Gene Immunotherapy in Murine Acute Myeloid Leukemia: Granulocyte-Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor Tumor Cell Vaccines Elicit More Potent Antitumor Immunity Compared With B7 Family and Other Cytokine Vaccines
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In an attempt to explore novel treatment modalities in acute myeloid leukemia (AML), we studied the role of costimulatory and cytokine gene immunotherapy in murine AML. We have previously shown that leukemic mice can be cured with CD80 transfected leukemic cells (B7.1-AML vaccine) administered early in the course of the disease and that the failure of B7.1-AML vaccines administered late cannot be attributed to immunosuppression induced by tumor growth. CD8+ T cells, which are necessary for tumor rejection, are activated rather than suppressed during the first half of the leukemic course in nonvaccinated mice. In this report, we question whether CD86 (B7.2) or the cytokines granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interleukin-4 (IL-4), or tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) can improve the vaccination potential of AML cells. The choice of cytokines was based on their combined and alone as well ability to direct the differentiation of CD34+ cells into potent antigen-presenting dendritic cells in vitro. Our studies show that (1) mice vaccinated with a leukemicogenic number of AML cells engineered to express B7.2 (B7.2-AML) or to secrete GM-CSF, IL-4, or TNF-α (GM-1, IL-4, TNF-α-AML) do not develop leukemia; (2) GM-AML cells are tumorigenic in sublethally irradiated SJ L/J mice but not in Swiss nu/nu mice, indicating that killing of tumor cells is not T-cell-dependent; (3) vaccines with irradiated GM-AML, but not B7.2, IL-4-, or TNF-α-AML cells, can elicit leukemia-specific protective and therapeutic immunity; and (4) in head-to-head comparison experiments, vaccination with irradiated GM-AML is more potent than B7.1-AML, curing 80% and providing 20% prolonged survival of the leukemic mice at week 2, as opposed to cures only up to 1 week with B7.1-AML vaccines. These preclinical data emphasize that GM-CSF gene immunotherapy deserves clinical evaluation in AML.
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leukemic burden; hence, their efficacy is lost in later stages of the disease.26,27 The hypothesis that progressive tumor growth modulates the outcome of immunotherapeutic strategies was not confirmed by our later studies, showing that the CD8+ T cells necessary for tumor rejection are activated rather than suppressed during the leukemic course.40 In this respect, we investigated whether transduction of AML cells with molecules other than B7.1 can enhance immunogenicity and vaccine efficacy. First, we tested whether the costimulatory ligand CD86 (B7.2), the second member of the B7 family costimulatory molecules, has been variably efficacious in a number of murine tumor models.28,31-33 Second, we studied the role of GM-CSF, IL-4, and TNF-α as single cytokine vaccines in murine AML. In this report, we show that vaccination with B7.2-, IL-4-, and TNF-α–AML cells activates tumor-killing mechanisms resulting in rejection of the inoculated leukemic burden, but does not elicit leukemia-specific immunity. GM-AML vaccines, on the other hand, provide potent, long-lasting antitumor immunity and can cure mice with a considerably larger tumor burden than mice cured with B7.1-AML vaccines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. Female SJL/J mice (H-2b), 6 to 8 weeks old, were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) or Charles River Laboratories (NCI-Frederick Cancer Research & Development Center, Frederick, MD). Swiss nu/nu mice were purchased from Taconic Laboratories (Germantown, NY). The animals were kept at the animal facility of Dana-Farber Cancer Institute according to the institute’s guidelines.

Murine AML model. The murine AML model used in this study has been previously described.27 Briefly, radiation-induced AML cells33 are maintained by growth in syngeneic SJL/J female mice. Mice injected intravenously (IV) or intraperitoneally (IP) with ≥10⁵ AML develop lethal leukemia in 4 to 5 weeks. In all experiments, freshly isolated or frozen spleen mononuclear cells from leukemic mice (killed just before succumbing to leukemic burden) were used.

Retroviral constructs and producer clones. A cDNA fragment encoding the entire open reading frame of murine B7.2 was amplified by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction from total cellular RNA extracted from the murine B-cell line A20 (activated for 24 hours with 4 µg/mL lipopolysaccharide). The B7.2-specific sense and antisense primers had the sequences 5′-ATCGATGAAGACCCAGATGGAC-3′ and 5′-ATCGATGTCCTCTCTTGTTGTCG-3′, respectively.31 The full-length murine B7.2 cDNA was subcloned in sense and antisense (mock virus) orientation at the multiple cloning site of the LNCX retroviral vector (kindly provided by Rusty Miller, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA). For generating virus stocks, retroviral producer clones were transduced with the murine GM-CSF receptor (kindly provided by Dr. Robert Virella, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute) according to the institute’s guidelines (Amersham Life Science, Little Chalfont, UK).

Immunostaining and flow cytometry analysis. Cells were stained as previously described.27 The following antibodies (PharMingen) were used in this study: CD3 (145-2C11), CD4 (RM4-5), CD8a (53-6.7), CD5 (53-7.3), CD18 (C71/16), CD11b (M1/70), CD25 (7D4), CD45 (30F11.1), CD44 (IM7), CD45R/B220 (RA3-6B2), CD54 (3E2), CD62L (MEL-14), CD69 (H1.2F3), CD80 (1G10), CD86 (GL1), and CD95 (Jo2).

In vivo immunization studies. SJL/J mice or Swiss nu/nu mice were injected IP with live or irradiated (3,200 cGy from a 137 Cs source) AML cells. We have previously shown that irradiation of AML cells with 3,200 cGy abrogates their tumorigenicity.27 In most of the experiments, in vivo transduced AML cells were used and diluted in 200 to 300 µL of phosphate-buffered saline.

Statistical analysis. Most individual experiments consisted of 10 mice per treatment group. The data analyzed represent the results of one or two individual experiments. Cytokine values secreted by transduced AML cells are the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).
RESULTS

Infection of AML cells. AML cells were exposed to E86-B7.2-sense or E86-B7.2-antisense viral supernatants as described in the Materials and Methods. Expression of B7.2 on infected, unselected AML cells was confirmed by surface staining and flow cytometry (Fig 1A). Transduction of AML cells with the MFG-cytokine retroviral constructs resulted in secretion of the gene products and did not alter their in vitro growth characteristics after 3 to 4 days of culture (data not shown). The amount of cytokines produced by cytokine-transduced AML cells (cytokine-AML) was evaluated using specific ELISA assays (Fig 1B). The cytokine levels were comparable to production levels reported in other tumor vaccine models. A sample of some recent reports is given in Table 1.36-39 Irradiation of cytokine-AML cells (3,200 cGy) did not abrogate cytokine secretion in vitro for at least 4 days (data not shown).

B7.2-AML cells have reduced tumorigenicity but do not elicit systemic immunity. We have previously shown that one IV injection of irradiated B7.1-AML cells can protect mice from subsequent challenge with wild-type AML cells and that one exposure to irradiated, B7.1-AML cells can cure leukemic mice vaccinated up to 1 week after leukemia inoculation (early vaccination), whereas after 2 weeks of leukemic inoculation (late vaccination) the same vaccine only delays tumor growth.27 To evaluate the role of B7.2 expression on the leukemic cell growth, mice were injected IV with increasing numbers of live B7.2-AML cells and their clinical outcome was monitored. As shown in Fig 2A, mice injected with 10^5 B7.2-AML cells rejected their tumor, whereas mice injected with 10^6 B7.2-AML cells developed lethal leukemia. Flow cytometry showed a consistent population of AML cells (20% to 25%) not expressing B7.2 after retroviral infection (Fig 1A). Therefore, we tested if mice injected with 5 × 10^5 purified B7.2-AML cells would reject their leukemia. All mice in this experiment developed lethal leukemia at the expected interval (data not shown). We next examined if immunization with irradiated B7.2-AML cells could elicit systemic immunity and protect mice against subsequent challenge with wild-type AML cells. Mice were immunized with irradiated (3,200 cGy) 10^5 or 2 × 10^6 B7.2-AML cells and 2 weeks later were challenged with live 10^5 wild-type AML cells. As shown in Fig 2B, challenge was lethal to both groups of vaccinated mice, and even vaccinations with as high as 2 × 10^6 irradiated B7.2-AML cells only prolonged survival for 5 to 7 days.

Finally, we examined whether B7.2-AML cells could rescue leukemic mice with very small leukemic burden. Mice were injected with 10^4 AML cells (lowest tumorigenic number) and immunized 2 days later with live or irradiated 10^5 B7.2-AML cells. All mice in this experiment developed lethal leukemia after 5 weeks (data not shown). Taken together, these results show that, in this AML model, expression of B7.2 on the AML cells can initiate significant tumor-killing mechanisms, thus reducing their tumorigenicity. However, B7.2-AML cells (at least the numbers used in this study) do not induce protective or therapeutic immunity.

Fig 1. (A) CD86 expression on infected AML cells. Spleen AML cells were infected with either CD86-sense (a) or CD86-antisense (b) producer clones as described in the Materials and Methods. (C) Control IgG (rat IgG-PE); (C) CD86-PE (anti-B7.2) MoAb. The hidden portion of the control curve in (a) drops monotonically as a function of fluorescence intensity. (B) Cytokine production by transduced AML cells. Levels of GM-CSF, IL-4, and TNF-α secreted by retrovirally transduced, unselected AML cells cultured for 48 hours at 10^6 cells/mL were determined by sandwich ELISA using specific antimurine MoAbs for capture and detection. Data are shown as the mean ± SD of six independent experiments. (C) AML cells express GM-CSFR as described in the Materials and Methods. AML cells cultured for 24 hours in the presence of IL-3 downregulate GM-CSFR expression (lane 2).
To evaluate if cytokine gene transduction of AML cells would have any effect on their tumorigenicity and immunogenicity, groups of mice were injected with live $10^5$ to $10^6$ GM-AML, IL-4–AML, or TNF-α–AML cells or with mock-infected control AML cells. Mice did not develop any signs of toxicity and only mice injected IV with live $10^5$ to $10^6$ GM-AML cells developed a transient increase of the white blood cell count (up to $18 \times 10^3/\mu$L, from the normal $10$ to $12 \times 10^3/\mu$L), between weeks 2 and 3 after tumor inoculation. All mice inoculated with $10^5$ cytokine-AML (GM-, IL-4–, or TNF-α–AML) cells rejected their tumors (Fig 3A). From the groups of mice inoculated with live $10^6$ cells, only the GM-AML group rejected the leukemic cells, whereas IL-4–AML— or TNF-α–AML— injected mice only had prolonged survival for 1 to 2 weeks (Fig 3A).

We next examined if vaccinations of mice with irradiated cytokine-AML cells could elicit systemic immunity. Groups of mice were immunized with irradiated $10^5$ cytokine-AML (GM-, IL-4–, or TNF-α–AML) cells and were challenged 2 weeks later with $10^5$ live wild-type AML cells. As shown in Fig 3B, only GM-AML–vaccinated mice developed protective immunity and survived the tumor challenge, whereas the challenge was lethal to all mice immunized with IL-4–AML or TNF-α–AML cells. To determine whether higher numbers of irradiated IL-4– or TNF-α–AML cells could elicit protective immunity, the same experiments were repeated with irradiated $2 \times 10^6$ IL-4– or TNF-α–AML cells. All animals in these experiments succumbed to subsequent challenge with $10^5$ live wild-type AML cells (data not shown). These results clearly show variability in the efficacy of the 3 different cytokine vaccines in the same AML model. Whereas significant tumor-cell killing mechanisms appear to follow each of the cytokine vaccines at low tumor cell doses (Fig 3A), the ability to elicit protective immunity is restricted to GM-AML cells (Fig 3B).

Rejection of GM-AML cells is not T-cell–dependent. In an attempt to confirm that $10^5$ GM-AML cells were as leukemogenic as wild-type AML cells, we injected Swiss nu/nu mice with $10^5$ GM-AML or mock-infected control AML cells. Mice did not develop any signs of toxicity and only mice injected IV with live $10^5$ to $10^6$ GM-AML cells developed a transient increase of the white blood cell count (up to $18 \times 10^3/\mu$L, from the normal $10$ to $12 \times 10^3/\mu$L), between weeks 2 and 3 after tumor inoculation. All mice inoculated with $10^5$ cytokine-AML (GM-, IL-4–, or TNF-α–AML) cells rejected their tumors (Fig 3A). From the groups of mice inoculated with live $10^6$ cells, only the GM-AML group rejected the leukemic cells, whereas IL-4–AML— or TNF-α–AML— injected mice only had prolonged survival for 1 to 2 weeks (Fig 3A).

We next examined if vaccinations of mice with irradiated cytokine-AML cells could elicit systemic immunity. Groups of mice were immunized with irradiated $10^5$ cytokine-AML (GM-, IL-4–, or TNF-α–AML) cells and were challenged 2 weeks later with $10^5$ live wild-type AML cells. As shown in Fig 3B, only GM-AML–vaccinated mice developed protective immunity and survived the tumor challenge, whereas the challenge was lethal to all mice immunized with IL-4–AML or TNF-α–AML cells. To determine whether higher numbers of irradiated IL-4– or TNF-α–AML cells could elicit protective immunity, the same experiments were repeated with irradiated $2 \times 10^6$ IL-4– or TNF-α–AML cells. All animals in these experiments succumbed to subsequent challenge with $10^5$ live wild-type AML cells (data not shown). These results clearly show variability in the efficacy of the 3 different cytokine vaccines in the same AML model. Whereas significant tumor-cell killing mechanisms appear to follow each of the cytokine vaccines at low tumor cell doses (Fig 3A), the ability to elicit protective immunity is restricted to GM-AML cells (Fig 3B).

**Table 1. Levels of Cytokines Secreted by Transduced Tumor Cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector</th>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>GM-CSF</th>
<th>IL-4</th>
<th>TNF-α</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MFG</td>
<td>NIH3T3</td>
<td>13.5 ng/mL*</td>
<td>166 ng/mL</td>
<td>4 ng/mL</td>
<td>Wakimoto et al36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFG</td>
<td>A20</td>
<td>130 ng/mL†</td>
<td>12 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>Levitsky et al37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC/AD/R</td>
<td>MatLyLu</td>
<td>90 ng/mL‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vieweg et al38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXSN</td>
<td>TS/A</td>
<td>5.4 U/mL§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pericle et al39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $1 \times 10^6$ cells cultured in 100-mm dishes containing 10 mL medium for 48 hours.
† $1 \times 10^6$ cells cultured in 100-mm dishes containing 5 mL medium for 24 hours.
‡ $1 \times 10^6$ cells cultured in 1 mL medium for 48 hours.
§ $1 \times 10^6$ cells cultured in 1 mL medium for 48 hours.
We next examined if we could detect by flow cytometry any cell population changes in the spleens of nude mice that reject GM-AML cells. Swiss nu/nu mice were injected with live GM-AML or B7.1-AML cells (previously shown to be leukemic). Control mice were injected with mock-infected AML cells. The spleens were removed 3 days later, and spleen mononuclear cells were stained with the MoAbs described in the Materials and Methods directed against a wide range of hematopoietic subsets. There were no differences observed for 14 of 20 surface markers studied (including the T-cell markers CD3, CD4, and CD8 that were negative) between naive and experimental mice. However, GM-AML–injected mice showed an increased expression of CD80, CD86, IL-2R, CD18, and Mac-1. In addition, a larger population of cells (25.31% v 18.51% in naive mice) was negative for the B-cell–specific marker B220 (Fig 5). These data clearly show that injection of nude mice with GM-AML cells leads to an influx of non-B cells in the spleen of the animals. Most likely, the majority of these cells are of myeloid-monocytic origin, expressing APC/monocytic activation markers such as CD80, CD86, and IL-2R. GM-AML vaccines cure mice with larger tumor burden than B7.1-AML vaccines and elicit leukemia-specific memory cells. Experiments were designed to evaluate the effectiveness of different cytokine vaccines in leukemic mice and to compare them with B7.1-AML vaccines that can only cure mice with...
small (1 week) leukemic burden. Mice were inoculated with 10^5 wild-type AML cells and 1 week later were immunized with irradiated 10^5 GM-, IL-4-, or TNF-α-AML cells. All mice vaccinated with IL-4-AML or TNF-α-AML cells developed lethal leukemia at the expected interval (data not shown), whereas 100% of mice vaccinated with irradiated GM-AML rejected their tumor. We then examined if GM-AML vaccines administered 2 or 3 weeks after tumor inoculation were able to rescue mice from lethal leukemia. In this experiment, 90% of mice vaccinated at week 2 and 20% of mice vaccinated at week 3 rejected their leukemia and remained tumor-free for an observation period of 4 months (Fig 6A). To directly compare the effectiveness of B7.1-AML and GM-AML vaccines in this model, we performed a head-to-head comparison of the vaccines in the same experiment. Mice were injected with 10^5 AML cells and 1 or 2 weeks later they were vaccinated with irradiated 10^5 B7.1-AML or GM-AML cells; nonvaccinated leukemic mice and leukemic mice injected with mock-infected AML cells were used as control. All mice vaccinated at week 1 with either B7.1-AML or GM-AML cells rejected their leukemia. Vaccinations with B7.1-AML cells at week 2 had no effect on survival and 100% of the mice developed lethal leukemia. On the contrary, vaccinations with GM-AML cells at week 2 resulted in 80% cure and 20% prolonged survival of leukemic mice. These results clearly show that, in the SJL/J AML model, GM-AML vaccines provide more potent antileukemia immunity than do B7.1-AML vaccines. In an attempt to investigate if leukemia rejection by SJL/J mice involved immune mechanisms leading to leukemia-specific memory, mice that had been rescued by week 2 GM-AML vaccines were challenged 4 months later with 10^5 wild-type AML cells. This experiment showed that 67% of the mice had developed immunologic memory that enabled them to survive the AML challenge (Fig 6C).

**DISCUSSION**

In this report we expanded our studies on gene immunotherapy in a primary murine AML model. Our previous work has shown that leukemia growth per se does not induce T-cell unresponsiveness or a Th2 cytokine profile and that B7.1-AML vaccines can cure leukemic mice without a large leukemic burden. We investigated here the possibility of using either B7.2- or cytokine-transduced AML cells (GM-CSF, IL-4, and TNF-α) as more effective vaccines. Our results clearly show that, in this AML experimental model, there is dissociation between tumor-killing and vaccine-induced tumor immunity and that, from the molecules studied, only GM-CSF can cure leukemic mice with considerable leukemic burden and elicit protective memory immune responses. Furthermore, we show

---

**Fig 6.** GM-AML vaccines cure mice with larger tumor burden than B7.1-AML vaccines and elicit leukemia-specific memory cells. (A) SJ L/J mice were inoculated with live 10^5 wild-type AML cells (open arrow). One (●), 2 (▲), or 3 weeks (□) later (10 mice per group), they were immunized with 10^5 irradiated (3,200 cGy) GM-AML cells. Five control mice (○) were not vaccinated after leukemia inoculation. (B) Mice were inoculated with live 10^5 wild-type AML cells, and 1 or 2 weeks (solid arrows) later, they were immunized with 10^5 irradiated GM-AML or B7.1-AML cells (10 mice per group). Nonvaccinated leukemic mice and leukemic mice injected with mock-infected AML cells were used as control (○). All mice vaccinated at week 1 with either B7.1-AML (●) or GM-AML (■) cells rejected their leukemia. All mice vaccinated at week 2 with B7.1-AML (□) cells developed lethal leukemia. Vaccinations with GM-AML cells at week 2 (▲) resulted in 80% cure and 20% prolonged survival. (C) SJ L/J mice (9 mice) that had been rescued by week 2 GM-AML vaccine were challenged 4 months later with 10^5 wild-type AML cells (solid arrow). Six of nine vaccinated mice (67%; ■) survived the challenge with AML cells. Control mice (○) developed lethal leukemia.
that the antitumor activity of GM-CSF is present in nude mice, indicating that cell populations other than T cells are involved in GM-AML cell elimination. Several questions arise from our data. (1) Why are tumor-cell–induced B7.1 and B7.2 costimulatory signals different in the same AML model, in that B7.2 is not inducing T-cell–mediated antileukemia protective and therapeutic immunity? (2) Why are IL-4– and TNF-α–AML cells ineffective in providing antileukemia immunity? (3) Why can GM-CSF vaccines fight a larger tumor burden than B7.1-AML vaccines? (4) Why do not all GM-CSF–vaccinated leukemic mice develop antileukemia memory?

There is increasing evidence that the two-signal axiom does not completely cover the complex process of T-cell activation and that CD28 ligation is not necessary for initial T-cell activation and proliferation but is required for cell survival.42-44 Indeed, a sequential multiple-step model for T-cell activation has been proposed.45 According to this model, primary costimulatory signals can be delivered by several adhesion and costimulatory molecules, and continued and prolonged B7/CD28 interaction leads to optimal T activation by inducing cytokine gene transcription and cytokine mRNA stabilization.44-46 These observations support our reported data that AML growth induces activation of T cells in the initial stages of leukemia, despite the fact that AML cells in the SJL/J model do not express B7 family costimulatory molecules.30 Another recently refined concept is that, although the costimulatory molecules B7.1 and B7.2 both bind to CD28 and CTLA-4, their binding with these receptors mediates distinct biologic functions.43,44 As opposed to the widely demonstrated positive effect of CD28 ligation in T-cell activation and survival, it has been reported that CTLA-4 costimulation delivers downregulatory signals, either by inhibiting signaling through the TCR,47 provoking an active CTLA-4–mediated apoptotic death,48 or by inducing cell cycle arrest in G1/G0.49 It has also been reported that, although B7.1 and B7.2 have the same high affinity for CTLA-4, this receptor on T cells may have a differential response to B7.1 and B7.2 ligation.50,51 However, these differences between the two costimulatory molecules cannot directly address the question as to why B7.1 costimulation, when provided by engineered tumor cells, is superior to B7.2 in several tumor models. It has been hypothesized that B7.2 costimulation may promote a Th2-type cytokine profile of T cells.28,52 In studies comparing immune parameters of mice vaccinated with various types of vaccines, we could not confirm a Th2 cytokine profile in B7.2-AML–vaccinated mice (K. Dunussi-Joannopoulos, unpublished data). Moreover, it has been recently shown that B7.1 and B7.2 do not appear to selectively regulate Th1 versus Th2 differentiation.53 In the SJL/J AML model, B7.1-AML costimulation is capable of eliciting leukemia-specific immunity and leukemia-specific memory CTLs, whereas B7.2-AML costimulation is not providing protective or therapeutic antileukemia immunity. We speculate that the differential clinical outcome mirrors a positive B7.1/CD28 signal, leading to clonal CTL expansion, and a negative B7.2/CTLA-4?) signal resulting in absence (elimination?) of leukemia-specific T-cell responses. A recent report that treatment of mice with CTLA-4 MoAb prevented tumor outgrowth and induced the regression of established tumors strongly suggests that, at least in tumor models, a negative signal mediated by CTLA-4 plays a more decisive role than a positive signal mediated by CD28. However, this phenomenon still suffers from lack of cellular and structural detail.

Another issue for discussion is the efficacy of cytokine gene immunotherapy in murine AML. Studies in murine, mostly nonhematopoietic, tumor models have shown that certain cytokines produced by genetically engineered tumor cells lead to decreased tumorigenicity and increased immunogenicity of the transduced tumor cells.15-19 A variety of cytokines have been described that augment host antitumor immunity. We show that secretion of the cytokines GM-CSF, IL-4, or TNF-α in this AML model is each able to initiate immune responses that inhibit the in vivo growth of transduced AML cells. However, the desirable clinical outcome of tumor vaccines, ie, recruitment of tumor-specific protective and therapeutic immunity, was only achieved with GM-CSF gene immunotherapy, which suggests that tumor growth inhibition and antitumor immunity may be mediated by different cell populations in cytokine tumor models.55 Activated natural killer cells, macrophages, neutrophils, and eosinophils may be involved in direct killing of cytokine-AML cells, as shown by GM-AML cell experiments. Rejection of GM-AML cells in Swiss nude mice correlates with detection of a non-B–cell splenic population expressing myeloid and activated monocytic/APC surface markers, confirming that T cells are not necessary for tumor rejection. Participation of both innate and T-cell–mediated immunity in GM-CSF immunotherapy may partially explain its superiority as compared with B7.1-AML vaccines in this experimental AML model. On the contrary, the lack of immunogenicity of the IL-4– or TNF-α–AML cells (at least the numbers used in this study) suggests that the cytokines released by transduced cells may initiate rapid tumor clearance mechanisms, possibly resulting in limited loading of APCs with tumor antigen(s) and ineffective priming of leukemia-specific CTLs.21 Alternatively, mechanisms of T-cell immunosuppression may govern this outcome.

We are currently investigating immune parameters in cytokine-AML–vaccinated mice and the role of combined cytokine gene immunotherapy in the SJL/J AML model.

The introduction of the hematopoietic cytokine GM-CSF into treatment regimens for AML raised concerns several years ago, because it was well established that AML progenitor cells require hematopoietic growth factors (HGF) for survival and proliferation,56,57 although they usually show little maturation under the influence of these regulators.58 The demonstration in the early 1990s that HGF could shorten the duration of neutropenia after intensive chemotherapy for solid tumors provoked the introduction of GM-CSF and G-CSF into AML clinical trials.8 GM-CSF has been used in three general clinical situations in AML: for attenuation of neutropenia after intensive chemotherapy for solid tumors, for sensitization of leukemic cells to cytotoxic therapy, and for the induction of terminal differentiation of leukemic cells.4,8 The conclusions from numerous clinical trials that have been conducted during the last years are still debatable.4 However, most importantly, early concerns about a possible proliferation of the blasts have not been confirmed.4,8 Several recent studies on tumor models have shown that GM-CSF gene immunotherapy is highly therapeutic.37-40,61 Based on our data on the AML model, a two-step use for GM gene immunotherapy in AML is feasible. First, administration of GM-CSF transduced AML cells during induction as a
substitute for the systemic cytokine administration. GM-CSF secretion by AML cells in the bone marrow microenvironment will address the issue of dose limitations raised by the toxic effects of systemic cytokine administration.8 When patients are in remission having minimal residual disease, an additional dose(s) of GM-AML vaccines will need to be administered to achieve therapeutic benefits mediated by the immune system. However, certain concerns arise from our observation that long-lasting antileukemia memory was not maintained by all vaccinated mice. Model systems in which the fate of tumor-specific T cells can be monitored in vivo, with or without the vaccinated mice. Model systems in which the fate of tumor-{long}-lasting antileukemia memory was not maintained by all vaccinated mice. Model systems in which the fate of tumor-immunity by the B7 counterreceptor for the T lymphocyte molecules. Semin Hematol 32:201, 1995
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