determine its contribution to chronic inflammatory conditions. Although pharmacological inhibition of plasmin controlled acute inflammation and liver damage, it did not diminish the activation of the coagulation pathway. Although these results could be due to a different pathway involving fibrin deposition and fibrin-associated inflammatory response as suggested by the authors, the administration of CpG/DG also activates a TLR-9 response in endothelial cells that triggers the activation of the NFkB pathway.2 This latter pathway has been related to the activation of the coagulation cascade3 (see figure). Furthermore, the genetic deletion of plasmin in mice generates spontaneous thrombosis,4 thereby limiting the opportunity for therapeutic intervention. Nonetheless, despite these limitations, the global decrease of the inflammatory response and the increase in survival were significant achievements.

The authors noted that TLR-9–driven TNFα activation was mediated through a plasmin/matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) axis (see figure). They show how in MMP9-deficient mice, the activation with CpD/DG was significantly diminished, resulting in improved survival. Importantly, these data are supported by previous reports, demonstrating that MMP9 deficiency has a protective effect in response to lipoplysaccharide challenge by reducing the inflammatory response.5 Moreover, similar results have been recently described using a blocking antibody against TLR-9 after the administration of CpG/DG,6 confirming the importance of the TLR-9/plasmin/MMP9 axis in the control of the cytokine storm response.

In summary, the article by Shimazu et al describes a relevant model of MAS in which TLR-9 stimulation contributes to the activation of plasmin, augmenting the inflammatory response through control of MMP9. This study reveals the importance of plasmin in the control of acute inflammatory cytokine production and opens the door for the development of alternative therapeutic approaches for treating syndromes associated with an acute cytokine storm, such as MAS or HLH.
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**Hemochromatosis, iron-loading anemia, and SMAD**

**Victor R. Gordeuk, University of Illinois at Chicago**

In this issue of Blood, Wang et al show that SMAD1 and SMAD5 act cooperatively to increase hepatic hepcidin expression in response to iron-mediated bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling, and they provide evidence that erythrophore produced by bone marrow progenitors may suppress hepatic hepcidin expression by inhibiting these same factors (see figure).1

How patients with anemias characterized by ineffective erythropoiesis develop systemic iron overload in the absence of blood transfusions is a fascinating question that hematologists have pondered for many years. Thalassemia major and intermedia syndromes are important examples of the association of the increased erythropoietic activity of intramedullary hemolysis with enhanced intestinal iron absorption.2 The magnitude of iron overload that may occur in conditions marked by ineffective erythropoiesis is independent of the degree of anemia,3 and the predominantly parenchymal iron loading in ineffective erythropoiesis is similar to hereditary hemochromatosis. These observations raised the possibility that the 2 conditions share in common a final pathophysiologic pathway.4 Indeed, this proved to be the case; it emerged over the past 15 years that hepcidin produced by hepatocytes has a central role in iron homeostasis and that deficiency of hepcidin with respect to the body’s iron burden underlies the iron loading seen in both hereditary hemochromatosis and anemias characterized by ineffective erythropoiesis.5

HFE, HJV encoding hemojuvelin, HAMP encoding hepcidin, and TFR2 encoding transferrin receptor 2 are genes of the hepcidin-activating pathway in hepatocytes; autosomal-recessive inactivation of any 1 of these genes leads to deficiency of hepcidin and systemic iron overload or hemochromatosis.5 Investigation of the function of these genes showed that BMP signaling through phosphorylation of SMAD proteins is a central pathway to regulate hepcidin transcription.6-7 In particular, previous research indicated that iron-related BMP signals lead to the phosphorylation of SMAD1, SMAD5, and SMAD8 and to the promotion of hepcidin transcription through the common mediator SMAD4.7-8 In this issue, Wang et al explored the individual contributions of SMAD1,
SMAD5, and SMAD8 to hepcidin regulation. Knockdown of SMAD1 or SMAD5 but not SMAD8 inhibited hepcidin messenger RNA (mRNA) expression in Hep3B cells, so the investigators focused further on SMAD1 and SMAD5. They generated mice with hepatocyte-specific inactivation of Smad1, Smad5, or both to demonstrate that SMAD1 and SMAD5 have overlapping functions in regulating hepcidin expression but that the activity of both is necessary for optimal regulation.

Investigators recently discovered that erythroferrone, a factor secreted by erythroid progenitors in the bone marrow, is an important mediator of the suppression of hepatic hepcidin expression that is observed with increased erythropoiesis, especially ineffective erythropoiesis.9,80 Exactly how erythroferrone suppresses hepcidin expression and whether the mechanism involves the BMP-SMAD signaling pathway is uncertain. Wang et al found that erythropoietin robustly induced bone marrow erythroferrone mRNA in control mice and mice with hepatic inactivation of both Smad1 and Smad5 but suppressed liver hepcidin mRNA only in control mice. In keeping with this observation, erythropoietin and erythroferrone reduced the phosphorylation of SMAD1 and SMAD5 in parallel with decreasing the expression of hepcidin in the livers of control mice and in control Hep3B cells. Furthermore, erythroferrone failed to decrease hepcidin expression in hepatocytes with inactivation of Smad1 and Smad5 and in Hep3B cells with knockdown of SMAD1 and SMAD5. These observations are consistent with the possibility that erythroferrone acts through SMAD1 and SMAD5 signaling to suppress hepcidin production.

The report by Wang et al represents an important advance in our understanding of the details of BMP signaling in hepcidin regulation. The results indicate that SMAD1 and SMAD5, but not SMAD8, work cooperatively to control hepcidin expression. The evidence for a role of SMAD1 and SMAD5 in mediating hepatic hepcidin suppression in response to erythropoietin and in response to erythroferrone secreted by bone marrow erythroid progenitors is of particular interest to hematologists. Although more details need to be worked out, answers are now forthcoming to the old question of how ineffective erythropoiesis leads to nontransfusional iron overload.
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CHIPS and engraftment dips

Frederick R. Appelbaum and Jerald P. Radich FRED HUTCHINSON CANCER RESEARCH CENTER

In this issue of Blood, Gibson et al provide provocative information suggesting that use of otherwise normal stem cell donors with clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) results in impaired hematopoietic recovery following allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT).1

The authors retrospectively reviewed results of 552 patients who underwent allogeneic HSCT and identified 89 patients (16%) who had at least 1 cytopenia beyond day 100 after HSCT. Among those 89 patients, a probable cause for the cytopenia was identified in 83
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