Wilms’ Tumor (WT1) Gene Mutations Occur Mainly in Acute Myeloid Leukemia and May Confer Drug Resistance

By L. King-Underwood and K. Pritchard-Jones

In a previous study of acute leukemia, we have shown that WT1 gene mutations occur in both myeloid and biphenotypic subtypes, where they are associated with refractoriness to standard induction chemotherapy. We have now extended this study to a total of 67 cases (34 acute myeloid leukemia [AML], 23 acute lymphoblastic leukemia [ALL], 10 acute undifferentiated leukemia [AUL]/biphenotypic) and find that WT1 mutations occur in 14% of AML and 26% of biphenotypic leukemia, but are rare in ALL (one case). In contrast to the findings in Wilms’ tumor, where mutations in the WT1 gene usually behave according to Knudson’s two-hit model for tumor suppressor genes, seven of eight leukemia-associated WT1 mutations are heterozygous, implying a dominant or dominant-negative mode of action in hematopoietic cells. In AML, the presence of a WT1 mutation is associated with failure to achieve complete remission and a lower survival rate. These data confirm that WT1 mutations underlie a similar proportion of cases of AML to that seen in Wilms’ tumors and show for the first time that WT1 mutations can contribute to leukemogenesis of lymphoid as well as myeloid origin, suggesting that its normal role in hematopoiesis lies at a very early progenitor stage. The relationship of WT1 mutation to chemoresistance merits further investigation.

The Wilms’ Tumor Gene, WT1, encodes a zinc finger protein, which can function as a transcription factor (reviewed in Reddy and Licht"). WT1 was originally identified as a gene involved in genetic predisposition to the childhood kidney cancer, Wilms’ tumor, and is a paradigm for the relation of normal developmental processes to tumorigenesis. Distinct germline WT1 mutations underlie two congenital malformation syndromes, which predispose to Wilms’ tumor: complete deletion of one allele in the association of Wilms’ tumor with aniridia (Wilms’ tumor, aniridia, genitourinary abnormalities, mental retardation [WAGR] syndrome) and missense mutations in the zinc finger region in Denys-Drash syndrome (DDS), a triad of genital malformation, nephrotic syndrome, and Wilms’ tumor. Expression of WT1 is highest during embryogenesis, where it is found in multipotent progenitor cells of a restricted range of tissues, mainly in the genitourinary system. In the adult, expression of this tissue-specific gene continues in specific cell types of the kidney and gonad and, at much lower levels, in the bone marrow, where it is confined to CD34+ progenitor cells. Although murine knock-out experiments suggest WT1 is essential for the development of the genitourinary system, there is no obvious effect on the hematopoietic system, suggesting functional redundancy. Nevertheless, many leukemias, like Wilms’ tumors, exhibit overexpression of WT1 (and reviewed in Pritchard-Jones and King-Underwood"). We have shown recently that WT1 mutations occur in acute leukemias at a frequency similar to that found in sporadic Wilms’ tumors. However, the type of mutation suggested a different mechanism of action of mutant WT1 in differentiating hematopoietic cells compared with metanephric blastema.

WT1 is known to function as a transcriptional regulator, with the capability of either activating or repressing transcription, depending on the cellular context, the target promoter, and the type of WT1 isoform. WT1 is subject to alternative splicing at two sites, involving the 17 amino acids which comprise exon 5, and a three amino acid insertion (lysine-threonine-serine, KTS) between the third and fourth zinc fingers. The number of potential isoforms is increased to 16 due to the possibility of RNA editing in exon 6, changing a Leucine to a Proline, and the use of an alternative initiation codon. The splice variant whose effect has been most studied is the KTS insertion in the zinc finger region, which alters the DNA binding affinity and specificity of WT1. Intriguingly, +KTS isoforms have been shown to colocalize with splicing factors in the nucleus and WT1 does possess RNA binding activity. Therefore, WT1 is not only a dichotomous regulator in the sense of switching between transcriptional activation and repression, but may also influence gene expression through a role in RNA processing. The role of this multifunctional protein in hematopoietic differentiation is not yet understood, although possible target genes such as colony-stimulating factor have been identified in vitro. However, the findings of hematopoietic-specific mechanisms of controlling WT1 function, such as tissue-specific enhancers within the WT1 gene and variations in exon 5 splicing, suggest that WT1 has an important role in the hematopoietic system.

Mutations in the WT1 gene underlie 5% to 10% of sporadic Wilms’ tumors (reviewed in Little and Wells"). Although the majority follow Knudson’s two-hit hypothesis for tumor suppressor genes, it is now clear that a substantial minority (30%) of Wilms’ tumors retain one normal WT1 allele, suggesting that in some cases, heterozygous mutation is sufficient for tumorigenesis. Five different types of mutation are commonly found in Wilms’ tumors: large deletions of part or all of the gene (often germline), nonsense or frameshift mutations producing a truncated protein, missense mutations affecting amino acids in the zinc fingers critical for DNA binding, missense mutations affecting the putative activation or repression domains, and mutations preventing correct splicing. Approximately 75% of the WT1 mutations found in sporadic Wilms’ tumors produce a...
truncated protein, whereas missense mutations in the zinc finger region predominate in DDS.1,15

We undertook this study to investigate whether WT1 mutations are confined to leukemias of specific lineage origin and whether the types of mutations might shed light on the function of WT1 in hematopoiesis. We found that although WT1 mutations occur mainly in acute myeloid leukemias, they are also found in undifferentiated, biphenotypic, and lymphoblastic leukemias, suggesting a role for WT1 in very early hematopoiesis, before determination of the lymphoid/myeloid split. This is supported by the finding that the level of expression is highest in leukemias with immature phenotypes.16 Expression of WT1 is downregulated during differentiation of leukemic cell lines, and transfection studies show that WT1 can cause cell cycle arrest and alter apoptotic responses (reviewed in Reddy and Licht1 and Pritchard-Jones and King-Underwood).17 This may reflect a role in the control of normal hematopoiesis, which can be abrogated by mutations in the gene and form part of the pathway towards leukemogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical details. Sample 101 was from a 12-year old female with acute, morphologically undifferentiated leukemia (AUL). Cytchemistry was negative, immunophenotyping was positive for CD7, CD33, CD34, and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT). Cytogenetic analysis was not performed. After 6 weeks of standard induction chemotherapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), complete remission was achieved, before determination of the lymphoid/myeloid split. This is supported by the finding that the level of expression is highest in leukemias with immature phenotypes.16 Expression of WT1 is downregulated during differentiation of leukemic cell lines, and transfection studies show that WT1 can cause cell cycle arrest and alter apoptotic responses (reviewed in Reddy and Licht1 and Pritchard-Jones and King-Underwood).17 This may reflect a role in the control of normal hematopoiesis, which can be abrogated by mutations in the gene and form part of the pathway towards leukemogenesis.
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RESULTS

Sequencing. In this study, 36 samples from 33 patients were analyzed for the presence of WT1 mutations by direct sequencing of PCR products covering exons 2 to 10 and most of the coding sequence of exon 1. These samples included 13 ALL, 17 AML, five biphenotypic, and one undifferentiated leukemia from 10 adults and 23 children. Samples from patients at diagnosis and at subsequent relapse were analyzed in three cases of AML. One sample was from the relapse of a patient included in our earlier study.5

Heterozygous mutations were found in four patient samples (11%); two were frameshifts and two were missense mutations. Examples of each of these types of mutation are shown in Fig 1. None of the patients with samples taken at both diagnosis and relapse had WT1 mutations. All of the samples with mutations consisted of bone marrow or leukopheresed peripheral blood mononuclear cells with 93% to 100% blasts so that contamination by normal DNA was assumed to be negligible.

A heterozygous frameshift mutation was found in exon 1 in sample 87, due to an insertion of 3 bases and duplication of the preceding 7-bp. The result is a 10-bp insertion after Ala144, leading to the predicted introduction of 38 novel amino acids before a termination codon. This sample also contained a g to a in the intron 87-bp upstream of the beginning of exon 9. This heterozygous base change was not seen in any other samples. Unfortunately, the RNA from this sample was too degraded for analysis of WT1 expression so that any effect on splicing could not be ascertained.

Exon 6 contained a heterozygous point mutation in sample
This was a missense mutation, Cys282 (TGC) to Arg (CGC). No other base changes were seen in this sample.

Sample 126 contained a heterozygous insertion of a single T in exon 7, after Arg302. This is predicted to produce a sequence encoding 13 novel amino acids after Arg302 followed by a Stop codon, which terminates the protein before the zinc finger region. This insertion is at the same site as a 4-bp insertion we previously reported in a leukemia sample (patient 216).5

The fourth mutation was found in exon 9 in sample 101. This was a heterozygous missense mutation altering Arg394 (CGG) within the third zinc finger to Trp (TGG). This mutation commonly occurs as a germline mutation in DDS and is usually reduced to homozygosity in the Wilms’ tumors of DDS patients (see Reddy and Licht1 for references).

A number of samples contained apparent point mutations or frameshifts within the 5’ portion of exon 1 amplified using primers 256 and 532, which were confirmed by sequencing in both directions, but were then absent from a second or third independent PCR product. This occurred when the amplification was performed both in the presence and the absence of a proofreading polymerase, suggesting that this was not caused by typical Taq polymerase errors. A similar phenomenon was sometimes seen for the two polymorphisms present within this PCR product, with the same sample exhibiting heterozygosity or homozygosity on different occasions. This seemed to occur particularly when the sample was difficult to amplify and may be due to arbitrary amplification of only one allele when the available template concentration is low. The extreme GC-richness and repetitive nature of this region may contribute to these phenomena and make it difficult to ascertain WT1 mutations in the 5’ end of exon 1.

RNA analysis. RNA from all four of these samples was analyzed for WT1 expression by RT-PCR and by Northern blot for sample 146. Insufficient RNA was available for Northern
blot analysis for the remaining samples. Samples 101 and 146 were strongly positive for WT1 expression by RT-PCR, but WT1 mRNA was undetectable in 87 and 126, as the RNA was degraded (data not shown). Sample 146 had WT1 mRNA of the normal size on a Northern blot.

RT-PCR products from samples 146 and 101 were sequenced to assess whether the mutant alleles were expressed. Both the mutant and wild-type alleles could be seen for the exon 6 mutation in sample 146; however, expression of only the mutant allele could be detected in sample 101 (Fig 2).

**Fig 2.** Sequencing of RT-PCR products from samples with WT1 mutations and normal CEM cells. (A) 101 showing expression of only the mutant allele, T (boxed), compared with the wild-type sequence, (C) in CEM. (B) Reverse sequencing of 146 showing expression of wild-type and mutant alleles. Coding sequence is shown 3' to 5' above the reverse sequence, with the mutated T in bold.

**Relationship of WT1 mutation to chemosensitivity.** To assess the relationship of WT1 mutation to clinical outcome, we combined data from this and our previous study and confined the analysis to the largest single diagnostic group, AML at first diagnosis (n = 33). None of the four patients with WT1 mutation in this group went into remission with standard induction chemotherapy (Fig 3A). Both disease-free survival and overall survival were significantly worse in those with WT1 mutation (Fig 3B and C). Although log-rank comparisons in both probability of first remission and overall survival gave significant P values (.02 and .03, respectively), the power of these calculations (.35 and .15, respectively) is low due to the small group size. We therefore cannot be certain that they are typical.

**DISCUSSION**

In our earlier study,5 we found WT1 mutations in samples from four patients, three with AML and one with biphenotypic
leukemia, all of whom were adults. This second cohort of patients includes two cases of WT1 mutation in childhood leukemia, one of which is a T-ALL, demonstrating that WT1 mutation is not restricted to adults or to the myeloid lineage, although mutations are more frequent in these groups. In total, we have analyzed 67 patients and have found nine WT1 mutations in eight patients (12%) (summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3). This is similar to the proportion of Wilms’ tumors which have WT1 mutations. 15 A previous study of 48 cases of childhood acute leukemia failed to show any with WT1 mutation, but this included only 15 cases of AML. 21 This discrepancy may therefore be accounted for by the small sample size and the possibility that WT1 mutation is rarer in childhood than adult AML. A second study of adult leukemias (39 cases of CML, 13 of ALL, and 11 of AML) found a single case of ALL in which WT1 was aberrantly spliced to produce an in-frame fusion of zinc finger 2 onto 4.22 This deletion of zinc finger 3 has been shown previously to produce a WT1 protein with dominant oncogenic properties.23

The effects on WT1 function of the mutations we have found can be predicted by comparison with similar mutations associated with Wilms’ tumor. Both of the exon 9 mutations have previously been reported in sporadic Wilms’ tumors and as germline mutations in patients with DDS (Arg394 = Trp, 101)

### Table 1. Leukemic Samples Screened for WT1 Mutation in This Study and King-Underwood et al

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>No. of Samples</th>
<th>WT1 Mutation</th>
<th>Children (WT1 mutation)</th>
<th>Adult (WT1 mutation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11* (0)</td>
<td>22 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3* (0)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapsed</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 (0)</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B precursor</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>2 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-ALL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapsed</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUL/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biphenotypic</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7† (1)</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>37 (2)</td>
<td>34 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes three patients analyzed at both presentation and relapse.
† Includes one patient analyzed at both presentation and relapse.
and a patient with bilateral Wilms’ tumor (Arg390 → Stop, 232M).24 Both of these mutations have been shown to abolish DNA binding by WT1.25,26 The mutation at Cys282 in sample 146 is only the second missense mutation to be reported in exon 6; the other was in a case of multicystic mesothelioma.27 Cys282 is conserved in WT1 from rat, mouse, alligator, chick, zebrafish,28 and Xenopus,29 suggesting that it has functional significance. Indeed, the similar missense mutation in the mesothelioma has been shown to convert WT1 from a transcriptional repressor to an activator. Frameshift mutations in exons 1 and 7, similar to those we have described in leukemias, have also been described in Wilms’ tumors.18,30-32 Such truncated forms of WT1 are incapable of DNA binding, but are thought to act in a dominant-negative manner, interfering with the remaining wild-type allele. Indeed WT1 has been shown to self-associate and truncated forms do alter the subnuclear localization of the wild-type protein.33 As few as the first 180 amino acids are capable of interfering with WT1 transcriptional activity.34 The greater frequency of heterozygous mutations in leukemias suggests such dominant or dominant negative effects are of greater significance in hematopoietic cells than in developing kidney cells, implying the existence of hematopoiesis-specific proteins whose interaction with wild-type WT1 can be disrupted by a truncated WT1.

Like those seen in Wilms’ tumors, the majority of WT1 mutations seen in leukemias cause truncation of the protein; however, in contrast to Wilms’ tumors, they are mainly heterozygous. Although apparent heterozygosity could in theory be caused by contaminating normal cells, we do not believe this to be the case here as all samples contained 93% to 100% leukemic blasts. Further evidence for true heterozygosity is provided by analysis of WT1 expression: wild-type mRNA should not come from normal bone marrow contaminants, as these express only very low levels of WT1 compared with leukemic blasts. Two samples (146 and 232M) were definitely heterozygous based on expression of mutant and wild-type WT1 alleles. Two of the samples with mutations appeared to express only the mutant allele (132 and 101). In these cases, mutation of the other allele outside the coding region, affecting either promoter activity or mRNA stability, or other epigenetic effects such as imprinting may contribute to the expression of only one allele. One case, 58, was a compound heterozygote with a different mutation in each WT1 allele, demonstrating that some leukemias conform to the two hit hypothesis.

Our data support the notion that heterozygous WT1 mutations are sufficient to contribute to leukemogenesis. This raises the questions of what is the normal role of WT1 in hematopoiesis and how do mutations cause cellular transformation? In both DDS and WAGR syndromes, WT1 mutations are dominant for genitourinary development, but usually recessive for tumorigenesis. In these and other individuals known to have germline WT1 mutations, hematopoiesis appears to be intact, although the number of such individuals is small and detailed analysis of the hematopoietic system and tolerance of chemotherapy have not been reported. Hence, subtle defects may have gone undetected. Similar to findings in the murine WT1 null model, WT1 appears not to be essential for hematopoiesis in man, as one patient with Wilms’ tumor and no obvious hematopoietic defect is reported to have a germline homozygous WT1 missense mutation.35 Whatever its role in hematopoiesis, WT1 exhibits functional redundancy in this tissue. However, Wilms’ tumor patients do have an increased frequency of leukemias as

---

**Table 2. WT1 Mutations in Leukemia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>Sample Expression</th>
<th>Reference No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frameshifts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion 10 bp after Ala144</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td>Heterozygous</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion 1 bp after Ser121</td>
<td>Exon 1</td>
<td>Compound heterozygous</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplication 4 bp after Ser313</td>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td>Compound heterozygous</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion 5 bp after Val900</td>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td>Heterozygous</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion 1 bp after Arg390</td>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td>Heterozygous</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion 4 bp after Arg390</td>
<td>Exon 7</td>
<td>Heterozygous</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C → T Arg390 → Stop</td>
<td>Exon 9</td>
<td>Heterozygous</td>
<td>232M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missense</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T → C Cys282 → Arg</td>
<td>Exon 6</td>
<td>Heterozygous</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C → T Arg394 → Trp</td>
<td>Exon 9</td>
<td>Heterozygous</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3. Summary of Clinical Features of Patients With WT1 Mutations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Cytogenetics</th>
<th>Achieved CR</th>
<th>Clinical Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58*</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>AML M1</td>
<td>No clone</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Died of refractory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Relapsed AML M0</td>
<td>47 XX + 19</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Died of toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216*</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>AML M2</td>
<td>No clone</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Died of refractory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232M*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>AML M3</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Died of cerebral hemorrhage, d 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>AML M3</td>
<td>47 XY (15;17) + 8, −21</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Died of disease after second relapse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Relapsed 21 months after diagnosis, still on treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132*</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Biphenotypic</td>
<td>Complex &gt;80</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Died of refractory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>AUL</td>
<td>del (6)(q2), del (11)(p1), add (11)(p1)</td>
<td>?No</td>
<td>Died of disease 1 year after diagnosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See King-Underwood et al9 for further details.*
second primary tumors, some of which may be due to WT1 mutation.\(^7,^{15}\)

The cell type expressing WT1 in normal bone marrow remains elusive. It appears to be confined to the CD34 positive hematopoietic progenitor cell compartment, but is present at such low levels that it is not detected consistently.\(^2\) This implies that WT1 is either expressed at very low levels by several cell types or that its expression is confined to a very infrequent cell. The latter might imply that WT1 expression is a property of the hematopoietic stem cell. The fact that expression persists at high levels in both myeloid and lymphoid acute leukemias, as well as our demonstration of WT1 mutations in leukemias of both lineages, would support this view. Indeed, recently it has been shown that AML derives from a very primitive cell, close to the hematopoietic stem cell.\(^37\) It seems likely that WT1 will play a role in control of differentiation at this early stage. One conundrum is whether high levels of WT1 expression seen in leukemias represent ectopic expression or simply reflect the arrested differentiation stage of the equivalent normal progenitor, as seems to be the case in Wilms’ tumors. If we accept that WT1 is normally expressed by an infrequent hematopoietic progenitor cell, then it is more likely that leukemic expression of WT1 reflects cellular origin rather than aberrant expression.

Although the numbers are small, it appears that in primary AML, the presence of WT1 mutation is associated with a failure to respond satisfactorily to standard induction chemotherapy. Such drug resistance is compatible with WT1 having a role in cell cycle checkpoints and apoptotic responses to cytotoxic agents. Several recent experiments have shown that in vitro modulation of WT1 expression can either inhibit or stimulate apoptosis depending on the isoforms used and the cell type, and that exon 5-containing isoforms appear to cause cell cycle arrest.\(^1,^{38-40}\) Antisense experiments suggest that WT1 is necessary for continued proliferation and protection from apoptosis of some leukemic cell lines.\(^41,^{42}\) The various isoforms also have differential effects on differentiation.\(^43\) We have shown that alternative splicing of the WT1 gene is controlled in a cell type-specific manner, with hematopoietic cells and acute leukemias having an excess of + exon 5 isoforms compared with fetal kidney cells.\(^4\) The WT1 gene is also known to contain enhancer sequences, which are only active in hematopoietic cells. Because WT1 function appears to depend critically on the intracellular milieu and the relative isoform presence, it is perhaps not surprising that the type of mutation (heterozygous or homozygous) differs between leukemia and Wilms’ tumor. We speculate that high levels of WT1 expression in acute leukemia promote proliferation and protect from apoptosis. Mutant WT1 may have the same effect, not through loss of function mutations as seen in Wilms’ tumor, but rather through protein–protein interactions of the truncated protein, which are specific to hematopoietic cells. These could act either to alter the function of the remaining wild-type WT1 or by binding to novel protein targets. Evidence to support these hypotheses requires knowledge of the regulatory pathways which involve WT1, and how these are affected by alterations in isoform ratios; as yet, in vitro cotransfection studies have not convincingly identified any target genes regulated by physiologically relevant levels of WT1 and further studies are required.

In conclusion, we have shown that WT1 mutations occur in both myeloid and lymphoid acute leukemias and suggest that the action of mutant WT1 may be different in hematopoietic versus nephrogenic cells. The question of whether WT1 mutation is involved in leukemic initiation or progression remains unanswered. Clearly other genetic events contribute to the leukemogenic phenotype as shown by the additional cytogenetic changes in several of our cases. A greater understanding of how WT1 contributes to leukemogenesis should lead to its rational use as a panleukemic target for therapy.
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