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CLINICAL OBSERVATIONS, INTERVENTIONS, AND THERAPEUTIC TRIALS

Leukemic patients receiving marrow from HLA-identical sibling donors were randomized to treatment with either busulfan 16 mg/kg (n = 88) or total body irradiation (TBI) n = 79 in addition to cyclophosphamide 120 mg/kg. The patients were observed for a period of 5 to 9 years. Busulfan-treated patients had an increased risk of veno-occlusive disease (VOD) of the liver (12% vs 1%, P = .01) and hemorrhagic cystitis (32% vs 10%, P = .003). Acute graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) was similar in the two groups, but the 7-year cumulative incidence of chronic GVHD was 59% in the busulfan-treated group versus 47% in the TBI group (P = .05). Death from GVHD was more common in the busulfan group (22% vs 3%, P < .001). Obstructive bronchiolitis occurred in 26% of the busulfan patients but in only 5% of the TBI patients (P < .01). Complete alopecia developed in 8 busulfan patients and partial alopecia in 17, versus five with TBI.

One marrow transplantation (BMT) is the treatment of choice for high-risk acute leukemia and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) if an HLA-identical sibling donor is available.1-6 Total body irradiation (TBI) combined with cyclophosphamide has for many years been the standard myeloablative therapy for patients undergoing allogeneic BMT. As an alternative to TBI, Santos et al7 introduced busulfan in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML).

Since then, five randomized trials have compared busulfan conditioning with TBI in patients with leukemia and those undergoing BMT with an HLA-identical sibling as donor.8-12 We now report the 5 to 9-year outcome in BMT recipients with leukemia or lymphoma receiving marrow from HLA-identical related donors and randomized to busulfan or TBI.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Patients. Patients with hematologic malignancies were randomized at each center after stratification for diagnosis, disease status, and age (children ≤ 17 years vs adults). Patients were divided into groups with early disease, ie, acute leukemia or lymphoma in first remission, or CML in first chronic phase. High-risk disease included all patients beyond first remission or beyond first chronic phase. The study was approved by the ethics committees of the Karolinska Institute, Mejlands Hospital, Helsinki, and the Universities of Turku, Copenhagen, Lund, and Gothenburg. Consent was obtained from all patients or legal guardians. From October 1988 to December 1992, 167 patients were randomized to receive busulfan (n = 88) or TBI (n = 79). Patient and donor characteristics are listed in Table 1. Details regarding the patients and treatment have been previously reported.9

Conditioning. Busulfan 1 mg/kg was administered four times per day for 4 consecutive days from day -8 to day -5. As prophylaxis against seizures, the patients received diazepam, clonazepam, or clorazepam from day -8 to day -5. Cyclophosphamide 60 mg/kg was administered on days -4 and -3. Alkalinization and forced diuresis with 3 L intravenous (IV) fluid/m²/24 h was used during busulfan and cyclophosphamide treatment. In addition, during cyclophosphamide treatment, uromitexan was administered at 120% to 150% of the cyclophosphamide daily dose, divided into three to four doses. Intrathecal (IT) methotrexate (MTX) 12 mg was also administered on two occasions before BMT. Patients randomized to TBI received cyclophosphamide 60 mg/kg on 2 consecutive days and TBI 10 Gy in one session at Huddinge Hospital or TBI fractionated from 11.3 to 12 Gy at the other centers.

Prophylaxis against graft-versus-host disease and central nervous system leukemia. The patients received four doses of IV MTX combined with cyclosporin (CsA).3,13 CsA was discontinued from 2 to 12 months after BMT in the absence of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). Patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and patients with AML types M4 and M5 received IT MTX 12 mg on day 32 and then once every other week until day 102, according to the Seattle protocol.1 In Helsinki, IT MTX was started after platelet recovery. Patients with a...
history of central nervous system (CNS) leukemia also received IT MTX every eighth week for 1.5 years.

Definitions and grading of complications. Acute GVHD was graded from 0 to IV according to previously published criteria. 1 Symptomatic hemorrhagic cystitis was defined as symptoms from the bladder combined with hematuria. Veno-occlusive disease (VOD) of the liver was defined as an increase in bilirubin greater than 2 mg/dL (34 mmol/L) with at least two of the following: hepatomegaly, ascites, and a body weight gain exceeding 5%. 14 Chronic GVHD was defined as present or not. 15 Cataracts were diagnosed by an ophthalmologist during yearly visits. 16 Obstructive bronchiolitis was defined to include the symptoms of dyspnea, coughing, and wheezing in association with pulmonary function tests showing airflow obstruction. 17 Open-lung biopsies were not performed routinely. Alopecia in long-term survivors was recorded as none, partial, or total.

Statistics. The analysis was performed on March 4, 1998, with an observation time of 5 years to 9 years and 2 months. Mean values were compared with Student’s t test. The distribution was compared with the \( \chi^2 \) test and corrected with Yates’ method. The cumulative time to various complications and survival rate were analyzed by the life-table method. 18 Differences in survival, leukemia-free survival (LFS), etc, between subgroups were studied with the log-rank test, taking censored data into account. 19 Patients with incomplete follow-up data were considered censored observations.

RESULTS

Complications. The cumulative incidence of VOD was 12% in patients treated with busulfan and 1% in those who received TBI (\( P < .01 \); Fig 1). The overall incidence of hemorrhagic cystitis was 32% in busulfan-treated patients and 10% in the TBI group (\( P < .001 \)). Grades II to IV acute GVHD occurred in 29% of the busulfan group and 25% of the TBI group. Grades III to IV acute GVHD developed in 15% and 6% of the two groups, respectively (\( P = .09 \)). The cumulative incidence of chronic GVHD at 7 years was 59% in the busulfan group and 47% in the TBI group (\( P = .05 \); Fig 2). At long-term follow-up study, 13 of 51 (25%) were on immunosuppressive therapy (CsA and/or steroids) in the busulfan group, versus 5 of 56 (9%) in the TBI group (\( P = .09 \); Fig 1).

Among 45 long-term survivors in the busulfan group, 20 had unchanged or normal hair growth and 17 developed partial and eight total alopecia. In TBI-treated patients, 46 had no alopecia, five partial alopecia, and none total alopecia (\( P < .001 \)).

Obstructive bronchiolitis was diagnosed in 14 of 54 (26%) busulfan patients, compared with three of 58 (5%) TBI patients (\( P = .005 \); Fig 1). In the busulfan group, 11 patients with obstructive bronchiolitis also had chronic GVHD and 2 did not. None of 3 patients with obstructive bronchiolitis in the TBI group experienced chronic GVHD. The correlation between

### Table 1. Patient and Donor Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Busulfan</th>
<th>TBI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient age (yr)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>2-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor age (yr)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>4-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-identical siblings (n)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-identical parents (n)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marrow cell dose (( \times 10^7 )/kg)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>3-57</td>
<td>3-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>First remission</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second or later remission</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>First remission</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second or later remission</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CML</td>
<td>First chronic phase</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Second, accelerated phase</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blast crisis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphoma, first remission</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphoma, second or later remission</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early disease†</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period (mo)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>20-111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Six percent to 30% blasts in marrow.
†Acute leukemia or lymphoma in first remission; CML in first chronic phase.

---
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Chronic GVHD and obstructive bronchiolitis was not statistically significant in these patients ($P = .14$).

Cataracts were diagnosed in 5 of 48 (10%) long-term survivors in the busulfan group and 16 of 52 (31%) in the TBI group ($P = .02$). In the TBI group, cataracts were found in 11 who were treated with TBI in one session versus 5 with fractionated TBI ($P = .007$). Three patients underwent surgery for cataracts in the busulfan group, versus 11 in the TBI group.

Outcome of various study parameters. The incidence of interstitial pneumonitis was 18% in the busulfan group and 16% in the TBI group ($P = .51$). Among long-term survivors in the busulfan group, the median Karnofsky score was 90 (range, 50 to 100), compared with 100 (80 to 100) in the TBI group ($P = .062$). Karnofsky scores in the busulfan group were 50 ($n = 1$), 70 ($n = 2$), 80 ($n = 8$), 90 ($n = 11$), and 100 ($n = 19$). In the TBI group, Karnofsky scores were 80 ($n = 4$), 90 ($n = 16$), and 100 ($n = 30$). Hypothyroidism developed in 3 patients in the busulfan group and 6 in the TBI group (nonsignificant [NS]).

At long-term follow-up evaluation, serum creatinine increased from pre-BMT to a median of 9 (−20 to +75) mmol/L in the busulfan group ($n = 51$) versus 5 (−36 to +79) mmol/L in the TBI group ($n = 57$) ($P = .3$). A median change in the lung vital capacity of −0.2 (−1.8 to 0.7) L occurred in the busulfan group ($n = 25$), compared with 0 (−1.2 to 1.8) L in TBI patients ($n = 23$) ($P = .098$). In the 5 children in each group who were long-term survivors, the median increase in height was 28 (12 to 32) cm in the busulfan group, compared with 17 (0 to 24) cm in the TBI group. Busulfan-treated children gained a median of 14 (8 to 29) kg in weight, versus 7 (−2 to 12) kg in TBI-treated children (NS).

Cause of death. There were 40 deaths in the busulfan group and 29 in the TBI group. The primary cause of death is listed in Table 2. The incidence of death from GVHD was 22% in the busulfan group (11 acute and 5 chronic GVHD), compared with 3% (1 acute and 1 chronic GVHD) in the TBI group ($P < .001$).

Transplantation-related mortality. The overall 7-year transplantation-related mortality (TRM) was 34% in the busulfan group and 14% in the TBI group ($P = .01$). In patients with early disease, TRM was similar in the busulfan group versus the TBI group (NS). In high-risk patients, 7-year TRM was 64% in busulfan patients and 22% in TBI patients ($P = .004$) (Fig 3).

Survival and relapse. Overall survival did not differ significantly in the busulfan group compared with the TBI group (Table 3). However, in high-risk patients, survival was lower in the busulfan group versus the TBI group ($P = .005$).

The overall 7-year cumulative incidence of relapse was the same in patients treated with busulfan or TBI (Table 3). The incidence of relapse was not significantly different in patients with early and advanced disease (Fig 4).

In patients with early disease, LFS was similar in patients treated with busulfan versus TBI (Fig 5). However, in patients with more advanced disease, LFS was worse in the busulfan group. In the subgroup analysis of patients with AML, ALL, or CML, there were no significant differences between those randomized to busulfan or TBI (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

TBI induces several unwarranted late toxic effects such as pneumonitis, cataract, endocrinologic disturbances, secondary malignancies, and decreased growth in children.20-23 However, even if the pattern with regard to late toxicity differs between busulfan and TBI, it seems that busulfan is more toxic. The busulfan-treated patients had more VOD and hemorrhagic cystitis, which may be related to more direct tissue toxicity. This may also explain why we found a higher risk for chronic GVHD
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late versus Bu early were significantly different (P < .001; Figs 1 and 2). Furthermore, in the TBI group, none of the three patients with obstructive bronchiolitis had chronic GVHD.

Cataracts are a well-known complication of TBI, occurring in about 80% of patients receiving TBI in one session but at a significantly lower rate in patients receiving fractionated TBI. In the TBI group in this study, 16 (32%) developed cataract; most received TBI in one session. However, it cannot be excluded that cataract formation may appear later in patients receiving fractionated TBI. Five patients in the busulfan arm developed cataract, and three of these had chronic GVHD. Steroids used for treatment of chronic GVHD may also induce cataract.

The incidence of relapse did not differ significantly between the two groups, in accordance with previous studies.8-10,12,23 Busulfan induced increased toxicity in various tissues, including the liver, urinary tract, and lung. These effects resulted in a significantly increased incidence of TRM among the high-risk patients treated with busulfan—as high as 64%. Our study suggests that busulfan may be unsuitable for patients with high-risk disease who have received several courses of chemotherapy before BMT. Santos et al7 also reported a poor outcome in high-risk patients treated with busulfan. In their study, cyclophosphamide 200 mg/kg was administered, compared with 120 mg/kg in our study. However, in a retrospective study by the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT), there was no difference in outcome when busulfan was combined with cyclophosphamide 200 or 120 mg/kg.23 In the EBMT study and four other randomized trials, there was no increase in TRM in high-risk patients treated with busulfan versus TBI.8-10,12 A possible explanation for the high toxicity and TRM in our high-risk patients may be the short interval between the last busulfan dose and cyclophosphamide treatment. If cyclophosphamide is started less than 24 hours after the
last busulfan dose, cyclophosphamide concentrations in plasma are elevated, which may increase toxicity.\textsuperscript{27} Furthermore, the outcome in patients with more advanced disease must be viewed with caution because of the small sample size, only 29 treated with busulfan and 18 treated with TBI. There is a correlation between high busulfan levels in plasma and TRM.\textsuperscript{28} Therefore, monitoring of the busulfan level and subsequent adjustment of the dose may reduce toxicity. Together with a prolonged interval (>24 hours) between the last busulfan dose and cyclophosphamide administration, this may improve the outcome, especially in patients with advanced disease.

In patients with early disease, the survival rate was most encouraging, about 70% at 7 years. When patients with AML, ALL, and CML were evaluated separately, there was no difference in outcome between those treated with busulfan or TBI. This is in accordance with the majority of randomized trials and the retrospective EBMT analysis.\textsuperscript{8,10,12,23} However, in TBI. This is in accordance with the majority of randomized trials and the retrospective EBMT analysis,\textsuperscript{8,10,12,23} However, in the French multicenter study in patients with AML, busulfan-treated patients had a lower 3-year probability of survival at 47%, compared with 72% for the TBI group ($P < .01$).\textsuperscript{9}

To conclude, we found that busulfan treatment is associated with several late toxic side effects, e.g., chronic GVHD, alopecia, and obstructive bronchiolitis. Cataract was more common in the TBI group. In patients with early disease, busulfan was as effective as TBI, but in high-risk patients, busulfan increased TRM and decreased survival.
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