CBFA2(AML1) Translocations With Novel Partner Chromosomes in Myeloid Leukemias: Association With Prior Therapy
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CBFA2(AML1) has emerged as a gene critical in hematopoiesis; its protein product forms the DNA-binding subunit of the heterodimeric core-binding factor (CBF) that binds to the transcriptional regulatory regions of genes, some of which are active specifically in hematopoiesis. CBFA2 forms a fusion gene with ETO and MDS1/EVI1 in translocations in myeloid leukemia and with ETV6(TEL) in the t(12;21) common in childhood pre-B acute lymphoblastic leukemia. We have analyzed samples from 30 leukemia patients who had chromosome rearrangements involving 21q22 by using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). Our analysis showed that 7 of them involved CBFA2 and new translocation partners. Two patients had a t(17;21)(q11.2;q22), whereas the other 5 had translocations involving 1p36, 5q13, 12q24, 14q22, or 15q22. Five of these novel breakpoints in CBFA2 occurred in intron 6; this same intron is involved in the t(3;21). One breakpoint mapped to the t(8;21) breakpoint region in intron 5, and 1 mapped 5’ to that region. All 7 CBFA2 rearrangements resulted from balanced translocations. All 7 patients had myeloid disorders (acute myeloid leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome); 2 were de novo and 5 had treatment histories that included topoisomerase II targeting agents. The association of therapy-related disorders with translocations involving CBFA2 was significant by Fisher’s exact test (P < .003). These results provide further evidence that this region of CBFA2 is susceptible to breakage in cells exposed to topoisomerase II inhibitors.

© 1998 by The American Society of Hematology.

RECURRING CHROMOSOME translocations often are specifically associated with particular subtypes of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS). The 8;21 translocation, t(8;21)(q22;q22), is one of the most common rearrangements found in AML and is associated with French-American-British (FAB) subtype M2 (AML-M2), often with a unique t(8;21) phenotype.1-2 The translocation fuses the 5’ part of CBFA2(AML1) on chromosome 21 and nearly the entire gene ETO(MTG8) on chromosome 8.3,4 The fusion gene is oriented such that transcription proceeds from the telomeric (5’) end toward the centromere (reviewed in Nuñifora and Rowley5).

CBFA2 also contributes 5’ sequences to fusion genes produced by the 3;21 translocation.6 The t(3;21) is associated with therapy-related AML and MDS (t-AML/t-MDS)7 and with chronic myelogenous leukemia in blast crisis (CML-BC),8 but it is rarely found in AML de novo.9 The t-AML patients with the t(3;21) often were treated with topoisomerase II-targeting agents for their primary malignancies.10-12 The t(3;21) fuses the 5’ region of CBFA2 with up to three different genes (EAP, MDS1, and EVII) located over a region of 400 to 750 kb through remarkable alternative splicing.13 The t(3;21) breakpoints are located about 60 kb downstream of the t(8;21) breakpoint region, such that an additional CBFA2 exon (exon 6) is present in the t(3;21) fusion message (Fig 1).6

The t(12;21)(p13;q22) was identified by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis with whole chromosome painting probes for chromosome 12 in 3 of 8 patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL); the breakpoint on 21q was localized by using chromosome 21-specific painting probes and yeast artificial chromosomes (YACs) for that region.14 A fusion gene for TEL/AML1(ETV6/CBF2), from 12p13 was cloned.15-17 In contrast to the fusion genes produced in the t(8;21) and t(3;21), the promoter and 5’ sequences in this fusion are provided by ETV6, and the 3’ sequences include almost the entire CBFA2 gene, including the runt homology domain. Moreover, all of these patients had B-precursor ALL (CD10+, CD19+). The t(12;21) has been shown to occur in about 25% of childhood B-cell ALL and appears to be associated with a good prognosis.18,19

CBFA2(AML1) shows homology to the Drosophila segmentation gene runt, which has a DNA-binding region as well as a domain for protein-protein interaction. The AML1/ETO fusion gene in the t(8;21)24 as well as the fusion genes formed by the t(3;21) (AML1/EAP, AML1/EVI1, and AML1/MDS) include the runt domain.20 Further downstream, CBFA2 has a transactivation domain. CBFA2 forms a heterodimer with the subunit CBFB, which confers a greater DNA-binding activity. Remarkably, CBFB is rearranged by the inv(16) associated with AML-M4Eo, producing a fusion gene CBFB/MYH11.21 Significantly, CBFA2 has a large number of splice variants in both the 5’ and 3’ regions, and at least two promoters (two 5’UTRs) have been identified.21 Mouse homologs have also been identified; mice with homozygous mutations in CBFA2 are deficient in hematopoiesis and die during embryonic development.22,23

We asked whether translocations involving 21q22 and other chromosome partners would show breaks within the CBFA2 gene, in a manner similar to the large number of partner chromosomes that produce fusion genes with 5’ sequences derived from MLL on chromosome 11 band q23 (reviewed in Rowley24). A preliminary survey identified 2 patients with translocation breakpoints localized within the same intron of CBFA2(AML1), which is involved in the majority of t(3;21) patients.25 We show here that these balanced translocations...
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involved CBFA2 occur predominantly in therapy-related disorders and define a genomic region that appears to be susceptible to cytotoxic drugs, especially those that target DNA topoisomerase II.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient material and cytogenetic analysis. We examined samples from 30 patients (27 bone marrow and 3 peripheral blood) with rearrangements of chromosome band 21q22, not including t(3;21) or t(8;21). Most patients were identified at the University of Chicago Hematology/Oncology Cytogenetics Laboratory between 1970 and 1996; 1 sample was contributed from the University Hospital (Copenhagen, Denmark). Most samples were obtained at diagnosis of leukemia (N = 25), 4 were obtained at the time of relapse, and 1 after initiation of treatment. Diagnosis was based on morphologic and cytochemical studies of peripheral blood smears and bone marrow aspirate and biopsy specimens based on the FAB classification. Twenty-four patients had myeloid disorders (AML de novo, primary MDS, t-AML, and t-MDS) and 6 had ALL. Cytogenetic analysis with a trypsin-Giemsa banding technique was performed on cells from bone marrow and/or peripheral blood according to standard procedures. Chromosomal abnormalities were described according to the International System of Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature (1995).

FISH analysis. The procedure used for FISH has been described.26 Probes were labeled with biotin or digoxigenin and detected by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated avidin or rhodamine-conjugated antidigoxigenin antibodies. Metaphase chromosomes were identified by 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) staining. Fluorescence images were captured using a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) and Nu200 software. Separate images of DAPI-stained cells and the fluorescence signal were merged using NIH Image 1.60 software (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

Probes. For CBFA2(AM1), the contiguous phage λ walking clones (probe 13′ and wc-1 through wc-10) and cosmid contigs that flank the CBFA2 breakpoint (AML21 centromeric and AML21 telomeric probes; Oncor, Inc, Gaithersburg, MD) have been described (Fig 1).4,5,27 A chromosome 21 painting probe (WCP 21q SpectrumOrange; Vysis, Downers Grove, IL) was used for confirmation of derivatives. For chromosome 17, two cosmids containing sequences from RARA were used: COS34A, which is split by the t(1;17) associated with acute promyelocytic leukemia, and PC7-611, which is centromeric to the breakpoint (Richard S. Lemons, MD, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT).27 The NF1 YAC was a gift from Douglas A. Marchuk, PhD (Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC). For chromosome 5, YAC A (contains D5S112 sequences) and YAC C (contains D5S401) were used; both are distal to the SMA locus in 5q13 (Lalitha Nagarajan, PhD, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX).

RESULTS

Breakpoints on 21q22. Seven patients were shown to have reciprocal translocations involving CBFA2 when the cosmid contigs that flank the t(8;21) breakpoint were used. The locations of the breakpoints, clinical information, and complete karyotypes of these patients are listed in Table 1. With trypsin-Giemsa banding, 2 patients had apparently identical translocations t(17;21) and either de novo or t-AML (patients no. 1 and 4, Table 1; Fig 2A and B). A cryptic translocation, t(1:21)(p36;q22), was detected in patient no. 7 (Fig 3F), who, by standard trypsin-Giemsa banding, appeared to have an interstitial deletion, del(21)(q21q22.1) (patient no. 70 reported in Pedersen-Bjergaard and Philip22). In patient no. 6, with the t(14;21), both derivative chromosomes were labeled with the telomeric cosmide contig (Fig 3E). The der(21)t(14;21), but not the der(14), was labeled by probe 13′, which was the most telomeric (5′) walking clone used in this study, indicating that this patient’s breakpoint maps 5′ of exon 5 of CBFA2 (Fig 1). The telomeric cosmide contig is 5′ to the t(8;21) breakpoint and 3′ to the t(12;21) breakpoint in 5 of 7 patients.27 Therefore, the breakpoint in the t(14;21) is between that in most t(12;21) ALL patients and the t(8;21) breakpoint region.
Only 2 of the 30 patients had 21q22 breakpoints proximal to CBFA2; 1 of these 2 had a t(17;21)(q11.2;q22) and the other had a complex karyotype with a gain of a der(21)t(15;21). The other rearrangements of 21q22 were distal (telomeric) to CBFA2 (N = 14) or proved to be complex rearrangements (N = 3) or unbalanced translocations leading to loss of chromosomal material (N = 4), rather than balanced reciprocal translocations.

**Localization of breakpoints on partner chromosomes.** Two patients [patient no. 1, t(17;21), and patient no. 2, t(5;21)] had sufficient material to investigate the location of the breakpoints.

**Table 1. Complete Karyotypes and Clinical Information for Patients With CBFA2 Rearrangements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No. (UPN)</th>
<th>Sex/Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Clinical Status</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Karyotype</th>
<th>FISH Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/76</td>
<td>AML-M2</td>
<td>DX</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>47,XY,−7,+8,t(17;21)(q11.2;q22);[21]</td>
<td>Breakpoint in intron 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F/75</td>
<td>MDS→AML-M4</td>
<td>DX</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>46,XX,t(5;21)(q13;q22)[20]</td>
<td>Breakpoint in intron 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>M/66</td>
<td>RAEB-T→AML-M6</td>
<td>DX</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>46,XY[20]*</td>
<td>Breakpoint in intron 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>M/66</td>
<td>AML</td>
<td>RL</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>46,XY,t(12;21)(q24;q22)[18]/46,XY[4]</td>
<td>Breakpoint in intron 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (2213)</td>
<td>F/39</td>
<td>t-AML (prev:HD,autoBMT)</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>46,XX,t(11;12)(p15;q13);t(17;21)(q11.2;q22)[22]</td>
<td>Breakpoint in intron 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (2199)</td>
<td>M/56</td>
<td>t-MDS→t-AML (prev:MCL,autoBMT)</td>
<td>DX</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>46,XY,t(15;21)(q22;q22)[3]/46,XY[19]</td>
<td>Breakpoint in intron 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a (2161)</td>
<td>M/38</td>
<td>t-MDS→t-AML (prev:HD)</td>
<td>DX</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>45,X;−Y,t(1;2)(p36;p21),del(5)(q23q35 or q22q34);t(14;21)(q22;q22);q22[11]/46,XY,t(1;2)(p36; p21),del(5)(q23q35 or q22q34);t(14;21)(q22;q22);q22[11]/46,XY[19]</td>
<td>Breakpoint 5’ to exon 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>46,XY,t(1;2)(p36;21);t(14;21)(q22;q22)[4]/46,XY[19]</td>
<td>Breakpoint in intron 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (70)†</td>
<td>M/60</td>
<td>t-AML (M1) (prev: lung cancer)</td>
<td>DX</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>46,XY,t(12;21)(p36q22)[12]</td>
<td>Breakpoint in intron 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; RAEB-T, refractory anemia with excess blasts in transformation; t, therapy-related; prev, primary disease requiring chemotherapy; HD, Hodgkin disease; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; BM, bone marrow; DX, diagnosis; RD, residual disease (posttherapy); RL, relapse; auto, autologous; BMT, BM transplant; UPN, unique patient number in the University of Chicago series.

*No CBFA2 rearrangement was detected by interphase FISH analysis of 500 cells in the RAEB-T sample. See text for description of clinical status.
†Patient previously reported as patient no. 70, Pedersen-Bjergaard and Philip. All other patients were ascertained through the Hematology/Oncology Cytogenetics Laboratory at the University of Chicago; none has been reported previously.

Only 2 of the 30 patients had 21q22 breakpoints proximal to CBFA2; 1 of these 2 had a t(10;21)(q13;q22) and the other had a complex karyotype with a gain of a der(21)t(15;21). The other rearrangements of 21q22 were distal (telomeric) to CBFA2 (N = 14) or proved to be complex rearrangements (N = 3) or unbalanced translocations leading to loss of chromosomal material (N = 4), rather than balanced reciprocal translocations.

**Localization of breakpoints on partner chromosomes.** Two patients [patient no. 1, t(17;21), and patient no. 2, t(5;21)] had sufficient material to investigate the location of the breakpoints.
of the partner chromosomes. For patient no. 1, two cosmid probes specific for the RARA locus localized to the der(21) t(17; 21). A YAC probe containing sequences for NF1 localized to the der(17). Thus, the breakpoint on chromosome 17 is between the loci for NF1 and RARA.

For patient no. 2, two YAC probes that are distal to the SMA locus in 5q13 were shown to flank the breakpoint: YAC A, which includes D5S112, was on the der(5) and YAC C, which includes D5S401, was on the der(21). This spans a distance of about 10 Mb (L. Nagarajan, personal communication, July 1998).

Association with previous chemotherapy. Of the 7 patients with balanced translocations involving CBFA2, 5 had a history of previous chemotherapy, whereas 2 did not. Four of the 5 had presented with t-AML or t-MDS, and the fifth patient was found...
to have a t(12;21)(q24;q22) after chemotherapy for AML evolving from primary MDS. In contrast, of the 23 patients with 21q22 rearrangements but determined not to have translocations of CBFA2, only 2 had t-AML/t-MDS. The karyotypes and FISH results for these 2 patients are listed in Table 2, as are the treatment histories for all of the t-AML/t-MDS patients. The association of a history of chemotherapy with CBFA2 translocations was significant by a Fisher’s exact test (P < .003, N = 30).

The fifth patient with a translocation in CBFA2 and a history of chemotherapy (patient no. 3) presented a diagnostic problem at the time when the t(12;21)(q24;q22) was found. Initially, this 66-year-old man had been diagnosed with primary MDS (refractory anemia with excess blasts in transformation) progressing toward AML-M6 (Table 1). A normal karyotype was noted, and interphase analysis of 500 cells from this pretreatment sample did not indicate a CBFA2 translocation. The patient received daunorubicin and cytarabine and achieved a complete remission but relapsed after 11 months. He then had residual disease for 18 months, at which time Auer rods were noted in a peripheral blood sample. A bone marrow sample was analyzed, and the t(12;21) was noted in 18 of 22 cells. Hence, the t(12;21) represents a change from the pretreatment sample, but it is not clear whether it was associated with a therapy-related myeloid leukemia or progression of the initial disease.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that CBFA2 is involved in reciprocal translocations between 21q22 and chromosome bands 17q11.2, 5q13, 1p36, 12q24, 15q22, and 14q22 in myeloid disorders (AML, MDS, t-AML, and t-MDS). The breakpoints for 5 of the 7 CBFA2 translocations were localized to intron 6, the same intron that contains the breakpoint in most patients with the t(3;21).6 This is one intron downstream from the location of most of the breakpoints in the t(8;21).28 By analogy with the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Treatment Histories for t-AML/t-MDS Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient No. (UPN)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) Patients with balanced translocations of CBFA2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. (2213) Hodgkin’s Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. (2161) Hodgkin’s Disease (Stage 4B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. (70) Lung cancer undiff, extensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Patients without balanced translocations of CBFA2‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. (2069) Ovarian cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; t, therapy-related; CR, complete response; RL, relapse; BMT, bone marrow transplant; PBSCT, peripheral blood stem cell transplant; RT, radiation therapy; A0BVD, adriamycin, bleomycin, vincristine, vinblastine, dacarbazine; Ara-C, cytarabine; BCNU, carmustine; CCNU, lomustine; COMLA-ABP, cytoxan, vincristine, MTX with leucovorin, Ara-C, adriamycin, bleomycin and prednisone; Cy, cyclophosphamide; DHAP, dexamethasone, high-dose Ara-C, cisplatin; Mitox, mitoxantrone; MOPP, nitrogen mustard, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone; Mtx, methotrexate; Vcr, vincristine; VP16, etoposide; UPN, unique patient number.

*aTime from treatment with Topo II inhibitors is given in parentheses. Topo II inhibitors are indicated by boldface type.

†Patient no. 70 in Pedersen-Bjergaard and Philip.12

‡Results of FISH analysis: patient no. 8: two normal chromosomes 21 were labeled in each cell examined; the +der(21) was not labeled by AML21 probes; however, FISH with a painting probe confirmed that the derivative gained was from chromosome 21. Patient no. 9: Only one normal chromosome 21 was labeled by AML21 probes; the der(21) was not labeled, indicating a loss of 21q22 sequences.
t(8;21) and t(3;21), the fusion transcript should include the 5′ region through exon 6. It will be of interest to compare motifs of these partner genes with those of ETO and EAP, MDS, and EVII to see whether they share similar characteristics.

Thus, at present, we know of 9 translocation partners for CBFA2. Six are described here; 1 of these was found to be a potential recurring abnormality [the t(17;21)]. In 8 translocations, including the t(8;21) and t(3;21), CBFA2 provides the 5′ part of the fusion gene and the leukemias are myeloid, whereas in the t(12;21)(p13;q22), CBFA2 is 3′ and the phenotype is ALL.

The finding of 2 AML patients (1 with AML-M2 [patient no. 1] and 1 t-AML [patient no. 4]) with identical cytogenetic breakpoints t(17;21)(q21.2;q22) suggests a rare recurring abnormality, despite the different diagnoses. It is well established that recurring translocations involving 11q23 occur after treatment with topoisomerase II inhibitors.01,11,29,30 and MLL was demonstrated to be affected in such cases.31 Thus, by analogy to MLL, it is not surprising to find that CBFA2 is translocated in both de novo and therapy-related related disorders with a balanced translocation of 2q22, even when the partner chromosome is the same. In fact, the t(3;21) that involves CBFA2 and is usually associated with prior therapy has also been observed in at least one de novo case.9

The latency period from the initial treatment for the primary neoplasia to development of t-AML/MDS in these 4 patients had a mean of 59 months (range, 52 to 63 months). The interval from initial exposure to topoisomerase II inhibitors to development of t-AML/MDS was on average, 53 months (range, 36 to 63 months), which is longer than for most MLL translocations. In one such study, the time from initial treatment to t-AML/MDS was an average of 23 months (range, 9 to 35 months; N = 9); for the time from first topoisomerase II exposure, the average was 20 months (range, 9 to 35 months).31 Furthermore, t-MDS was present before t-AML in 2 patients reported here (patients no. 5 and 6), whereas MLL-rearranged t-AML typically presents abruptly, without a preleukemic phase.

The association of CBFA2 rearrangements with prior therapy supports the conclusions of Stanulla et al,32 who found site-specific CBFA2(AML1) cleavage inducible in vivo by topoisomerase II inhibitors for several cell types. In their study, CBFA2 sites were less specific (in that fainter bands suggestive of additional cleavage sites were found) and less sensitive (in that fewer cell lines and fewer cells had significant breakage at the main cleavage site) than in MLL. The major site-specific cleavage site in CBFA2 mapped to intron 5, slightly 5′ of the breaks for most of the patients reported here. In this study, we found 2 of 4 therapy-related breakpoints in intron 6, 1 was 5′ of exon 5 and only 1 breakpoint was in intron 5 (Fig 1 and Table 1). Unfortunately, there was no material available to determine the precise location of this patient’s breakpoint. However, the 2 breakpoints in intron 6 are located in wc-5, within 10 to 20 kb of the in vivo cleavage site (Fig 1). This same walking clone contained the breakpoint of a t(3;21) from a CML blast crisis patient.8 It will be important to examine this region for the presence of a topoisomerase II consensus cleavage site. However, characterization of the functions of the various CBFA2 fusion proteins will be critical to understanding the process of transformation in de novo and therapy-related leukemia.
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NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

Another CBFA2 translocation has been described, the t(16;21)(q24;q22), a rare translocation found in patients with MDS (N = 1) or t-MDS/t-AML (N = 3). The CBFA2 breakpoint occurs between exons 5 and 6. As in the t(8;21) and t(3;21), CBFA2 contributes 5′ sequences to a fusion gene. The partner gene, MTG16, is highly homologous to MTG8(ETO).33
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