Bone marrow transplantation (BMT) is often followed by significant morbidity and mortality due to protracted immunodeficiency. We have hypothesized that the bone marrow-ablative regimen may delay the recovery of normal immune function following transplantation by impairing the interaction of host endothelial cells with circulating graft-derived lymphocytes. This report compares the relative effects of busulfan (an alkylating drug) and gamma-irradiation on the tissue-specific localization potential of lymphocytes and the eventual recovery of immune function within syngeneic murine transplant recipients. Localization of normal lymphocytes into peripheral lymph nodes of irradiated BMT recipients was markedly less (<50%) than in busulfan-pretreated or normal mice over the first 2 months post-BMT. This finding correlated with irradiation-induced endothelial cell edema and microvascular occlusions within lymphocyte-receptive areas of the nodal microvasculature. The effect of both preparative regimens on the recovery of contact hypersensitivity (CHS) was also analyzed. This response recovered more quickly (between 1 and 2 months) in busulfan-pretreated mice than in normal mice. Further experiments demonstrated that the decrease in CHS responsiveness appeared, in part, related to a depression in the capacity of lymphocytes to localize into skin sites of antigen deposition within irradiated mice. The impairment of tissue-specific lymphocyte localization may represent a novel mechanism by which whole body irradiation can contribute to delayed immunologic reconstitution following bone marrow transplantation.
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Bone marrow transplantation (BMT) is often followed by significant morbidity and mortality due to protracted immunodeficiency. We have hypothesized that the bone marrow-ablative regimen may delay the recovery of normal immune function following transplantation by impairing the interaction of host endothelial cells with circulating graft-derived lymphocytes. This report compares the relative effects of busulfan (an alkylating drug) and gamma-irradiation on the tissue-specific localization potential of lymphocytes and the eventual recovery of immune function within syngeneic murine transplant recipients. Localization of normal lymphocytes into peripheral lymph nodes of irradiated BMT recipients was markedly less (<50%) than in busulfan-pretreated or normal mice over the first 2 months post-BMT. This finding correlated with irradiation-induced endothelial cell edema and microvascular occlusions within lymphocyte-receptive areas of the nodal microvasculature. The effect of both preparative regimens on the recovery of contact hypersensitivity (CHS) was also analyzed. This response recovered more quickly (between 1 and 2 months) in busulfan-pretreated animals. Further experiments demonstrated that the decrease in CHS responsiveness appeared, in part, related to a depression in the capacity of lymphocytes to localize into skin sites of antigen deposition within irradiated mice. The impairment of tissue-specific lymphocyte localization may represent a novel mechanism by which whole body irradiation can contribute to delayed immunologic reconstitution following bone marrow transplantation.

The eventual hematologic and immunologic recovery post-BMT is closely linked to the engraftment, proliferation, maturation and differentiation of donor-derived stem cells. Some recovery of peripheral blood lymphocyte subsets can usually be demonstrated within 3 to 6 months of BMT. Despite the relatively early reappearance of phenotypic T and B cells, functional immunologic recovery may take over 2 years. During this period, serum antibody production, cytotoxic T cell function, mitogen responsiveness, as well as other lymphocyte functions appear to recover. Some complex immunologic responses, such as antigen-specific helper T cell function, IgA secretion, and contact hypersensitivity responses, appear to be even more delayed in their recovery.

The degree of histocompatibility between the bone marrow donor and recipient does not appear to significantly influence the rate at which immunologic recovery occurs following BMT. Immune reconstitution occurs at a similar pace following human twin, allogeneic and autologous transplants. The development of graft-versus-host disease, however, significantly worsens the severity and duration of the various cellular and humoral immune deficits observed posttransplantation.

It is possible that current BMT protocols have detrimental effects on the recovery of the immune system posttransplantation by producing unintended effects on host tissues. We have hypothesized that some of the post-BMT immunologic deficits are produced by toxicity of the bone marrow-ablative regimen on the endothelial cells of the recipient. Alterations in the capacity of endothelial cells to bind graft-derived lymphocytes may account for decreased tissue localization potential of immune effector cells and thereby result in depressed immunologic function.

Under normal circumstances, mature lymphocytes continually migrate throughout the body, passing from the bloodstream through the various lymphoid organs and eventually returning to the bloodstream. The ability of lymphocytes to migrate through tissues is termed recirculation. Virtually the entire lymphocyte content of peripheral lymph nodes enters the nodal parenchyma from the bloodstream via this process. Tissue-specific extravasation appears to require the selective interaction of lymphocyte surface receptors with lymph node microvascular endothelial cells. The adhesion process appears to take place in histologically distinct cuboidal endothelium-lined post-capillary venules, known as high endothelial venules (HEV). Analogous tissue-specific extravasation-mediating structures are also associated with the endothelium of other lymphoid organs, such as the
Peyer's patches,24 mesenteric lymph nodes,23 periportal lymphatic tissue,25 and synovium.26

Continual lymphocyte recirculation through peripheral lymph nodes is believed to enhance the probability that a T cell, which is committed during differentiation to a single antigen epitope, can encounter its specific antigen.2227 The antigen recognition process is aided by the simultaneous antigen epitope, can encounter its specific antigen.2327 The peripheral lymph nodes also contain all of the humoral and cellular regulatory elements needed to amplify or depress immune responsiveness. The peripheral lymph nodes, therefore, serve as structures that facilitate the antigen recognition process and enhance immune surveillance of host tissues.

Our previous studies established that marrow-ablative doses of gamma-irradiation (7.5 Gy) caused distinctive ultrastructural changes in murine and human lymph node HEV.29 These alterations in murine HEV were associated with a depressed capacity of lymphocytes to localize into irradiated lymph nodes from the bloodstream.29 Due to the importance of peripheral lymph nodes in the initiation and regulation of immune responses, we hypothesized that this depressed localization capacity should be associated with demonstrable changes in immune function.

In this report we compare the effects of two commonly used bone marrow-ablative agents,2 busulfan (an alkylating drug) and gamma-irradiation, on HEV histology and lymphocyte tissue localization potential. Since the two preparative regimens differed significantly in their endothelial toxicity, it proved possible to assess their respective effects on the timecourse of immunologic reconstitution following BMT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Six- to ten-week-old C3H/HeN mice were obtained from the animal production facility of the National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, MD). All mice were housed at a maximum density of six animals per 18 × 28 cm cage and maintained on Wayne sterilizable Lab Blox (Wayne Pet Food, Chicago) and acidified water ad libitum. Mice were age and sex matched for each experiment. Before exposure to either preparative regimen, the experimental animals were pretreated for two days with 0.5 mg of gentamycin sulfate administered intraperitoneally (IP). Throughout the course of the experiment, recipient mice also received oral nonabsorbable antibiotic (35 mg of neomycin and 2,500 units of polymyxin B per 200 mL of drinking water). This treatment was used to reduce mortality due to infection during the experiment.

Preparation of lymphocytes. Peripheral lymph nodes (PLN) (inguinal, axillary, brachial, and cervical) were excised from donor mice and gently dissociated in RPMI 1640 medium (GIBCO, Grand Island, NY) with 5% fetal bovine serum (Sterile Systems, Logan, UT) (complete medium). The cell suspension was layered onto a glass-wool column to remove adherent as well as nonviable cells. Cell suspensions were always ≥99% viable by trypsin blue exclusion.

Gamma-irradiation of mice. Recipient mice were lethally irradiated with 7.5 Gy (1 Gy = 100 rad) per whole body at 4.8 Gy/min using a Gammatron (Isomedix, Parsippany, NJ) containing a cesium source. Animals were reconstituted with 107 syngeneic nucleated bone marrow cells immediately following irradiation. The irradiated mice were then placed into sterile cages, in a laminar airflow environment and given sterile food and antibiotic-containing water ad lib.29

Busulfan treatment of mice. Busulfan (Burroughs Wellcome, Research Triangle, NC), 6.25 mg, was dissolved in 200 μL dimethylsulfoxide and 800 μL warm (37°C) phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4). Antibiotic pretreated mice were given daily doses of 25 mg busulfan/kg body weight IP for six sequential days. This dose has been established as the hematologic LD₅₀ for mice (reference 30 and unpublished observations). Animals were reconstituted with 10⁷ nucleated marrow cells 24 hours following the last dose of busulfan, a point at which all active drug has been cleared from the circulation.31 Animals were then maintained in the same fashion as irradiated BMT-recipients.

Radiolabeling of lymphocytes with [¹¹C] for localization studies. Lymphocytes were radiolabeled with [¹¹C] (as sodium chroomate, specific activity 250-500 mCi/mg Cr; Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). Lymphocyte suspensions (10⁷ viable cells/mL) were incubated with 100 μCi [¹¹C] for half an hour at 37°C. Radiolabeled cells were washed three times in complete medium, adjusted to 10⁷ lymphocytes per milliliter in RPMI and 0.2 mL was injected intravenously (IV) into normal, busulfan-treated, or gamma-irradiated recipient mice. The administration of gamma-irradiation or busulfan was timed in such a manner that the comparative localization studies involving the infusion of [¹¹C]-labeled lymphocytes into the various experimental groups could all be performed from a single preparation of radiolabeled cells.

Radiolabeling of lymphocytes with [¹²⁵I] for localization studies. Cells synthesizing DNA following antigen sensitization were labeled with [¹²⁵I] (as iododeoxyuridine [¹²⁵I]UDR, Amersham, sp act 5 Ci/mg). Lymphocytes were isolated from antigen-sensitized donor mice, and a single cell suspension prepared (5 × 10⁷ cells/mL). A total of 2 μCi of [¹²⁵I]UDR was incubated per milliliter of the cell suspension for two hours (37°C) with periodic gentle agitation. This was followed by extensive washing and resuspension of the cells to 10⁷/mL. Each recipient was infused IV with 4 × 10⁷ [¹²⁵I]UDR labeled cells.

Isotope quantitation and data presentation. Animals were killed four hours after infusion of labeled cells. The mice were autopsied, and individual organs were removed and placed into separate vials for counting in a Beckman Gamma 8000 gamma counter (Beckman Instruments, Irvine, CA), as was the remaining carcass. Data are presented as the percentage of radioactivity recovered per individual organ, as compared with the total radioactivity recovered from the experimental animal. Background values were subtracted from each one-minute count. This method of data calculation precludes errors introduced by inoculation variation between animals, as organ distribution is independent of the number of radiolabeled cells injected over a wide dose response range.32 Localization experiments were run using two to three animal groups because of high concordance between animals. Each experiment was repeated at least twice.

Assessment of relative marrow ablative potential of irradiation and busulfan regimens. Relative bone marrow stem cell ablation produced by gamma-irradiation (a single dose of 7.5 Gy) or busulfan (25 mg/kg/d for six days, IP) was quantified by an in vitro granulocyte-macrophage colony assay (CFU-GM). One femur from each mouse within three animal groups (which had previously been exposed to one of the preparative regimens) was isolated, and the marrow irrigated out with a syringe. Each femur contains ~4.5% of the total mouse bone marrow compartment.33 Following gentle dissociation of each animal's marrow into a single cell suspension, erythrocytes were lysed with Tris-buffered 0.16 mol/L ammonium chloride. The remaining nucleated cells were counted by phase microscopy. The CFU-GM were assessed by plating the entire nucleated bone marrow cell population from each femur into 2 mL of 0.8% methylcellulose (Dow Chemical Co, Midland, MI), in the presence of murine post-endotoxin serum (a source of GM-colony...
stimulating factor [GM-CSF]) at a predetermined optimal concentration. After 12 days colonies of >40 cells were counted. Normal murine bone marrow cells (10^6 cells per 60 mm plate), cultured in the presence of GM-CSF served to establish the maximum colony formation potential. Bone marrow cells plated in the absence of GM-CSF served as a negative control.

**Immunoperoxidase staining of frozen sections.** Frozen sections were incubated for 30 minutes with MECA-325 (generously supplied by E. Butcher, Stanford, CA). MECA-325 is a monoclonal rat-anti-mouse endothelial cell antibody (IgG1), which was produced by fusing spleen cells from rats that had been immunized with mouse (Balb/c) lymph node stroma with SP 2/0 mouse myeloma cells. This monoclonal antibody binds specifically to murine HEV, and not other lymph node structures. No cross reaction occurs with capillaries, arteries, and arterioles that do not have the cuboidal endothelial features associated with specific lymphocyte binding. To identify MECA-325 binding, a biotinylated rabbit-anti-rat antiserum (Cappel) was used as a second stage reagent. This reagent did not bind to mouse lymph node sections in parallel control slides. Immunoperoxidase staining was then performed using a modified avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex technique.

**Sensitization and elicitation of contact hypersensitivity (CHS).** Experimental and control mice were sensitized on the shaved abdomen with 25 μL of 0.25% 2,4-dinitro-1-fluorobenzene (DNFB; Sigma, St Louis) in a vehicle of acetone/olive oil (4:1) on two consecutive days. Four days after the first sensitization, a challenge dose of 10 μL 0.25% DNFB was applied to the right hind footpad. Footpad swelling was measured (using a micrometer) 24 and 48 hours after challenge. The increment in footpad swelling of a mouse (Balb/c) lymph node with SP 2/0 mouse myeloma cells. This monoclonal antibody binds specifically to murine HEV, and not other lymph node structures. No cross reaction occurs with capillaries, arteries, and arterioles that do not have the cuboidal endothelial features associated with specific lymphocyte binding. To identify MECA-325 binding, a biotinylated rabbit-anti-rat antiserum (Cappel) was used as a second stage reagent. This reagent did not bind to mouse lymph node sections in parallel control slides. Immunoperoxidase staining was then performed using a modified avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex technique.

**Adoptive transfer of CHS.** Normal mice were sensitized with 25 μL of 0.25% DNFB on the shaved abdomen and 10 μL DNFB on each footpad and ear on two consecutive days (donors). Four days after the initial sensitization, popliteal, inguinal, axillary, brachial, and cervical nodes were excised and gently dissociated in complete medium. The resulting single cell suspensions were injected IV into previously transplanted mice (either irradiated or busulfan-pretreated) at 4 x 10^7 cells/recipient. The recipients of the cell suspension in the right ear. The increment in ear swelling (compared with the contralateral ear) was determined 48 hours later to minimize any residual swelling due to injection trauma (x 10^-4 inches). Mice that received an equivalent volume of sensitized effector lymphocytes without hapten-modified splenocytes served as a negative control.

**RESULTS**

The initial experiment was designed to compare the relative effects of irradiation or busulfan exposure on tissue localization of normal lymphocytes within BMT recipients. These experiments were performed by infusing 2 x 10^6 normal [51Cr]-labeled peripheral lymph node lymphocytes IV into previously transplanted mice (0.2 mL/recipient). Four hours following the infusion of radiolabeled lymphocytes, the animals were killed and autopsied. Each organ (peripheral lymph nodes, spleen, mesenteric nodes, gut, liver, kidneys, lungs and the residual carcass) was counted separately in a gamma counter to determine the primary localization of the cells. The results are expressed as a percentage of the injected cells that localized within the peripheral lymph nodes (±SEM), compared with the total recovery from each animal. As early as 1 week post-BMT, a time when the function of both the hematopoietic and immunologic systems of the BMT recipient remain ablated by the preparative regimen, lymphocyte localization into the lymph nodes of previously irradiated mice was <50% of controls. No evidence of lymphocyte sequestration in any other organ could be demonstrated as a cause for the depression in cells reaching the peripheral lymph nodes (data not shown).
The decrease in lymphocyte localization into peripheral lymph nodes in irradiated mice persisted through the 1- and 2-month timepoints. These times were chosen for experimental evaluation because significant graft-derived hematologic recovery occurs by 1 month post-BMT. By 2 months following syngeneic murine transplantation, phenotypic recovery of graft-derived lymphocytes in the blood and lymphoid tissues may be observed.36 Busulfan-treated mice did not exhibit significant depression in the capacity of lymphocytes to localize into nodes at any time tested. This suggests that busulfan, unlike gamma-irradiation, does not adversely affect the lymphocyte-receptive endothelium within peripheral lymph nodes.

These results could also be explained if the marrow-ablative dose of busulfan was less potent than that of gamma-irradiation. If this were true, less lymph node microvascular damage might also be expected. We performed an experiment to directly assess the degree of bone marrow stem cell ablation produced by both of the experimental regimens. Groups of three mice were pretreated with either busulfan or irradiation. Hematologic reconstitution by BMT was not performed. Twenty-four hours after the last exposure to either preparative agent, the marrow content of one femur per animal (4.5% of its total bone marrow)33 was assessed for its ability to form CFU-GM. Care was taken to assess CFU-GM in busulfan-pretreated mice at a time when all drug and its active metabolites were believed to have been cleared from the circulation.31 To establish the normal CFU-GM content per femur, a group of normal age- and sex-matched control mice served as a control. The results of this experiment (Table 1) established that busulfan-pretreatment decreased the number of CFU-GM by a factor of >10⁴/femur, compared with 10³ for 7.5 Gy-irradiated animals. The apparent differences in lymphocyte recirculation potential produced by the two regimens cannot, therefore, be accounted for by less intensive marrow ablation within the busulfan-treated group. These two regimens likely differ in their effects on the lymph node HEV structures.

The microanatomy of the peripheral lymph node HEV was directly examined by immunochemical techniques. Frozen sections of mouse peripheral lymph nodes were prepared from animals 2 months postexposure to either busulfan or irradiation. These sections were stained with the monoclonal antibody, MECA-325, using an immunoperoxidase technique.34 This monoclonal antibody specifically identifies lymphocyte-receptive areas of endothelium within peripheral lymph nodes (high endothelial venules).35 The immunoperoxidase-stained sections of gamma-irradiated lymph nodes (Fig 2A) demonstrated a marked disorganization of the HEV histology, with apparent edema and proliferation of the endothelial cells, producing microvascular occlusions. Lymph nodes from busulfan-pretreated animals (Fig 2B) were found to have a normal appearing HEV architecture, with cuboidal endothelium and patent vascular lumens. A MECA-325-stained section of a lymph node from a normal animal is presented for comparison (Fig 2C). Electron microscopy (EM) was also performed at the 2-month timepoint. The EM studies confirmed our previous findings of severe intracellular edema within endothelial cells of previously irradiated HEV.29 Lymph node endothelial cells from busulfan-pretreated mice, on the other hand, were ultrastructurally normal (data not shown).

Since the capacity of lymphocytes to enter peripheral lymph nodes appears to be impaired by prior irradiation, it follows that immunologic hyporesponsiveness might occur as a consequence. Contact hypersensitivity (CHS) was the initial response evaluated, because CHS is known to be depressed for years following BMT in humans.14 CHS may be particularly susceptible to preparative-regimen--induced endothelial damage, because lymphocyte recirculation is thought to play an important role in this response. The sensitization and amplification phases of this response take place, in part, following localization of circulating lymphocytes into the region lymph nodes that drain the antigen-exposed skin.39,40 Antigen-sensitized CHS-effector T cells that are subsequently generated in the peripheral lymph nodes are thought to exit the node via efferent lymphatics and migrate via the thoracic duct into the bloodstream. These sensitized lymphocytes exhibit the capacity to extravasate from the bloodstream specifically into sites of subsequent antigen exposure in the skin.39,40

The effects of the BMT-preparative regimen on the induction of CHS-responsiveness were assessed by testing groups of mice transplanted using either busulfan or irradiation 1 and 2 months previously. Mice were sensitized with 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene (DNFB) on their shaved abdominal skin. Four days after the initial sensitization, the challenge dose of DNFB was applied to the right hind-footpad. The degree of swelling was measured with a micrometer 24 hours later, and compared with the contralateral normal footpad. A group of sensitized and challenged age-matched normal mice served to establish the normal range of CHS-responsiveness for each experimental timepoint. A nonsensitized group that was exposed only to
Fig 2. Immunoperoxidase staining of peripheral lymph node HEV with the monoclonal antibody MECA-325. Mice were exposed to either irradiation (A) or busulfan (B) marrow ablation, followed by syngeneic hematopoietic reconstitution. Frozen sections (6 μm) were prepared from their lymph nodes, obtained 2 months after BMT, and stained with MECA-325 by immunoperoxidase technique as described in the Materials and Methods section. The irradiated lymph nodes exhibit apparent endothelial cell proliferation, edema, and occlusion of vascular lumens, unlike busulfan exposed nodes. For comparison, a normal peripheral lymph node is also shown (C).
the challenge dose of DNFB served as a negative control. This experiment (Fig 3) demonstrated that the de novo induction of CHS-responsiveness was markedly diminished in both the irradiated and busulfan-treated groups at 1 month posttransplantation. By 2 months, however, the busulfan group had recovered a normal degree of CHS-responsiveness. The 2-month irradiated group, on the other hand, still exhibited a markedly depressed response.

These results indicate that this antigen-specific immunologic response is depressed longer in previously irradiated mice than in busulfan-pretreated BMT recipients. The elicitation of CHS responses, however, requires a complex system that involves multistep antigen sensitization, amplification, and effector phases. Any experimental result must therefore be interpreted with caution, since irradiation could be acting at one or multiple steps in the response. Additional experiments were performed, analyzing two specific components of the CHS response, to better define some of the potential mechanisms resulting in the observed depression of CHS responsiveness.

The effector phase of the CHS response (i.e., the ability of sensitized effector lymphocytes to localize into the skin from the bloodstream and produce edema) can be tested by means of an adoptive transfer experiment. Such an experiment was performed by allowing antigen sensitization to proceed in normal animals. A single-cell suspension of lymphocytes was prepared from the lymph nodes of these donor mice, and 4 x 10^7 cells/mouse were infused IV into groups of experimental animals. This experiment was performed in groups of mice transplanted 1 and 2 months previously, using either the busulfan or irradiation protocol. Mice were challenged with antigen on one footpad immediately following the adoptive transfer of cells. The increment in footpad swelling was determined 24 hours later (Fig 4). Parallel groups of normal age-matched control mice were used at each time point to establish the maximum expected degree of swelling elicited by such an adoptive transfer of effector cells. The results of this experiment (Fig 4) demonstrate a marked reduction in the capacity of normal DNFB-sensitized effector lymphocytes to elicit swelling in footpad challenge sites in previously irradiated mice (28% and 34% of control at 1 and 2 months post-BMT, respectively). This experiment suggests that prior radiotherapy interferes with either the ability of effector T cells to localize into the skin of irradiated BMT recipients or the subsequent ability of these cells to elicit swelling within cutaneous tissues. The response was somewhat depressed at 1 month following busulfan exposure. By 2 months, however, the ability to adoptively transfer CHS responsiveness into busulfan-treated recipients was not different from normal.

Measurement of footpad swelling at 48 hours (to exclude the possibility that irradiated animals exhibited a delayed, but otherwise normal, CHS response) demonstrated a similar pattern to the 24-hour measurements, but were uniformly reduced in magnitude within each group of mice.

The possibility that previous irradiation of the skin is responsible for the failure to produce edema was then addressed. Four days after sensitization of normal donor
mice with trinitrochlorobenzene (TNCB), donor animals were killed and their lymph nodes excised. A single cell suspension was prepared from the sensitized lymphocytes contained within these nodes. An equal number of these cells and antigen-haptenized spleen cell targets were mixed in a small volume of media, and 10 μL injected into the right ear pinnae of mice that had been irradiated 2 or 8 months previously. The increment in ear swelling was measured with a micrometer 48 hours after injection, to minimize any degree of edema attributable to injection trauma. A parallel group of normal mice injected with sensitized effector lymphocytes and antigen served as a positive control. Animals that received only the same number of antigen-primed lymphocytes without antigen (in equivalent volume of medium) served as a negative control. The results of this experiment (Fig 5) demonstrated that the degree of ear swelling that could be elicited in both the irradiated and unirradiated groups was similar. The response was also shown to be antigen dependent, since animals that received only sensitized lymphocytes, but no antigen, did not develop ear swelling. The conclusion of these experiments is that irradiated skin is capable of responding to a local CHS stimulus with the same degree of edema as normal skin.

These experiments suggested that irradiation-induced depressions in CHS responsiveness were, in part, due to a decrease in the ability of effector lymphocytes to localize into the skin from the bloodstream. This possibility was experimentally tested by preparing a single cell suspension of DNFBSensitized lymphocytes derived from normal mice. Proliferating cells within this suspension (presumably undergoing clonal expansion in response to antigen exposure) were labeled with 125IUDR for two hours. Cells from this suspension (4 × 10^7 cells/recipient) were then infused IV into previously transplanted mice. These animals were immediately challenged with DNFBS on the right ear. As in preceding adoptive transfer experiments, the increment in swelling was measured at 24 hours. The mice were subsequently killed and autopsied. Each ear (as well as other organs and carcass) were counted separately in a gamma counter to determine the percentage of the recovered radiolabel within the antigen-challenged ear site compared with the non-challenged ear. The results of this experiment (Table 2) confirmed the previously noted depression in the capacity to adoptively transfer CHS to previously irradiated recipients. The depression in edema generation was paralleled by a depression in the influx of radiolabeled lymphocytes into this site. Busulfan treated mice exhibited a depression in lymphocyte localization into the ear challenge site (and depressed CHS responsiveness) 1 month post-BMT. By 2 months, however, the ability of adoptively transferred effector lymphocytes to elicit edema and enter sites of antigen challenge was significantly better than their irradiated counterparts, and approached the response within normal age-matched (control) recipients.

**DISCUSSION**

The optimal preparative regimen for use in human BMT is a controversial issue, centered mainly around the narrow-
Our studies demonstrated that CHS responsiveness recovered more quickly in busulfan-treated mice than in irradiated animals. The cause of the depression of CHS responsiveness in irradiated animals is difficult to interpret, since one step or multiple steps in the antigen sensitization and effector phases of the response could be affected by irradiation. Subsequent experiments established that one of the mechanisms that may contribute to a diminished CHS response in irradiated animals was the depressed capacity of lymphocytes derived from normal donors to localize into irradiated skin sites. Since proliferating cells were labeled in these studies, our results may reflect an irradiation-induced depression in the skin localization of the CHS effector cells. It should be remembered, however, that recruited, nonantigen-sensitized lymphocytes contained in the adoptively transferred population may also contribute to the cellular influx into the skin. The role of each cell population in the generation of the CHS response is as yet not fully characterized. Our preliminary studies appear to indicate that the ability of the recruited (nonantigen-sensitized) lymphocyte population to enter the skin is also significantly depressed following irradiation (unpublished data). Locally injected effector cells, on the other hand, appear to have a normal capacity to elicit skin edema, and are unaffected by the prior irradiation. This appears to exclude the possibility that irradiation alters the ability of the skin itself to respond to cellular and soluble stimuli required to elicit the CHS response.

The capacity of normal CHS effector cells to migrate into the skin of busulfan-treated recipients was also depressed at early times post-BMT, perhaps due to a transient effect of the drug on lymphocyte receptive endothelium. Two months after BMT, the capacity of CHS effector cells to enter the skin of busulfan-treated mice had recovered, unlike their irradiated counterparts. The two preparative regimens, therefore, appear to exhibit differing effects on the interaction of circulating normal lymphocytes with the skin microvasculature. Whether this difference is due to alterations in lymphocyte binding to endothelial cells or anatomic occlusions of vessels (as in irritated peripheral lymph nodes) remains to be established. These experiments have, for the first time, correlated the lymphocyte tissue localization process and the restoration of normal immune function post-BMT.

There have been a number of human BMT studies that have attempted to define the recovery of contact hypersensitivity following transplantation. This immune response appears to be depressed for years following human BMT. The majority of these studies were based on limited numbers of patients. These reports also do not distinguish between de novo induction of contact hypersensitivity and cellular “memory” responses to recall antigens.

Witherspoon et al recently reported the results of skin testing in 332 allogeneic and twin transplants. These investigators found that skin test responses to recall antigens remained depressed for up to 4 years following transplantation. The de novo induction of CHS to DNFB was also assessed. This response appeared to recover 2 years or more after transplantation. No differences could be detected in the percentage of responding patients or in the timecourse of
CHS recovery between twin and allogeneic transplant recipients. The presence of acute or chronic graft-v-host disease, however, was found to significantly depress the frequency of positive skin test responses. Patients prepared with total body irradiation alone were hyporesponsive to DNFB sensitization with responses. Patients treated with cyclophosphamide alone (an alkylating agent)13 responded more like the cyclophosphamide pretreated group. The findings of Witherspoon et al appear to support our conclusion that alkylating agents may be less detrimental to the recovery of CHS responses than total body irradiation, but caution must be used in extrapolating between the two studies. Human BMT patients represent a more complex system than our syngeneic murine transplantation model, and Witherspoon’s patients were treated with a different set of preparative regimens than we used (with potentially differing toxicities). Despite these differences, similar mechanisms may contribute to the irradiation-induced depression in CHS responsiveness observed within both studies.

Other mechanisms are also likely to contribute to the depressed CHS responses seen in transplant recipients. Delayed T cell precursor proliferation and maturation in the posttransplant period may depress cellular immune function. Depressions in the function of irradiated thymic epithelium may contribute to the delayed reconstitution of functional T cell subsets in secondary lymphoid organs. Reconstitution of the T cell repertoire may not necessarily be complete following BMT. Relative imbalances in the number and functional capacities of helper and suppressor T cells may also develop and result in dominant suppression of immune responses.

It is attractive to speculate that irradiation-induced depression of lymphocyte migration into the peripheral lymph nodes also contributes to the depressed recognition of antigens applied to the skin (ie, the afferent phase of the immune response). It is difficult, however, to establish this point experimentally. A number of distinct intracellular, intercellular, and cytokine-mediated processes are required for effective antigen sensitization and clonal expansion of effector lymphocyte populations. Dissecting the various elements of the afferent phase from each other in vivo is not a simple task. Experiments designed to estimate the relative efficiency of CHS effector cell generation within the peripheral nodes of irradiated v busulfan-treated mice are now in progress. If the number of CHS-effector cells within the nodes of irradiated animals is depressed, further studies will be necessary to establish the responsible mechanism(s).

We have intentionally used a simplified syngeneic transplantation protocol to avoid any potential interference with immunologic reconstitution by graft-v-host disease. The analysis of the marrow-ablative and immunologic consequences of single agents has allowed the comparison of their relative effects on microvascular anatomy, lymphocyte localization potential, and eventual immune reconstitution. This experimental model could easily be adapted for the evaluation of more complex preparative regimens. Such studies appear to be necessary to more accurately duplicate human BMT protocols. Most preparative regimens used in human BMT involve multiple, potentially interacting agents, to achieve host bone marrow ablation. Furthermore, immunomodulatory drugs such as methotrexate, azathioprine, cyclosporine A, and corticosteroids are often administered to allogeneic BMT recipients to decrease the frequency of graft-v-host disease. Each of these agents has the potential to interfere with lymphocyte localization into tissue. We have already demonstrated that corticosteroids act on endothelial cells and reversibly impair the ability of normal lymphocytes to enter peripheral lymph nodes and the skin following their parenteral administration to mice. Corticosteroids additionally appear to block CHS-effector cell functions following in vitro treatment of lymphocytes. Further investigation of the effects of BMT-preparative regimens on the immunologic reconstitution of the host may lead to effective marrow ablative regimens that minimize alterations in the lymphocyte recirculation process and allow rapid recovery of the immune system following transplantation.
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