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ABSTRACT
Central nervous system (CNS) prophylaxis has led to a significant improvement in the outcome of patients with acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL). Liposomal cytarabine (Depocyt™, Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Pisataway, NJ and Skye Pharma Inc., San Diego, CA), an intrathecal (IT) preparation of cytarabine with a prolonged half-life, has been shown to be safe and effective in the treatment of neoplastic meningitis. Liposomal cytarabine was given for CNS prophylaxis to 31 patients with newly diagnosed ALL. All patients were treated concurrently with hyper-CVAD chemotherapy including high dose methotrexate (MTX) and cytarabine on alternating courses. Liposomal cytarabine 50 mg was given on days 2 and 15 of hyper-CVAD and day 10 of high dose MTX and cytarabine courses until completion of either 3, 6 or 10 IT treatments depending on risk for CNS disease. Five patients (16%) experienced serious unexpected neurotoxicity, including seizures, papilledema, cauda equina syndrome (n=2) and encephalitis after a median of 4 IT administrations of liposomal cytarabine. Toxicities usually manifested after the MTX and cytarabine courses. One patient died with progressive encephalitis. After a median follow-up of 7 months, no isolated CNS relapses have been observed. Liposomal cytarabine given via intrathecal route concomitantly with systemic chemotherapy that crosses the blood-brain barrier such as high dose MTX and cytarabine can result in significant neurotoxicity.
INTRODUCTION

Acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) results from a monoclonal proliferation and expansion of immature lymphoid cells in the marrow, blood and other organs. The use of intensive multi-agent systemic chemotherapy and central nervous system (CNS) prophylaxis has led to long-term survival rates of over 80% in children with ALL.\(^1\) Despite similar advances in therapy outcomes, adults with ALL fare less well; the five-year survival rates range from 30% to 60% depending on risk features at presentation.

CNS involvement is present at diagnosis in less than 5% of children with ALL; however, 50% to 75% will develop CNS disease in the absence of adequate CNS prophylaxis.\(^2\) The routine use of CNS prophylaxis incorporating intrathecal (IT) chemotherapy and/or cranial irradiation has reduced this incidence to less than 10%.\(^3\) Similar results have also been observed in the adult population.\(^4\)

An analysis of risk factors for development of CNS disease in patients treated with conventional adult ALL regimens at the MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC), prior to the routine use of CNS prophylaxis, showed that high levels of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH \(> 1400\) IU/L) and an increased proliferative index (S + G\(_2\)M phases \(> 14\%\)) were predictive for an adverse outcome.\(^5\) The incidence of CNS leukemia at one year was 4% if neither of these features were present at diagnosis, 13% to 29% if one feature was present and 56% if both were elevated.

The hyper-CVAD regimen (fractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin and dexamethasone alternating with high-dose methotrexate [MTX] and cytarabine
approximately every 21 days) has been established as an effective frontline therapy for adults with de novo ALL.\textsuperscript{6} IT chemotherapy with MTX was given on day 2 and cytarabine on day 7 of each cycle. The total number of IT treatments was based on risk stratification guided by the LDH level and the proliferative index at diagnosis. Low risk patients received 4 IT treatments, those with elevations in either parameter or Burkitt’s type ALL received 16 treatments. Patients with low levels of LDH but an unknown proliferative index were classified as indeterminate risk and received 8 IT treatments. Application of this CNS risk stratification schema resulted in a 3-year overall CNS event-free survival rate of 92%.\textsuperscript{7} With longer follow-up (median 5 years), only 10 of 269 patients (4%) developed CNS disease.\textsuperscript{8} However, the majority of the relapsed patients were in the low risk group, resulting in further modifications in IT chemotherapy. Currently, the total number of IT treatments in the low risk group has been increased to 6, whereas the total number of IT treatments was reduced to 8 in the high risk group (except for Burkitt’s type ALL) owing to similar outcomes in the high and indeterminate risk groups.

Liposomal cytarabine (Depocyt\textsuperscript{TM}, Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Pisataway, NJ and Skye Pharma Inc., San Diego, CA) is a slow-release formulation of cytarabine produced by encapsulating the aqueous drug solution in spherical multivesicular particles known as DepoFoam (SkyePharma Inc, San Diego, CA). Cytarabine is gradually released from the DepoFoam into the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), resulting in prolonged drug exposure, approximately 40 times longer than standard cytarabine. This liposomal formulation has shown a pharmacokinetic advantage over the standard preparation in adult and pediatric phase I studies, with an acceptable safety profile as long as administered concurrently
with corticosteroids to reduce the incidence of arachnoiditis.\textsuperscript{9-10} Based on its significant efficacy, the drug was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of adults with lymphomatous meningitis.\textsuperscript{11}

Given the frequency of IT injections required for CNS prophylaxis (via lumbar punctures based on our departmental preference to avoid indwelling ventricular access devices such as Ommaya reservoirs), liposomal cytarabine was an attractive alternative, given the ability to reduce the frequency and total number of IT treatments and the potential to further improve on the efficacy of the current CNS prophylaxis regimen. In this regard, we designed a phase II trial of IT liposomal cytarabine for CNS prophylaxis concurrently with the hyper-CVAD regimen for adults with de novo ALL, lymphoblastic lymphoma (LL) or Burkitt’s type leukemia/lymphoma. The trial was terminated early owing to the observation of several unexpected neurological events, particularly severe in a small subset of the patients treated. To our knowledge, this is the first report of neurological events of such severity after liposomal cytarabine IT therapy.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Between January 2005 and December 2005, 33 patients with previously untreated ALL, LL, Burkitt’s or Burkitt-like leukemia or lymphoma receiving frontline therapy with the modified hyper-CVAD regimen or its variants were enrolled prospectively in this phase II trial. Eligibility criteria included age \( \geq 15 \) years, Zubrod performance status 3 or better, adequate hepatorenal function (bilirubin and creatinine \( \leq 3 \) mg/dL) and the absence of
known CNS leukemia or active meningeal infection. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the MDACC. Informed consent was obtained from patients according to institutional guidelines.

**Therapy**

The dosing schema was chosen based on the pharmacokinetic studies of liposomal cytarabine in carcinomatous meningitis. Free and encapsulated CSF cytarabine levels peak rapidly (within 5 hours, then declined rapidly until 24 hours, when levels declined at a slower rate). Very low levels of encapsulated and free cytarabine were detectable up to 14 days, usually below the *in vitro* minimum cytotoxicity potential of cytarabine (determined to be least 0.1 µg/mL). Systemic levels of liposomal cytarabine were only sporadically detectable at minimal concentrations. Thus, in order to minimize overlapping toxicity, the IT liposomal cytarabine treatments were separated by at least 12 days, and were not given until 7 days after the last dose of systemic cytarabine. The dose of 50 mg of liposomal cytarabine was chosen based on review of prior phase I and II studies in adults (including patients aged 19 and older) and children. The total number of IT treatments was chosen based on the fact that single agent liposomal cytarabine would replace IT methotrexate (now eliminated) and standard cytarabine 100 mg (Figure 1).

Liposomal cytarabine 50 mg was given via lumbar puncture (none of the patients had indwelling ventricular access devices) on days 2 and 15 of the first, third, fifth and seventh (hyper-CVAD) courses, and on day 10 of the second, fourth, and sixth (high dose MTX and cytarabine) courses until completion of the total number of IT treatments per assigned risk group (Figure 1).
Low risk patients received 3 IT treatments (completed after cycle 2), indeterminate or high risk patients received a total of 6 IT treatments (completed after cycle 4), and patients with Burkitt or Burkitt-like leukemia/lymphoma received a total of 10 IT treatments (completed after cycle 7). Dose reductions to 25 mg were mandated for patients who developed grade 2-3 therapy-related neurotoxicity that had resolved prior to the next dose. All patients received dexamethasone 4 mg twice daily (bid) either orally or intravenously (IV) for 5 days beginning on the day of liposomal cytarabine administration, then 2 mg bid day 6, and 1 mg bid day 7. High dose dexamethasone (40 mg x 4 days) given as part of the systemic chemotherapy (during the hyper-CVAD cycles) was substituted for this regimen if the liposomal cytarabine was administered concurrently.

The hyper-CVAD regimen was administered as previously described. Briefly, it consisted of 8 alternating courses of systemic chemotherapy administered approximately every 21 days or upon recovery from myelosuppression. Odd courses (1,3,5,7) were hyper-CVAD: hyper-fractionated cyclophosphamide 300 mg/m² intravenously (IV) every 12 hours for 6 doses days 1 to 3; vincristine 2 mg IV days 4 and 11; doxorubicin 50 mg/m² IV over 24 hours day 4; dexamethasone 40 mg daily days 1 to 4 and 11 to 14. A two-day window was allowed for the dexamethasone planned for days 11 to 14 in order to coincide with liposomal cytarabine if needed (e.g., shifted to days 13-16). Even courses (2,4,6,8) were MTX and cytarabine as follows: MTX 1 g/m² IV over 24 hours day 1 and cytarabine 3 g/m² IV every 12 hours for 4 doses days 2 and 3. Rituximab was given 375 mg/m² IV on days 1 and 11 of hyper-CVAD courses and on days 1 and 8 of MTX and cytarabine courses for a total of 8 doses in patients with CD20 positive ALL or Burkitt’s-type leukemia/lymphoma. All patients received granulocyte colony stimulating factor,
prophylactic antibiotics, and transfusion support. Maintenance chemotherapy consisted of 6-mercaptopurine, MTX, vincristine and prednisone (POMP) for 30 months, interrupted by early and late intensifications with hyper-CVAD ± rituximab and MTX with L-asparaginase. No maintenance therapy was given to patients with Burkitt’s type leukemia/lymphoma.

Assessments
CSF analysis was performed concurrently with dose #1 of liposomal cytarabine and included cell count with differential, total protein, glucose, cytology and microbial assessments. CSF was monitored with cell count and cytology with each IT treatment. Neurological examinations were performed prior to each dose of liposomal cytarabine. Imaging studies such as MRI scan of the brain or CT scan of the head were not required in the absence of symptoms. Toxicities were evaluated using version 2 of the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria.

Statistical Considerations
The primary endpoint was the CNS relapse rate. A secondary endpoint was CNS disease-free survival. The study was designed to achieve equivalence to the historical CNS relapse rate of 6%; stopping rules were applied if the CNS relapse rate approached 15%. No formal stopping rules were applied for toxicity, as intrapatient dose reductions were allowed as detailed previously. However, the study was terminated early prior to projected accrual after a grade 4 encephalitis attributed to liposomal cytarabine was observed. The request for termination was granted by the IRB after review of summary toxicity data.
RESULTS

Study Group

Thirty-three patients were enrolled. Fifty-one percent were male. Twenty-one percent were age 21 years or less and 25% were age 60 years or older (range, 16-78 years). CNS risk groups included 7 (21%) with low risk, 24 (73%) with indeterminate or high risk, and 2 (6%) with Burkitt's type-leukemia/lymphoma. The two patients in the latter group were removed from study after identification of occult CNS disease; one with MRI scan of the lumbosacral spine identifying leptominengeal infiltration and one owing to positive CSF cytology after dose #1 of liposomal cytarabine. Thus, 31 patients were treated.

Toxicity

Nineteen of 31 patients (61%) completed the IT liposomal cytarabine as planned. Two patients died during induction chemotherapy due to development of multiorgan failure related to sepsis and received only one dose of liposomal cytarabine. Overall, 12 patients (38%) discontinued IT therapy early (prior to assigned number of IT treatments) owing to death (n=3), toxicity (n=2), change in systemic chemotherapy (n=2), systemic disease recurrence (n=2), closure of the protocol (n=2) or noncompliance (n=1).

Headache was the most commonly reported adverse event, occurring in 39% of patients. In less severe cases, these were attributed to the lumbar puncture itself, and were responsive to supportive care measures. Neurotoxicity attributed to liposomal cytarabine also included: grade 4 papilledema with blindness (n=1), grade 3 increased intracranial pressure (n=2), grade 3 cauda equina syndrome (n=2), grade 2 seizure (n=1). One episode of grade 3 meningitis was not attributed to therapy. Other grade 3-4 toxicities
were attributed to the systemic chemotherapy, including myelosuppression-related events and to comorbid conditions.

The five patients (16%) who developed serious neurotoxicity attributed to liposomal cytarabine had indeterminate/high CNS risk; 2 had discontinued therapy for these toxicities whereas 3 had late manifestations after completion of IT therapy. The clinical features of these 5 patients are presented in detail (Table 1).

**Patient 1**

A 22-year-old male diagnosed with indeterminate CNS risk (no proliferative index owing to inadequate sample for DNA analysis) CD20 negative pre-B cell ALL achieved a complete remission (CR) after induction chemotherapy with hyper-CVAD. Ten days after receiving dose #3 of IT liposomal cytarabine (cycle 2, day 10 of MTX and cytarabine chemotherapy), the patient developed complex partial seizures with occasional generalization. Complete neurological evaluation including lumbar puncture, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain, and electroencephalogram (EEG) was negative. The patient was treated with valproic acid and no further IT liposomal cytarabine or other IT chemotherapy was administered. The patient completed all eight courses of intensive chemotherapy followed by initiation of POMP maintenance therapy without subsequent episodes.

**Patient 2**

A 19-year old female with high CNS risk, CD20 negative pre-B-ALL achieved a CR after induction chemotherapy with hyper-CVAD. After receiving cycle 4 of high dose MTX and
cytarabine with administration of the sixth and final IT liposomal cytarabine on day 10, the patient developed headaches of increasing severity, relieved only partially with analgesics. Two weeks later she developed blurred vision. MRI of the brain was negative without evidence of hydrocephalus. Ophthalmologic examination revealed bilateral papilledema. Lumbar puncture showed an opening pressure of 550 mm of water, which decreased to 170 mm of water after withdrawal of 40 mL of CSF. There was no evidence of leukemia or infection on CSF analysis. A diagnosis of pseudotumor cerebri was rendered. A ventriculoperitoneal shunt was placed owing to progressive visual loss. The patient recovered but with decreased visual acuity. After completion of 5 courses of systemic chemotherapy, the patient proceeded to POMP maintenance therapy.

**Patient 3**

A 42-year-old female with high CNS risk, CD20 negative ALL with translocation (4;11) karyotype achieved CR after induction chemotherapy with hyper-CVAD, completing all 6 doses of liposomal cytarabine via lumbar puncture uneventfully. The patient then developed slow onset of fecal incontinence, urinary hesitancy and perineal numbness, progressing after cycle 4 of MTX and cytarabine. Complete neurological evaluation including lumbar puncture and MRI of the brain and lumbosacral spine were negative. The patient was treated empirically with corticosteroids without improvement in symptomatology. Due to the persistence of the neurological symptoms, the lumbosacral MRI was repeated approximately 2 months later and showed a subtle focal sacral multiroot thickening consistent with chemical arachnoiditis/radiculitis, likely related to local effects of the administration of liposomal cytarabine. The patient was managed
symptomatically with only mild improvement in symptoms, completing eight courses of systemic chemotherapy followed by allogeneic stem cell transplant.

**Patient 4**

A 65-year-old male with high CNS risk, CD20 positive pre-B cell ALL achieved CR after hyper-CVAD and rituximab induction. The patient received liposomal cytarabine cycle 2, day 10 of MTX and cytarabine and subsequently developed slow onset of urinary and fecal incontinence. MRI scan of the lumbosacral spine was negative for arachnoiditis. The patient had a post treatment course complicated by Cytomegalovirus pneumonia, deep venous thrombosis, and sacral decubitus; intensive chemotherapy with the hyper-CVAD regimen was discontinued. The patient completed the CNS prophylaxis with 4 standard IT chemotherapy (alternating MTX and cytarabine) treatments concurrently with POMP and rituximab maintenance therapy without incident. The patient has had mild improvement in fecal incontinence but persistent urinary dysfunction requiring self-catheterizations.

**Patient 5**

A 54-year-old male with high CNS risk, CD20 positive pre-B ALL achieved a CR after induction chemotherapy with hyper-CVAD and rituximab. The patient underwent cycle 2, day 10 IT administration of liposomal cytarabine. Five days subsequent to the IT treatment, the patient presented with a 36-hour history of lower extremity discomfort progressing to bilateral lower extremity weakness associated with back pain, with subsequent development of unresponsiveness, lethargy and then coma. Flaccid paralysis with doll’s eyes was noted on physical examination, and mechanical ventilation
was provided for airway protection. Lumbar puncture was negative for signs of infection or leptomeningeal disease (LMD). EEG showed diffuse generalized cortical dysfunction. MRI of the brain showed scattered punctate infarcts or necrosis in the periventricular white matter and white matter of the centrum semiovale and elsewhere through the brain suggesting posterior vessel encephalopathy. The patient was treated with broad-spectrum antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral antibiotics and high-dose corticosteroids empirically with multiple culture specimens negative for infection. Despite aggressive supportive care measures, the patient failed to show any neurological recovery and was transferred to hospice care. The patient died 3 weeks following this episode (no autopsy was performed).

Outcome
No instances of isolated CNS relapse have been identified. Systemic recurrence was observed in 3 patients, 2 without evidence of CNS involvement and 1 with concomitant CNS disease.

DISCUSSION
This is the first report of the use of intrathecal liposomal cytarabine (DepoCyt™) for CNS prophylaxis in adults with de novo ALL treated concomitantly with systemic hyper-CVAD alternating with high-dose MTX and cytarabine chemotherapy. Five of 31 patients (16%) developed severe unexpected neurological events attributed to the liposomal cytarabine. These events, including cauda equina syndrome, encephalitis, pseudotumor cerebri-like syndrome and seizures, are not typically seen with the standard IT chemotherapy used
for CNS prophylaxis with the hyper-CVAD regimen. No obvious alternative explanations (such as infection or LMD) could be elucidated to explain the neurological findings.

In randomized studies comparing single agent liposomal cytarabine with standard IT chemotherapy treatment for neoplastic meningitis, clinical benefit was observed with use of the liposomal preparation. Liposomal cytarabine produced higher response rates and significantly better quality of life compared with free cytarabine when given as a single agent on an every 2 week schedule for patients with lymphomatous meningitis.\textsuperscript{11} The major adverse events observed included headache and chemical arachnoiditis; the incidence of the latter toxicity could be reduced with concurrent use of corticosteroids. In patients with solid tumor neoplastic meningitis, liposomal cytarabine produced a response rate comparable to that of IT MTX, but with significantly increased time to neurological progression.\textsuperscript{16} The frequency and severity of adverse events were comparable between treatment arms.

When standard IT chemotherapy for CNS prophylaxis (MTX alternating with standard cytarabine) is given concurrently with the hyper-CVAD regimen, the incidence of significant grade 3-4 neurotoxicity (e.g., papilledema, pseudotumor cerebri syndrome, cauda equina syndrome, and encephalitis as observed in the current study) is less than 1\%.\textsuperscript{6,8,14,15} Neurotoxicity is also minimal when standard IT chemotherapy is intensified for CNS disease at presentation (twice weekly until clear for 2 assessments, then weekly for 4, then twice with each remaining course of systemic chemotherapy (often approaching a total 20-25 IT treatments). Headaches related to the spinal taps (not the IT chemotherapy) and peripheral neuropathy related to vincristine are not uncommon.
However, concomitant administration of liposomal cytarabine and systemic chemotherapy agents that penetrate the blood-brain barrier, such as high dose MTX and cytarabine, may increase the potential for neurotoxicity. The serious neurological events generally were manifested during the courses of high dose MTX and cytarabine, although cumulative effects of the prior liposomal cytarabine doses and the preceding courses of systemic chemotherapy cannot be excluded. McClune and colleagues\textsuperscript{17} reported mostly minor neurologic side effects (e.g., headaches) in 15 patients with ALL and high-grade lymphoma who received the hyper-CVAD regimen concurrently with one dose of prophylactic IT liposomal cytarabine 50 mg (25 mg if via Ommaya reservoir) on day 8 (range, day –4 to day 13) of each cycle. Two patients (13\%) had more serious neurotoxicity. One patient experienced severe but transient headache requiring intravenous narcotics subsequent to cycle 4 of MTX and cytarabine. The other patient received liposomal cytarabine 4 days prior to the MTX and cytarabine course and developed hyponatremia and somnolence. These neurological events resolved after several days of supportive care.

Radiation therapy may also potentiate the neurotoxicity associated with liposomal cytarabine. In a phase I clinical trial, severe encephalopathy was reported in one patient who received whole brain radiation simultaneously with IT administration of liposomal cytarabine 125 mg, a dose higher than the recommended dose of 50 mg.\textsuperscript{10} In conclusion, severe neurotoxicity may occur after concurrent administration of IT liposomal cytarabine and systemic chemotherapy agents that penetrate the blood brain barrier.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Pre-B ALL</td>
<td>Pre-B ALL</td>
<td>Pre-B ALL</td>
<td>Pre-B ALL</td>
<td>Pre-B ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction response</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS risk*</td>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courses before toxicity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IT before toxicity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last chemotherapy</td>
<td>MTX + ara-C</td>
<td>MTX + ara-C</td>
<td>MTX + ara-C</td>
<td>MTX + ara-C</td>
<td>MTX + ara-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days from IT to toxicity</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity</td>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>Pseudotumor cerebri</td>
<td>Cauda Equina Syndrome</td>
<td>Cauda Equina Syndrome</td>
<td>Encephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Increased CSF pressure</td>
<td>Sacral multiroot thickening</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Scattered infarcts or necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity outcome</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td>Decreased visual acuity</td>
<td>Persistent fecal incontinence</td>
<td>Persistent fecal and urinary incontinence</td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last follow-up status</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Died in CR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months follow-up (Diagnosis/toxicity)</td>
<td>11/9</td>
<td>13/9</td>
<td>8/5</td>
<td>14/11</td>
<td>3/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations:  M, male; F, female; ALL, acute lymphocytic leukemia; Pre-B, precursor B; CR, complete remission; IT, intrathecal; MTX + ara-C, methotrexate, cytarabine; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid
*Total planned IT chemotherapy treatments by risk:  low 3, indeterminate/high 6, Burkitt’s 10
LEGENDS

Figure 1: Schema of intrathecal (IT) chemotherapy for the hyper-CVAD regimen with standard IT compared with IT liposomal cytarabine. Standard IT therapy consisted of methotrexate (MTX) 12 mg on day 2 and cytarabine 100 mg on day 7 of each course of therapy until completion based on risk group (6 for low risk, 8 for indeterminate or high risk, 16 for Burkitt's-type). Liposomal cytarabine 50 mg was given on days 1 and 15 of each course of hyper-CVAD and on day 10 of each course of high dose MTX-cytarabine until completion (3 for low risk, 6 for indeterminate or high risk, 10 for Burkitt's-type).
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