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The high rate of severe cytomegalovirus (CMV) disease after bone marrow transplantation (BMT) is related to the profound immunodeficiency posttransplant. Because cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) have been implicated in resistance to viral infections, we examined the restoration of the CMV-specific CTL response in 20 patients who received bone marrow from HLA-matched, CMV-seropositive donors. Blood specimens were obtained from patients at 1, 2, and 3 months after BMT and from the donors on a single occasion. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were cocultured with CMV-infected donor-derived fibroblasts for 2 weeks and then tested for cytotoxicity against CMV-infected and uninfected autologous or HLA-mismatched fibroblasts. Cytolytic activity was detected in all 20 donors, with specificity for autologous CMV-infected targets demonstrable in 17 (median CMV-specific lysis at an effector:target ratio of 15:1 was 32%, range 18% to 83%). Specific lysis was mediated by CD8+ class I-restricted T cells, as shown by inhibition with anti-class I monoclonal antibody and by selective depletion of effector cells. By contrast, CMV-specific CTL were detected in only 10 of 20 patients after BMT (median lysis 29% at 3 months post-BMT). None of these 10 patients developed CMV pneumonia, whereas 6 of the 10 patients with an undetectable CMV-specific CTL response after BMT died with CMV pneumonia. These results demonstrate that restoration of CMV-specific CTL responses may require an extended time period after BMT in some patients, and that such patients are at increased risk of developing severe CMV disease.

The natural history of CMV infection has been extensively studied. Following primary infection, immunocompetent hosts experience a mild or subclinical illness. The virus then enters a latent phase that usually persists for the life of the host, but CMV can reactivate and cause clinical disease if the host is immunosuppressed. Animal models have been developed to elucidate the nature of the immune responses required to control active infection and maintain the virus in a latent state. Studies in murine models with murine CMV have suggested that CD8+ CMV-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) are responsible for elimination of active infection and protective immunity. These results have been supported by inferential studies in humans. The peak incidence of CMV infection is during the first 3 months after BMT, and is thought to be related to the profound immunodeficient state induced by the treatment. In light of the global immunologic dysfunction present during this time period, it has been difficult to precisely define the nature of the specific immunologic defects predisposing these hosts to CMV infection. However, BMT recipients demonstrate lymphopenia, with a diminished number of mature cytotoxic effector T cells, and exhibit impaired generation of new CTL effectors from lymphoid precursors in the early posttransplant period. As suggested by murine studies, this deficiency of CD8+ T cells may contribute to the high incidence of CMV infection and the development of severe CMV disease.

Earlier investigations among BMT recipients have analyzed cytotoxic responses of lymphocytes obtained directly from the peripheral blood for CMV-infected target cells using long-duration cytotoxicity assays. The lytic activity measured with this approach reflects several populations of cytolytic effector cells including both T cells and natural killer (NK) cells. Thus, it was often not possible in these prior studies to distinguish the contributions to lytic activity of CD8+ class I major histocompatibility complex (MHC) restricted T cells from non-MHC restricted NK cells. Furthermore, the use of more aggressive posttransplant immunosuppression in recent years could potentially alter the tempo of immunologic reconstitution to pathogens such as CMV. Efficient culture systems have been developed that permit in vitro activation and expansion of class I
MHC antigen-specific T cells, so that it is possible to evaluate the presence of all potentially virus-reactive T cells, not just circulating lymphocytes exhibiting direct lytic activity. These methods have been used to detect and characterize CMV-specific CD8\(^+\) CTL in CMV-seropositive subjects with no evidence of active CMV infection,\(^{11,24-27}\) and should be applicable to an analysis of the presence of CMV-specific CTL in BMT recipients.

In this prospective study of BMT donor-recipient pairs, we have used sequential in vitro stimulation of peripheral blood lymphocytes with autologous CMV-infected fibroblasts to analyze seropositive marrow donors for the presence of class I MHC-restricted CMV-specific CTL and their BMT recipients for the development of a CMV-specific CTL response after transplant. The results of these studies were correlated with the occurrence of CMV infection and CMV disease in the BMT recipients during the first 3 months after transplant. The data suggest that generation of class I-restricted CTL responses to CMV may greatly influence the capacity of the host to limit the severity of CMV infection after BMT.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient population.** The investigation was conducted prospectively among 20 allogeneic marrow transplant recipients and their healthy marrow donors. The patients were selected for study if they had a human histocompatibility leukocyte antigen (HLA)-identical, mixed lymphocyte culture-nonreactive sibling marrow donor seropositive for CMV IgG antibody. Informed consent was obtained from both the patients and the marrow donors, and the study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center (Seattle, WA). Characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

The methods of BMT and posttransplant care have been reported previously.\(^{28}\) Each patient received cyclosporine plus a short course of methotrexate as prophylaxis for graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), and trough serum cyclosporine levels were monitored weekly.\(^{29}\) Due to the seropositive donor status, the patients were transfused with blood products that were not screened for antibody to CMV. Sixteen of the 20 recipients were CMV seropositive before transplant, with 14 of 16 receiving CMV prophylaxis to day 30 after transplant with high-dose intravenous acyclovir,\(^{30}\) and 2 of 16 receiving prophylactic foscarnet until day 75.

**Expansion of CMV-specific CTL in vitro.** CMV-specific CTL reactivity in patients was evaluated at 1, 2, and 3 months after transplant and compared with the response in marrow donors. A modified culture system,\(^{20}\) based on previously described methods,\(^{11,24-27}\) was developed to expand CMV-specific CTL in vitro. Briefly, skin biopsies were obtained from each marrow donor to establish fibroblast lines for use as both stimulator and target cells. Fibroblast lines were grown in Waymouth’s medium supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum (FCS), and used between passages 4 and 12. The fibroblasts were tested before use for mycoplasma contamination by DNA hybridization (Mycoplasma T.C. II; Gen-Probe, Inc, San Diego, CA). Autologous fibroblasts were plated in 6-well plates at 0.5 × 10\(^6\) cells/well, and infected for 2 hours with the human CMV strain AD 169 (American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5:1 before initiation of lymphocyte culture. CMV strain AD 169 was initially propagated in human foreskin fibroblasts, and the infectivity of the resultant virus stocks used for the study was 3 × 10\(^6\) plaque-forming units/mL. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were obtained from whole blood containing preservative-free sodium heparin (Lymphomed, Inc, Rosemont, IL) by Histopaque (Sigma, St Louis, MO) gradient centrifugation. The cells were resuspended in RPMI-HEPES supplemented with 10% CMV seronegative human AB serum and 5 × 10\(^{-4}\) mol/L 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma), and dispensed at 10\(^5\) cells/well in the 6-well plates containing autologous CMV-infected fibroblast stimulators. After 1 week of incubation at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO\(_2\) atmosphere, the cultured cells were harvested, and recultured at a ratio of 20:1 with fresh CMV-infected fibroblast stimulators in 6-well plates with autologous irradiated (3.5 Gy) PBMC as filler cells. Forty-eight hours after restimulation, half of the culture supernatant was replaced by fresh medium containing recombinant interleukin-2 (rIL-2; Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc, Nutley, NJ) to achieve a final concentration of 2 U/mL. This culture system resulted in preferential persistence and expansion of CD8\(^+\) T cells with CMV-specific cytolytic activity.\(^{26-27}\)

**Cytotoxicity assay.** The panel of target cells used for each cytotoxicity assay included autologous and HLA-mismatched CMV-infected and uninfected fibroblasts. All fibroblast lines used as HLA-mismatched targets were lysable by autologous effector cells. Fibroblast targets were preincubated before use with recombinant interferon-\(\gamma\) (rIFNy; Schering, Inc, Kenilworth, NJ) for 48 hours at 800 U/10\(^6\) cells, because this has been shown to increase the sensitivity and specificity of the assay for CMV-specific CTL by enhancing HLA-class I antigen expression.\(^{35}\) Fibroblast targets were labeled with \(^{51}\)Cr (100 \(\mu\)Ci/10\(^6\) cells; New England Nuclear, Boston, MA), and an aliquot was infected overnight with CMV AD 169 at an MOI of 5:1. Fibroblasts were then trypsinized, suspended at 10\(^6\) cells/mL in 10% FCS, and 100 \(\mu\)L (10\(^6\) cells) dispensed in triplicate into 96-well round-bottom plates together with 100 \(\mu\)L of effector cell suspensions at an effector:target (E:T) ratio of 15:1. Higher E:T ratios were also evaluated when enough lymphocytes were available. Although more lysis was detected at higher E:T ratios, it did not improve the ability to detect CMV-specific CTL in donors or patients. To confirm that specific lysis was class I-restricted, cytotoxicity was also assayed against targets preincubated for 20 minutes at 22°C with 25 \(\mu\)g/mL of the anti-HLA class I monoclonal antibody (MoAb) W6/32 (a gift of Dr D. Geraghty, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center).\(^{35}\) After incubation of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Characteristics of the 20 Marrow Donors and Recipients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Donors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, in years (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying disease:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute nonlymphocytic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute lymphocytic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic myelogenous leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkin's lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAEB in transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreatment conditioning regimen:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide/FTBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP-16/BCNU/cyclophosphamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Busulfan/cyclophosphamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretransplant CMV serology:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients alive at 3 mo after transplant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RAEB, refractory anemia with excess of blasts; FTBI, fractionated total body irradiation.

*Two of these four patients seroconverted after transplant.
the plates for 4 hours at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere, 100 μL of supernatant was harvested from each well, and radioactivity was measured in a γ counter. Specific lysis was calculated by the standard formula, with maximum release reflecting counts per minute (cpm) from incubation of targets with 1% Nonidet P40-solution (Sigma), and spontaneous release, which never exceeded 30% of maximum release, reflecting cpm from targets incubated with medium alone. There was no difference in spontaneous or maximum release between infected and uninfected targets.

Selective depletion of effector cells. To determine the phenotype of CMV-specific CTL, effector cells were suspended in RPMI at a concentration of 6 × 10⁶ cells/mL, incubated on ice for 45 minutes with 2.5 μg/mL of either MoAb OKT4 or OKT8 (Ortho Diagnostics, Inc, Raritan, NJ), washed, and incubated with rabbit complement (lot no. 3588; Pel Freeze, Inc, Brown Deer, WI) at a dilution of 1:3 at 37°C for 30 minutes. Phenotypic analysis by flow cytometry before and after MoAb and complement treatment demonstrated selective and greater than 95% effective depletion of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell subsets, respectively.

Lymphoproliferative assay. The lymphoproliferative response to CMV antigen and to phytohemagglutinin (PHA) was determined at the time CTL cultures were initiated. PBMC were suspended at 10⁶ cells/mL in RPMI with 20% FCS and 100 μL dispensed into wells of 96 round-bottom plates. CMV antigen was prepared by glycine-extraction and tested at three dilutions (1:100, 1:400, and 1:1,600). Because optimal responses were detected at a dilution of 1:400, only these results are presented. PHA (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) was used at a concentration of 10 μg/mL. One hundred microliters of CMV antigen or PHA was added to triplicate wells containing PBMC, and the plates incubated at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere for 96 hours. Sixteen hours before harvest, 1 μCi of ³H-thymidine (New England Nuclear) was added to each well. Wells were collected using a semi-automated harvester, and samples measured in a β-scintillation counter. Results are expressed as a stimulation index calculated by dividing the mean cpm of cells exposed to CMV antigen or to PHA by the mean cpm of cells incubated with medium alone. A stimulation index of 4 or greater was considered to indicate a positive lymphoproliferative response.

Virologic surveillance. Virologic surveillance before transplant and during the first 100 days after transplant consisted of viral cultures from throat, urine, and blood performed at least weekly. Specimens were inoculated onto monolayers of foreskin fibroblasts and observed for 4 weeks for cytopathic effects. Serologic surveillance consisted of weekly testing of serum samples for IgG antibody to CMV by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (CMV Stat, Whitaker M.A. Bioproducts, Walkersville, FL).

Definition of CMV infection and disease. CMV infection was defined as the presence of CMV in clinical specimens by culture or by histology. CMV disease was defined as evidence of CMV in tissue specimens, and in the case of CMV pneumonia in bronchoalveolar lavage, associated with clinical symptoms and signs.

Statistical analyses. Continuous variables were compared by using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test and dichotomous variables by Fisher's exact test. P values < .05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

CMV-specific CTL among marrow donors. Lytic activity for CMV-infected targets was demonstrable in all 20 CMV-seropositive marrow donors, with CMV-specific CTL activity readily detectable in 17 (85%) (Fig 1). Median specific lysis of autologous CMV-infected targets was 32% and was significantly higher than the low level of background lysis detectable with autologous uninfected or HLA-mismatched CMV-infected or uninfected targets (P < .0001). The preferential lysis of autologous CMV-infected targets over HLA-mismatched infected targets suggested that this culture system was generating classical CD8⁺ class I MHC-restricted CTL. This was further analyzed by preincubating the targets with anti-class I MoAb, which reduced the lysis of autologous infected targets by an average of 46% (P = .002) (Table 2). Moreover, selective depletion of CD8⁺ T cells abrogated CTL activity, whereas depletion of CD4⁺ T cells had no effect (Table 2).

Specific lytic activity was not demonstrable in 3 of 20 seropositive marrow donors. Although the median lysis of autologous CMV-infected targets with effector cells from these three donors was 45% (range 21% to 55%), these effector cells exhibited similar levels of lytic activity against autologous uninfected or HLA-mismatched targets. Thus, this nonspecific lytic activity obscured the detection of any CMV-specific CTL activity potentially present.

CMV-specific CTL activity after transplant. Ten marrow recipients (50%) developed a detectable class I MHC-restricted CMV-specific CTL response within the first 3
Table 2. Effects of Class I MoAb Blocking or Selective Depletion of T-cell Subsets on Cytotoxicity of CMV-Specific T-cell Cultures From Three CMV Seropositive Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Untreated Effector and Target</th>
<th>Effector Treated With</th>
<th>Percent Specific Lysis of Targets at E:T of 15:1*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Untreated Target Preincubated With a Class I MoAb</td>
<td>C Alone</td>
<td>αCD4 + C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: α, anti; C, complement.

*Lysis of autologous CMV-infected fibroblasts targets. Lysis of autologous uninfected and HLA-mismatched CMV-infected and uninfected targets was <5% in all three subjects (data not shown).

months after allogeneic BMT (Fig 2). The preferential lysis of autologous CMV-infected fibroblasts compared with HLA-mismatched CMV-infected fibroblasts in all patients with detectable CMV-specific CTL activity (Fig 2) and the inhibition of lysis of autologous CMV-infected target cells by an average of 49% (P = .0002) indicate that CTL activity in these cultures was MHC class I-restricted. The magnitude of the median specific cytolytic response in these recipients at 3 months after transplant was quantitatively similar to that measured among the corresponding marrow donors (Figs 1 and 2).

Of the three recipients who received marrow from a donor with nonspecific lytic activity, one developed detectable CMV-specific CTL activity after transplant and two did not. One of these recipients was only evaluable until day 30 due to early leukemic relapse, and the other was CMV seronegative and remained CMV seronegative during the 3-month study period despite receiving bone marrow from a CMV seropositive donor.

The kinetics of recovery of CMV-specific CTL activity was followed in one patient for 8 months after transplant (Fig 3). This patient was found to have asymptomatic CMV infection as reflected by urinary CMV excretion at 2 months after BMT, and concurrently demonstrated for the first time postransplant a weak but detectable CMV-specific cytolytic response (Fig 3). This patient did not develop CMV disease, and demonstrated increased CMV-specific CTL activity by 3 months (CMV-specific lysis of 32%), which continued to increase and was similar at 8 months posttransplant to that detected in the healthy marrow donor (CMV-specific lysis of 56%).

The patients were monitored to determine if any clinical conditions correlated with the presence or absence of CTL activity. Moderate to severe (grade II to IV) acute GVHD occurred in all 10 patients who failed to demonstrate a specific CTL response to CMV, but only in 4 of 10 patients with detectable CTL. Because more aggressive cyclosporine therapy might be given to patients with active GVHD, and this additional immunosuppression could influence the detection of CMV-specific CTL, trough serum levels of cyclosporine were obtained at or within 24 hours of the day on which a CTL culture was initiated. No correlation was discernible between the magnitude of cyclosporine levels...
obtained on these isolated occasions, and the presence or absence of CMV-specific CTL activity. Thus, no clear relationship could be established between iatrogenic immunosuppression with cyclosporine and the generation of CTL in the BMT recipients.

Lymphoproliferative response to CMV and PHA in marrow donors and recipients. A lymphoproliferative assay was performed on PBMC obtained from marrow donors and recipients at the time of initiation of the CTL cultures to provide an index of CD4+ T-helper cell function present in these individuals. Nineteen of the 20 marrow donors were evaluated and all 19 donors had a proliferative response to CMV antigen (median stimulation index of 26, range 4 to 197) and to PHA (median stimulation index of 36, range 3 to 432) (Fig 4). A proliferative response to CMV antigen was detected in 9 (50%) of 18 recipients tested at 1 month, in 8 (50%) of 16 patients at 2 months, and in 8 (73%) of 11 patients at 3 months after transplant. The proliferative response to CMV antigen among patients was significantly depressed at 1 month ($P = .0009$) and at 2 months ($P = .0002$) after BMT when compared with the response among marrow donors (Fig 4). Similarly, the proliferative response to PHA was significantly weaker among transplant recipients at 1 month ($P = .0008$), 2 months ($P < .002$), and at 3 months ($P < .02$) after BMT when compared with the response among marrow donors (Fig 4). These results are consistent with a global defect in IL-2-producing CD4+ T-cell function during this 3-month period post-BMT.

Deficient helper function provided by CD4+ T cells could potentially influence the ability of the host to develop a CTL response; therefore, we compared the CMV-specific proliferative response between patients who had detectable CMV-specific CTL activity and patients with no demonstrable CTL activity. Patients with detectable CMV-specific CTL responses had greater CMV-specific proliferative responses than those without CMV-specific CTL activity, with a median stimulation index of 9 versus 2 at 1 month, 8 versus 1 at 2 months, and 20 versus 2 at 3 months after transplant. The presence of both CMV-specific CTL activity and a proliferative response among transplant recipients was assessed simultaneously on 45 occasions. There was a significant association between the results of these assays, with CMV-specific CTL and proliferative responses being simultaneously present or absent on 19 occasions each ($P < .0001$). Thus, although the presence of a proliferative response to CMV was not necessarily associated with the development of a CTL response, all patients who developed CTL activity to CMV had a proliferative response to CMV at the time CMV-specific CTL were detected.

**CMV infection and the CMV-specific CTL response.** CMV infection occurred in 13 (65%) of the 20 patients at a median of 57 days after transplant (range 49 to 87 days). CMV disease developed in 7 of these 13 patients demonstrating active infection, with six having CMV pneumonia and one having CMV esophagitis. Pneumonia developed at a median of 56 days after transplant (range 53 to 87 days). Pneumonia was the direct cause of death in five of the six patients despite treatment with ganciclovir and CMV Ig.3 and was a concomitant cause of death in the remaining one patient who died from *Pseudomonas* septicemia.

The 10 patients who developed a detectable CMV-specific CTL response were all CMV-seropositive before and after transplant. Seven of the 10 patients had evidence of CMV infection, but none developed CMV pneumonia, and only one developed evidence of CMV disease, as reflected by esophagitis that subsequently resolved. Three of the 10 patients with specific CTL activity had no documented evidence of CMV infection during the first 3 months after transplant.

Ten patients had no detectable CMV-specific CTL activity during the posttransplant study period. Four of these 10 patients were CMV-seronegative before BMT, and 2 of these 4 patients, despite receiving marrow from a CMV-seropositive donor, remained seronegative and were without other evidence of CMV infection throughout the posttransplant course. Eight of the 10 patients were CMV-seropositive after transplant, with six developing CMV infection. In three of these patients, the initial manifestation of CMV infection was interstitial pneumonia, and in the other three CMV was first isolated at other sites, but in all cases infection progressed to interstitial pneumonia within 7 days. Thus, 6 of 10 patients who did not have detectable CMV-specific CTL responses posttransplant developed CMV pneumonia, whereas none of the 10 patients with detectable CMV-specific CTL responses developed CMV pneumonia ($P < .025$).

**DISCUSSION**

The temporal relationship between the early period after BMT, in which patients are profoundly immunodeficient,16-21 and the occurrence of CMV infection and severe CMV disease, has been well documented.13 Various methods to enhance host immune function post-BMT are being explored, including administration of cytokines and growth factors that might nonspecifically hasten immunologic reconstitution. Our group has extensively evaluated in murine...
models adoptive T-cell therapy of tumors by the transfer of
tumor-specific T cells, and has recently proposed to apply
specific adoptive immunotherapy to the treatment of
human CMV disease by transferring CMV-specific T-cell
clones derived from the HLA-matched bone marrow donor
into the BMT recipient. The rationale for an immunotherapeutic
approach for human CMV disease in BMT recipients is supported by studies in immunosuppressed mice in which the
transfer of CD8+ CMV-specific CTL was shown to protect hosts from life-threatening CMV infection. In this study, we evaluated class I MHC-restricted CMV-
specific CD8+ CTL responses in healthy seropositive bone
marrow donors with latent CMV infection and in marrow recipients during the first 3 months after allogeneic BMT.
The results demonstrate that healthy, immunocompetent seropositive hosts have detectable cytolytic responses. How-
ever, after allogeneic BMT, the class I-restricted, CMV-
specific CTL response is usually absent and restoration of
this response frequently requires an extended period of
time. Moreover, patients without detectable CMV-specific
CTL activity during the first 3 months posttransplant
may play an active role in protecting the host from viral
reactivation.
In contrast to healthy, immunocompetent marrow do-
nors, CD8+ class I-restricted CMV-specific CTL precursors were detectable only in 10 of 20 BMT recipients during the
first 3 months after transplant. The kinetics of recovery of
this response was variable in this group, with responses
comparable in magnitude with those detected in donors
usually present by 3 months, but potentially delayed for a
much longer time (Figs 2 and 3). Moreover, no CMV-
specific CTL activity was demonstrable in 16 of 20 patients
during the immediate posttransplant period. The cause of
absent or delayed recovery of CMV-specific CTL activity is
presumably multifactorial.
Acute GVHD has been demonstrated to contribute to
delayed restoration of cellular immunity, and grade II
through IV GVHD was present in all 10 patients who lacked detectable CTL activity, but in only 4 of 10 patients
with a detectable CTL response. The delayed recovery of
CTL activity in this situation could reflect both direct
effects of GVHD and secondary consequences of the
immunosuppression induced by the treatment of GVHD.
Defective T-helper cell functions in BMT recipients could also contribute to delayed or incomplete recovery of
CTL activity. Impaired functioning of T-helper cells in
BMT recipients has been documented by low IL-2 produc-
tion, decreased PHA-induced proliferation, and decreased proliferation after stimulation by CMV antigen. In
our study, the proliferative response to PHA and to
CMV antigen in BMT recipients was significantly weaker
than among the marrow donors. Although recovery of the
proliferative response to CMV has been shown not to
correlate with protection from CMV disease in BMT
recipients, we observed a significant association between
these results, the importance of antigen-specific,
CMV-specific, CD8+ CTL into immunocompromised mice
and CMV disease has been clearly demonstrated in a
murine CMV model. During primary infection, activated
murine CMV-specific CTL can be detected in increased
numbers in draining lymph nodes, and adoptive transfer of
CMV-specific, CD8+ CTL into immunocompromised mice
with acute CMV infection can mediate control of virus
multiplication in tissues and protection from virus-induced
disease and tissue destruction. These findings raise the
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possibility that similar adoptive transfer of human CMV-specific CTL clones might protect immunocompromised BMT recipients from severe CMV disease. Our laboratory has recently developed the culture methods to clone CD8+ CMV-specific T cells from healthy CMV seropositive donors and to expand these cells to large numbers in long-term culture.26,27 We are presently evaluating specific adoptive immunotherapy for CMV infection occurring in BMT recipients, an approach that could have implications for the treatment of many infectious diseases occurring in immunocompromised hosts.
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