2 yr 82%	2 yr PFS 59%	3.3 years	Yes
Villa ⁵⁸	97	5 yr 65%	5 yr PFS 55%	7.5 years	Yes

Villa ⁶⁰	50	3 yr 54%	3 yr PFS 42%	3.3 years	Yes
Smith ⁶⁵	25	3 yr 64%	3 yr RFS 59%	2.1 years	Yes
Madsen ⁶⁶	65	5 yr 65%	5 yr PFS 57%	NA	Yes

Figure 1: How coupling data from mutation analyses and the complex clonal architecture yields insights in the clinical behavior of Follicular Lymphoma

A. The earliest step in the development of FL is acquisition of the IGH:BCL2 translocation. Cells carrying this lesion are frequently found in healthy individuals. Subsequent but still early steps in development of FL include mutations that disrupt regulation of chromatin structure, including mutations in EZH2 and MLL2. In this hypothetical patient, cells carrying just these mutations were not detected (red and blue cells with dashed borders) but their existence can be inferred from the detection of various cell populations carrying these same mutations as well as additional mutations (green, rose, and yellow cells with solid borders). Later events that are associated with HT include loss (or mutation) of TP53 and CDKN2A (yellow). It appears that in perhaps all cases that are studied with sufficiently sensitive techniques, the population that arises at HT (yellow) is not directly descended from the population detected at the time of diagnosis (green) or at relapse (rose).

B. The complex clonal architecture of FL raises two possible scenarios for the distribution of subclones at the time of diagnosis. Either the subclones are well-mixed (panel A) or the subclones are relatively restricted to specific sites (panel B). For example, there is observational evidence that subclones in the marrow and the nodes are distinct and show only limited mixing in FL. At progression and transformation (panels C and D), the inhomogeneous distribution of subclones requires that selection of the biopsy site is based on clinical features or PET-scan, so that the site of the aggressive subclone is sampled rather than a site composed of a low grade subclone.

Figure 2: Approach to Treating Transformed FL





Figure 1

DIAGNOSIS



PROGRESSION





Figure 1 (cont)

Approach to HT

Figure 2





blood[®]

Prepublished online December 11, 2014;
doi:10.1182/blood-2014-04-516815

Transformed follicular non Hodgkin lymphoma

Carla Casulo, W. Richard Burack and Jonathan W. Friedberg

Information about reproducing this article in parts or in its entirety may be found online at:
http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#repub_requests

Information about ordering reprints may be found online at:
<http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/misc/rights.xhtml#reprints>

Information about subscriptions and ASH membership may be found online at:
<http://www.bloodjournal.org/site/subscriptions/index.xhtml>

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final publication). Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.