Increased Erythropoietin-Receptor Expression on CD34-Positive Bone Marrow Cells From Patients With Chronic Myeloid Leukemia
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Erythropoietin-receptor (EpR) expression on bone marrow cells from normal individuals and from patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) was examined by multiparameter flow cytometry after stepwise amplified immunostaining with biotin-labeled Ep, streptavidin-conjugated R-phycoerythrin, and biotinylated monoclonal anti-R-phycoerythrin. This approach allowed the detection of EpR-positive cells in all bone marrow samples studied. Most of the EpR-positive cells in normal bone marrow were found to be CD45-dull, CD34-negative, transferrin-receptor-positive, and glycophorin-A–intermediate to –positive. This phenotype is characteristic of relatively mature erythroid precursors, ie, colony-forming units-erythroid and erythroblasts recognizable by flow cytometry after stepwise amplified immunostaining with biotin-labeled Ep, streptavidin-conjugated R-phycoerythrin, and biotinylated monoclonal anti-R-phycoerythrin. This approach allowed the detection of EpR-positive cells in all bone marrow samples studied. Most of the EpR-positive cells in normal bone marrow were found to be CD45-dull, CD34-negative, transferrin-receptor-positive, and glycophorin-A–intermediate to –positive. This phenotype is characteristic of relatively mature erythroid precursors, ie, colony-forming units-erythroid and erythroblasts recognizable by classic staining procedures. Approximately 5% of normal EpR-positive cells displayed an intermediate expression of CD45, suggesting that these represented precursors of the CD45-dull EpR-positive cells. Some EpR-positive cells in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) bone marrow had a phenotype similar to the major EpR-positive phenotype in normal bone marrow, ie, CD34-negative and CD45-dull. However, there was a disproportionate increase in the relative number of EpR-positive/CD45-intermediate cells in CML bone marrow. Even more striking differences between normal individuals and CML patients were observed when EpR-expression on CD34-positive marrow cells was analyzed. Very few EpR-positive cells were found in the CD34-positive fraction of normal bone marrow, whereas a significant fraction of the CD34-positive marrow cells from five of five CML patients expressed readily detectable EpR. These findings suggest that control of EpR expression is perturbed in the neoplastic clone of cells present in patients with CML. This may be related to the inadequate output of mature red blood cells typical of CML patients and may also be a part of a more generalized perturbation in expression and/or functional integrity of other growth factor receptors on CML cells.

CHRONIC MYELOID leukemia (CML) is characterized by an increased production of multiple types of mature blood cells derived in each patient from a single Philadelphia (Ph1)-chromosome–positive hematopoietic stem cell. The production of progenitor cells in each of the myeloid pathways is characterized in CML and this increase is generally translated into substantially elevated numbers of mature granulocytes and monocytes, and sometimes platelets. Although all stages of erythroid cell development detectable by colony assays are, on average, increased in numbers to an extent similar to that seen for progenitors of the other myeloid lineages, the concentration of mature red blood cells (RBCs) in the circulation is usually not increased and, in fact, many CML patients present with anemia. On the other hand, some erythroid cells in patients with CML frequently show an abnormal ability to differentiate to maturity in vitro in the absence of exogenous erythropoietin (Ep). Given these anomalies affecting the development of neoplastic erythroid cells in CML, it was of interest to evaluate expression of the Ep-receptor (EpR) in this disease.

Recently we developed a procedure for detecting EpR-positive cells in murine spleen and bone marrow by flow cytometry using biotinylated Ep (b-Ep) and R-phycoerythrin–conjugated streptavidin (SA-RPE). The sensitivity of this method was demonstrated by its ability to detect EpR-positive cells in the spleens of phenylhydrazine-treated mice that express, on average, only 400 to 500 receptor molecules per cell, and on a subpopulation of normal murine bone marrow cells. In the present study we show that this immunostaining procedure can be adapted to detect EpR-positive cells in appropriately selected subpopulations of normal human bone marrow and that such staining shows differences in EpR expression between bone marrow cells from normal individuals and patients with CML.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Monoclonal antibodies (MoAbs). Mouse MoAb 8G12, specific for CD34, has been described previously. MoAb 10F7MN, specific for glycophorin-A (GPA), and OKT9, specific for the transferrin-receptor (TfR), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Bethesda, MD). MoAb 10H5.2, specific for R-phycoerythrin (RPE), was developed in our laboratory and is similar to anti-RPE antibodies described previously. MoAb were purified from hybridoma culture supernatants using protein-A-Sepharose and were labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC; Sigma, St Louis, MO) using standard procedures. Biotin-labeling of anti-RPE MoAb 10H5.2 was achieved using N-hydroxysuccinimide-LC-biotin (Pierce, Rockford, IL). FITC-labeled MoAb against the common leukocyte antigen CD45 (HLe-1) was purchased from Becton-Dickinson (Mountain View, CA).

Biotin labeling of Ep. Biotin labeling of human recombinant Ep purified from the culture supernatants of baby hamster kidney cells expressing an Ep cDNA was performed as described previously.

Cells. Normal bone marrow samples were obtained with informed consent from healthy individuals undergoing bone marrow harvesting for use in allogeneic marrow transplant protocols. Bone marrow was also obtained with informed consent from five CML patients in the chronic phase of their disease, as leftover material from samples obtained for clinical purposes. Peripheral blood was similarly obtained from one of these patients. All CML patients were Ph1-chromosome positive. Low-density cells were isolated...
from the bone marrow of normal donors and CML patient nos. 2 and 5 using Ficoll-Hypaque (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Nucleated cells were isolated by means of the bone marrow of patient nos. 1, 3, and 5 and from the peripheral blood of no. 5 by osmotic lysis of RBCs using 10 mmol/L potassium bicarbonate, 0.1 mmol/L EDTA, and 155 mmol/L ammonium chloride buffer, pH 7.4. Low-density cells and nucleated cells were washed in Hanks-HEPES balanced salt solution containing 2% (vol/vol) fetal calf serum (FCS) and incubated for 1 hour at 37°C to internalize cell-surface bound Ep. In other experiments, cell-surface bound Ep was removed by incubating cells for 5 minutes on ice in 0.05 mmol/L glycine-HCl, 0.15 mol/L NaCl, pH 3.5. After treatment, cells were washed twice with HFN and used for amplified immunofluorescence staining with b-Ep, SA-RPE, and biotinylated anti-RPE. Identical results were obtained with acid-treated, unpreincubated, and untreated cells, indicating that receptor-bound endogenous Ep did not interfere with EpR detection.

Staining of EpR, stepwise amplification of the fluorescence signal, and counterstaining with FITC-labeled antibodies. To stain cells expressing EpR, 1 × 10^7 cells in 1 mL HFN were incubated for 1 hour at 37°C with b-Ep (1 nmol/L) or, as controls, with buffer only or with b-Ep plus 200 nmol/L unlabeled Ep to compete for specific binding. Incubation was performed for 1 hour at 37°C to achieve optimal binding. 23 Control experiments with normal and CML bone marrow were also performed for 18 hours at 4°C with identical results. After incubation, cells were washed with Hanks'-HEPES balanced salt, containing 0.1% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin and incubated with 0.2% (vol/vol) formaldehyde in the same buffer for 50 minutes at 4°C. After fixation, the cells were washed again with HFN and incubated with 1 μg/mL of SA-RPE (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 30 minutes at 4°C. The fluorescence intensity was then amplified by three incubation cycles with b-anti-RPE, followed by SA-RPE. Each incubation lasted for 30 minutes at 4°C and was followed by a single wash with at least 4 mL of ice-cold HFN. After amplification, aliquots of stained cells were counterstained for 30 minutes at 4°C with FITC-labeled MoAb against cell-surface antigens, washed again, and analyzed by flow cytometry.

Flow cytometry. Quantitative fluorescence analysis was performed using a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA). For two-color experiments, spillover of green fluorescence into the red fluorescence detector was electronically compensated to background levels using cells stained with the respective FITC-conjugated antibodies only. Twenty thousand events were collected when cells were analyzed un gated. In some experiments, a gate was set for cells with low right-angle light scatter properties to exclude granulocytes from the analysis. In these experiments, 10,000 events were collected. For analysis of cells with high expression of CD34, a multiparameter gate was set using high forward- and low right-angle light scatter, and high green fluorescence signals to include all hematopoietic progenitor populations, but to exclude CD34-negative cells, granulocytes, and dead cells that were stained nonspecifically by the anti-CD34-FITC antibody. Cells were considered CD34-positive when their fluorescence intensity was between 10^4 and 10^5 arbitrary fluorescence units. Flow cytometry data were analyzed using Consort 30 and Lysis data management systems (Becton-Dickinson). For analysis of EpR expression on CD34-positive cells in some normal bone marrow samples, 50,000 events were collected un gated. Fluorescence profiles of EpR-expression on CD34-positive cell populations were then obtained by reanalysis of data in a multiparameter gate for cells with low right-angle light-scatter, intermediate to high-forward light scatter, and high green fluorescence signals.

Assay for clonogenic cells. Colony assays for erythroid (CFU-E, BFU-E), granulocyte/macrophage (CFU-GM), and multipotent (CFU-GEMM) progenitors in normal bone marrow and CML blood and bone marrow samples were performed in methylcellulose cultures as previously described.

Patient characteristics. As indicated in Table 1, white blood cell (WBC) counts of all five CML patients studied were elevated at the time of marrow samples were obtained, whereas hematocrits and hemoglobin concentrations ranged from below average to normal values. Colony assays indicated that the number of some erythroid progenitors (CFU-E and BFU-E) relative to all nucleated bone marrow cells was elevated in two patients but within or below the normal range in the others. Similar heterogeneity in relative CFU-GM numbers in the marrow of the same patients was also seen, as documented previously for CML patients in general.

Values for erythroid progenitors in the peripheral blood sample obtained from patient no. 5 were within the normal range, whereas CFU-GM and CFU-GEMM were below detectable levels (data not shown).

RESULTS

Expression of EpR on normal human bone marrow cells. To analyze EpR expression during erythroid differentiation, low-density normal human bone marrow cells were stained with b-Ep and SA-RPE and counterstained with an FITC-conjugated MoAb against the cell-surface antigen CD45. Although CD45 is absent from mature RBCs and only weakly expressed on erythroid progenitor cells, it is present at intermediate to high levels on monocytes, granu-

Table 1. Blood Parameters and Bone Marrow Clonogenic Progenitor Numbers of the Five Patients With Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Hemoglobin (g/L)</th>
<th>Hematocrit</th>
<th>WBC Count (&lt;10^9/L)</th>
<th>Progenitors per 2 × 10^7 Nucleated Bone Marrow Cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CFU-E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>132-164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normal blood parameters were derived from ref 30; normal progenitor numbers were derived from ref 31.

Abbreviation: NA, not available.
fluorescence of nonerythroid cells. Dot plots for green fluorescence (CD45 expression) versus red fluorescence (EpR expression) are shown in Fig 1A for cells from a representative normal marrow stained with b-Ep and in Fig 1B for cells from the same donor that, as a control, were stained with b-Ep plus excess unlabeled Ep. A small fraction of cells that were weakly CD45-positive (ie, CD45-dull) showed elevated red fluorescence as compared with control cells, indicating that this subpopulation consisted of EpR-positive cells. However, the specific increase in fluorescence intensity above background fluorescence was very low and did not exceed the autofluorescence in the red channel of CD45-intermediate cells. For this reason, an amplification procedure was developed to enhance the specific fluorescence intensity of the EpR-positive cells. In this procedure, the number of fluorescence molecules per cell after staining with b-Ep and SA-RPE was increased by performing sequential incubations with biotin-labeled MoAb against RPE and SA-RPE. After each incubation cycle with these two reagents, the fluorescence intensity of the EpR-positive cells increased twofold to threefold, resulting in an ~10-fold increase in fluorescence intensity for this subpopulation after three cycles (Fig 1C), while the nonspecific fluorescence of the CD45-dull and CD45-negative cell fractions remained essentially constant (Fig 1D). Background fluorescence for the CD45-positive cell fraction was unaffected by the amplification procedure and only in the CD45-intermediate cell fraction could an approximately twofold increase of nonspecific red fluorescence be observed, possibly resulting from the interaction of b-anti-RPE MoAbs with Fc-receptor–positive cells in this cell fraction. Additional amplification cycles often led to further increases in background fluorescence of the CD45-intermediate cells and, for this reason, amplification was limited to three cycles in most experiments. As shown in Fig 1, C and D, even after amplification EpR-positive cells were not readily detectable in the cell populations that expressed intermediate and high levels of CD45, indicating that EpR expression does not occur or reach detectable levels on granulocytes, monocytes, and lymphocytes. A large fraction of CD45-negative cells and virtually all CD45-dull cells were EpR-positive, consistent with exclusive EpR expression on cells of the erythroid lineage. EpR expression appeared to be strongest on the CD45-dull cell population, declined gradually with further decreases in CD45 expression, and was absent from a large fraction of the cells that were CD45-negative (Fig 1, C and D).

Analysis of cells in electronic windows for high and low right-angle light scatter, as indicated by the areas divided by the dashed line in Fig 2A, confirmed that EpR expression was not detectable on granulocytes and their precursors, which are characterized by high right-angle light scatter properties (Fig 2C). EpR positive cells were observed only amongst those cells with low right-angle scatter (Fig 2D). For comparison, the fluorescence of all cells (ungated) is shown in Fig 2B. Further analysis indicated that EpR expression occurs on cells with low forward light-scatter (ie, small cells), as well as on cells with high forward light scatter (ie, large cells) (data not shown).

The EpR-positive cells in normal bone marrow were further characterized in double-staining experiments with FITC-labeled MoAbs against the TrR and GPA, two other markers for erythroid cells. As illustrated in Fig 3, A and B, the large majority of EpR-positive cells expressed high levels of TrR and EpR-positive cells were not readily detected in TrR-negative and intermediate cell populations. Most EpR-positive cells (~80%) also expressed high levels of GPA, while a small fraction of EpR-positive cells (~20%) expressed low to intermediate levels of this erythroid cell antigen (ie, fluorescence intensity between 40 and 200 arbitrary units) (Fig 3, C and 3D).

Expression of EpR on CML bone marrow cells. Preliminary analysis of EpR expression on CML bone marrow cells showed a similar pattern of EpR expression as observed on normal cells, ie, EpR were detectable only on CML bone marrow cells with low right-angle light scatter properties and not on the ~90% of cells that displayed high right-angle light scatter properties (data not shown). For this reason, all subsequent analyses of EpR-expression was performed on cells with low right-angle light scatter properties only. To compare EpR expression on normal and CML bone marrow cells, were stained with b-Ep and counterstained with anti-CD45 as described previously. A dot plot of red fluorescence (staining with b-Ep and SA-RPE) versus green fluorescence (for CD45 expression) is shown in Fig 4 (C and D) with results for a normal bone marrow.
Fig 2. EpR expression on subpopulations of human bone marrow cells. Low-density human bone marrow cells stained with b-Ep or, as controls, with buffer only or with b-Ep plus excess unlabeled Ep were stained with SA-RPE and analyzed after three amplifications cycles with b-anti-RPE and SA-RPE. (A) Contourplot of forward-versus-right angle light scatter properties of stained cells. Fluorescence data were reanalyzed in two windows for cells with low and high right-angle light scatter properties, respectively, as indicated by the areas above and below the dashed line. (Right) Fluorescence profiles for all cells (B) and for subpopulations with high (C) and low (D) right-angle light scatter properties. Cells stained with b-Ep (--); cells stained with b-Ep plus excess unlabeled Ep (- - -); unstained cells that were put through the amplification procedure (- - -).

sample shown for comparison in Fig 4 (A and B). As illustrated, EpR expression on cells in the CD45-negative and -dull fraction of CML bone marrows was very similar to EpR expression on cells in this fraction of normal bone marrow. However, note that EpR-positive cells constituted a readily detectable proportion of the CD45 intermediate cells in all three CML marrows studied in this way (of which one example is shown in Fig 4, C and D) in contrast to normal bone marrow. As for normal marrow, EpR-positive cells were not detectable in the CD45-bright population of these CML marrow samples.

Comparison of EpR and CD34 expression on normal and CML bone marrow. The majority of EpR-positive cells in both normal and CML bone marrow were negative for CD34 (Fig 5), a cell-surface antigen that is expressed on primitive hematopoietic cells. However, low numbers of EpR-positive cells were detectable in the CD34-intermedi-
Fig 5. Correlation between expression of EpR and CD34 on normal (A and B) and CML (C and D) bone marrow cells. Cells were stained as described in the legend of Fig 4, except that they were counterstained with FITC-anti-CD34. Boxed areas indicate the window for high green fluorescence selected to analyze EpR expression on CD34-positive cells as presented in Fig 6 for normal bone marrow and in Fig 7 for CML bone marrow. Arrows indicate the position of cells that express detectable EpR.

These results are shown in Fig 6 for four normal bone marrow samples and in Figs 7 and 8A for five CML marrow samples. CD34-positive cells from three of four normal bone marrow donors did not express EpR at a detectable level, although in the fourth normal sample (Fig 6D) a low number of EpR-positive cells were evident. In contrast, a large fraction of the CD34-positive cells in all five CML marrows studied displayed detectable EpR (Figs 7 and 8A). The EpR-positive cells represented at least 31% to 43% of the CD34-positive cells in the respective CML marrows. These EpR-positive cells also displayed high forward and low to intermediate orthogonal light scatter properties, consistent with a blastlike phenotype (data not shown).

In most CML patients, elevated numbers of hematopoietic progenitors can be detected in peripheral blood1 and these cells express the CD34 antigen.20 For this reason it is of interest to know whether EpR-positive cells are also detectable in the peripheral blood of CML patients and, in particular, whether these are contained in the CD34-positive cell fraction. Analysis of light-density nucleated peripheral blood cells from CML patient no. 5 showed that circulating EpR-positive cells were not detectable (data not shown), nor were they detectable in the CD34-positive subpopulation of blood cells from this patient (Fig 8B), even though such cells were readily detectable in a sample of bone marrow taken from this patient at the same time (Fig 7A).

DISCUSSION

In this study we have used biologically active biotin-labeled Ep, in combination with PE-conjugated streptavidin and multiparameter flow cytometry, to analyze EpR expression on hematopoietic cells from normal individuals and from patients with CML. The ability to detect EpR expression by flow cytometry makes it possible to compare this EpR characteristic simultaneously with other properties of the same cells, including forward and right-angle light scattering characteristics and the expression of other

Fig 6. Fluorescence profiles for EpR expression on CD34-positive cells in normal bone marrow. Cells stained for EpR expression as described in the legend to Fig 1 were analyzed in a window for CD34-positive cells as indicated by the boxed area in Fig 5. Histograms are shown for the bone marrow presented in Fig 5, A and B, (A) and for three additional normal bone marrow samples (B through D). The results shown in (A) and (D) represent 2,000 and 1,000 events, respectively. The results shown in (B) and (C) were obtained by collecting 50,000 ungated events and reanalyzing the data in an electronic window for CD34-positive cells, as described in Materials and Methods. The results presented in (B) and (C) represent ~900 and ~300 events, respectively. Cells stained with b-Ep (—); cells stained with b-Ep plus excess unlabeled Ep (—); unstained cells that were put through the amplification procedure (—). Arrow in (D) indicates the small subpopulation of CD34-positive cells of this donor that expressed detectable EpR.
ERYTHROPOIETIN-RECEPTORS IN CML

Fig 7. Fluorescence profiles for EpR expression on CD34-positive cells in CML bone marrow. Cells were stained and analyzed as described in Fig 5. Histograms are shown for the CML cells presented in Fig 5, C and D, and for three additional bone marrow samples that were analyzed similarly (B, C, and D). The results shown represent 5,000, 1,100, 2,500, and 2,500 events, respectively.

Fig 8. Comparison of EpR expression on CD34-positive cells in bone marrow (A) and peripheral blood (B) from a CML patient. Cells were stained as described in Fig 1. Results shown in (A) and (B) represent 5,000 and 1,200 events, respectively.
Another key finding is that EpR-positive cells in normal and CML bone marrow are phenotypically similar to the majority of normal EpR-positive erythroid precursors, i.e., they are CD45-dull and CD34-negative. However, unlike normal marrow, where a very small proportion of EpR-positive cells are CD45-intermediate and likely represent slightly less mature erythroid cells than the more abundant CD45-dull, EpR-positive cells, a large proportion of the EpR-positive cells in CML marrow are consistently found in the CD45-intermediate fraction, suggesting abnormal amplification of cells with this particular phenotype in CML.

Although most EpR-positive cells in normal and CML bone marrow were found in the CD34-negative cell fraction, evidence for alterations in EpR expression was also obtained when the small subsets of CD34-positive cells in normal and CML bone marrow samples were compared. It has been shown that the expression of CD34 on hematopoietic progenitors in CML bone marrow is very similar to its expression on normal progenitor cells, i.e., highest expression occurs on the most primitive progenitors and this decreases gradually with differentiation. As demonstrated in this study, only occasionally can EpR-positive cells be detected in the CD34-positive fraction in normal bone marrow, suggesting that most hematopoietic progenitors are EpR-negative, as has recently also been reported for purified BFU-E derived from peripheral blood. The finding that EpR are consistently expressed on a large subpopulation of CD34-positive cells in CML marrow samples was therefore unexpected. Such a result could indicate premature activation of the EpR gene, or gross amplification of a CD34-positive, EpR-positive compartment of normal erythroid cell development. Alternatively, Ep binding kinetics or EpR processing (e.g., by internalization during labeling) may be different between CD34-positive cells from normal and CML bone marrow. This latter explanation seems unlikely because azide was included during labeling to prevent internalization and because EpR were readily detectable on CD34-negative bone marrow cells from normal individuals. In addition, b-Ep binding to CD34-positive normal and CML cells was performed under conditions that have previously been demonstrated to be sufficient for achieving equilibrium binding of Ep to human and murine erythroid cells, i.e., 18 hours at 4°C or 1 hour at 37°C, both in the presence of sodium azide to prevent internalization. Additional characterization of CML bone marrow cells, e.g., by analysis of EpR expression on purified subpopulations of CD34-positive cells, will be required to elucidate the phenotype and functional properties of the EpR-positive/CD34-positive CML cells. Unfortunately, the stringent incubation conditions required to detect the low levels of EpR expression on hematopoietic cells has thus far prevented the sorting of viable cells on basis of Ep-receptor expression for subsequent plating in colony assays.

In a study similar to ours, Visani et al have demonstrated that primitive progenitor cells from peripheral blood and bone marrow from CML patients express the α-chain of the interleukin-2-receptor (IL-2R), whereas normal bone marrow progenitor cells were IL-2R-negative. Although IL-2R expression was detectable only on cultured CML cells and not on freshly isolated cells, these results suggest that the EpR may not be the only hematopoietin receptor whose expression is deregulated on CML cells. The ability to use labeled ligand for analysis of receptor expression on phenotypically characterized cells within heterogeneous cell populations offers a new and powerful approach to evaluate the expression of receptors for other cytokines that are involved in the development of normal hematopoietic cells. In addition to providing insight into the distribution and evolution of growth factor receptors during normal hematopoiesis, such studies may lead to a better understanding of the generalized uncontrolled proliferation that is characteristic of primitive Ph-positive cells.
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