Results of an open-label multicenter phase II trial of lenalidomide monotherapy in refractory mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome
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Key points

- Lenalidomide is effective in refractory advanced cutaneous T-cell lymphoma with an overall response rate of 28%.
- Patients demonstrate a transient flare reaction in skin, blood, and/or lymph nodes that may be associated with improvement in disease burden.

Abstract

A phase II multicenter trial was performed to evaluate single-agent lenalidomide in advanced, refractory mycosis fungoides/Sézary syndrome. Thirty-two patients were enrolled with a median of six prior treatment regimens, including a median of four systemic therapies. Patients achieved an overall response rate of 28% (9 patients); all partial responses. Median overall survival was 43 months, median progression-free survival was 8 months, and median duration of response was 10 months. No grade 4 toxicities occurred. Grade 3 adverse events included fatigue (22%), infection (9%), and leukopenia (3%). Patients were frequently unable to tolerate the 25mg starting dose of lenalidomide used in other hematologic malignancies due to fatigue, pain and transient flare reaction (TFR) as a contributory factor. TFR appeared to correlate with clinical response, but the small sample size limited definitive conclusions, and the underlying mechanisms of this reaction are not known. Data from correlative studies on peripheral blood samples suggest that the effects of lenalidomide could be associated with decreased circulating CD25+ T-cells and CD4+ T-cell numbers. Skin lesions showed a trend for increased CD8, CD25 and FoxP3 expression with decreased CD4:CD8 ratio. In conclusion, lenalidomide monotherapy demonstrated activity in refractory CTCL, along with acceptable toxicity. This study is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, identifier NCT00466921.
Introduction
Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCL) represent a subset of non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL) and constitute a very rare (<10 cases per million) heterogeneous group derived from malignant, skin-homing mature T-helper cells.¹⁻³ Mycosis fungoides (MF), ranging from an indolent early stage to advanced stage disease, and Sézary Syndrome (SS), an aggressive, erythrodermic and leukemic variant, are the two most common subtypes, accounting for approximately half of all CTCL cases. These entities are not curable with standard therapy. Patients with advanced stage MF/SS frequently relapse and often develop disease refractory to treatment with an unfavorable prognosis.⁴

Overall survival has not been shown to benefit from aggressive intervention with cytotoxic agents.⁵ Historically, patients treated with cytotoxic agents have experienced serious treatment-associated toxicities due to prolonged myelosuppression, without durable benefit. Increased understanding of the pathogenesis of MF/ SS with identification of new targets has led to new therapeutic approaches with biologic agents to reduce treatment-related toxicity and improve outcomes. Reported efficacious single-agent therapies including denileukin diftitox, bexarotene, deacetylase inhibitors (vorinostat, romidepsin, panobinostat), and monoclonal antibodies for relapsed/refractory CTCL patients have produced overall response rates (ORRs) of 24% to 45% in pivotal clinical trials.⁶⁻¹³

Decreased cell-mediated immunity with dominant Th2 cytokine profile is observed in advanced stages of MF/SS.¹⁴ These changes correlate with disease progression and host immunosuppression. The number of reactive cytotoxic CD8⁺ T-cells and dendritic cells, which are characteristic in early patch stage cutaneous lesions, tend to decrease following the increase of neoplastic CD4⁺ T-cells.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Lenalidomide (Revlimid), an oral immunomodulatory drug (IMiD) and analogue of thalidomide, is currently FDA-approved for the treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome, refractory/relapsed multiple myeloma and mantle cell lymphoma.¹⁸⁻²⁰ The immunomodulatory properties such as natural killer (NK)- and T-cell activation with induction of Th1 cytokine production, cytotoxic activity along with alteration of the tumor microenvironment through antiangiogenic, antiproliferative, and proapoptotic properties provided the rationale to use this agent in patients with CTCL.²¹

Lenalidomide inhibits growth of many hematological cell lines,²¹ and is currently being used in clinical trials to treat various hematological malignancies and solid tumors.²²⁻²⁵ In particular,
lenalidomide has shown promise in several studies in patients with NHL (not including CTCL), including in patients with relapsed/refractory disease.\(^{26-29}\) Despite the rationale for using lenalidomide in patients with CTCL, data on this treatment approach are lacking. We report final results from a phase II trial that evaluated the efficacy and safety of single-agent lenalidomide in patients with advanced, refractory CTCL. The study was also conducted to provide insight into the immunomodulatory effects of this treatment in CTCL.

**Methods**

*Study participants*

The study was conducted between 2005 and 2010 at three academic centers (Northwestern University, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Stanford University) in the USA. Patients aged \(\geq 18\) years with stage IB to IVB disease of histologically proven MF/SS including large cell transformed cases who had failed \(\geq 1\) prior skin-directed or systemic therapy were considered eligible. A minimum of 4 weeks since completing skin-directed and/or systemic therapy was required and patients must have recovered from any toxic effects. Other eligibility criteria included Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG)/World Health Organization (WHO) performance status of \(\leq 2\), creatinine \(\leq 2\)mg/dL and bilirubin \(\leq 2.2\)mg/dL, leukocyte count \(\geq 3,000/mm^3\) with absolute neutrophil count \(\geq 1,500/mm^3\), and platelet count \(\geq 100,000/mm^3\). Pregnant or lactating women, women of childbearing age who were not using a reliable method of contraception, and patients with active infections, and history of previous or concurrent neoplasm were excluded.

The current study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT00466921) was approved by the local Institutional Review Board of each of the three participating US centers, and all patients gave written informed consent prior to enrollment in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

*Study design and interventions*

This was an open-label, single-arm, multicenter phase II study of lenalidomide monotherapy. The first 19 patients enrolled received single-agent lenalidomide at a daily dose of 25mg orally for 21 days of a 28-day cycle. Due to a frequently observed combination of debilitating fatigue and transient flare reactions (TFRs), the study was amended and subsequent patients initiated treatment at a dose of 10mg daily. The dose was then increased by 5mg every 28 days to a maximum of 25mg daily, based on patient tolerability and response. Thromboembolic
prophylaxis was not given with lenalidomide. Concomitant or intermittent use of topical or systemic steroids was not permitted to rule out any impact on lenalidomide efficacy.

Treatment was discontinued if disease progression was observed. Patients who experienced stable disease (SD) or partial response (PR) continued treatment for up to 2 years or until evidence of disease progression. Patients with a complete response (CR) were allowed to continue for an additional two cycles. All patients who discontinued lenalidomide were followed at 3-month intervals for a maximum of 12 months.

The disease status of all patients was monitored every 2 weeks during the first cycle and every 4 weeks of each subsequent cycle with appropriate physical examination, monitoring of ECOG performance status, adverse event (AE) recording, laboratory assessments including Sézary cell counts, and CT scans (at 16 weeks, on clinical response or end of treatment). Toxicity was assessed by the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for AEs (NCI CTCAE) version 3.0.

The primary objectives of the study were to determine the efficacy, duration of response, and progression-free survival in patients with refractory/relapsed MF/SS. Secondary objectives were to determine the toxicity associated with lenalidomide therapy in MF/SS and (Northwestern University site only) to investigate the effects of lenalidomide on the immune cell repertoire in lesional skin biopsies and peripheral blood samples of patients with MF/SS at baseline and after the first cycle.

**Assessment of response**

Patients had to complete one cycle (28 days) of lenalidomide to be evaluable for response. The Physician’s Global Assessment and Composite Assessment (CA) of Index Lesion Disease Severity (CAILS) as previously published\(^{30}\) and standard at the time of study development were used to quantify skin disease burden with imaging and flow cytometry studies added to quantify nodal and blood disease. The presence of circulating malignant T-cells (Sézary cells by CD4+/CD7- and/or CD+/CD26- immunophenotype) in the peripheral blood was assessed by flow cytometry with use of morphology in many cases. Criteria for response were defined as followed: CR, complete resolution of cutaneous, extracutaneous (lymph node and visceral) disease, and circulating Sézary cells for at least 4 weeks; PR, \(\geq50\%\) reduction of cutaneous, extracutaneous disease and circulating Sézary cells with no evidence of new lesions, for at least
4 weeks; SD, <50% improvement or <25% worsening from baseline in skin, nodes, and/or blood and with no new lesions; progressive disease (PD), a >25% increase in cutaneous or extracutaneous disease, and/or Sézary cell count. A Sézary cell count of <5% was considered not significant.

Duration of response (DOR) was defined as time of initial documentation of response (CR and PR) to the time of documentation of progression. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from the start of treatment to the last follow-up or death. Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the first day of treatment until PD was documented, course of treatment changed, or patient died.

**Correlative studies and immunophenotypic analysis**

Evaluation of specific immune effector cell repertoire at baseline and day 1 of cycle two was assessed on skin and blood samples from consenting patients at one institution. Paraffin sections from 11 patients were stained for routine histopathology and CD4, CD8, CD25, forkhead box P3 (FoxP3), CD117 (cKit), and Langerin (CD207) according to standard protocols with appropriate positive controls. A semi-quantitative scoring method was employed using a 4-point scale based on the percentage of positively staining tumor cells (<10%: 1+, >10%–20%: 2+, >20%–40%: 3+, >40%: 4+). For analysis, groups of negative and weak staining (scores 1 and 2) or moderate and strong staining (scores 3 and 4) were combined. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from six patients were examined by fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis for various T-cell, NK-cell, myeloid and plasmacytoid dendritic cell markers such as CD4, CD8, CD25, CD56, CD158, CD11c, CD14 and CD123.

**Statistical methods**

The statistical analyses were performed on data from all enrolled patients. Sample size was based on a Simon two-stage design with a type 1 error rate of 5% and an 80% power. A target number of 30-35 patients was required to yield 29 evaluable patients assuming a 20% response rate was adequate. Graphical methods and descriptive statistics, including relative frequencies and medians along with 95% binomial confidence intervals, were used to describe the study population and the response to treatment. OS, DOR and PFS were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method. A scatter plot was performed to determine differences of the immunologic components between two time points (baseline and after one cycle) and included a
fitted regression line to present the trend in the data. All data analyses were performed using Stata v12.1, Stata Corporation, College Station, TX.

Results

Patient characteristics

Thirty-two patients were enrolled. The majority of patients were Caucasians and females, with a median age of 64 years [range 25-83] (Table 1). Clinical stages were: 7 (22%) stage IB; 2 (6%) stage IIA; 6 (19%) stage IIB; 7 (22%) stage III; 8 (25%) stage IVA; 2 (6%) stage IVB. Eleven patients were diagnosed with SS and three with erythrodermic MF (confirmed by the International Society for Cutaneous Lymphomas criteria\textsuperscript{35,36}). All patients were pretreated with a median of six treatments (range 1–14) including a median of 4 (0-12) systemic treatments.

All patients were off study at time of analysis. Seventeen patients discontinued the study as a result of recurrent disease or PD, thirteen as a result of AEs including 8 patients secondary to personal preference as a result of fatigue and/or TFRs. One patient died due to disseminated encephalomyelitis 3 months after lenalidomide was discontinued, which was found to be unrelated to drug. Nineteen patients (59%) received 1-3 cycles of lenalidomide. Eight patients (25%) completed six cycles, with five of these patients continuing treatment up to 14 cycles.

Response

Thirty-two patients were enrolled (intent-to-treat population, ITT). Three patients discontinued therapy early within the first cycle without response assessment leaving 29 patients for response evaluation (Table 2). Eighteen patients were started on 25 mg lenalidomide daily. The subsequently 11 enrolled patients received 10 mg daily with 5 mg dose increments every 28 days. Five patients were able to escalate dose to 15 mg, 1 patient to 20 mg and 5 patients to 25 mg daily.

Median follow-up time was 38 months. The ORR was 28% (9/32 patients), all with PR. The median duration of response was 10 months (95% CI: 1.1-11.0). Response to lenalidomide was observed in early and advanced stages of disease including five patients with stage IB, one with stage IIA, one with stage IIB and two with stage IVA. Six PRs were seen in the 25 mg-starting dose group. Three PRs were seen in the 10 mg-starting dose group with responses documented at an escalated dose of 20 mg in one patient and 25 mg in two patients,
respectively. The median time to response in the 25 mg starting group was 2 months and 4 months in patients with 10 mg starting dose.

Lenalidomide was active in all compartments of disease, including skin, blood, and lymph nodes. Of 13 patients with erythrodermic MF/SS, five (38%) had a response to lenalidomide with >50% improvement in Sézary cell count, including two PRs when assessed for global response (*Figure 1A*). Of the 19 patients with clinical stage IB-IVB MF assessed for skin disease, improvement in baseline skin disease was seen in 14 patients; 10 (53%) had >50% improvement of skin disease burden by the CA, including seven PRs when assessed for global response (*Figure 1B*). Clinical photographs of patients with clinical stage IB, IIB, and erythrodermic MF (stage IVA) before and during treatment are shown in *Figure 1C*.

Nine patients had a documented TFR with pain, erythema often localized to lesional skin, lymph node swelling, and/or increased circulating Sézary cells during first cycle and subsequent cycles. All TFRs occurred at the 25 mg-starting dose. Among the patients experiencing a TFR, four achieved an objective PR with >50% improvement in skin disease and/or Sézary cell count and four remained in SD. Interestingly, all nine patients (including four with SS) developed an increase in circulating Sézary cells that eventually decreased or resolved with continued treatment. Clinical characteristics of a typical TFR are shown in *Figure 2*. TFR was not documented in patients at 10 mg starting dose.

**Survival**

The median PFS was 8 months (95% CI: 3.7-26.1) and the median OS for all patients was 43 months (95% CI: 21.6 – 45.2) (*Figure 3A & B*). Kaplan-Meier OS estimates were 83% at 1 year, 62% at 2 years, and 48% at 5 years. At the time of the last follow-up, 18 patients (56%) had died, and 14 patients (44%) were alive. Twelve (67%) of the deaths were attributable to CTCL. Other causes of death included complication after allogeneic bone marrow transplant/umbilical cord transplant (n=2), acute encephalomyelitis (n=1), natural causes/age (n=2) and unknown condition (n=1). Amongst the nine responders, two patients eventually died due to PD/complication after transplant.

**Safety and tolerability**

Data from all enrolled patients were included in the safety analysis. All patients received at least one dose of lenalidomide. The most common treatment-related side effects were, fatigue (59%),
lower leg edema (47%), and anemia (41%) (Table 3). Most AEs were mild to moderate in severity. The grade 3 AEs of any cause occurring during the trial were fatigue (22%), infection (9%), and leukopenia (3%). The grade 3 infections were pneumonia, leg cellulitis and skin infection with S. aureus/pseudomonas in one patient each. No grade 4 toxicity occurred. Nine patients (28%) had documented TFR after starting treatment with lenalidomide at 25 mg daily dose. The rate of TFR was not witnessed in patients who received lenalidomide dose escalation, although fatigue (grade I-II) still occurred. Thirteen patients discontinued treatment as a result of AEs including 8 patients secondary to personal preference as a result of fatigue and/or TFRs. Although not a treatment-related effect, pruritus was one of the most common symptoms (75%) given by patients. Thromboembolic events or second malignancies were not seen.

**Immunophenotypic analysis**

When compared with baseline levels, 4/6 patients with erythrodermic MF/SS screened for immunomodulatory changes in peripheral blood samples had a decrease in CD4+ T-cells (range 24-68%) with a concomitant decrease in CD4+CD25+ T-cells (range 18–87%) (Figure 4A). When compared with clinical response, two of these patients achieved PR and two achieved SD. The remaining two patients achieved SD with one patient showing no or minimal change in CD4+ and CD4+CD25+ T-cell counts, respectively, and one patient showing an increase in both CD4+ T-cells (Δ 43%) and CD4+CD25+ T-cells (Δ 50%). Due to low cell numbers there was no trend in changes of NK-cell, myeloid and plasmacytoid dendritic cell populations seen.

The skin lesions of MF/SS patients showed a trend for increased CD25, FoxP3, and CD8 expression, with decreased CD4: CD8 ratio within lymphoid infiltrates (Figure 4B). There was no significant change in other immunologic cells such as mast cells, Langerhans cells, or dendritic cell subsets. Sections were also scored for atypia and density of dermal infiltrates based on a histologic grading system. Overall, infiltrates showed less epidermotropism with less atypia in responding patients compared with baseline.

**Discussion**

We conducted a phase II multicenter study to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of lenalidomide in heavily pretreated patients with relapsed/refractory CTCL. This is the first study, to our knowledge, of lenalidomide in patients with CTCL. Lenalidomide monotherapy
demonstrated activity with approximately a third (28%) of patients achieving a PR. Of note, responses in skin manifestations were observed in 14/32 patients with MF/SS and in 8/14 patients with erythrodermic MF/SS. The data also show that lenalidomide induces durable responses with a median DOR of 10 months and a median PFS of 8 months, respectively.

Pivotal trials with biologic therapies such as vorinostat, romidepsin, panobinostat, bexarotene, denileukin diftitox and pralatrexate demonstrated ORRs between 17 – 45%6-10,12,30,33. It is difficult to directly compare our results due to inherent differences in study design, assessment of response and patient characteristics. Our patient population was similar with 72% of patients in advanced stages, but relatively small compared to other trials in refractory/advanced CTCL. While the ORR may compare with previously published data, most of the objective responses (PR in 6/9 pts.) in our current trial were seen in stage IB/IIA. Only recently have guidelines for clinical trials in CTCL been published that standardize clinical end points and response criteria that might better allow for cross-trial comparison34. The modified severity weighted assessment tool (mSWAT) for skin scoring was not established at the time our trial was designed. We used CAILS, adopted from previously published CTCL trials, and the physician’s global assessment30. Despite responses in index lesions new skin disease was documented as disease progression and resulted in early discontinuation from treatment in some patients. This may have underestimated the therapeutic efficacy of lenalidomide.

In the current trial, the majority of side effects were grade 1 or 2 in severity. No patients had a grade 4 AE. Only one patient experienced a grade 3 hematologic AE (leukopenia), which is in contrast with the higher grade 3/4 neutropenias and thrombocytopenias observed in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes,35 multiple myeloma,36 and mantle cell lymphoma.28 However, constitutional symptoms such as fatigue, pain, and TFR that mimicked worsening of patient’s erythroderma/disease remained a concern and were the most common reason for discontinuation of treatment. After the trial was amended, later enrolled patients with a 10 mg-starting dose and slow dose escalation resulted in improved tolerability.

A TFR has also been observed in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) patients that occurred mainly during the first cycle.22,37 In a phase II trial, lenalidomide-induced TFR correlated with clinical response in a small subset of CLL patients suggesting that mechanisms underlying this reaction may represent an anti-tumor response.37 In our study, 9/18 patients at the 25 mg-starting dose had documented TFR with subsequent improvement of skin, nodes and/or Sézary
cell counts; an objective PR was seen in 4 patients. This flare reaction was not witnessed at the 10 mg-starting dose. In this limited sample, our findings suggest that the development of TFR may correlate with the clinical activity of lenalidomide in CTCL; still, a TFR was not documented in all responding patients.

TFR provides challenges for the use of lenalidomide for the management of CTCL. Our data support that the 25 mg daily dose schedule induces objective responses in CTCL, but this may be at the expense of greater flare reaction. Steroid prophylaxis was not permitted in our trial due to potential impact on lenalidomide efficacy and increased toxicity (thromboembolic events) with the latter seen in myeloma patients. Studies clarifying the mechanism and potential benefits of TFR versus the toxicity may help define the optimal starting dose of lenalidomide in this population. If TFR is associated with response, there may be advantages to starting at the 25 mg dose. In addition, the addition of corticosteroids for TFR prophylaxis may help to minimize the symptomatic effects of TFR, but may also blunt the potential immunologic stimulation that is hypothesized to be of benefit, and may lead to other adverse effects such as thromboembolic events.

We investigated the effects of lenalidomide on the specific immune cell repertoire in blood and skin samples in a small subset of patients. Overall, a decline in both circulating CD4+ and CD25+ T-cell counts was detected. Sections from lesional skin biopsies showed a trend for increased CD8, CD25 and FoxP3 expression with a decreased CD4 to CD8 ratio when compared to baseline. While the effects on peripheral blood samples could be explained at least in part by direct cytotoxic effects on the malignant T-cells, the findings on skin sections suggest immune activation through expansion of reactive CD8+ T-cells and regulatory T-cells (Tregs). High numbers of CD8+ cytotoxic T-cells and Tregs have been correlated with improved survival.15,17 The exact mechanisms of action of lenalidomide in CTCL remain unclear. Effects may reflect different targets such as augmentation of anti-tumor activity and effects on microenvironment. Unfortunately, no patient who experienced TFR was sampled for these laboratory investigations.

To date, no published data are available relative to the in vitro effects on CTCL cells. In CLL, lenalidomide is thought to alter the cytokine and cellular microenvironment by up-regulating co-stimulatory molecules on B-CLL cells. This up-regulation may promote TFR via a T-cell
activation-dependent cytokine release. Future investigations will focus on co-stimulatory molecules on CTCL cells, which may help explain the immune phenomena.

In summary, our results indicate that lenalidomide is effective in CTCL with response duration that provides meaningful clinical benefit. However, the constitutional symptoms and flare reactions in our patients may warrant schedule modifications and/or TFR prophylaxis, despite the otherwise low toxicity profile. Patients frequently relapse and require repeat treatment courses for disease control. Consequently, a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms of disease is necessary to develop more effective therapeutic approaches. The multiple potential anti-neoplastic effects of lenalidomide make it an ideal candidate for combination therapy. Lenalidomide in combination with other agents has been proven to be effective with manageable toxicity in the treatment of CLL, multiple myeloma and myelodysplastic syndromes, and similar approaches would be rational in CTCL. A phase I trial of romidepsin and lenalidomide in NHL including CTCL has been initiated.
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### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients treated with lenalidomide (n=32)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Lenalidomide (n=32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>64 (25-83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNMB stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrodermic MF</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary syndrome</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior treatments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>6 (1-14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td>4 (0 -12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin-directed</td>
<td>2 (0 - 6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: TNMB, tumor, node, metastasis, blood
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Lenalidomide (n=32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>9 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>17 (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not evaluated‡</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response duration (months)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (95% CI)</td>
<td>10 (1.1-11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression-free survival (months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (95% CI)</td>
<td>8 (3.7-26.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall survival (months)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (95% CI)</td>
<td>43 (21.6-45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status at last follow-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>14 (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>18 (56%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; CI, confidence interval.
‡Discontinued study during first cycle without response assessment.
*Patients with PR.
Table 3. Treatment-related adverse events associated with lenalidomide that occurred in >10% of patients (n=32)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>Toxicity grade (NCI Common Toxicity Criteria v 3.0)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukopenia</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain/burn</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flares/erythema</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/vomiting</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia/weight loss</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation/diarrhea</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(sensory/motor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoalbuminemia</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legends:

Figure 1. Clinical responses.
Maximum percent improvements from baseline in (A) circulating Sézary cell counts (in 13 patients with erythrodermic cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and Sézary cell counts at baseline), and in (B) skin scores (in 19 patients with mycosis fungoides/Sézary Syndrome). Partial responders (PR) are depicted by light grey bars, stable disease by medium grey and progressive disease (PD) by dark grey bars. The dashed line at -50% represents the threshold for partial response. (C) Clinical presentations of patients with plaques (stage IB), tumors/plaques (stage IIB), and erythrodermic mycosis fungoides (stage IVA) before and during treatment with near complete clearance of plaques, tumors and erythroderma.

Figure 2. Tumor flare reaction.
(A) Documented tumor flare reactions presenting as erythema around lymph node and cutaneous tumor lesions in a patient with tumor stage mycosis fungoides. (B) Increasing Sézary cell counts in patients with or without Sézary cell counts at baseline that eventually decreased or resolved with continued treatment.

Figure 3. Survival outcome.
Progression-free survival (A) and overall survival (B) among patients receiving lenalidomide monotherapy.

Figure 4. Lenalidomide-induced immunophenotypic changes.
(A) Effects of lenalidomide measured by CD4+ and CD25+ T-cell counts in peripheral blood mononuclear cells at Day 28 compared with baseline (Day 1). (B) Skin biopsies analyzed for CD4+, CD8+, CD25+ and FoxP3+ T-cells at Day 28 compared with baseline (Day 1). Each dot indicates single specimen at baseline and then after one cycle. A scatter plot was performed to determine differences of the immunologic components between two time points. The fitted regression line represents the trend in the data.
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