The intestinal microbiota in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant and graft-versus-host disease
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Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is a critical treatment of patients with high-risk hematopoietic malignancies, hematological deficiencies, and other immune diseases. In allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT), donor-derived T cells recognize host tissues as foreign, causing graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) which is a main contributor to morbidity and mortality. The intestine is one of the organs most severely affected by GVHD and research has recently highlighted the importance of bacteria, particularly the gut microbiota, in HCT outcome and in GVHD development. Loss of intestinal bacterial diversity is common during the course of HCT and is associated with GVHD development and treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics. Loss of intestinal diversity and outgrowth of opportunistic pathogens belonging to the phylum Proteobacteria and Enterococcus genus have also been linked to increased treatment-related mortality including GVHD, infections, and organ failure after allo-HCT. Experimental studies in allo-HCT animal models have shown some promising results for probiotics and prebiotic strategies as prophylaxis or treatment of GVHD. Continuous research will be important to define the relation of cause and effect for these associations between microbiota features and HCT outcomes. Importantly, studies focused on geographic and cultural differences in intestinal microbiota are necessary to define applicability of new strategies targeting the intestinal microbiota.
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Introduction

Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is used as a potentially curative therapy for patients with high-risk hematopoietic malignancies in addition to hematological deficiencies and other immune diseases. In HCT, a fully or partially myeloablative conditioning regimen is followed by infusion of autologous (recipient-derived) or allogeneic (donor-derived) hematopoietic cells. The conditioning regimen depletes malignant or deficient hematopoietic cells as well as healthy hematopoietic cells while the infusion of autologous or allogeneic cells reconstitutes the patient with a new healthy hematopoietic system. In allogeneic HCT (allo-HCT), the donor cells also help to prevent relapse of malignancy by killing residual malignant cells in a process called graft-versus-tumor. Although HCT has increased the survival prospects for many patients, this treatment is not without risks. Intensive pretransplant conditioning regimens cause collateral damage to organs such as the liver and gut and the beneficial alloreactivity that eliminates residual malignant cells also causes graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) in 40% to 50% of allo-HCT patients when alloreactive donor T cells attack healthy tissues.1 GVHD-induced inflammation is commonly seen in the liver, lung, skin, and intestine and is a major contributor to mortality after allo-HCT.2 Moreover, during the interval between hematopoietic depletion and immune reconstitution, the patient’s immune defense suffers an important drawback, leaving patients particularly susceptible to infections by bacteria and from reactivation of latent pathogens such as cytomegalovirus. Research has recently highlighted the importance of bacteria, particularly the gut microbiota, in HCT outcome and in GVHD development. This review addresses current knowledge on the link between gut dysbiosis and intestinal GVHD, and how possible flora interventions may hold promise in the prevention and treatment of GVHD.

The intestinal microbiota

The human body is host to 1013 to 1014 microbial organisms.3 The vast majority of these organisms are bacteria although viruses and fungi are also present at considerable amounts and diversity.4,6 The gut is the main site of microbial colonization harboring a complex composition of bacteria referred to as the intestinal microbiota or sometimes as the intestinal microbiome, although the latter more often refers to the total genetic makeup of the microbiota rather than the microorganisms themselves. The intestinal microbiota synthesize essential amino acids and vitamins and process otherwise indigestible components of our diet such as plant polysaccharides.7 A healthy and balanced intestinal microbiota also protects the host from viral and bacterial infections by preventing colonization of pathogens in the gastrointestinal tract.8,9 The importance and impact of the intestinal microbiota on health and disease has been increasingly revealed during the last decades, and imbalances in the intestinal microbiota have been linked to obesity,10 type 2 diabetes,11,12 irritable bowel syndrome,13 and autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis.14 New insights have been enabled by the development of molecular analysis methods (ie, 16S ribosomal RNA sequencing15) that overcome the limitations of culture-based techniques in the identification of bacterial strains. The bacterial phyla Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are the most prevalent commensals in the intestinal microbiota, but Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, and Actinobacteria are...
also present.16 Over 1000 different bacterial species have been identified in samples from human intestines, but the composition at the species level varies greatly between individuals and is influenced by diet, lifestyle, and environmental factors,17,18 and the number of species present in any single individual may be as low as 160.3 Despite a great variation in abundance of specific bacterial strains, the total set of microbial genes and the presence of genes involved in specific metabolic pathways (the metagenome) are relatively stable between healthy individuals.19

The gut immune system represents the first line of defense to ingested microorganisms and other substances. The immune system has evolved not only to protect against potential pathogens but also to tolerate beneficial commensal bacteria, allowing us to live in symbiosis with our intestinal microbiota. The intestinal immune system keeps the mucosal barrier in check through secretion of antimicrobial peptides and antibodies, and the commensal microbiota reciprocally molds the host gut physiology. Germ-free mice, housed without exposure to any microorganisms, have altered intestinal morphology and slower turnover of intestinal epithelial cells compared with conventionally raised mice.20,21 When exposed to intestinal bacteria, germ-free mice quickly develop innate immune responses, and structural changes in the intestinal epithelial crypts are seen as early as 4 days after exposure to a conventional microbiota, with an increase in tumor necrosis factor-α and interferon-γ (IFN-γ) expression and major histocompatibility complex class I upregulation.20,22 The gut-adaptive immune system is also quickly activated after exposure to commensal bacteria, with an increase in the expression of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules and an increase of T cells by day 8.22 Gut exposure to bacteria is also linked to recruitment of T regulatory lymphocytes (Tregs) with the production of interleukin-10 (IL-10) and transforming growth factor-β, as well as Th17 cells which secrete IL-17 and IL-22.23,24 Tregs and Th17 cells are fundamental in regulating the microbiota and stem cells in maintaining epithelial enterocyte turnover. Standard chemotherapeutic drugs as cyclophosphamide, busulfan, 5-fluorouracil, and irinotecan (CPT-11) also induce mucositis and chemotherapy-induced diarrhea. Appropriately, organ damage and chemotherapy-induced intestinal inflammation cause an increase in the systemically circulating levels of inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α, IFN-γ, and IL-6.45

The second phase of GVHD development involves lymphocyte activation by host and later donor antigen-presenting cells, where activated CD4+ T cells acquire T helper effector functions and secrete cytokines, which further accelerates immune activation. GVHD was classically identified as a Th1-type disease due to the high expression of cytokines such as IFN-γ, though subsequent studies also established a role for Th2 and Th17 cells, which produce IL-4 and IL-17, and determine immune targeting for different organs.46,47 As such, a third stage links immune activation to effector cytokine functions of mediators as CD8+ cells, which go on to induce direct damage to target cells and establish typical GVHD injury to organs as the liver, skin, and gut (Figure 1).35,48,49

### GVHD pathophysiology

GVHD is caused by the alloactivation of T cells, which recognize host antigens as foreign, causing an autoimmune-like attack in recipient organs such as lungs, liver, gut, thymus, the hematopoietic system, and possibly even the central nervous system. It is traditionally diagnosed as acute GVHD (aGVHD) and as chronic GVHD, although these 2 disease entities can sometimes overlap.50,31 aGVHD includes intense skin, gastrointestinal and liver damage, whereas chronic GVHD associates to more progressive damage with ulcer-type insult to mucosas and widespread injury to other organs such as lungs.32 The genetic similarity between the donor and the host, measured as the degree of HLA disparity, is linked to GVHD risk,33 although the extent of this may depend on specific loci mismatch and graft source.34 Also, due to standard donor HLA matching, donor minor antigens may play a more determinant role in the current clinical setting.35 Additionally, a GVHD-like syndrome can also be seen in autologous HCT settings though the exact etiological cause for this is still in debate.36 Ferrara and colleagues have summarized GVHD disease progression into 3 phases.32 The first phase consists of injury to the recipient tissues caused by the aggressive conditioning regimen necessary to prevent malignant relapse and graft rejection. Although all organs are affected to varied extents during initial treatment, the hematopoietic and gastrointestinal systems are especially susceptible to conditioning. Total-body irradiation is a common component of the conditioning regimen and is known to induce dose-dependent damage to the gut.37 In mouse models, ionizing radiation causes direct damage to epithelial and endothelial cells and increased p53-mediated epithelial apoptosis.38 Irradiation also induces enteric endothelial damage via induction of plasminogen activator inhibitor-type 1 (PAI-1) production which aggravates enteritis with crypt damage, intestinal inflammation, and fibrosis.39,40 Moreover, these toxic effects may partially be mediated by the gut microbiota: germ-free mice show reduced intestinal damage after radiation41 and broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment or deficiency in the myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88 (MyD88), a crucial adaptor for recognition of microbial molecules, prevents crypt loss and reduces damage to progenitor and stem cells in mouse colon after high doses of radiation.42 The gut microbiota has, however, been shown to protect against dextran sodium sulfate-induced intestinal damage and to reduce damage after lower doses of radiation43; it is possible that the microbiota play different roles in the initiation of damage and the subsequent healing process. Paneth cells and Lgr5+ intestinal stem cells are also targeted after irradiation.44 This is especially important due to the role of Paneth cells in secreting defensins and other microbial products to regulate the microbiota and stem cells in maintaining epithelial enterocyte turnover. Standard chemotherapeutic drugs as cyclophosphamide, busulfan, 5-fluorouracil, and irinotecan (CPT-11) also induce mucositis and chemotherapy-induced diarrhea. Appropriately, organ damage and chemotherapy-induced intestinal inflammation cause an increase in the systemically circulating levels of inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α, IFN-γ, and IL-6.45

### Conditioning regimens and initial dysbiosis

Few studies have addressed the impact of chemotherapy and conditioning regimens on the composition of the intestinal microbiota and shown a loss of Clostridium cluster XIVa and Bifidobacteria and increased Enterococcus during high-dose chemotherapy treatment.50-52 One study with focus on HCT patients found increased levels of Proteobacteria including Escherichia species
and reduced levels of Firmicutes including *Blautia* species after chemotherapy. However, the causal relationship between the chemotherapy and microbiota is hard to delineate because many of these patients received prophylactic antibiotics concurrently with the chemotherapy.

**The intestinal microbiota and GVHD**

A normal and healthy intestinal microbiota is diverse and dominated by obligate anaerobic bacteria. From the time of admission to discharge from the hospital, many HCT patients lose this diversity, and the changes that occur are influenced by antibiotic treatment as well as development of GVHD. An impact of the microbiota on GVHD development was first proposed in the 1970s when it was demonstrated that mice kept under germ-free conditions developed less gut GVHD. These results were followed up by clinical studies that initially showed promising results for intestinal decontamination in HCT patients, though later studies have not reproduced these benefits. Possibly due to varying success of the decontaminating regimens. In addition, a large prospective study targeting anaerobic bacteria in HCT patients showed decreased GVHD development, indicating that selective decontamination may have beneficial effects.

The loss of intestinal diversity seen in HCT patients is generally associated with loss of Clostridia species known to produce SCFAs from dietary fiber. Butyrate is preferred as an energy source of intestinal epithelial cells and a recent article suggests that reduced amounts of butyrate are found in intestinal epithelial cells after allo-HCT in mice, while the addition of these SCFAs reduced gut damage and GVHD. Interestingly, this effect was also mimicked by the administration of a mix of bacteria, known to be high producers of butyrate, belonging to the Clostridia class. Although this is so far the only experimental evidence of efficacy of SCFAs in a GVHD model, a clinical study from our group also showed that high intestinal abundance of *Blautia*, a genus belonging to the Clostridia class, correlates with reduced risk of death from GVHD. Antibiotic treatment is probably the main factor that influences the microbiota changes observed during the course of transplant and we recently showed that the choice of antibiotic regimen used to treat neutropenic fever influences the incidence of GVHD. Administration of the broad-spectrum antibiotics imipenem-cilastatin and piperacillin-tazobactam was associated with increased GVHD-related mortality at 5 years. This retrospective study did not find an association between metronidazole and reduced GVHD as reported by Beelen et al., which could be due to multiple factors including the use of different antibiotic combinations in the retrospective study, as well as geographic and cultural differences in the intestinal flora. Fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) can be used to restore a disrupted intestinal flora and a recent pilot study with the aim to evaluate the safety of FMT in HCT patients with steroid-refractory or steroid-dependent GVHD showed promising results; the treatment was well received and 3 of 4 patients showed resolution of signs and symptoms of GVHD 28 days after FMT. However, this very small study did not address long-term efficacy and larger studies with the capacity to control for confounding factors will be necessary to determine the efficacy of FMT as a novel treatment of GVHD. Together, these results indicate that the microbiota present in the gut may not only mirror GVHD host pathology but that the reverse may...
Diversity loss, GVHD, and posttransplant infections

The magnitude of intestinal diversity loss is a risk factor for treatment-related mortality (TRM) including death from GVHD, infections, and organ failure after HCT. In line with this, Weber et al showed that the level of urine 3-indoxyl sulfate in the urine, a metabolite derived from indole produced by commensal bacteria, can be used as a measure for the presence of an intact intestinal microbiota and that lower levels of 3-indoxyl sulfate correlated with higher TRM rates and lower overall survival in HCT patients. A longitudinal study of pediatric patients undergoing HCT showed that it often takes over 2 months after transplantation to regain intestinal diversity. Lost diversity and intestinal domination of opportunistic bacteria have also been shown to precede blood stream infection (BSI) by the same pathogen in HCT patients.

As such, some investigators are exploring alternative antibiotic prophylactic strategies that may better preserve patient flora and maintain gut homeostasis. Rifaximin is a broad-spectrum antibiotic with negligible intestinal absorption used clinically to treat irritable bowel syndrome and traveler’s diarrhea. The use of rifaximin in HCT patients has been found to preserve intestinal diversity and associates with lower TRM rates compared with patients treated with a combination of ciprofloxacin and metronidazole in a retrospective study.

Despite standard prophylaxis with antibiotics after HCT, many days of neutropenia leaves patients vulnerable to bacterial infections including BSI. The incidence of BSI increases with acute GVHD, and treatment of acute GVHD with corticosteroids such as methylprednisolone further suppresses the immune system and is associated with a higher risk of infection after transplant. Corticosteroid-refractory GVHD patients also present high risk for mortality and infection-related death without an existing consensus on the effectiveness of second-line treatment. Studies also show that early BSI may increase the risk of subsequent GVHD grade 2 or higher. Common sources of opportunistic infectious bacteria are the oral cavity and the gut, hence the importance of clarifying the role of the intestinal microbiota on posttransplant infection.

Nutrition and GVHD

Patient nutritional status is also of great concern after bone marrow transplantation. As described, conditioning regimens profoundly compromise gastrointestinal physiology. This frequently leads patients to require supplemental feeding, which is most often administered parenterally and consequently reduces the amount of nutrients that reach the gut epithelium. Therefore, it is likely that some of the changes in the microbiota observed during HCT and GVHD may be due to insufficient nutrients in the gut to maintain a balanced flora. Indeed, our study showing an association between Blautia abundance and GVHD also showed a correlation of longer parenteral feeding regimens in patients with low Blautia. In mouse models, imipenem-cilastatin treatment, which was linked to worse GVHD and increased gut damage, was also associated with an increase in intestinal Akkermansia, a mucolytic bacteria belonging to the Verrucomicrobia phylum. Interestingly, increased abundance of Akkermansia is also associated with restricted calorie intake and bariatric surgery. This suggests that enteral feeding, as opposed to parenteral feeding, may exert a beneficial effect on intestinal flora after HCT and perhaps accelerate patient recovery. In line with this, enteral nutrition has been associated with reduced aGVHD and lower infection-related mortality, in addition to shorter neutrophil engraftment time.

The gut microbiota have been found to play an important role in a wide range of diseases including patients with hematological malignancies undergoing HCT, and increasing attention has been given to the potential of probiotics and prebiotics to prevent and treat intestinal dysbiosis. Probiotics are nutritional supplements containing a defined amount of viable microorganisms that upon administration confer a benefit to the host, whereas prebiotics consist of nondigestible food ingredients (eg, undigested fibers) that support the growth of beneficial bacteria.

Table 1. Intestinal bacteria associations in allo-HCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Effect on GVHD/HCT</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium cluster XIVa/Blautia</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Reduced during chemotherapy treatment&lt;sup&gt;60,51&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Improves survival and reduces experimental aGVHD in mice&lt;sup&gt;53,54&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Increased during chemotherapy treatment&lt;sup&gt;50,52&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intestinal dominance associated with blood stream infection after HCT&lt;sup&gt;55,80&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bacteria. Only a few studies have addressed the feasibility of probiotic or prebiotic treatment in HCT, and there will be major safety issues involved in administering viable bacteria to severely immune-compromised HCT patients.93 Two experimental studies in mice have shown that oral administration of Lactobacillus results in improved survival and reduced aGVHD and intestinal inflammation following HCT.53,94 Assessment of the safety of Lactobacillus administration to human HCT patients has shown promising results,95 but the impact on GVHD development has yet to be reported. Although the use of these treatments seem promising, future studies should clarify both the safety and efficacy for these therapies in the clinical setting. Another important aspect to the efficacy of probiotic treatment is the capacity of ingested microorganisms to survive the low pH of the stomach and bile acids released in the small intestine. Many strains of Lactobacillus, including the ones found in common dairy products, are sensitive to low pH and could not be reisolated in fecal samples after administration to humans,96 making the causative effect of probiotic treatment more challenging to interpret.

Concluding remarks

Many studies support the hypothesis that the composition of the intestinal microbiota is associated with differences in GVHD development and other outcomes after HCT (Table 1). Challenges that remain to be addressed include the relationship of cause and effect between specific microbiota features and clinical outcomes. Future research regarding antibiotics and GVHD should include prospective clinical studies that better control for confounding factors in determining causality. Another major challenge is the effect of varying diets, lifestyles, ethnic, and environmental factors on the intestinal microbiota17,18 which is a critical issue in associative studies of microbiota features and clinical outcomes because most studies so far were performed at a single center. Additional studies from other centers and ideally multicenter studies will be key to validate the associations between features of the microbiota and GVHD. Moreover, better assessment of microbial function through whole-genome sequencing will likely increase mechanistic insight and add more granularity to microbial analyses as has been the case in IBD patients where studies have shown that 12% of metabolic pathways were altered compared with 2% of species genera when IBD patients were compared with healthy controls.97 Therefore, metagenomic data from such experiments could give rise to more accurate mechanistic hypotheses.
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