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ABSTRACT

Dickkopf-1 (DKK1), broadly expressed in myeloma cells but highly restricted in normal tissues, together with its functional roles as an osteoblast formation inhibitor, may be an ideal target for immunotherapy in myeloma. Our previous studies have shown that DKK1 (peptide)-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes can effectively lyse primary myeloma cells in vitro. The goal of this study was to examine whether DKK1 can be used as a tumor vaccine to elicit DKK1-specific immunity that can control myeloma growth or even eradicate established myeloma in vivo. We used DKK1-DNA vaccine in the murine MOPC-21 myeloma model, and the results clearly showed that active vaccination using the DKK1 vaccine not only was able to protect mice from developing myeloma, but it was also therapeutic against established myeloma. Furthermore, the addition of CpG as an adjuvant, or injection of B7H1-blocking or OX40-agonist antibodies, further enhanced the therapeutic effects of the vaccine. Mechanistic studies revealed that DKK1 vaccine elicited a strong DKK1- and tumor-specific CD4+ and CD8+ immune responses, and treatment with B7H1 or OX40 antibodies significantly reduced the numbers of IL-10-expressing and Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in vaccinated mice. Thus, our studies provide strong rationale for targeting DKK1 for immunotherapy of myeloma patients.
INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a plasma-cell malignancy that remains incurable in the vast majority of patients. After treatment with high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem-cell support, complete remission rates of 50% are achieved. However, most patients relapse\(^1\). Additional measures are required after transplantation to eliminate minimal residual disease. Immunotherapy is an appealing option, for this purpose, because chemotherapy and immunotherapy kill tumor cells by different modes of action that are non-cross-resistant. Therefore, these two treatment modalities should work synergistically.

Unlike other malignancies, few tumor-associated antigens have been identified in MM. Thus far, the only myeloma antigen tested in clinical trials has been the monoclonal immunoglobulin (Ig) secreted by myeloma cells (idiotype). Immunotherapy, using idiotype-based vaccines, has been explored in myeloma patients by us and others, and the results have been disappointing\(^2-5\), in part because of the weak immunogenicity of idiotype proteins\(^6,7\). Therefore, the identification and use of novel and more potent tumor-associated antigens, especially those shared among patients, is urgently needed to improve the efficacy of immunotherapy for this disease.

Recent studies have shown that Dickkopf-1 (DKK1), a secreted protein and Wnt signaling pathway inhibitor, is highly expressed by the tumor cells of almost all myeloma patients\(^8\), and absent from normal tissues and organs, except placenta and prostate\(^9-11\). Furthermore, myeloma-derived DKK1 may be responsible, at least in part, for suppressed osteoblast formation and bone destruction associated with MM\(^8\); inhibiting DKK1 activity increased osteoblast number and bone formation, reduced osteoclast activity, and inhibited myeloma growth in a myeloma animal model\(^12\). Our previous results clearly show that DKK1 is expressed by primary tumor cells from all patients with MM, and DKK1 (peptide)-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) can effectively lyse primary myeloma cells in vitro\(^13\). Hence, we hypothesize that the broad expression in myeloma but highly restricted expression in normal tissues, together with its functional roles as an osteoblast formation inhibitor and a potential myeloma growth enhancer, make DKK1 an ideal and universal target for immunotherapy in MM. The goal of our studies was to
explore whether DKK1 can be used as a tumor vaccine to elicit DKK1-specific immunity that can control myeloma growth or even eradicate established myeloma in vivo. In this study, we chose to use DNA vaccine because it was easy to prepare, less expensive, and had been widely used in a variety of preclinical studies. Our results demonstrated that the DNA (murine DKK1/defensin-2 fusion) vaccine protected mice from developing myeloma and treated established myeloma in the murine MOPC-21 myeloma model, in which murine DKK1 was highly expressed by the myeloma cells. We also elucidated the immunological mechanism of DKK1-DNA vaccine-mediated anti-myeloma responses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Myeloma cell lines and mice

Murine myeloma cell line MOPC-21 (ATCC) was maintained in Iscove modified Dulbecco complete medium (Atlanta Biologicals) with 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 2 mM L-glutamine at 37°C, in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

Animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center. Six to 8 weeks old female Balb/c mice (National Cancer Institute) were used for DNA vaccine and tumor challenge. To study the treatment efficacy of DKK1-DNA vaccine, murine myeloma model was established, as previously described. Briefly, mice were subcutaneously inoculated in the right flank with murine myeloma cell line MOPC-21 cells (1 million cells per mouse) suspended in 100 μl PBS.

Construction of plasmid for DKK1-DNA vaccine

The vector pCMVE-Chemokine-sFv for DNA vaccine was described previously. To construct a plasmid encoding murine DKK1/defensin2 fusion transcript (Supplemental Figure 1), DKK1 cDNA fragment (726 bp) was amplified by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), from DKK1-expressing mouse cells with primers 5'-actgcagtcgacaccttgaactcagttctcatcaattc-3' and 5'-ttagactgtcggtttagtgtctctggc-3'. The cDNA was then subcloned into pCMVE-Chemokine-sFv vector. Vector without DKK1
cDNA insert was used as control. After amplification, plasmids were purified using Maxi-Prep Kit (Qiagen).

**Identifying and synthesizing murine DKK1 peptides and H-2K^d-peptide tetramers**

We identified three murine DKK1 peptides using peptide binding database described previously^{13}. Briefly, the sequence of murine DKK1 was reviewed for peptides that could potentially bind to H-2K^d using peptide binding database (http://www-bimas.cit.nih.gov/molbio/hla_bind/). After comparing the predictive binding scores, we identified and selected three peptides that could potentially bind with H-2K^d molecules (Table 1). Peptides from influenza-A virus HA protein (HA533)^{19} were used as a control. All peptides and H-2K^d-peptide tetramers were synthesized in the MHC Tetramer Laboratory at Baylor College of Medicine. Purity of synthetic peptides was confirmed to be >95% by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. Synthetic peptides were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma), and stored at –80°C until use.

**Mouse vaccination, tumor challenge and antibody treatment**

DNA vaccines were administrated as described in Supplementary Figure 1B and 1C for prophylaxis and therapeutic studies, respectively. For each mouse, 50 μg of DKK1 plasmid was intramuscularly injected. Control mice received injections of equal amount of control vector. In some experiments, adjuvant CpG (ODN 1826; 50 μg/mouse TCCATGACGTTCCTGACGTT, InvivoGen) was administrated at the DNA vaccination sites to enhance immune response. Tumor burdens were monitored by tumor sizes, and mice were sacrificed when tumors reached 225 mm^2 or when mice became moribund.

In some experiments of therapeutic studies, mice were injected intraperitoneally with anti-B7H1 monoclonal antibody (mAb; 200 μg/mouse, M1H5, gift from Professor Miyuki Azuma, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Japan) or anti-OX40 mAb (200 μg/mouse, OX86, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), once every 3 days for a total of 3 injections. Normal rat IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a control.
In vivo depletion of lymphocytes

In vivo depletion of lymphocytes, such as CD4⁺, CD8⁺ T cells, B cells or natural killer (NK) cells, was performed as previously described¹⁷,²⁰. Balb/c mice were vaccinated by three injections of DKK1-DNA vaccine with CpG, followed by antibody depletion, which was accomplished by three intraperitoneal injections of 100 μg anti-CD8 mAb (2.43, ATCC), anti-CD4 mAb (GK1.5, ATCC), anti-CD20 mAb (A1S12, eBioscience), rabbit anti-asialo-GM1 (Wako Pure Chemical Inc.), or normal rat IgG (Sigma), of lymphocytes and inoculation of the tumor cells. Treatments were administered every 3 days for CD4⁺, CD8⁺ T-cell or NK-cell depletion, and every week for B-cell depletion. The efficacy of the treatment, evaluated by flow cytometry by using T, B and NK cell markers, was shown to be greater than 90%.

Generation of dendritic cells (DCs)

DCs were generated from murine bone marrow stem cells as described previously²¹. Briefly, bone marrow cells were cultured, at a density of 2 × 10⁵ cells/mL in 6-well plates, in RPMI-1640 complete medium supplemented with 20 ng/mL granulocyte-monocyte colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). At day 8, TNF-α (10 ng/ml) and IL-1β (10 ng/ml) (R&D Systems) were added to the immature DCs. After 48 hours of culture, mature DCs were pulsed with DKK1 peptides at a concentration of 100 μg per 10⁶ DCs for 4 hours. DKK1 peptide-pulsed mature DCs were collected and used in the study.

Analysis of tumor-specific immunity

Enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) and intracellular cytokine staining were used to measure the amounts of secreted cytokines and cell expression of the cytokines. In the ELISA for IFN-γ and IL-4, splenocytes were activated overnight (18–20 hours) with syngeneic DCs pulsed with murine DKK1 peptides and supernatants were collected and used. All ELISA assays were performed following the product instruction (R&D Systems). Intracellular cytokine staining was performed using the Cytofix/Cytoperm kit (BD Pharmingen). Samples were analyzed using a flow cytometer (FACSCalibur; Becton Dickinson). DKK1 peptide-H-2Kd tetramer staining was used to analyze DKK1-specific
CD8+ T cells. Staining for cell surface molecules was performed as previously described.

Antigen-specific T-cell proliferation was determined by CFSE dilution assay. Briefly, splenocytes, or cultured T cells, were labeled with 5 μM CFSE for 10 minutes at 37°C. After washing, labeled cells were seeded into 96-well, U-bottom plates and incubated with various stimulatory cells for 7 days. Flow cytometry analysis was used to detect dilution of CFSE, which represents T-cell proliferation.

Standard 4-hour 51Cr-release assay was performed as previously described. Target cells were murine myeloma MOPC-21 cells. Splenocytes of mice from each group were pooled and cultured with irradiated MOPC-21 cells for 5 days. After culture, T cells were harvested and incubated with 51Cr-labeled target cells (10^4 cells/well) at different effector-to-target cell ratios. After a 4-hour culture, 50% of the supernatants were collected, and radioactivity was measured. Percent specific lysis was calculated using the following formula: percent specific lysis = (experimental counts – spontaneous counts) / (maximal counts – spontaneous counts).

Statistical analysis

The Student t test was used to compare data from various experimental groups. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Mean and standard deviation are shown unless indicated otherwise.

RESULTS

**DKK1-DNA vaccines protect mice from tumor challenge and rechallenge**

In prophylactic studies, 10 Balb/c mice per group were immunized with the DNA (murine DKK1/defensin-2 fusion) vaccine before tumor challenge. As shown in Figure 1A, all mice receiving PBS injection or vector vaccine developed tumors. However, 3/10 of mice receiving DKK1-DNA vaccine survived without tumor burden by the end of the experiment period (day 90). DNA vaccine plus adjuvant CpG generated superior protection against tumor challenge and 6/10 of mice survived without tumor burdens. Mice receiving DKK1-DNA vaccine or DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG had 30% or 60%
survival rates, respectively, by end of the experiment (Figure 1B, \( P < 0.05 \) for DKK1-DNA vaccine only and \( P < 0.01 \) for DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG, compared with PBS control).

Next surviving tumor-free mice from above experiments were rechallenged with the same tumor cells (1 million cells per mouse) at days 90 or 180 since the initial tumor inoculation and followed for tumor development. The data showed that surviving mice receiving prior DKK1-DNA vaccination (Figure 1C and 1E) or DKK1-DNA vaccination plus CpG (Figure 1D and 1F) were protected against tumor rechallenge. All surviving mice were protected when tumor rechallenge was given at day 90 after the initial tumor inoculation (Figure 1C and 1D), while only 3/5 and 4/5 of mice receiving prior DKK1-DNA vaccine or DKK1-DNA vaccination plus CpG, respectively, were protected when tumor rechallenge was given at day 180 after the initial tumor inoculation (Figure 1E and 1F). Overall, our data indicate that DKK1-DNA vaccine, especially vaccine plus CpG, protected mice from tumor challenge and rechallenge by generating anti-tumor immune and memory responses, which gradually faded away in aged mice.

**DKK1-DNA vaccines exhibit therapeutic effect on mice with established myeloma**

We evaluated the therapeutic efficacy of DKK1-DNA vaccine in mice with established myeloma. In these experiments, DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG was used as a standard vaccine. Our previous work has shown that targeting the suppressive tumor microenvironment and breaking immune suppression on effector T cells can enhance the immunogenicity of the vaccines and cure mice with large tumor burden \(^{17}\). Therefore, we used anti-B7H1 (M5H1) mAb \(^{24}\) to block negative T-cell signaling and anti-OX40 (OX86) mAb \(^{25-27}\) to overcome CD4\(^+\) T cell tolerance in mice receiving DKK1-DNA vaccination. As shown in Figure 2A, mice receiving DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG had repressed tumor growth as compared with control mice (\( P < 0.05 \)). DKK1-DNA vaccine (plus CpG) together with B7H1 (Figure 2C) or OX40 mAbs (Figure 2E) induced more robust tumor growth inhibition (\( P < 0.01 \), compared with PBS control mice). The survival of DKK1-CpG vaccine-, vaccine plus OX40 mAb- or vaccine plus B7H1 mAb-treated mice were 3/5, 4/5 and 4/5, respectively. Thus, our data showed that DKK1-DNA vaccine has therapeutic effect in the murine myeloma model with established large tumors, and B7H1
blocking or OX40 agonist mAbs can further enhance the therapeutic efficacy of the vaccine.

**DKK1-DNA vaccines induce CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell responses against tumor cells**

To demonstrate DKK1-DNA vaccine-induced anti-myeloma immunity, we assessed whether CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells were activated after DNA vaccine and tumor inoculation. Mice were vaccinated thrice followed by tumor injection, and two weeks after tumor injection, mice were sacrificed, and splenocytes were isolated and cultured ex vivo with irradiated myeloma cells for 5 days. Intracellular cytokine staining showed that DKK1 DNA-vaccinated mice had more tumor-specific IFN-γ and IL-4 expressing CD4⁺ (P < 0.05 for both IFN-γ and IL-4; Figure 3A) and CD8⁺ (P < 0.01 for IFN-γ and P < 0.05 for IL-4; Figure 3B) T cells compared with PBS-injected or vector-vaccinated mice. DNA vaccination plus CpG induced more potent tumor-specific CD4⁺ (P < 0.01) and CD8⁺ (P < 0.001) T-cell responses than DNA vaccination alone. In addition, DKK1 DNA-vaccinated mice had stronger tumor-specific CD8⁺ T-cell response than CD4⁺ T-cell response because significantly more IFN-γ (P < 0.05) and IL-4 (P < 0.05) expressing CD8⁺ T cells were detected. These findings suggest a crucial role of tumor-specific CD8⁺ T cells in DKK1-DNA vaccine-induced anti-tumor immunity.

Activation and subsets of T cells in tumors and mouse spleens were examined. Flow cytometry analysis showed that the percentages of CD4⁺Foxp3⁺ regulatory T-cell (Treg) were reduced in the spleens and tumors of vaccinated mice compared with control mice (P < 0.05 in spleens and P < 0.01 in tumors in DNA vaccine alone, and P < 0.01 in both in DNA vaccine plus CpG; Figure 3C), and more CD3⁺CD8⁺ T cells were detected in the tumors but not spleens of vaccinated mice (P < 0.05), especially in mice vaccinated together with CpG (P < 0.01; Figure 3D). Overall, these data showed that strong tumor-specific CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell responses were elicited following DKK1-DNA vaccination, and tumor-specific CD8⁺ CTLs might play an important role in vaccine-induced anti-myeloma immunity.

Next, we examined the role of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, and NK cells in DKK1-DNA vaccine-induced anti-myeloma immunity in vivo by using antibody depletion assay. As shown in Figure 4A, mice receiving DKK1 vaccine plus CpG had repressed tumor
growth, which was abrogated in mice depleted of CD4+ (P < 0.05, compared with control) or CD8+ (P < 0.01) T cells but not NK cells. Importantly, CD8+ T-cell depletion led to a total loss of the vaccine-induced protection. Figure 4B shows the survival data of the mice. Thus, this data confirms the importance of vaccine-induced CD4+ and, especially, CD8+ T-cell responses in anti-tumor immunity in the mouse model.

**DKK1-DNA vaccine induces anti-DKK1 antibody production**

In addition to cellular immunity, we also observed anti-DKK1 antibody production after the DNA vaccine. Mouse serum was collected every week and tested for anti-DKK1 antibodies by ELISA. Figure 4C shows that anti-DKK1 antibodies were induced by DKK1-DNA vaccination.

To evaluate the role of the antibodies or B cells in DKK1-DNA vaccine-induced antitumor responses in vivo, we depleted B cells by using anti-CD20 mAb. Our preliminary studies showed that more than 90% of B cells were depleted by the treatment and anti-DKK1 antibodies were undetectable in mice depleted of B cells (data not shown). As shown in Figure 4D, there were no significant differences in myeloma development in the three groups. In all groups, 3 out of 5 mice were protected from developing myeloma (data not shown). These results demonstrate that anti-DKK1 antibodies or B cells may not play a role in controlling the growth of myeloma cells in vivo.

**DKK1-DNA vaccine induces DKK1-specific CTL response**

Our data showed that DKK1-DNA vaccine induced CD8+ T-cell activation and depletion of CD8+ T cells significantly affected vaccine-induced anti-tumor activity. To examine CTL response, we first detected cytotoxicity of splenocytes in mice after DNA vaccine. As shown in Figure 5A, splenocytes from DKK1 DNA-vaccinated mice efficiently killed the myeloma cells in vitro. No tumor-specific CTL activity was detected in control mice. To confirm the specificity of the T cells from immunized mice, three DKK1 peptides, potentially binding to H-2K$^d$ molecules, were used (Table 1). By using DKK1 peptide-tetramer staining and CFSE dilution assay, DKK1-specific CD8+ T cells were detected in mice receiving DKK1-DNA vaccination. As shown by a representative staining using three different DKK1 peptide-tetramers depicted in Figure 5B, DKK1-DNA vaccination,
especially vaccination plus CpG, stimulated DKK1 peptide-specific CD8\(^+\) T-cell response in the mice.

Second, we used CFSE dilution assay to examine DKK1 peptide-specific T cells from mice receiving DKK1-DNA vaccination. As shown in Figure 5C, DKK1-specific CD8\(^+\) T cells proliferated in response to syngeneic DCs pulsed, but not unpulsed, with DKK1 peptides P11, P15 and P210 \((P < 0.01,\) compared with unpulsed DC) but not with a control influenza-A peptide HA533.

Third, we examined cytokines secreted by T cells from DKK1-DNA-vaccinated mice. Figures 5D and 5E show that IFN-\(\gamma\) and IL-4 secretion was significantly increased in splenic T cells restimulated with DKK1 peptide-pulsed DCs, but not with unpulsed DCs. Taken together, these data demonstrate that DKK1-specific T cells and CD8\(^+\) CTLs were generated after DKK1-DNA vaccination.

**B7H1 or OX40 antibodies downregulate regulator T cells in vivo**

We showed previously in this study that anti-B7H1 or OX40 mAbs enhanced the therapeutic efficacy of DKK1-DNA vaccine, and studies were performed to elucidate the underlying immunological mechanism. As shown in Figure 6, the percentages of IL-10-secreting or Foxp3\(^+\) Tregs in the spleens and tumors were significantly decreased in mice vaccinated with DKK1-DNA plus CpG in combination with anti-B7H1 or anti-OX40 antibodies \((P < 0.01,\) compared with mice receiving DKK1 DNA alone). These results indicate that the beneficial effects of anti-B7H1 or OX40 mAbs were derived from their ability to reduce the numbers of Tregs in tumor-bearing mice.

**Discussion**

The goal of this study was to examine whether DKK1 can be used as a tumor vaccine to elicit DKK1-specific immunity that can control myeloma growth or even eradicate established myeloma in vivo. By utilizing the murine MOPC-21 myeloma mouse model and murine DKK1-DNA (murine DKK1/defensin-2 fusion) vaccine, the results showed that active immunization with the vaccine efficiently protected mice from developing myeloma and treated mice against established tumor. The vaccination generated memory
immune responses that protected surviving mice from tumor rechallenge. We also showed that mice receiving DKK1-DNA vaccine, plus CpG, as adjuvant provided better protective and therapeutic effects against myeloma than vaccine alone, and the addition of B7H1-blocking or OX40-agonist mAbs further improved the therapeutic efficacy of the vaccine. Immunological studies revealed that the vaccine elicited strong DKK1- and myeloma-specific CD4+ and CD8+ IFN-γ T-cell and CTL responses, and depletion of CD4+ and especially CD8+ T cells in vivo largely abrogated anti-tumor effects of the vaccine. Interestingly we also observed a DKK1-specific, IL-4-secreting Th2 response in vaccinated mice. Previous studies showed that both idioype-specific Th1 and Th2 responses had anti-tumor effects in plasmacytoma mouse model28,29 , while our recent study clearly showed that idioype-specific Th2 cells promoted myeloma cell growth30. In vivo injections of CpG or B7H1-blocking or OX40-agonist mAbs potentiated the therapeutic efficacy of the vaccine by increasing the frequency of DKK1- and myeloma-specific effector (IFN-γ-secreting and CTL) T cells and by reducing the numbers of IL-10-secreting and Foxp3+ Treg cells in vaccinated mice. Thus, these findings strongly suggest that DKK1 can be used as a tumor vaccine for active immunotherapy of MM and provide a rationale and platform for future clinical application of DKK1-based immunotherapy in myeloma patients.

Active immunotherapy, using tumor-associated antigens (vaccination), is considered as one of potentially promising cancer immunotherapy approaches31. Among different forms of tumor vaccines, DNA vaccine strategy allows broad usage14-16. Studies have shown that anti-tumor immunity can be triggered by targeting antigen delivery to antigen-presenting cells by chemokine receptor-mediated binding, uptake, and processing of tumor antigen for more efficient presentation to T cells. These fusion vaccines have been shown to elicit much more potent anti-tumor effects, compared to the unfused counterpart, in several mouse tumor models18,32. The chemokine motif in the fusion has been shown to be essential for breaking immune tolerance of tumor antigen since vaccination of plasmid DNA that encodes the fusion elicited potent antigen-specific immune responses, while their non-fused counterparts did not32. Moreover, the chemokine needs to be physically linked with the antigen as the anti-tumor immunity was not detected in mice co-injected with the non-fusion antigen and the chemokine adjuvant18,32. Among the panel of
chemokine receptor ligands tested, murine defensin-2 has consistently been shown to generate strong antigen-specific immunity when used in the context of a DNA fusion vaccine. Though initially tested for its ability to interact with CC chemokine receptor 6, defensin-2 has been shown to play a role in activating antigen-presenting cells via Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4). Thus, its dual capacity to target antigen-presenting cells and to induce DC maturation makes defensin-2 an attractive candidate for chemokine fusion vaccines. Therefore, we designed the expression plasmid encoding DKK1 cDNA-defensin-2 fusion gene for this study. As expected, murine DKK1/defensin-2 fusion DNA vaccine was efficient at eliciting anti-tumor immunity in vivo. However, for future clinical application, DKK1 vaccines could be given in the forms of DNA (plasmid), recombinant protein, or (short and long) peptides. Future work may be needed to examine which form of the vaccines works best.

Our results also suggest that, in addition to developing effective vaccines, other forms of immune manipulations, such as adjuvants and antibodies specific for T-cell costimulatory molecules, are also required for achieving better anti-tumor responses. CpGs have been shown to interact with TLR9 in antigen-presenting cells and in B cells, where they induce the expression of costimulatory molecules such as CD80 and CD86, MHC class II molecules, and pro-inflammatory cytokines. Many studies support an effective role for TLR ligands in the induction of anti-tumor immune responses. The “danger model” of Matzinger suggests that tumor antigens presented in the context of bacterial signals, may be viewed by the immune system as dangerous, leading to tumor elimination. Indeed, reports from Speiser et al. and Kochenderfer et al. have shown that the addition of CpG to tumor antigens (in these cases, a melanoma peptide) stimulates the expansion and function of peptide-specific CD8+ T cells in humans and mice. Our previous study showed that gp96 vaccines in combination with CpG eradiated all intermediate tumors in the mice, suggesting that CpG may be a better adjuvant for immunotherapy in tumor vaccines. Our current studies support the notion that CpG has a stimulatory effect on antigen-presenting cells, which leads to enhanced antigen presentation and, in turn, better stimulation of an anti-tumor immune response induced by DKK1-DNA vaccine.

Recently, molecular homologs of B7-like ligands, B7H1 (also termed PDL1) and B7DC (also termed PDL2), have been identified. PD-1, their receptor, is a 55-kDa type 1
transmembrane protein belonging to the CD28/CTLA-4 family. These B7 family members have been shown to downregulate T-cell activation through PD-1. Cross-linking of PD-1 with B7H1 or B7DC results in decreased IFN-γ, IL-10, IL-4 and IL-2 secretion. Thus, B7H1 or B7DC lead to diminished immune responses by regulating T-cell activation. Unlike the response to foreign pathogens, which elicit potent danger signals, the immune response to tumor antigens is usually less robust and the tumor environment can be immunosuppressive, leading to antigen-specific T-cell tolerance.

On the other hand, signaling through OX40 in vivo leads to a series of pro-inflammatory events that can overcome CD4+ T-cell tolerance. Agonist antibodies and a soluble OX40L–immunoglobulin fusion protein have been injected in vivo to test their ability to enhance immune responses in tumor-bearing mice. Ligation of OX40 in vivo in tumor-bearing mice enhanced anti-tumor immunity, leading to tumor-free survival in mouse models of melanoma, sarcoma, colon cancer, breast cancer and glioma. Also, a recent study has shown that OX40 signals might contribute to the deletion and homeostasis of CD4+CD25+ Treg cells. OX40-deficient mice have fewer Treg cells in the periphery as infants than do wild-type mice, and Treg cells from OX40-deficient mice have a marked reduction in suppressive effect on CD3-stimulated T-cell proliferation.

These observations indicate that OX40 signaling might affect the roles of Treg cells since mouse Treg cells constitutively express OX40. To break the immunosuppression and achieve better therapeutic efficacy of DKK1-DNA vaccines, B7H1-blocking and OX40-agonist antibodies were used as combination treatment with DNA vaccine. Results demonstrated that the antibodies could decrease IL-10 expression in CD4+ T cells and reduce the numbers of CD4+Foxp3+ Tregs, leading to much better anti-tumor immunity induced by the vaccine.

Recent studies showed that anti-DKK1 antibodies may have therapeutic potentials for the treatment of cancers including MM. However, as DKK1 is a secreted protein by tumor cells, it is unlikely that anti-DKK1 antibodies have direct anti-tumor effects in vivo. Rather, anti-DKK1 antibodies could inhibit the bone destructive process in MM. There are advantages with active DKK1 vaccination. First, it can induce DKK1-specific T-cell responses including CTLs that can directly kill myeloma cells. Second, DKK1
vaccines can also elicit anti-DKK1 antibodies, which could inhibit myeloma-related bone
disease in patients.

In conclusion, our study suggests that DKK1-DNA vaccine can be used as a universal
tumor vaccine for immunotherapy of patients with MM. This is supported by our results
that DKK1-DNA vaccines provided strong protection against mice from developing
myeloma and was effective at treating established myeloma in the mouse model.
Furthermore, by using CpG, B7H1 blocking or OX40 agonist antibodies, the therapeutic
efficacy of DKK1-DNA vaccines can be greatly improved to eradicate tumors developed
in the mice. Thus, this study is the first preclinical study to provide strong and direct
evidence to support the application of DKK1-based immunotherapy in MM.
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Table 1. Murine DKK1 and control peptides for H-2K<sup>d</sup> molecules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Peptide Position</th>
<th>Peptide Sequence</th>
<th>Epitope Origin</th>
<th>Predictive Binding Score&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>RFLAVFTMM</td>
<td>DKK1 11-18</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>VFTMMALCSL</td>
<td>DKK1 15-24</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>HFWSKICKPV</td>
<td>DKK1 210-219</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA533</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>IYSTVASSL</td>
<td>Influenza A HA 533-541</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Estimate of half-time of disassociation and calculated score in arbitrary units.
FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Protective effects of DKK1-DNA vaccines against myeloma. Results shown are measurements of tumor burdens (A) and survival (B) of mice receiving different treatments. Balb/c mice (10 per group) were intramuscularly vaccinated thrice with either PBS, vector control, DKK1 DNA or DKK1 DNA plus CpG, followed by challenge with MOPC-21 myeloma cells. Tumor burdens were measured twice every week. Mice were euthanized when subcutaneous tumors reached 225 mm² or when mice became moribund. Tumor burdens of tumor-free surviving mice (5 per group) previously treated with DKK1-DNA vaccine (C and E) or vaccine plus CpG (D and F) after rechallenge with the same myeloma cells on days 90 (C and D) and 180 (E and F) since the first tumor injection. Representative results of one out of three to four independent experiments performed are shown. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, compared with PBS or vector controls.

Figure 2. Therapeutic effects of DKK1-DNA vaccines against established myeloma. Balb/c mice (5 per group) were inoculated subcutaneously with MOPC-21 tumor cells, and when the tumors reached 25 mm², received different treatments at a 3-day interval. Tumor burdens were measured twice every week. Mice were euthanized when subcutaneous tumors reached 225 mm² or when mice became moribund. Shown are tumor burdens (A, C, E) and survival (B, D, F) of mice receiving three injections of PBS, CpG, DKK1-DNA vaccine (DKK1) or DKK1 DNA vaccine plus CpG (DKK1-CpG) (A, B); or DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG (DKK1-CpG), rat IgG, B7H1 mAb, CpG plus B7H1 mAb (CpG+B7H1), or DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG (DKK1-CpG) in combination with B7H1 mAb (DKK1-CpG+B7H1) (C, D); or DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG (DKK1-CpG), rat IgG, OX40 mAb, CpG plus OX40 mAb (CpG+OX40), or DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG (DKK1-CpG) in combination with OX40 mAb (DKK1-CpG+OX40) (E, F). Representative results of one out of two independent experiments are shown. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, compared with PBS controls.

Figure 3. DKK1-DNA vaccines induce CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell responses. Mice (5 per group) were vaccinated thrice with PBS, vector control, DKK1 DNA, or DKK1 DNA plus CpG, followed by challenge with MOPC-21 cells. T cells were isolated from spleens and tumors after two weeks of tumor challenge, restimulated by the irradiated tumor cells,
and analyzed by flow cytometry. Shown are percentages of IFN-γ and IL-4 expressing CD4+ (A) and CD8+ (B) T cells in the spleens of mice receiving different treatments. Also shown are the percentages of CD4+Foxp3+ Treg cells (C) and CD3+ and CD8+ T cells (D) in the spleens (upper panels) and tumors (low panels) of mice receiving different treatments. Representative results of one out of two independent experiments performed are shown. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, compared with PBS controls.

**Figure 4. Role of cellular and humoral immunities in DKK1-DNA vaccine-induced anti-tumor response.** Mice (5 per group) were vaccinated thrice with DKK1-DNA vaccine plus CpG, followed by in vivo depletion of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells, or NK cells, or B cells and then tumor challenge. Tumor burdens were measured twice each week. Mice were euthanized when subcutaneous tumors reached 225 mm² or when mice became moribund. Shown are tumor burdens (A) and survival (B) of mice receiving different treatments. (C) Titers of DKK1-specific antibodies in mice receiving different treatment, and (D) tumor burdens of DKK1-DNA plus CpG-vaccinated mice treated with IgG or CD20 mAb to deplete B cells. Representative results of one out of two independent experiments performed are shown. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, compared with controls.

**Figure 5. Induction of DKK1- and tumor-specific CD8+ CTLs in DKK1-DNA vaccinated mice.** Mice (5 per group) were vaccinated thrice with PBS, vector control, DKK1 DNA, or DKK1 DNA plus CpG, followed by challenge with MOPC-21 cells. T cells were isolated from spleens two weeks after tumor challenge. (A) Cytotoxicity of T cells against MOPC-21 cells from mice receiving different treatments. (B) Percentages of murine DKK1 peptide-tetramer positive CD8+ T cells from mice receiving different treatments. In A and B, splenic T cells were restimulated in vitro with irradiated tumor cells for 5 days before assays. (C) Percentages of proliferative T cells analyzed by CSFE dilution assay. Splenic T cells from DKK1-CpG vaccinated mice were labeled with CFSE and restimulated by syngeneic DCs pulsed with or without DKK1 peptides (P11, P15, or P210) or a control influenza-A peptide (HA533) for 7 days. Concentrations of IFN-γ (D) or IL-4 (E) secreted by splenic T cells from DKK1-CpG-vaccinated mice after overnight restimulation by syngeneic DCs pulsed without or with DKK1 peptides P11,
P15, or P210. Secreted cytokines in culture supernatants were measured by ELISA. Representative results of one out of two independent experiments performed are shown. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$, compared with controls.

**Figure 6. Effects of B7H1-blocking and OX40-agonist mAbs on CD4$^+$ IL-10-expressing and Foxp3$^+$ Treg in vaccinated mice.** Mice (5 per group) were inoculated with MOPC-21 tumor cells, and when subcutaneous tumors reached 25 mm$^2$, received three injections (every three days) of PBS, DKK1 DNA (DKK1), DKK1 DNA plus CpG (DKK1-CpG), DKK1 DNA plus CpG in combination with anti-B7H1 mAbs (DKK1-CpG+ B7H1) or DKK1 DNA plus CpG in combination with anti-OX40 mAbs (DKK1-CpG+ OX40). Seven days after the third treatment, T cells were isolated from spleens and tumors, restimulated by irradiated tumor cells for 5 days, and analyzed with flow cytometry. Shown are percentages of CD4$^+$ T cells expressing IL-10 or FoxP3 (Tregs) in the spleens and tumors of mice receiving different treatments as indicated. Representative results of one out of two independent experiments are shown. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$, compared with DKK1 vaccine only.
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