Intracellular labile iron pools as direct targets of iron chelators. A fluorescence study of chelator action in living cells.
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ABSTRACT
The primary targets of iron chelators used for treating transfusional iron overload are prevention of iron ingress into tissues and its intracellular scavenging. The present study was aimed at elucidating the capacity of clinically important iron chelators such as deferiprone, desferrioxamine and ICL670 to: a. gain direct access to intracellular iron pools of key cells of iron accumulation (macrophages, hepatocytes and cardiomyocyte cell lines); b. chelate the labile iron present in discrete cell compartments/organelles and c. prevent labile iron involvement in the generation of reactive oxidant species. Chelation of cytosolic and organellar cell iron was visualized dynamically and quantitatively in living cells by fluorescence microscopic imaging of fluorescent metallo-sensors (used as iron-quenched complexes of calceins) targeted to either cytosol, endosome-lysosomes or mitochondria. The rate and extent of fluorescence recovery provided an in situ measure of the accessibility of chelators to particular cell sites/organelles. Complementary, fluorogenic redox probes, associated with cell compartments enabled identification of chelator-sensitive, localized reactive oxidant production. Our studies indicate that chelation by desferrioxamine is slow and is enhanced in cells with relatively high endocytic activities, while ICL670 and DFP readily enter most cells and efficiently reach the major intracellular sites of iron accumulation.
INTRODUCTION

Cell damage associated with iron overload has been attributed to the emergence of levels of cell labile iron pools (LIP) that promote production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) exceeding cellular defense capacities (1). In thalassemia major there is an outpouring of catabolic iron that overwhelms the iron-carrying capacity of plasma transferrin and generates redox-active forms (1) that may potentially cause tissue iron overload, damaging vital organs such as heart, liver and endocrine glands (3,4). The major objective of iron chelation therapy in transfusional hemosiderosis is the reduction of body iron burden by safe removal of toxic iron from organs and extracellular fluids (1, 3, 4). The main chelation target has been iron in the liver, the major organ of pathological iron accumulation (1, 4-6). Outstanding results have been achieved with deferoxamine (DFO), which is administered via parenteral routes (5-10). However, despite intensive chelation treatment, siderotic cardiac disease has been the complication responsible for 71% of thalassaemic mortality, more than infection (12%), hepatic (6%) endocrine (3%) or malignancies (3%) (7-12). A serious factor in treatment outcome has been compliance with the rigorous requirements of daily s.c. DFO infusions, so that patients non-compliant with continuous DFO treatment might die prematurely of cardiac complications. The latter has stimulated the design of alternative, orally effective chelators which would be more convenient for use and thus improve compliance as well as protect hepatic and extra-hepatic organs from the deleterious effects of iron overload (1,5,13,14). This has led to the hydroxypyridin-on deferiprone (DFP or L1) and bishydroxyphenyl thiazole (ICL670 or ICL) as alternatives to DFO (5, 11-13). Hitherto, few studies have dealt with the routes of chelator entry into cells of relevance to iron toxicity and their respective subcellular chelation targets, particularly in iron overload (15,16).

The present work examines the modes by which the 3 clinically important chelators, DFO, ICL and DFP, gain access to critical sites of iron accumulation in different cells and how those modes contribute to their chelation capacity. The model cell lines used are rat cardiomyocytes (H9C2), mouse macrophages (J774) and human hepatocytes (HEPG2). The analytical objects used to monitor chelator action are the LIPs (17-19), which represent the redox active and chelatable forms of iron that are present in the cell cytosol (20-22) and in organelles such as endosomes-lysosomes and mitochondria (16,23). In previous studies, these LIPs were visualized by fluorescent metallo-sensors targeted principally to two cell compartments (cytosol and mitochondria), allowing on-line monitoring of chelator action in normal and iron overloaded living cells (16,18-23,28,36,39). The present study focuses on the ability of chelators to scavenge iron from the different cellular organelles as monitored by fluorescence analysis of living cells that: a. were treated with chelators or b. in which fluorescence-quenched complexes of iron and sensor were generated either in situ (in the cell) or incorporated (targeted) as preformed complexes into specific cell organelles. The access of test chelators to iron-laden compartments evokes an increase in sensor fluorescence, globally in the cell or locally in cell compartments, as the case might be. For the LIP analysis we use both...
fluorescence microscopy imaging methods and high throughput on-line fluorescence tracing in microplates. Our studies indicate that chelators in clinical or pre-clinical use have different modes of access to cell LIPs in different cell types and therefore different efficacies in relieving different cell types from iron overload.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and Cells. The calceins green (CALG) and blue (CALB), and their acetomethoxy (M) CALG-AM and CALB-AM, dihydorhodamine 123 (DHR), sulforhodamine, BAPTA ((bis (o-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid), DTPA (diethylene-triamine- pentaacetic acid) and CDDHCF-DA (2''-7''-Carboxydichlorodihydrofluorescein-diacetate) were procured from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR, USA), human serum albumin from Kamada (Kibbutz Beth Kama, Israel) and, unless specified otherwise, all other chemicals were from Sigma Chemical Co (St. Louis, MO, USA). SIH (salicylaldehyde isonicotynoyl hydrazone) was a gift from Prof. Prem Ponka (Montreal), deferiprone (DFP or L1; 1,2-dimethyl-3-hydroxy-4-pyridinone) from Apopharm (Toronto, Canada) and ICL670 (ICL; (4-[3,5-bis(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl]-benzoic acid) and desferrioxamine (DFO) from Novartis Pharma (Basel). The cell lines of human hepatoma HepG2, mouse macrophage J774 and cardiomyocyte H9C2 were grown in 5% CO2 in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s (DMEM) medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 4.5 g/l D-glucose, glutamine and antibiotics (all from Biological Industries, Kibbutz Bet Haemek, Israel). For experimentation, the cells were detached with trypsin-EDTA, washed with growth medium and cultured for an additional 24 hr growth in either 96-well plates (Nunc, Copenhagen, Denmark) or cover slips. The plates were used for tracing fluorescence in a plate reader (Galaxy, BMG-Technologies, Offenburg, Germany or Safire-Tecan, Männedorf, Austria) and cover slips for fluorescence microscope imaging by laser scanning microscopy (LSM = confocal) system MRC1024, Biorad, Hemel Hampstead, UK) or epifluorescence (Zeiss Axiovert 35 , Carl Zeiss Jena GmbH, Germany attached to a Polychrome V image system (Till Photonics GmbH, Gräfelfing, Germany).

Loading CALG or CALB to cytosolic compartment using the respective AM derivatives. Cells were exposed to either CALB-AM 5 µM or CALG-AM 0.25 µM at 37°C for 10' in DMEM containing 10 mM Na-Hepes and 1mg/ml bovine serum albumin (loading medium) and washed first with 37°C Hepes-buffered saline pH 7.4 (HBS) and subsequently perfused with either HBS or DMEM-Hepes containing 0.5 mM probenecid (to minimize probe leaking; ref 24).

Loading CALG-Fe (III) or CALB-Fe (III) (1:1.2) complexes to endosomal compartments (E) via endocytosis. Cells were exposed to either CALG-Fe or CALB-Fe (50-100 µM) in loading medium for 30' at 37°C and washed with HBS as indicated above.

Loading impermeant chelators to the cytosolic (C) compartment as acetomethoxy (AM) precursors. BAPTA was loaded via its AM precursor by incubating the latter (100 µM final) for 20' in DMEM-Hepes at 37°C followed by washing with HBS. The intracellular concentration of DFO, DTPA and
BAPTA attained was determined by lysing cells (grown in 6 well culture plates and loaded with the chelators) with 1% octylglucopyranoside in HBS and assessing the recovery of fluorescence of 100 nM CALG-Fe (488:520nm).

**Measurement of ROS production (25). Method 1.** Cytosolic ROS formation was determined in cells exposed at 37°C to 10-20 µM CDCHF-DA-AM (6’-Carboxy-2’,7’-dichlorodihydrofluoresceindiacetate-acetomethoxy ester). The medium consisted of HBS supplemented with 10mM glucose mM. The redox-mediated conversion of the non-fluorescent 2’-7’ Carboxy-dichlorodihydrofluoresceindiacetate (CDDHCF-DA) to the fluorescent 2’-7’ Carboxydichlorofluoresceindiacetate (oCDCF-DA) was measured either in a fluorescence plate reader (exc. 488, em. 517 nm) or under the fluorescence microscope (exc. 488, em. 517 nm) and analyzed by image analysis programs. **Method 2.** Mitochondrial ROS formation was determined in cells exposed at 37°C to 50 µM dihydrorhodamine 123 (DHR) which is oxidized intracellularly to rhodamine 123 (R) and analyzed fluorimetrically/microscopically as described above (exc. 488, em. 517 nm). At indicated times, ferrous ammonium sulfate FAS (2-20 µM), Fe(III):8 hydroxyquinoline (1:1) Fe-HQ (2-5 µM), H2O2 (20-35 µM final) and/or chelator were added, as indicated.

**RESULTS**

Transition metals can be analyzed by spectroscopic probes that form stable probe:metal complexes and undergo photoinduced electron transfer phenomena (26), of which the most common is quenching of the fluorescence signal (20,27). Since some fluorescent probes are susceptible to photobleaching, it is essential to ascertain when quenching events result from specific complex formation (28). The present work comprised the joint application of two related principles of sensing labile iron with fluorescent probes: 1. the probes’ capacity to either bind iron stoichiometrically and/or serve as an acceptor of redox active iron and thereby undergo a change in fluorescence properties and 2. the ability of a specific iron chelator to prevent or reverse the binding of the probe or suppress iron redox activity (20,22). The fluorescence probes used, CALG and CALB, quench upon binding of iron at 1:1 and 2:1-3:1 stoichiometry, respectively, and regain fluorescence when chelators are added (19,20). The fluorescein-based CALG has relatively higher quantum efficiency and therefore better sensitivity than the coumarin-based CALB, yet it is considerably more susceptible to photobleaching when exposed to high light intensities such as in fluorescence microscopy. Thus whereas CALG is better suited for high throughput measurements such as in plate readers with low intensity illumination CALB is suitable also for individual cell microscopy measurements.

In order to assess the ability of chelators to bind LIPs in particular cell compartments, we used 2 forms of labile iron as targets of chelation, the resident forms and those introduced by iron overload. In the 1st (approach A, Table 1), the cells are pre-treated with chelator and subsequently the residual LIPs are analyzed either with fluorescent metallosensors or with markers of redox activity. In the 2nd (approach B), the chelation of LIP is followed on line in terms of the ability of a
chelator to restore fluorescence of quenched Fe-CALG or Fe-CALB complexes or to block ROS production in a given cell compartment harboring LIPs. These properties of chelators, are taken as a measure of their accessibility (i.e. membrane permeability) to a given cell compartment and their apparent capacity to bind resident or loaded iron, thereby preventing its involvement in ROS formation. We measure the latter with dihydro-fluorogenic probes that oxidize intracellularly to fluorescent derivatives in the cytosol (when added as AM-precursors) or in mitochondria (when added as hydrophobic-cationic probes that accumulate in the mitochondria via their membrane potential). In order to prevent leakage of anionic fluorescent probes from cells, we add probenecid (0.5 mM), an anion transport blocker (24).

**Cytosolic labile iron following different incubation times of cells with chelators.**

The ability of DFO and the orally active chelators ICL670 and DFP to access cytosolic LIP and scavenge iron was first assessed by approach A, namely by determining the residual LIP following relatively short (1/2h) and long (12 h) incubations with 50 \( \mu \)M chelator. Fig. 1 shows representative data obtained with HepG2 cells, but essentially similar results were obtained with J774 and H9C2 cells (see below). The residual LIP was assessed at the end of the chelation treatment by loading cells with CALB, generated from its AM precursor. CALB distributes homogeneously in the cell interior, though it is partially excluded from the nuclei (Fig. 1, top left). CALB was chosen for studies based on fluorescence microscopy due to its better photostability. The quenched CALB-Fe complexes (representing LIP) were revealed by SIH, a strong permeant chelator which restores fluorescence by removing the complexed iron (as exemplified in Fig. 1, top panel and quantified in bottom panel). We note that a 12 h treatment with all three chelators markedly reduced the resident LIP of HepG2 cells (a change of 0.72 relative fluorescent units corresponds to a LIP of 1.2 \( \mu \)M according to the method described in ref 18 and reviewed in ref 20, 21) (Fig. 1, bottom right). However, an equivalent \( \frac{1}{2} \) h treatment was highly effective with either DFP or ICL670 but marginally with DFO.

The complementary assessment of residual LIP after chelation (Approach A) is based on the determination of cell ROS production prompted by peroxides. Using the fluorogenic oxidizable CDDHCF-DA probe one can determine that all chelators substantially reduced ROS formation in cells during the long (12hr, 50 \( \mu \)M) incubation period. However, during the short incubation (0.5hr, 50 \( \mu \)M) only the DFP and ICL were effective (Fig. 2).

For on line monitoring of chelator action in the cytosol it was convenient to increase the LIP by loading the cells with permeant iron sources (e.g. Fe(II) salts or Fe:hydroxyquinoline complexes (FeHQ)). The iron loading phase was also followed on line by fluorescence microscopy using CALB loaded cells (Fig. 3) or by fluorescence intensity readings of CALG loaded cells in a fluorescence plate reader (Fig. 4). Most of the CALG or CALB are targeted to the cytosol. After the incoming iron quenches significantly intracellular CALB fluorescence, further influx of iron is stopped by addition of the impermeant chelator DTPA in excess. Upon subsequent addition of ICL670, the intracellular
fluorescence undergoes a time-dependent restoration, which increases with chelator concentration, indicating the chelator’s cell-permeating and iron-chelating abilities (Fig. 3).

The comparative efficacy of the 3 different chelators in accessing J774 cells overloaded with 2 different iron sources and chelating cytosolic iron are depicted in Fig. 4. The oral chelator DFP acted in a manner comparable to that of ICL670, whereas neither DFO (100 μM) nor DTPA (100 μM) elicited any significant effect on the LIP within 30’. As the hydrophobic chelator SIH was found to be the fastest and most efficient chelator in restoring fluorescence in all cell systems studied (29,30), we adopted it in all our experimental assays (at 50-100 μM) in order to generate the maximal dequenching level (20).

In order to compare the intracellular chelating abilities of the different chelators in the 3 different cell types, we traced the fluorescence of CALG and CALB laden cells exposed to chelators in 96-well plates using a fluorescence plate reader. This high throughput system enabled the follow-up of chelation kinetics in multiple samples (Fig. 5). Each experimental system was normalized to the initial fluorescence level, averaged and plotted as depicted in Figure 4 for J774 cells. The probe-laden cells were initially exposed to a permeant iron salt or complex (FAS for Fe(II) or FeHQ for Fe(III)) in order to generate cytosolic iron-CALG complexes, as shown above in Figure 4. Unlike DFO and DTPA, DFP and ICL were found to swiftly permeate into J774 cells and remove cytosolic iron complexed to CALG. Analogous results were found with DTPA, DFO or ICL applied to CALB loaded cells treated with FeHQ. The chelating properties of DFP could not be assessed in experiments utilizing the quenching of CALB by FeHQ because of the propensity of HQ to form ternary complexes comprising CALB-Fe-HQ with affinities exceeding those of DFP (HG, unpublished observations). The fluorescence recovery patterns evoked by each of the 3 chelators at relatively short time periods was similar in the 3 cell types studied. All data related to short exposures to pharmacologically relevant concentrations of chelators (20-100 μM) (Fig. 5) indicate that ICL670 and DFP (but not DFO) rapidly access the cytosol of 3 different cell lines and chelate their labile iron. However, in the long term, DFO attained similar effects to those of the other chelators.

**Effect of chelators on endosomal iron**

Iron loaded into endosomes by adsorptive endocytosis of CALG-Fe complexes was assessed as chelator-accessible iron in the 3 cell lines used in the present study (Fig. 6). The full content of endosomal loaded iron was revealed by adding SIH, indicating that the system is amenable to chelation studies, similar to what has been shown above for cytosolic LIP. The J774 cells were most active in endocytosis, trailed by H9C2 and HepG2 cells. Endocytosis of the CALG-Fe complexes was detected when 1-2 h incubations were carried out at 37°C but not at room temperature (not shown).

The accessibility of endosomal LIP to chelators was assessed in J774 cells loaded with CALG-Fe complexes via endocytosis (Fig. 7). We noticed that the basal fluorescence associated with CALG
laden endosomes drifted slightly upward with prolonged incubation times (>30') at 37°C. The drift might indicate a small dissociation of the complex, which could be due to spontaneous release of iron due to the endosomal acidic pH and/or its transfer from endosome to cytosol. Fig. 7 indicates that chelators such as ICL670, DFP and also DFO were effective in chelating endosomal iron when applied at 37°C but only DFP and ICL670 were effective when applied at 25°C, a temperature that strongly reduces endocytic activities. Since for up to an h at 37°C DFO was differentially effective in accessing endosomal but not cytosolic iron, it can be implied that a major route of DFO entry into macrophage cells is via endocytosis, similar to what has been observed for CALG-Fe. A virtually similar pattern of effects of chelators on CALG-Fe laden endosomes was also obtained with HepG2 and H9C2 cells (not shown). However, in order to ascertain that hydrophilic chelators such as DFO can also gain access to cells via endocytosis, we applied the fluorescent-DFO analog in similar conditions as DFO and followed its intracellular localization after short and long term incubations. As seen in Fig. 8, J774 cells rapidly acquire the probe into their endosomes, a process which intensifies with increasing incubation times, while H9C2 and HepG2 cells do similarly but at about 1/3 rd and 1/9th lower rates.

**Effect of chelators on catalytically active iron in cytosol and mitochondria**

The effect of chelators on the oxidation of the dihydro forms of dichlorocarboxyfluorescein and of rhodamine 123, that are converted to their fluorescent derivatives by intracellular ROS, is depicted in Figure 9 (left). DHR (50 µM) was preincubated with H9C2 cells for 10' in order to permit its accumulation in the mitochondria and monitoring by fluorescence microscopy. H₂O₂ (35 µM) was added to stimulate the catalytic generation of high levels of ROS by labile iron (Figure 9, top). ICL670 and DFP (100 µM) effectively blocked the H₂O₂ prompted oxidation of DHR, while addition of 50 µM of the cleavable, permeant EDTA analogue, BAPTA-AM, was ineffective. BAPTA failed to inhibit intramitochondrial DHR oxidation even when cells were preincubated with BAPTA-AM for 20' in order to accumulate even higher levels of BAPTA in the cytosol (estimated intracellular concentrations attained were >500 µM). We verified that sufficient BAPTA was present in the cells to chelate virtually all of the cytosolic labile iron detectable with CALG (not shown). This was corroborated by assessing the effect of chelators on CDDHCF-DA oxidation, which takes place primarily in the cytosol, as shown in Figure 2. ICL, DFP and BAPTA-AM effectively blocked the ROS-induced oxidation of CDDHCF when applied shortly before or during the Fe exposure. DFO was marginally effective in the short term (1-2 hrs), but similarly effective to the other chelators in the long term.

The ability of the various chelators to attenuate cytosolic ROS production was assessed comparatively in the 3 cell lines essentially as described in Fig. 2 microscopically but using a fluorescence plate reader (Fig. 10). The effect of 200 µM ICL670 on ROS production was used as reference for maximal attainable inhibition by a permeant chelator. All 3 chelators were effective in reducing ROS production when incubated for an extended time period (18h). H9C2 and HepG2
cells were particularly chelator sensitive and the relative protection afforded was greater than 80%. Similar results were obtained with J774 cells except that the chelator sensitive component of ROS production was only 35%. However, when applied on line, only DFP and ICL670 were immediately effective on the 3 cell lines while DFO effects were considerably slower and markedly weaker.

DISCUSSION

Iron chelators are essential for the treatment of iron overload and useful as tools for understanding the roles of LIPs in iron metabolism. Although iron chelators have been used in the clinic and in the laboratory for a number of years and new formulations are in preclinical evaluation stages, little is known about the modes by which they gain access to cellular LIPs, chelate iron and limit its involvement in ROS production. Understanding these modes is essential not only for assessing chelator efficacy, but also for designing agents with improved biomedical properties. The most accessible LIP in cells is assumed to be associated with the cytosol (20,21), which, under normal conditions, represents the cross roads of cell iron movement regulated by a mechanism linked to iron sensing by iron responsive proteins (31,32). The cytosol is also the compartment where iron is released from heme by heme oxygenases and from where iron is sequestered into ferritin molecules. The cytosolic LIP has been shown to be comprised of transitory iron(II) and (III) forms whose relative ratios are determined by the redox capacity of cells (20,22), possibly mediated also by specific cell iron reductases (33). The organellar LIPs comprise forms of iron that sub-serve different functions: in mitochondria they serve as sources for the formation of protein iron-sulfur clusters and porphyrins (34), in endosomes they provide the source of iron derived from receptor mediated endocytosis that translocates into the cytosol (35) or possibly also to mitochondria (36) and in lysosomes they are apparently associated with products of iron protein degradation (37).

The cellular LIPs expand in iron overload conditions and pose a threat to cell integrity, a phenomenon that iron chelators are theoretically designed to alleviate by gaining access to those pools and complexation of the metal as non-redox active forms. The novel oral chelators DFP and ICL670 were designed with that view in mind, namely as relatively small molecules with requisite partition coefficients that confer upon them high membrane permeation abilities and thereby also high cell iron extraction capacity. However, the fact that the relatively large, partially charged and hydrophilic chelator DFO shares none of those membrane permeation properties and yet has had a remarkable clinical success in keeping iron overloaded patients in adequate iron balance, indicates that membrane factors other than simple diffusion across the lipid bilayer might also contribute to the efficacy of chelation in living cells. Cells that are endowed with the capacity for adsorptive or fluid phase pinocytosis, might acquire particular agents also by alternate routes of entry that differ from classical simple diffusion across membranes. Thus chelators might access cellular labile iron pools not only according to their chemical character but also as determined by the unique membrane properties associated with particular cell types.
The present study represents an attempt to understand the mechanisms underlying chelator access to cellular sites of labile iron accumulation in model cell types relevant to iron overload, namely cells of hepatic, reticuloendothelial and cardiac origin. We focused this study on representative cell lines that were found in preliminary studies to reproduce the properties of primary cultures of rat hepatocytes, rat neonatal cardiomyocytes and human peripheral macrophages (Link, G., et al., unpublished observation) in terms of accessibility to chelators such as DFP and DFO. The study deals with the most important agents presently available for clinical or pre-clinical use DFO, DFP and ICL670, along with the tridentate SIH (29,30), which serves as a prototype of fast membrane permeating and chelating agents (20). Iron chelation was traced by following either the recovery of a fluorescence signal quenched by complexation of labile iron (LIP) to a fluorescent metallosensor or the inhibition of labile iron-mediated ROS formation (20). The principle of chelation assessment at the level of cellular LIPs combined two complementary approaches: 1. monitoring the resident or residual LIPs in the cytosol, endosomes and mitochondria of cells that were or were not previously treated with chelators and 2. monitoring on line the LIPs generated in situ in cell organelles as Fe complexes (e.g. CALG-Fe and CALB-Fe). Cytosolic targeting of metallosensors was accomplished by generating them within cells via permeation of their esterified precursor followed by their intracellular enzymatic hydrolysis. The traceable LIPs were derived either from endogenous labile iron sources or from supplemented permeant iron forms. We also targeted the complexes to the cytosol by hypertonic-isotonic loading following pinocytosis (Glickstein et al. unpublished observations). At the level of organelles, targeting of metallosensors to endosomes was based on the cellular ability to endocytose membrane impermeant probes whereas targeting to mitochondria relied on the probes' ability to accumulate in mitochondria driven by their membrane potential.

Assessment of chelator action on cytosolic calcein-Fe labile complexes, revealed that, in the short term (up to 1hr), the labile iron was readily chelated by the permeant DFP, ICL670 and SIH but not by the poorly membrane permeant DFO (Fig. 4). These properties were observed in the 3 cell types used (Fig. 5) and they are in accord with the currently accepted view that only the small, partially lipophilic chelators can swiftly cross the plasma membrane and access cytosolic LIPs (19,38). We also observed that when offered to cells in serum-containing media, agents such as ICL670 and to a lesser extent DFP, were slightly impeded in their ability to swiftly permeate into the cytosol and act on the cytosolic LIPs, apparently because of their avid adsorption to serum proteins (Glickstein, Breuer and Cabantchik, unpublished observations). This was also reflected in a reduction of ICL670 ability to prevent in vitro ROS formation in sera containing labile iron (Glickstein and Cabantchik, unpublished). Nevertheless, prolonged treatments (12-18 h) with ICL670, DFP or DFO were highly efficient in reducing the resident cytosolic LIP even in the presence of serum (Figs. 1,2, 10), indicating that endocytic uptake of chelators may be an important determinant of chelation in vivo. The fact that the highly hydrophilic species CALB and...
CALG, as free probes or as complexes with iron, or fluoresceinated DFO, gained access to various cells via endosomes further supports the feasibility of the proposed auxiliary or primary mode of DFO entry into cells by endocytosis. Such a mechanism of DFO ingress might explain why this chelator is particularly efficient in removing iron from livers overloaded with iron in transfusional siderosis, where the major iron accumulation and its removal by DFO occurs in the actively endo- and phagocytosing Kupfer cells (4).

The effect of chelators on iron complexes located in endosomes revealed properties of cell iron scavenging that might be important for understanding the mode of action of iron chelators as therapeutic agents and their relative advantages in particular cell types (40). The small and lipophilic agents DFP, ICL670 and SIH were found to be effective in retrieving iron from endosomes in J774 cells at both room temperature and at 37°C, whereas DFO was only effective at 37°C (Figure 7). The confinement of DFO’s effects to the endosomal compartment and the high temperature coefficient of its action reinforces the above notion that the mode of DFO entry into cells encompasses highly temperature-dependent processes, unlike ICL670 or DFP. One likely mechanism by which this hydrophilic chelator could reach CALG-iron complexes enclosed within endosomes might be associated with endocytosis, followed by fusion of vesicles containing DFO with those containing CALG-Fe. Such a mechanism could also prevail for lipophilic chelators which like ICL670, undergo major adsorption to plasma proteins, and yet have demonstrable effects on cellular LIPs, in accordance with its iron extracting capacity in vivo (14) but not with other in vitro studies (41). Eventually, over a period of hours in culture conditions, the endosomal contents reach the cytosol and possibly also additional cell compartments by mechanisms that remain to be examined. Thus, lipophilic chelators seemingly act on both cytosolic and endosomal LIPs by virtue of their membrane permeation properties per se, as well as by their incorporation into endocytic vesicles while bound to proteins. On the other hand, the cell chelating ability of hydrophilic chelators such as DFO would depend more on the endocytic ability of cells such as macrophages, hepatocytes and cardiomyocytes (Figs. 6-8). This ability is not restricted to cultured cell lines and was also observed with the same type of cells derived from neonatal rats and grown as primary cultures (Ben El et al., to be published). We may assume that in vivo iron chelators permeate into cells and sequester iron from the major cellular LIPs, so their efficacy in accessing and neutralizing those intracellular LIPs would differ according to their availability in plasma or interstitial fluids and their membrane crossing ability. Likewise, the variable capacity to adsorptively endocytose particular substances, as in the case of macrophages, or to pinocytose medium, as in the case of cardiomyocytes and hepatocytes, could have a marked influence on the ability of chelators like DFO, to reach the LIPs. Moreover, such endocytotic mechanisms might also contribute to the cell delivery of hydrophobic chelators that, like ICL670, demonstrably adsorb to proteins such as albumin. An attempt to compare the modes and relative rates by which the various chelators access the cellular LIPs is depicted in Table 2.
The action of chelators on mitochondrial LIP has been relatively more difficult to assess experimentally (23,42,43), as the internal volume is relatively small and their surface to volume ratio large, so that they are highly susceptible to minor changes in iron content and other ionic manipulations. Targeting of fluorescent metallosensors to mitochondria has been accomplished in a manner analogous to that of other membrane potential sensitive probes (23). However, the available metallosensing probes, which contain high-affinity chelating moieties, are not easily amenable to quantitative reversal of their iron complexes by pharmacological or therapeutic concentrations of chelators. The approach used in this study to monitor mitochondrial labile iron was based on its capacity to generate ROS when its levels are raised in cells and/or when its redox cycling capacity is prompted with pro-oxidants (Figure 9). Such capacity was assessed with the probe CDHCF-AM, which concentrates primarily in the cytosol and the targeted probe DHR, a permeant cation whose passive accumulation in the mitochondria is driven by the strongly negative intramitochondrial potential. Both probes fluoresce when oxidized in a given compartment, and the involvement of iron in such oxidation events is discerned by its inhibition with chelators (Figure 9). As cytosolic CDDHCF oxidation is inhibited by relatively high concentrations of the cytosolic-generated chelator BAPTA whereas the oxidation of the DHR in the mitochondria is not, one can infer that blockage of DHR oxidation by ICL670 or DFP results from direct chelation of mitochondrial LIP. However, experimental proof that chelators can directly affect the mitochondrial LIP (42,43) awaits the design of novel target-directed probes endowed with appropriate features for evaluating LPI in small compartments. The development of novel iron sensors and tracing methodologies might assist also in elucidating mechanisms by which chelates formed in cells exit into the medium and are expelled from organisms. Initial attempts to address this question showed that DFO and its iron chelate are poorly permeant in neuroblastoma cells (44). Similar studies have been done with DFP (19) but not with ICL670.
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Table 1. Experimental approaches used for on line fluorescence assessment of labile iron chelation in cell compartments

In approach A cells are treated 1st with chelators (1) and subsequently assessed for residual LIP (2): (a) in the cytosol by the calcein (CAL)-based method and/or by (b) the CDDHCF-DA-based method (Fe-dependent H₂O₂-prompted ROS formation) and (c) in mitochondria by the DHR-based method equivalent to that of CDCF.

In approach B cells are 1st loaded with probes for LIP (metalosensor (1) or redox-reporter (1′)). For (1) one uses one of the calcein (CAL) probes (supplemented or not with Fe) which is targeted to cytosol or endosomes (as CAL-Fe complexes). The cell LIPs comprise resident forms of labile Fe or those induced by adding permeant sources of labile Fe or those created in endosomes by endocytosis of CAL-Fe complexes. The various LIPs are revealed by chelating the iron complexed to CAL with excess permeant chelators that release the iron-free, fluorescent probe in situ. For (2′), CDDHCF-DA is loaded into cytosol and DHR into mitochondria and the labile (redox-active) Fe is revealed in the respective compartment by its involvement in H₂O₂-prompted ROS formation and susceptibility to added chelators.
The various chelators used in this study deferrioxamine (DFO), deferriprone (DFP) and ICL670 are compared in terms of their modes and relative rates of access into model cells as determined by their capacity to bind labile iron (complexed to fluorescent probes) or reduce its involvement in ROS production. D and E denote the access modes of into cell by diffusion (D) or endocytosis (E).

### Table 2. Mode and access rates of FMS ingress into cell compartments and chelation of labile iron

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compartment</th>
<th>access</th>
<th>CHELATOR</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mode</td>
<td>DFO</td>
<td>DFP</td>
<td>ICL670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosomes</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>√√</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondria</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>√√</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The various chelators used in this study deferrioxamine (DFO), deferriprone (DFP) and ICL670 are compared in terms of their modes and relative rates of access into model cells as determined by their capacity to bind labile iron (complexed to fluorescent probes) or reduce its involvement in ROS production. D and E denote the access modes of into cell by diffusion (D) or endocytosis (E).
**Figures legends and Figures**

*Fig. 1. Effect of chelators on cytosolic LIP: time dependence of intracellular chelation of resident labile iron as analyzed in cells probed with the iron-sensitive CALB.* HepG2 cells were preincubated for ½ h (short term) or 12 h (long term) with the indicated chelator (50 µM in growth medium) and prior to epifluorescence analysis (385 nm exc. 430 nm em.) they were loaded with CAL-B via its AM precursor. After washing with buffered saline they were followed by epifluorescence microscopy under perfusion in DMEM_Hepes buffered medium (with no phenol red) at 37°C. At the indicated times the cells were supplemented with 50 µM SIH in order to obtain maximal recoverable fluorescence. The **top left** picture depicts snapshots of images of **control** cells preincubated 12 h with no chelator (**basal**) and following a 10' treatment with SIH (in order to attain maximal recoverable fluorescence). The **top right** graph depicts the LIP analysis of cells treated for 12 h with none (control) or with the indicated chelator (50 µM). The fluorescence intensity values are mean values obtained at each time point from 5 different cells (in arbitrary units=a.u.): those corresponding to treatment prior to addition of SIH (50 µM) denote the **basal** level of fluorescence. The solid vertical arrow represents the total fluorescence recovered in control cells by addition of SIH. The mean fluorescence intensity values are normalized to those associated with basal levels of control and the difference between them and those attained by addition of SIH provide a measure for the cell chelatable iron which we refer as the labile iron pool (=LIP) (20). The following symbols denote: control (●); DFP (○); DFO (□); ICL(*). The approach was applied to cells treated with chelators for either 12 h as shown in the **bottom right** graph or ½ h as in the **bottom left** graph, with the **slashed areas** depicting the basal levels after 12 or 1/2 hr incubation and the **black areas** those attained with SIH (representing the residual LIP for each treatment). The bars depict standard errors of mean fluorescence intensity values obtained from 5 cells per field.
Figure 1
**Fig. 2. Effect of chelators on cytosolic LIP: time dependence of intracellular chelation of resident labile iron as analyzed in cells probed with the ROS-sensitive probe** CDDHCF-DA.

H9C2 cells were preincubated for either 1/2 h or 12 h with the indicated chelator (0=control or 50 µM in growth medium) and prior to fluorescence analysis they were loaded with the non-fluorescent oxidizable DCDHF (20 µM). After washing and resuspension in buffered saline supplemented with the same concentration of chelator, the systems were followed by epifluorescence microscopy (485 nm exc. 515 nm em.) under perfusion at 37°C and H$_2$O$_2$ (35 µM) was added at the indicated time, except in “none”. The pictures (top) represent snapshots taken from control cells incubated with no chelator for 12 h and the inset represents the fluorescence tracings obtained with cells incubated with chelator for 12 h time (F/F$_0$ is the fluorescence intensity of each experimental point normalized to the value at time zero). The bar graph provides the rates of fluorescence changes with time (=slopes calculated from the time courses shown in the inset given as relative fluorescence units F/F$_0$ per sec) for both 1/2 h and 12 h treatment with chelator.
Figure. 2
**Fig. 3. On line monitoring of intracellular chelation of resident and imposed LIPs.** HepG2 cells labelled with CALB via its AM precursor (top right, phase contrast and fluorescence) were followed by epifluorescence while perfused in media containing either HBS for up to 1 hr (control cells) or HBS containing the indicated substances added at the indicated times: Fe:HQ (1:1 10 µM at 22'), DTPA (100 µM at 27'), ICL670 (added at 6' intervals from 38' time point to give final concentrations of 20, 60 and 100 µM) and SIH (100 µM at 55'). All incubations and perfusions were carried out at 37°C. The montage gallery (left) depicts snapshots of the same field taken during the experiment and the graph (right) depicts the average fluorescence density of 5 cells in each field (analyzed with 'Image J') and normalized to the initial fluorescence intensity (control cells and cells treated with ICL670 at the indicated µM concentration at the indicated time denoted with an arrow). **Note:** the montage gallery and the single pictures shown on the top right are based on different fields of cells.
Figure 3
Figure. 4. Intracellular chelation of iron with various chelators as monitored by fluorescence of J774 cells laden with CALG and CALB and quenched with externally added iron (on line measurements). J774 cells labelled with either CALG (top) or CALB (bottom), loaded into the cytosol via their AM precursors as described in Methods, were exposed to either FAS 20 μM or FeHQ 10 μM for up to 10’ and subsequently to DTPA 100 μM for 2’-5’ (to bind extracellular iron and stop further iron ingress) and to either none or the indicated concentration of chelator (in μM ). At the end of the experiment, as indicated, all samples were also treated with 100 μM SIH. All incubations and perfusions were carried out at 37°C. The fluorescence measurements were carried out in a fluorescence plate reader with readings taken every minute. All experimental systems were run in triplicates and the fluorescence intensity values were averaged and normalized to the initial fluorescence (insets). The half time values of fluorescence recovery (t_{1/2}) for ICL obtained in FeHQ loaded and FAS loaded cells are given in the top and bottom bar graphs as a function of concentration (in μM) (gray areas) and those corresponding to DFP treatment are given in the lower bar graph superimposed on those of ICL treatment.
Figure 4
Figure 5. ICL670 comparative ability to chelate cytosolic labile iron pools in different cells
(online measurements)

The ability of ICL670 to access J774, H9C2 and HepG2 cells and chelate cytosolic iron was assessed essentially as depicted in Fig. 4 and is given in terms of half times $t_{1/2}$ (min.) of CALB fluorescence recovery.
Figure 5

![Bar graph showing t_{1/2} (min) for different cell lines at various chelator concentrations.](image-url)
Figure 6. Chelation of endosomal labile iron generated by adsorptive endocytosis of CALG-Fe complexes in different cell types. HepG2 cells (top), H9C2 cells (middle) and J774 (bottom) were loaded with CALG-Fe complexes (50 μM) by incubating them in growth medium supplemented with 1% serum albumin. The depicted images represent overlays of images obtained before and after addition of 50 μM SIH (1-15’, viewed by epifluorescence and merged with phase microscopy. All incubations and perfusions were carried out at 37°C. Probenecid (0.5 mM) was supplemented in order to minimize leakage of the calcein (CAL). The mean fluorescence intensity levels in the various cells following similar loading treatments and analyzed with equivalent parameters were (in relative terms): J774 (10), H9C2 (3) and HepG2 (1).
Figure 6
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Figure 7. The effect of temperature on the recovery of fluorescence following addition of chelators in CALG-Fe loaded endosomes of J774 cells.

J774 cell endosomes were loaded under isotonic conditions with CALG-Fe (100 μM in DMEM for 20’), then extensively washed with HBS medium containing 1% bovine serum albumin and perfused at either 37°C or 25°C with DMEM medium containing the indicated additives (100 μM chelators added as indicated) and probenecid (0.5 mM), which was supplemented in order to minimize leakage of the calcein. Images (epifluorescence) were taken at 1-2’ intervals, and the average fluorescence intensity values of endosomes in 5 cells in a field was normalized to the corresponding one obtained at the onset of the treatment.
Figure 7.
**Figure 8. A fluorescent analog of DFO is taken up into endosomes of various cell lines.**

J774 (a, b), H9C2 (c) and HepG2 (d) cells were incubated with 50 μM of fluorescienated DFO in growth medium for 1h (a) or 12h (b-d) and after washing they were examined by LSM (fluorescein settings).
Figure 8.
**Figure 9. Effect of chelators on catalytically active iron in the cytosol and mitochondria of H9C2 cardiomyocytes.**

*Detection in mitochondria (top):* The cells were loaded with DHR 50µM (in HBS + 10 mM glucose) for 10’ at 37°C, washed and followed by epifluorescence (ex. 488nm, em. 520nm) at 1’-2’ intervals (top left). After a baseline was established, agents were added at the indicated times: 35µM H2O2, 100 µM chelator (ICL670 or DFP) or 50 µM BAPTA-AM. “none” indicates cells that received no treatment other than DHR and “no chelator” refers to cells treated with H2O2, but with no chelator. The images (top right) represent DHR loaded cells treated with H2O2 but without chelator (fluorescence and phase merged).

*Detection of oxidized CDCF in cytosol (bottom):* The cells were preincubated with FeSO4 for 1hr at 37°C in HBS+ 10 mM glucose, washed, resuspended in the same medium with no iron source but containing 20 µM CDDHCF-DA (the reduced precursor of CDCF) and examined by epifluorescence (ex. 488nm, em. 520nm) at 1’-2’ intervals (bottom left; fluorescence intensity given as relative units r.u.). Labels are as indicated for mitochondria except that the images refer to CDDHCF-DA of cells prompted with Fe but treated with no chelator (fluorescence and phase merged) (bottom right).
Figure 9.
**Fig. 10. Comparative effects of chelators on cytosolic LIP as analyzed in various cell types probed with the ROS-sensitive oxidizable probe CDDHCF-DA.** HepG2, J774 and H9C2 cells grown to confluence in 96 well culture plates were preincubated for 18 h with the indicated chelator (0=control, 20 or 100 µM in growth medium). Prior to fluorescence analysis they were loaded with the non-fluorescent oxidizable CDDHCF-DA (10 µM), which generates intracellularly the free acid.

After washing and resuspension in buffered saline containing 10 mM glucose and 0.5 mM probenecid, fluorescence was followed in a fluorescence plate reader (485 nm exc. 515 nm em.) at 37°C: H2O2 (20 µM) (1st) and chelator (2nd) were added sequentially (5'-6' apart). The slopes of fluorescence rise with time were calculated (as shown in Fig. 2, insets) and are given relative (normalized) to the value of the control (no chelator added) (+/- SEM). “On Line” comprises systems that were preincubated with no chelator while “after 18 h” comprises systems that were preincubated for 18 h with the indicated chelator (0, 20 or 100 µM). The slopes of the respective controls (prior to normalization) were for H9C2, HepG2 and J774 cells (in arbitrary fluorescence units/min): 980±30, 425±45 and 405±33. The horizontal broken lines represent, for each cell type, the basal oxidation level that is not contributed by metal, revealed by addition of 200 µM ICL670.
Fig. 10
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