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Differential Release of Mast Cell Interleukin-6 Via c-kit

By Eleni Gagari, Mindy Tsai, Chris S. Lantz, Lisa G. Fox, and Stephen J. Galli

Mast cells represent a potential source of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and other cytokines that have been implicated in host defense, tissue maintenance/remodeling, immunoregulation, and many other biologic responses. In acquired immune responses to parasites or allergens, the extensive IgE-dependent activation of mast cells via FcεRI can result in the release of large quantities of biogenic amines that are stored in the cells’ cytoplasmic granules as well as the production of lipid mediators and many cytokines; these products together can orchestrate an intense inflammatory response. We now report that activation of mouse mast cells via c-kit, the receptor for the pleiotropic survival/growth factor, stem cell factor (SCF), can induce the release of IL-6. Upon challenge with SCF, bone marrow-derived cultured mouse mast cells (BMMC MC s) released amounts of IL-6 that were greater than 100-fold more than those produced by unstimulated cells, but that were substantially less than those produced in response to IgE and specific antigen. Moreover, BMMC MC s released IL-6 upon challenge with concentrations of SCF that resulted in little or no detectable release of tumor necrosis factor-α, leukotriene C₄, histamine, or serotonin. These findings indicate that SCF, a widely expressed protein that is critical for mast cell development and survival, can also regulate the differential release of mast cell mediators.
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Materials and Methods

Mice. BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice (Charles River Laboratory, Wilmington, MA) and normal WBB6F₁-/+ mice and the congenic genetically mast cell-deficient WBB6F₁-Ki⁺⁺/Ki⁻⁻ (Ki⁺⁺/Ki⁻⁻)
mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were purchased at 4 to 6 weeks of age. Kit<sup>−/−</sup>/Kit<sup>+/+</sup> mice, which contain less than 1.0% the number of dermal mast cells present in the skin of the congenic normal (+/+) mice,<sup>19</sup> were locally and selectively reaped of their mast cell deficiency in the left ear (+/+ MC→Kit<sup>−/−</sup>/Kit<sup>−/−</sup> mice) by the intradermal injection of +/+ bone marrow–derived cultured mouse mast cells (BMMC)s at least 8 weeks before their use in experiments, as described.<sup>12-20</sup> All animal care and experimentation was conducted in accord with current National Institutes of Health and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines.

**Mast cells.** BMMC(s) were obtained by maintaining the femoral BM cells of 4- to 6-week-old BALB/c, WBB6F<sup>1−/−</sup> or WBB6F<sup>−/−</sup>-Kit<sup>−/−</sup>/Kit<sup>−/−</sup> mice in suspension in IL-3–containing conditioned medium, consisting of 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS; Sigma Chemical Co, St Louis, MO), 5 X 10<sup>−3</sup> mol/L 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma), and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine (GIBCO Laboratories, Grand Island, NY) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (GIBCO Laboratories; complete medium) supplemented with 20% (vol/vol) of either supernatants from Concanavalin A (Con A)-activated spleen cells (for BMMC(s) from BALB/c, C57BL/6J<sup>−/−</sup> or WEHI-3 cell-conditioned medium (for WBB6F<sup>−/−</sup>-Kit<sup>−/−</sup>/Kit<sup>−/−</sup> BMMC(s)).<sup>24,25</sup> The cells were resuspended in fresh conditioned medium 1 to 2 times a week. After 4 to 5 weeks, at least 95% of cells that remained in the cultures were identifiable as mast cells, as determined by neutral red or May-Grünwald/Giemsa staining.<sup>21-23</sup> CLMC/C57.1 cells, a cloned growth factor–independent mouse mast cell line<sup>26</sup> of BALB/c origin,<sup>27</sup> were maintained in complete medium.<sup>19</sup>

**Activation of mast cells in vivo.** WBB6F<sup>−/−</sup>-Kit<sup>−/−</sup>- or Kit<sup>−/−</sup>/Kit<sup>−/−</sup> mice were injected intradermally (ID) with 20 μL of recombinant rat SCF (rSCF; 30 μg/kg/site) into the left ears (L) or vehicle alone (0.9% sterile, pyrogen-free NaCl). The cells were assayed for mast cell-degranulation, which is evident by the presence of neutrophil granules and/or increased expression of inflammatory mediators, at 24 hours. The remainder of the ear tissue was obtained at 48 hours after injection and processed into 1-μm Epon-embedded, Giemsa-stained sections for morphometric assessment of mast cell degranulation as extensive (≥50%) of the cytoplasmic granules exhibiting fusion, staining alterations, and/or extrusion from the cell), moderate (10% to 50% of the granules exhibiting changes), or none (<10% of granules exhibiting changes).<sup>21</sup> The rest of the tissue was stored at ≤-80°C until processing for RNA extraction.

**Reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and Southern hybridization.** Total RNA was isolated from ear tissue according to the manufacturer’s specifications using Ultraspec RNA isolation solution (Biotecx; Houston, TX). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed using serial dilution of heparinase-treated RNA with primers specific for mouse IL-6 and G3PDH (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA).<sup>28</sup> RT-PCR products were analyzed on 1% agarose gels and then transferred onto Zeta-blot nylon membrane (Cuno, Meriden, CT). RNA blots were hybridized at 42°C for 16 to 18 hours with 10<sup>6</sup> cpm/LPS, or vehicle injection, and swelling was expressed as the increase in thickness (postinjection value minus preinjection baseline value) in units of 10<sup>−4</sup> inch.<sup>22</sup> Central strips of the ears were obtained after the mice were killed 2 hours after injection and processed into 1-μm Epon-embedded, Giemsa-stained sections for morphometric assessment of mast cell degranulation as extensive (≥50%) of the cytoplasmic granules exhibiting fusion, staining alterations, and/or extrusion from the cell), moderate (10% to 50% of the granules exhibiting changes), or none (<10% of granules exhibiting changes).<sup>21</sup> The rest of the tissue was stored at ≤-80°C until processing for RNA extraction.

**Scf induces mast cell-dependent enhancement of IL-6 mRNA expression in vivo.** We first wished to assess whether the SCF-induced, c-kit–dependent mast cell degranulation that can be elicited in mouse skin in vivo<sup>28</sup> is associated with evidence of mast cell–dependent cytokine produc-
Fig 1. Induction of ear swelling (A and B) and mast cell activation (C) by intradermal injection of rrSCF (30 μg/kg/site) or vehicle alone in the ear skin of WBB6F1+/+ or KitWv/KitWv mice that had been reconstituted, in the left ear, with BMCMCs derived from the congenic normal +/+ mice (+/+ MC → KitWv/KitWv mice). (A) Ear swelling measured 2 hours after injection of rrSCF or vehicle. *P < .001 versus values for vehicle-injected +/+ mice or SCF-injected KitWv/KitWv mice. (B) Ear swelling determined 2 hours after injection of rrSCF into the left (mast cell-reconstituted) or right (mast cell-deficient) ears of +/+ or MC → KitWv/KitWv mice. *P < .005 versus values for contralateral mast cell-deficient ears. (C) Extent of mast cell activation in the ear skin of +/+ mice after injection of SCF or vehicle or in the left (mast cell-reconstituted) SCF-injected ears of +/+ or MC → KitWv/KitWv mice. *P < .0001 versus values for the SCF-injected +/+ or MC → KitWv/KitWv mice. The differences between values for SCF-injected +/+ or +/+ MC → KitWv/KitWv and vehicle-injected +/+ or +/+ MC → KitWv/KitWv are not statistically significant (P > .1).

The ear skin of WBB6F1+/+ or KitWv/KitWv mice that had been selectively and locally reconstituted, in the left ear, with BMCMCs derived from the congenic normal +/+ mice (+/+ MC → KitWv/KitWv mice) was inoculated with rrSCF (30 μg/kg/site) or vehicle alone (0.9% NaCl). As assessed at 2 hours after challenge, rrSCF induced a swelling response in ears of KitWv/KitWv mice that had been locally and selectively repaired of their mast cell deficiency, but not in the contralateral, mast cell-deficient, ears of the same mice (Fig 1B). Morphometric analysis of the ears of the mice shown in Fig 1A and B indicated that injection of rrSCF resulted in extensive degranulation of dermal mast cells in the ears of WBB6F1+/+ mice and in the mast cell-reconstituted ears of WBB6F1+/ MC→ KitWv/KitWv mice, whereas little mast cell degranulation was observed in the vehicle-injected control ears of WBB6F1+/+ mice (Fig 1C). At the time interval examined (2 hours), little or no leukocyte recruitment was observed at sites of ID injection of rrSCF or vehicle (data not shown).

Preliminary studies with in-vitro-derived mouse mast cells indicated that rrSCF can induce a significant increase in the cells’ steady state level of IL-6 mRNA, as well as the release of IL-6 protein, at concentrations that produce little or no release of TNF-α. We therefore used RT-PCR to evaluate levels of IL-6 mRNA in serial dilutions of total RNA isolated from the ears of the same mice shown in Fig 1. We found that intradermal injection of rrSCF induced increased IL-6 mRNA levels in the skin of WBB6F1+/+ normal mice (Fig 2A) or WBB6F1+/ MC→ KitWv/KitWv mice that had undergone selective local repair of their dermal mast cell deficiency (Fig 2C), than in the skin of mast cell-deficient WBB6F1+/ MC→ KitWv/KitWv mice (Fig 2B). However, injection of rrSCF did not detectably increase local levels of TNF-α mRNA (not shown).

To assess the possibility that our results may have been influenced by the small amount of LPS in our rrSCF preparations, we injected some C57BL6 mice in the left ears with rrSCF (30 μg/kg/site) and in the contralateral (right) ears with the amount of LPS (13 pg/kg/site) that was present in the solution of rrSCF that had been injected into the left ears. Another group of C57BL6 mice were injected in the left ears with LPS (13 pg/kg/site) and in the right ears with vehicle (0.9% NaCl) alone. As assessed at 2 hours after challenge, rrSCF induced tissue swelling responses (Fig 3A) and levels of mast cell degranulation (Fig 3B), which were similar to those observed in identically challenged WBB6F1+/+ or +/+ MC→ KitWv/KitWv mice (Fig 1A through C), whereas the sites injected with LPS exhibited responses that were statistically indistinguishable from those in sites that had been injected with vehicle alone (Fig 3A and B). In accord with these results, our RT-PCR analysis indicated that rrSCF induced a more substantial increase in cutaneous levels of IL-6 mRNA than did LPS (Fig 3C). Indeed, in a separate experiment, the IL-6 mRNA signal in ears that had been injected with LPS was similar to that obtained in the contralateral, vehicle-injected ears (Fig 3D).

These findings indicate that LPS contamination of our rrSCF preparations accounted for neither the ability of these preparations to induce extensive mast cell degranulation or tissue swelling nor their ability to induce local upregulation of tissue levels of IL-6 mRNA. Our results instead suggest that rrSCF, and perhaps other agents, may be able to induce increased local levels of IL-6 mRNA in proportion to their ability to promote cutaneous mast cell degranulation. In accord with this hypothesis, we found that rrSCF that had been boiled for 20 minutes, when injected at 30 μg/kg/site, also induced extensive mast cell degranulation, significant tissue swelling, and increased levels of IL-6 mRNA (as detected by RT-PCR), whereas a low dose of unboiled rrSCF (0.3 μg/kg/site) induced neither significant mast cell degranulation, increased tissue swelling, nor detectably enhanced levels of IL-6 mRNA (data not shown).

rrSCF induces mast cells to release IL-6 in vitro. The
results shown in Figs 1 through 3 indicate that rrSCF can induce elevations in levels of IL-6 mRNA in the skin of mice and that such rrSCF-induced increases in IL-6 mRNA levels are both mast cell-dependent and associated with morphologic evidence of mast cell degranulation. However, these findings do not prove that rrSCF can directly induce the production of IL-6 protein from mast cells. For example, even though little or no leukocyte recruitment occurred within 2 hours of ID injection of rrSCF, we cannot exclude the possibility that mediators released from the mast cells that degranulated in response to rrSCF directly or indirectly enhanced levels of IL-6 mRNA in other cell types that are normally resident in the skin. This in vivo system also cannot be readily used to quantify the amounts of IL-6 protein (or other mediators) that might be produced in this context.

To assess whether rrSCF can directly induce mast cells to release IL-6 protein and to determine the extent to which such cytokine release is associated with degranulation and release of preformed or lipid mediators, we analyzed the responses of BMCMCs in vitro. In BALB/c BMCMCs, rrSCF induced markedly increased steady-state levels of IL-6 mRNA (not shown) as well as the dose-dependent release of IL-6 protein (Fig 4A). In confirmation of a previous report,31 rrSCF also induced BMCMCs to release LTC4 (Fig 4B), albeit at higher concentrations than those required to induce IL-6 production (Fig 4A) and in smaller amounts than those produced in response to IgE and specific antigen (Fig 4, legend). Analysis of the same BMCMCs shown in Fig 4A and B showed that rrSCF challenge resulted in only low-level release of
two preformed mediators, histamine (Fig 4A), or serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine [5-HT]; Fig 4B).

In all, we performed 12 experiments with BALB/c BMCMCs and 3 experiments with an IL-3–independent mouse mast cell clone of BALB/c origin (CLMC/C57.1) to assess the ability of rrSCF, in concentrations of up to 250 or 1,250 ng/mL, to induce the release of IL-6 as opposed to histamine or 5-HT. In each of these experiments, rrSCF either failed to increase significantly the release of histamine or 5-HT or did so only modestly and at the higher concentrations of rrSCF tested. However, the amounts of IL-6 release detected at 2 hours after rrSCF challenge varied in different experiments. For example, in 9 experiments using BALB/c BMCMCs that had been derived in medium that contained supernatants of Con A-stimulated spleen cells as a source of IL-3, rrSCF (at 250 ng/mL) induced the production of 19 ± 1 to 841 ± 30 pg of IL-6/10^6 cells (mean ± SEM = 297 ± 88 pg/10^6 cells) at 2 hours after challenge.

When administered in the presence of FCS, bacterial LPS can induce IL-6 release from rat peritoneal mast cells in the absence of detectable histamine release.14 According to analysis of our reagents with the Limulus amebocyte assay (LAL Assay; Whitaker Bioproducts, Inc), none of the mast cells stimulated with rrSCF in vitro could have been exposed to greater than 10 pg of LPS/mL. Nevertheless, in 2 experiments, we challenged BMCMCs for 6 and/or 2 hours with LPS (in medium containing 10% FCS) at a range of doses from 50 ng to 500 μg/mL. Incubation of BMCMCs with LPS at 50 μg/mL for 2 hours induced release of low levels of IL-6 (22.9 ± 0.8 pg/10^6 cells; Fig 5) but no specific release of 5-HT (not shown); no increased release of IL-6 was detected from cells incubated with LPS at ≤0.5 μg/mL. When aliquots of these same BMCMC populations were challenged with rrSCF at 50 ng/mL for 2 hours, they released 253 ± 9 pg of IL-6/10^6 cells. Thus, on a weight basis, rrSCF in this experiment was at least 1,000 times more potent than LPS as an inducer of IL-6 release from BMCMCs.

Comparison of the kinetics and magnitude of mast cell IL-6 release in response to rrSCF, LPS, or IgE and specific antigen. To assess the kinetics of IL-6 production in response to different types of mast cell stimulation and to evaluate the extent to which the IL-6 produced in response to various types of challenge was cell-associated, we evaluated BALB/c BMCMCs that had been derived in complete medium supplemented with supernatants from Con A-stimulated spleen cells. BMCMCs stimulated with rrSCF (250 ng/
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Fig 6. Kinetics of IL-6 production, as assessed by measurements of (A) supernatant- or (B) cell-pellet-associated IL-6, or (C) total (supernatant-plus cell-pellet-associated) IL-6 in BALB/c BMCMCs after stimulation with rrSCF (250 ng/mL), LPS (250 µg/mL), IgE and DNP-HSA (10 ng/mL), or vehicle alone. *P < .001 versus corresponding values for vehicle-challenged cells (n = 3 to 5 per point). Please note that IL-6 associated with cell pellets from vehicle-challenged cells was below the limit of detection of the ELISA assay (ie, < 1 pg/10^6 cells).

Ml or LPS (250 µg/mL) released much less IL-6 than did cells activated via the FcRI (Fig 6A). However, for all three stimuli, substantial IL-6 production was detectable in the cell supernatants at 2 hours and near maximal levels were present by 6 hours (Fig 6A). No IL-6 was detectable in the cell pellets of the control BMCMCs that had been incubated in vehicle alone (Fig 6B), indicating that little or no preformed IL-6 was present in these cells. However, cell-associated IL-6 was detectable in BMCMCs after their activation by rrSCF, LPS, or IgE and specific antigen (Fig 6B). At 2 hours after addition of the stimuli, this cell-associated IL-6 represented approximately 33% of total IL-6 production in BMCMCs that had been stimulated with rrSCF, approximately 28% in cells that had been stimulated with LPS, and approximately 15% in cells that had been challenged with IgE and antigen (Fig 6C). The proportion of total IL-6 production that was present in the cell pellet fraction had declined to 5.5% or less by 6 hours after challenge and decreased to even lower proportions of the total IL-6 at later intervals (Fig 6B and C).

We also found that, for all three types of stimulation, total IL-6 measured 24 hours after challenge was actually less than that measured at the peak of the response for that type of stimulation (42% less for rrSCF-challenged cells at 24 v 6 hours [P < .2], 10% less for LPS-stimulated cells at 24 v 12 hours [P < .3]; and 20% less for IgE and specific antigen-challenged cells at 24 v 6 hours [P < .03]). These results might be due to any of a number of factors. For example, some of the released IL-6 might have been degraded over time (eg, by mast cell-derived proteases). In addition, we removed the BMCMCs from IL-3-containing conditioned medium before challenging them for measurement of IL-6 production. At late intervals after their removal from IL-3-containing medium, such BMCMC populations undergo apoptosis.

In light of the finding of substantial release of IL-6 as early as 2 hours after mast cell activation, we performed additional experiments to examine the kinetics of IL-6 release at early intervals after challenge with rrSCF or IgE and specific antigen in both BALB/c BMCMCs and a growth factor-independent cloned mast cell line of BALB/c origin, CLMC/C57.1 (Fig 7). The kinetics of rrSCF-induced or FcRI-dependent release of IL-6 were similar in both BMCMCs and CLMC/C57.1 cells, with release significantly above that from vehicle-treated control cells detectable as early as 30 minutes after challenge and with levels of IL-6 in the cells’ supernatants increasing steadily thereafter (Fig 7).

However, as in our other experiments (eg, Fig 6), the magnitude of cytokine release with these two types of signaling was very different (note that IL-6 production is shown on a log scale). In 5 or 8 independent experiments, the amount of IL-6 released from BMCMCs 2 hours after stimulation with rrSCF at 50 or 250 ng/mL was 37-13-fold (mean ± SEM, n = 8) or 166-75-fold (n = 5) higher than baseline release from control cells, but only 2.6% ± 1.0% or 7.0% ± 3.0% that released by cells sensitized with IgE anti-DNP antibody and then stimulated for 2 hours with DNP-HSA at 10 ng/mL. In growth factor-independent cloned mast cells (CLMC/C57.1 cells; Fig 7B), both baseline and rrSCF-induced levels of IL-6 production (and also levels of IL-6 production in response to IgE and specific antigen) were higher than those observed in BMCMCs, but the extent to which rrSCF stimulation increased levels of IL-6 release over those observed in vehicle-treated cells was less in CLMC/C57.1 cells than in BMCMCs (compare Fig 7A and B). Moreover, the proportional increases in levels of IL-6 secretion over time, in response to either rrSCF or IgE and specific antigen, occurred somewhat more slowly in CLMC/C57.1 cells than in BMCMCs. Nevertheless, as in BMCMCs, levels of secretion of IL-6 by CLMC/C57.1 cells in response to rrSCF were much lower than those observed in cells activated via the FcRI (Fig 7B).

Thus, although rrSCF challenge markedly increased the release of IL-6 compared with that observed in unstimulated
control mast cells, the amount of IL-6 produced by mast cells in response to rrSCF generally represented only a small fraction of that released by mast cells in response to challenge with IgE and specific antigen.

To determine whether the relatively small amounts of IL-6 that can be released from mast cells by rrSCF are sufficient to express biologic activity, we assessed the ability of supernatants derived from rrSCF-challenged mast cells to induce proliferation in the IL-6–dependent B-cell hybridoma cell line, 7TD1. As shown in Fig 8, the amounts of IL-6 detected by ELISA in supernatants of BMCMCs stimulated with rrSCF at 50 ng/mL were biologically active. Moreover, virtually all of this bioactivity was abolished by a neutralizing antibody against mouse IL-6.

**rrSCF-dependent release of mast cell IL-6 is c-kit–dependent.** To evaluate the mechanism of rrSCF-induced cytokine release from BMCMCs, we analyzed BMCMCs derived from WBB6F1-KitW−/KitW− mice and the congenic normal (WBB6F1+/+/+) mice. WBB6F1-KitW−/KitW− BMCMCs express normal amounts of c-kit receptors (SCFR) encoded by the KitW− allele; these receptors have a wild-type extracellular ligand-binding domain, but have a Thr660Met substitution in the tyrosine kinase domain that results in markedly diminished ligand-dependent c-kit autophosphorylation and signal transduction. In two experiments, one of which is shown in Fig 9, rrSCF (in concentrations of up to 250 ng/mL) induced little or no specific release of 5-HT from BMCMCs derived from either WBB6F1−/+ or WBB6F1−/−/KitW− mice (Fig 9A). By contrast, both types of BMCMCs released 5-HT in response to FcεRI-dependent challenge (Fig 9A). However, WBB6F1−/− BMCMCs expressed increased amounts of IL-6 (Fig 9C and D) and, to a lesser extent, TNF-α (Fig 9E) in response to FcεRI-dependent activation.

Conclusions. We have found that rrSCF can promote IL-6 release from mouse mast cells via a cognate interaction with c-kit (the SCFR), even at concentrations that produce little or no detectable release of LTC4 or biogenic amines. rrSCF can also induce the c-kit–dependent release of TNF-α, but this was detectable only at the higher concentrations of rrSCF tested. Moreover, rrSCF can induce IL-6 release in both primary populations of mouse BMCMCs and in ClMC/C57.1 mouse mast cells, a cloned cell line devoid of even small numbers of contaminating cell types. These findings add SCF, the major endogenous regulator of murine and human mast cell survival and development, to the relatively short list of agents that can induce the differential release of mast cell cytokines. Leal-Berumen et al have reported that LPS, prostaglandin E2, and cholera toxin can induce IL-6 secretion in the absence of detectable histamine release in highly purified preparations of rat peritoneal mast cells.
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We are not aware of reports of other endogenous mammalian proteins that can induce mast cells to release IL-6 preferentially with respect to preformed mediators such as histamine or 5-HT. However, after the submission of this manuscript, Lu-Kuo et al\(^{36}\) reported that high levels of IL-6 (\(-9 \text{ ng}/10^6 \text{ cells}\)) could be released from IL-3–derived mouse BMCMCs that had been stimulated for 7 to 24 hours with a combination of SCF (50 ng/mL), IL-10 (20 U/mL), and IL-1/\(\beta\) (5 ng/mL). Lu-Kuo et al\(^{36}\) also noted that substantially less IL-6 (\(-0.2 \text{ ng}/10^6 \text{ BMCMCs}\)) was released by such cells in response to SCF and IL-10 without IL-1/\(\beta\) and that negligible amounts of IL-6 (data not shown) were released in response to challenge with each of these three cytokines when they were tested individually. However, that study did not report the amounts of IL-6 that were released by mast cells challenged with SCF alone or the extent to which such IL-6 secretion was associated with the release of preformed or lipid mediators.

Similarly, Hültner and Moeller\(^{37}\) reported that a growth factor-dependent mouse mast cell line and a growth factor-independent malignant mouse mast cell line could produce IL-6 in response to IL-9 and that the growth factor-dependent cell line also secreted IL-6, albeit in smaller amounts, in response to IL-4. However, the effects of IL-9 (or IL-4) on mouse BMCMCs that had been stimulated for 7 to 24 hours with SCF (50 ng/mL), IL-10 (20 U/mL), and IL-1/\(\beta\) (5 ng/mL). Lu-Kuo et al\(^{36}\) also noted that substantially less IL-6 (\(-9 \text{ ng}/10^6 \text{ BMCMCs}\)) was released by such cells in response to SCF and IL-10 without IL-1/\(\beta\) and that negligible amounts of IL-6 (data not shown) were released in response to challenge with each of these three cytokines when they were tested individually. However, that study did not report the amounts of IL-6 that were released by mast cells challenged with SCF alone or the extent to which such IL-6 secretion was associated with the release of preformed or lipid mediators.

We are not aware of reports of other endogenous mammalian proteins that can induce mast cells to release IL-6 preferentially with respect to preformed mediators such as histamine or 5-HT. However, after the submission of this manuscript, Lu-Kuo et al\(^{36}\) reported that high levels of IL-6 (\(-9 \text{ ng}/10^6 \text{ cells}\)) could be released from IL-3–derived mouse BMCMCs that had been stimulated for 7 to 24 hours with a combination of SCF (50 ng/mL), IL-10 (20 U/mL), and IL-1/\(\beta\) (5 ng/mL). Lu-Kuo et al\(^{36}\) also noted that substantially less IL-6 (\(-0.2 \text{ ng}/10^6 \text{ BMCMCs}\)) was released by such cells in response to SCF and IL-10 without IL-1/\(\beta\) and that negligible amounts of IL-6 (data not shown) were released in response to challenge with each of these three cytokines when they were tested individually. However, that study did not report the amounts of IL-6 that were released by mast cells challenged with SCF alone or the extent to which such IL-6 secretion was associated with the release of preformed or lipid mediators.

Similarly, Hültner and Moeller\(^{37}\) reported that a growth factor-dependent mouse mast cell line and a growth factor-independent malignant mouse mast cell line could produce IL-6 in response to IL-9 and that the growth factor-dependent cell line also secreted IL-6, albeit in smaller amounts, in response to IL-4. However, the effects of IL-9 (or IL-4) on the release of other mediators from these mast cell lines were not reported. Nevertheless, the findings of Hültner and Moeller,\(^{37}\) when taken together with those of Lu-Kuo et al\(^{36}\) and our results, raise the possibility that the differential, low-level release of IL-6 may represent part of the response of mast cells to many growth factors that can influence the cells’ development, survival, or phenotype.

In BMCMCs and CLMC/C57.1 cells, rrSCF induced significant release of IL-6 at concentrations that resulted in little or no release of histamine or serotonin. However, it may well be that the extent to which mast cells can exhibit differential mediator release in response to c-kit–dependent activation...
(like many other aspects of mast cell phenotype) is developmentally and/or microenvironmentally regulated. Mouse BMCMCs are immature, lineage-committed mast cells with some similarities to mucosal mast cells.1,17,23 Whereas rrSCF induced relatively low levels of degranulation and release of biogenic amines in our BMCMC populations, recombinant SCF can promote more extensive degranulation and/or release of biogenic amines from certain mature mast cells, including human36 or mouse (Fig 1C and Wershil et al37) dermal mast cells.

Accordingly, it will be of interest to assess the ability of SCF to induce IL-6 production in various distinct mast cell populations and to investigate the mechanisms (such as the presence or absence of IL-4, IL-9, IL-10, IL-1β, or other cytokines36) that might determine the extent to which SCF-induced IL-6 production in these cells is associated with the release of other mediators, including the cytoplasmic granule-associated preformed products, the lipid mediators, and additional cytokines. In this context, it should be noted that the expression of IL-6 in mast cells may be regulated differently than that of other cytokines. For example, we previously showed that phorbol myristate acetate can induce increased levels of IL-6 mRNA in mouse mast cell lines under conditions that do not result in corresponding changes in the mRNA of several other cytokines,39 and we found in this study that rrSCF can induce BMCMCs to release IL-6 at concentrations that promote little or no detectable release of TNF-α.

Although the in vivo relevance of our findings remain to be determined, the observation that SCF can induce mast cells to release low levels of IL-6 and, to a lesser extent, TNF-α nevertheless has several potentially important implications. Certain reactions to pathogenic bacteria in mice are associated with marked increases in the local production of SCF.40 Moreover, mast cell-deficient WBB6F1-Kit−/− mice exhibit increased morbidity and mortality in several models of bacterial infection,10,11,40 at least in part because of their lack of mast cell-derived cytokines.10,11 When taken together with these observations, our results suggest that SCF-induced mast cell cytokine production may contribute to the expression of host responses to bacteria and perhaps other pathogens. Our findings also raise the possibility that the clinical use of recombinant human SCF41 may result in effects on mast cell cytokine production and function, even in those subjects who do not exhibit evidence of widespread SCF-dependent mast cell degranulation and histamine release.35 Moreover, in light of the findings of Hültner and Moeller37 and Lu-Kuo et al,36 it seems likely that the magnitude and nature of the effects of SCF on mast cell production of IL-6 and other mediators may be significantly modulated by the local levels of other cytokines, whether in the context of host responses to pathogens or during the therapeutic use of rrSCF.

Our observations also offer a new perspective about the potential physiologic functions of mast cells. Tissue mast cells that have not been extensively activated, eg, by IgE and specific antigen, are often regarded as resting or functionally quiescent. However, an alternative hypothesis is that, under physiologic conditions, mast cells can release small amounts of some of the same mediators that they produce in large quantities during pathologic or immunologic responses. The findings presented here identify the interaction between c-kit and its ligand, which is already regarded as critical for mast cell development, survival, and proliferation,17,18,35 as a candidate mechanism to regulate the physiologic, relatively low-level production of IL-6 and perhaps other mediators (eg, TNF-α) by tissue mast cells. Although IL-6 can mediate a broad spectrum of biologic functions,32,43 examples of potential consequences of c-kit-dependent IL-6 production by mast cells include autocrine effects on mast cell development44 as well as diverse paracrine effects on the development or function of additional cell types, such as hematopoietic or lymphoid cells42 and vascular endothelial cells.45

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

After stimulation for 6 hours with rrSCF (50 ng/mL), IL-10 (40 ng/mL), and IL-1β (5 ng/mL) (as in Luo-Kuo et al36), BALB/c BMCMCs derived in either ConA-activated spleen cell- or WEHI-3 cell-conditioned medium released 14.1 ± 0.7 (n = 5) or 7.8 ± 0.8 (n = 3) ng of IL-6/106 cells, amounts that were much greater (by ~57- to 228-fold) than those produced by aliquots of the same BMCMCs in response to rrSCF (50 ng/mL) alone (P ≤ .001); however, neither SCF nor SCF + IL-10 + IL-1β induced substantial specific release of 3H-5HT (±0.7% ± 1.1% at 10 minutes).
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