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Recipients of allogeneic transplants are at risk of cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection and disease during the period of immune compromise after transplantation. The limitations of current antiviral pharmacotherapy have led to attempts to develop alternative strategies for preventing or treating CMV infection, such as adoptive transfer of donor-derived virus-specific T cells. Methods for generating CMV-specific T cells either use live CMV to infect autologous antigen-presenting cells (APCs) or require some knowledge of the immunodominant peptides involved in the immune response. A novel culture system was developed that does not use live virions and in which the APCs are monocyte-derived dendritic cells (DCs). APCs were pulsed with CMV antigen and cocultured with autologous peripheral blood lymphocytes from donors seropositive for CMV. The culture-output cells consisted of both CD4+ and CD8+ expressing T cells. Proliferation, as determined by a tritium-thymidine-incorporation assay, showed significant CMV-antigen specificity in cultures from 15 of 15 donors seropositive for CMV. In cytotoxicity assays, cytotoxic T lymphocytes from 10 of 12 cocultures specifically lysed autologous CMV-infected fibroblasts or DCs but not HLA-mismatched or uninfected target cells, and this activity was shown to be blocked by HLA class 1 blocking antibodies.

T-cell–receptor spectratyping of cells from the cultures typically showed complex size-distribution patterns, with all size classes of a normal preculture distribution present. However, a few size-class peaks were expanded compared with the preculture patterns and these may have represented expansions of CMV-specific T-cell clones. Advantages of this culture system are that it requires no live virions and no detailed knowledge of the antigenic peptides involved and it is applicable to CMV-seropositive donors of any HLA type. (Blood. 2001;97:994-1000) © 2001 by The American Society of Hematology

Introduction

Cytomegalovirus (CMV) reactivation with progression to disease is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in immunocompromised recipients of bone marrow transplants.1,2 Substantial progress has been made in the early diagnosis and treatment of CMV infection and the prevention of CMV disease in such patients.3 Although pre-emptive therapy with ganciclovir reduces the incidence of CMV disease early after transplantation, it may be complicated by severe neutropenia and the onset of late (more than 100 days after transplantation) CMV disease. In spite of these advances, pretransplantation CMV seropositivity and posttransplantation CMV infection are associated with poorer survival after allogeneic transplantation, particularly in recipients of grafts from unrelated donors. Therefore, the development of alternative strategies for prophylaxis and treatment of CMV disease is warranted.

CMV infections occur with great frequency in recipients of marrow transplants because of the severe and prolonged cellular immunodeficiency that occurs in these patients.4 Recovery of CD8+ CMV-specific cytotoxic T cells (CTLs) in the early posttransplantation period abrogates the development of CMV-related disease. In 65% of patients, however, CMV-specific CTLs do not develop during that period, making them at high risk of CMV-related disease.4 CMV-specific CD8+ T cells can be cloned and expanded from the blood of patients seropositive for CMV and adoptively transferred safely to patients without development of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) and with persistence of adoptive immunity for up to 4 weeks.5 Demonstration that virus-specific T cells might have clinical applications raises several critical issues for further investigation, including questions about practicality and safety. For adoptive immunotherapy for CMV to be widely applicable, rapid and efficient production methods and ready access to the appropriate virus-specific effector cells are required. Several problems must be solved before these criteria can be met. First, many culture systems that have been employed to generate CMV-specific T cells use live CMV. Therefore, there is a risk of viral transmission when the cultured T cells are given to transplant recipients. Second, patients who have received CMV-specific T cells have been given large numbers (up to 1 × 10^9/m2 of body surface area) of CD8+ cells at regular intervals to maintain in vivo anti-CMV responses.6 This requires several weeks of cell culture and large-scale cloning, which are both time consuming and costly.

The aim of this study was to assess the feasibility of using dendritic cells (DCs) to generate T-cell responses to CMV. DCs are the most potent of the antigen-presenting cells (APCs),7,8 and their role in resistance against experimental malignancies and infections is well documented.9,10 DCs can be generated from bone marrow, cord blood, and peripheral blood. The use of DCs to stimulate
CMV-specific T-cell responses in the absence of live CMV may have advantages, with respect to safety, over currently used methods to expand CMV-specific T cells in vitro. We found that antigen-pulsed DCs can be strong stimulators of CMV-specific T-cell responses in vitro and that these T cells have both proliferative and cytotoxic activity.

Materials and methods

**Generation of monocyte-derived DCs**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from donors seropositive for CMV were isolated from heparin-treated blood by means of gradient centrifugation through Ficoll-Paque (Pharmacia, Stockholm, Sweden) and streptavidin fluorescein (Amersham International). Monocytes were allowed to adhere in tissue-culture flasks for 2 to 3 hours. The nonadherent peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) were removed and the monocytes were differentiated into DCs in X Vivo 20 medium (Biowhittaker, Wokingham, UK) supplemented with 10% autologous human serum (HS), 100 ng/mL interleukin (IL) 4 (R&D, Abingdon, UK), and 100 ng/mL granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor for 7 days in a 37°C 5% carbon dioxide humidified incubator.

**Testing for CMV infectivity**

The CMV antigen (Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany) was produced from human lung fibroblast (FB) cell cultures infected with human CMV (Towne strain) and lyophilized after inactivation with β-propiolactone and the addition of stabilizer. The antigen was screened for CMV infectivity with both conventional virus culture and rapid centrifugation culture with detection of CMV-specific immediate-early antigen fluorescent foci (DEAFF testing). For virus culture, antigen was inoculated onto both human embryonic lung and donor bone marrow–derived FB monolayers that were cultured under routine conditions for 21 days and visually inspected daily for characteristic cytopathic effects. DEAFF testing was performed after rapid centrifugation culture on a human embryonic lung FB monolayer by means of consecutive incubation with a murine monoclonal antibody against CMV early antigen (NEN Life Science Products, Hounslow, UK), a biotinylated antimouse IgG antibody (sheep; Amersham International, Little Chalfont, UK), and streptavidin fluorescein (Amersham International). Each incubation was done at 37°C for 20 minutes, with careful rinsing using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) between incubations and a final washing step in distilled water. The FB monolayers were examined with an ultraviolet microscope.

**Proliferation assays**

Cocultures of 10 × 10^3 DCs and 100 to 200 × 10^3 PBLs/well were set up in triplicate in 96-well plates with either 1 mg/mL CMV antigen (Dade Behring), 1 mg/mL control antigen (Dade Behring), or no antigen in 200-μL volumes of X Vivo 20 medium with 10% autologous HS. On day 5 of culture, 0.037 MBq (1.037 MBq) tritiated thymidine (Amersham) was added to each well. After approximately 16 hours, the plates were harvested on a filtermat in an automated harvester. A scintillant sheet (Meltilex; Wallac, Turku, Finland) was melted on the filtermat and the amount of tritium incorporation was detected in a scintillation counter (Wallac). The percentage of target-cell lysis was calculated by using the following formula: [(experimental LDH release) − (target spontaneous LDH release) − (effector spontaneous LDH release)] × 100 / [(target total LDH release) − (target spontaneous LDH release)].

**Cytotoxicity assays**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from donors seropositive for CMV were isolated from heparin-treated blood by means of gradient centrifugation through Ficoll-Paque (Pharmacia, Stockholm, Sweden) and streptavidin fluorescein (Amersham International). Monocytes were allowed to adhere in tissue-culture flasks for 2 to 3 hours. The nonadherent peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) were removed and the monocytes were differentiated into DCs in X Vivo 20 medium (Biowhittaker, Wokingham, UK) supplemented with 10% autologous human serum (HS), 100 ng/mL interleukin (IL) 4 (R&D, Abingdon, UK), and 100 ng/mL granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor for 7 days in a 37°C 5% carbon dioxide humidified incubator.

**HLA class 1 blocking experiments**

Target cells for cytotoxicity assays were incubated with 20 μL of a murine antihuman HLA class 1 antibody (W6/32, which recognizes a monomorphic epitope on the 45-kd polypeptide products of the HLA-A, HLA-B, and HLA-C loci; Dako) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Cytotoxicity assays were then done in triplicate as described earlier.

**T-cell receptor CDR3 spectratyping**

RNA was extracted from preculture and postculture PBLs by using Ultraspec RNA (BiotechX Laboratories, Houston, TX) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was generated from 1 μg RNA in a 20-μL reaction using random hexanucleotide primers for reverse transcription with reverse transcriptase (Superscript; Gibco...
Each of 22 functionally rearranged BV gene subfamilies was amplified across the constant-variable junctions by using the 24 BV-subfamily–specific primers described previously by Maslanka et al., as well as a fluorescent dye–conjugated (FAM; Perkin Elmer, Cambridge, UK) BC-region–specific primer. Some of the BV primers amplify short polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products and others amplify longer products. Short and long BV primers were combined in duplex PCRs as follows: BV 5.1 plus 1, BV2 plus 12, BV8 plus 3, BV4 plus 5.4, BV13 plus 7, BV9 plus 14, BV11 plus 20, BV17 plus 15, BV16 plus 21, BV18 plus 23, and BV24 plus 22. BV6.1 and BV6.2 were used unpaired.

The total PCR volume (20 μL) contained Genamp PCR buffer (Perkin Elmer), 2 mM magnesium chloride, 0.2 mM of each deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate, 1 mM of each primer, and 1 μL cDNA (equivalent to approximately 25,000 cells). For the hot start, 0.5 U AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Perkin Elmer) was added after a 5-minute denaturation step at 95°C. Optimal cycling conditions were 95°C for 30 seconds, 58°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 45 seconds for 30 cycles, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. PCR product (1 μL) was denatured in 12 μL formamide and electrophoresed through Performance Optimized Polymer 4 (Perkin Elmer) on an ABI 110 automated sequencer (Perkin Elmer). Genescan 2.1 software (Perkin Elmer) was used to analyze the data.

### Table 1. Phenotypic analysis and cytolytic effector function of the nonadherent cell fraction after 2- to 3-week coculture of peripheral blood lymphocytes with autologous dendritic cells pulsed with cytomegalovirus antigen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target cell/donor no.</th>
<th>Days of culture</th>
<th>% CD8</th>
<th>E:T ratios</th>
<th>Autologous I/P (%) lysis</th>
<th>Autologous Ni/NP (%) lysis</th>
<th>Allogeneic I/P (%) lysis</th>
<th>Allogeneic Ni/NP (%) lysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMV-infected FBs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5:1</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5:1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5:1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV-infected DCs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV-antigen-pulsed DCs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The phenotypic analysis was restricted to the percentage of CD8+ cells at the time points indicated. Cytolytic effector function is shown as the percentage of target cells lysed, as determined by lactate dehydrogenase-release cytotoxicity assays done at the same time points.

E:T indicates effector to target; I/P, infected or pulsed with CMV antigen; Ni/NP, not infected or not pulsed with CMV antigen; CMV cytomegalovirus; FBs, fibroblasts; DCs, dendritic cells; and ND, not done.

*Cytotoxicity assay results were not available for 5 donors.

### Statistical methods

The Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired nonparametric data was used to compare the results of proliferation assays using cultures with CMV antigen, control antigen, or no antigen.

### Results

#### Culture growth and phenotypic analysis

PBLS and autologous DCs from donors seropositive for CMV were cocultured for 2 to 3 weeks in the presence of CMV antigen. On day 4 to day 9 of culture, the lymphocytes proliferated rapidly and fresh medium was usually required every 1 to 2 days. During this time, lymphocytes accumulated in clusters around the DCs and the number of DCs in the culture decreased over time. Therefore, the cultures were restimulated with more antigen-pulsed DCs on day 7. After day 9, lymphocyte proliferation slowed down considerably, with an increase in the number of dead cells demonstrated by fluorescence-activated cell-sorter analysis (data not shown). Beginning on day 10, IL-2 was added in an attempt to selectively
stimulate growth of CMV-activated lymphocytes. At the end of the culture period, there was a median 2.1-fold (0.3-fold to 4.0-fold) expansion in the numbers of T cells, with a median total T-cell number of 1 × 10^7 cells.

Phenotypic analysis of the nonadherent cells at the end of the coculture period using fluorescently labeled monoclonal antibodies revealed that most cells expressed CD45 and CD3. All cultures contained both CD4- and CD8-expressing T cells. The proportion of CD8-expressing cells varied greatly among donors, ranging from 5% to 67% at time points up to 3 weeks of coculture (Table 1) and from 5% to 39% (median, 14%) at 2 weeks.

**Antigen-specific proliferation**

Proliferation assays were done on day 6 of culture to measure T-cell proliferation in response to CMV antigen presented by autologous DCs. The CMV antigen used was a crude preparation from a CMV-infected human lung FB cell line. Attempts to culture virus from this inactivated preparation using conventional culture techniques, rapid centrifugation cultures, and DEAFF testing failed to demonstrate any evidence of CMV infectivity. Dose-response curves for CMV-antigen concentration in relation to thymidine incorporation showed an optimal antigen concentration of 1 mg/mL (Figure 1). DCs stimulated more T-cell proliferation than their precursor monocytes when used as APCs (Figure 1A). To determine the extent to which lymphocyte proliferation was stimulated by CMV antigen rather than antigens derived from the human cell line, a control antigen preparation derived from the human lung FB cell line and not infected with CMV was used (Figure 1B and Figure 2). In 15 donor cultures studied, T-cell proliferation in response to the control antigen was significantly less than that in response to the CMV antigen (P < 0.0007, Wilcoxon signed rank test), but it was often slightly greater than proliferation without any antigen (P > 0.01, Wilcoxon signed rank test).

Cocultures of cells derived from 6 CMV-seronegative donors did not show significant proliferation, despite prolongation of the culture period for up to 6 weeks and continued weekly restimulation with autologous CMV-antigen–pulsed DCs. Similarly, the use of TNF-α to induce DC maturation and up-regulation of costimulatory molecules did not result in lymphocyte proliferation.

**Cell-mediated cytotoxicity**

Unseparated mononuclear cells obtained from the culture were used as effectors in cytotoxicity assays. Killing of CMV-infected cells by CD8⁺ CTLs is thought to play a major role in the immunologic control of CMV infection in vivo. We found that 10 of 12 in vitro cultures from CMV-seropositive donors tested showed CMV-specific cytotoxicity against CMV-infected FBs or DCs or antigen-pulsed DCs (Table 1). At various E:T ratios, there was substantial lysis of autologous CMV-infected or pulsed targets but very little or no killing of uninfected or unpulsed autologous targets or allogeneic HLA-mismatched targets. Control-antigen–pulsed autologous DCs were also not lysed (Figure 3). These data indicate that, in general, the culture conditions promoted the development of CMV-specific cytotoxicity. In addition, killing was HLA restricted, since only autologous—not allogeneic—HLA-mismatched target cells were lysed. The presence of class 1 dependence was also indicated by the abrogation of lysis shown by preincubating targets with HLA class 1 blocking antibodies (Figure 4). These data also suggest that any contribution of CD4-expressing cells to target-cell lysis was minimal, since this would probably have been mediated by HLA class 2 receptor interactions. Because only a minority of the culture-output cells expressed CD8⁺, the CD8 ratios of CTL to target were much lower than is indicated in Table 1 and Figures 3 and 4.

**T-cell receptor CDR3 spectratyping**

A comparison of preculture and postculture T-cell receptor (TCR) CDR3 spectratypes showed that postculture T-cell repertoires were
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transduction of lymphoblastoid cell lines with a recombinant retrovirus encoding pp65. Endogenous intracellular synthesis and processing of viral proteins avoids the necessity of knowing the presented peptide sequences. However, the technique is time consuming and its dependence on the use of recombinant retrovirus is likely to limit the number of centers that could employ this innovative approach.

The use of monocyte-derived DCs as APCs and as target cells in our study circumvented the problem of procuring skin biopsy specimens from the donors and the time required to grow the FB monolayers. In addition, the use of donor autologous serum eliminated the need to use FCS in the culture. Furthermore, the absence of live virions in the culture minimizes the risk of CMV infection due to the transfer of the CMV-specific T cells. Indeed, the CMV antigen failed to show evidence of a cytopathic effect in FB cultures and the results of CMV DEAFF testing were negative. DCs have the unique ability to process exogenously supplied antigen efficiently and present peptides on both class I and class 2 HLA molecules along with an array of costimulatory molecules.8,45 The presentation of both helper and CTL-defined epitopes means that both CD4+ and CD8+ CMV-specific T cells will be generated. This allows both the generation of cytolytic effector function and the potential for re-establishment of longer-term immune memory, which may be important in preventing subsequent viral reactivation.

The lack of an absolute need to know the presented peptides means that our technique can be used for patients of any HLA type and will trigger T-cell reactivity to undefined immunodominant determinants, thereby allowing a greater potential for augmentation of a broader T-cell response. This will reduce the possibility that selective pressure will be applied to CMV in vivo. It may be particularly important with the emergence of more evidence that selective pressure will be applied to CMV in vivo. It may be particularly important with the emergence of more evidence that other targets, such as IE-1, may play a more important role in CMV-directed immune responses than previously recognized.46 Use of our technique can also be extended to other infective agents for which the immunodominant peptides are not yet known. Indeed, further study of culture-output cells may help to delineate other specific features of the immune responses to various pathogens. Most important, the short time required to generate the CMV-specific T-cell lines, together with a relatively simple method, should allow widespread use of adoptive immunotherapy for CMV.

Some of the polyclonal cell lines expanded in the DC cocultures showed relatively small amounts of lysis during cytotoxicity assays. However, it should be noted that in many of the cases in which the percentage of lysis was under 20% and in which CD8+ cell numbers were enumerated, CD8+ cells accounted for less than 20% of the total lymphocyte count. Therefore, the E:T ratios were clearly not comparable to those observed with clonal CTL cultures in cytotoxicity assays. Even if all the CD8+ cells were CMV-specific CTLs, the effective maximal E:T ratios would be about 2:1 to 4:1, and it is probable that the proportion of CMV-specific CTLs was far smaller than this. Whether the enrichment for CMV-specific T cells, including CD4+ T-helper cells, will allow more profound in vivo expansions to enable clinical efficacy while avoiding GVHD is currently unclear and must be addressed in clinical studies.

Despite the complex postculture spectratypic appearances, the T cells generated from most donors in this study did not lyse uninfected and allogeneic targets, thereby suggesting that the time-consuming process of T-cell cloning may not be necessary. However, the presence of potentially alloreactive size-class peaks and the low positive predictive value of most in vitro tests for subsequent development of GVHD necessitates initial dose-escalation clinical studies with the aim of dissociating an antiviral effect from a graft-versus-host effect.

So far, all the CMV-specific T-cell lines generated with our culture system have been from seropositive rather than seronegative individuals. This limitation has been mentioned by others who used alternative APCs.44,49 However, the proposed central role of DCs in the generation of the primary immune response in vivo should make these cells the ideal candidate for this in vitro task. Using culture conditions identical to those used for the seropositive donors, we found no significant proliferation of lymphocytes for up to 6 weeks of culture. Additional maturation stimuli may be required to increase the efficacy of antigen presentation and up-regulate costimulatory signals to generate a primary immune response with this culture system. Therefore, we are currently attempting to identify suitable stimuli that will allow more widespread application in high-risk CMV-seropositive patients with seronegative donors.
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