Phase 1 studies of central memory–derived CD19 CAR T–cell therapy following autologous HSCT in patients with B-cell NHL
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Key Points

- TCM-derived CD19 CAR T–cell therapy is safe for treatment of poor-risk NHL patients undergoing autologous HSCT.
- Addition of a CD28 costimulatory domain to the CAR, plus changes to T-cell product manufacturing, resulted in improved T-cell expansion.

Myeloablative autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is a mainstay of therapy for relapsed intermediate-grade B-cell non–Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL); however, relapse rates are high. In phase 1 studies designed to improve long-term remission rates, we administered adoptive T-cell immunotherapy after HSCT, using ex vivo–expanded autologous central memory–enriched T cells (TCM) transduced with lentivirus expressing CD19–specific chimeric antigen receptors (CARs). We present results from 2 safety/feasibility studies, NHL1 and NHL2, investigating different T-cell populations and CAR constructs. Engineered TCM-derived CD19 CAR T cells were infused 2 days after HSCT at doses of 25 to 200 × 10^6 in a single infusion. In NHL1, 8 patients safely received T-cell products engineered from enriched CD8+ TCM subsets, expressing a first-generation CD19 CAR containing only the CD3ζ endodomain (CD19R:ζ). Four of 8 patients (50%; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 16-84%) were progression free at both 1 and 2 years. In NHL2, 8 patients safely received T-cell products engineered from enriched CD4+ and CD8+ TCM subsets and expressing a second-generation CD19 CAR containing the CD28 and CD3ζ endodomains (CD19R:28ζ). Six of 8 patients (75%; 95% CI: 35-97%) were progression free at 1 year. The CD4+/CD8+ TCM-derived CD19 CAR T cells (NHL2) exhibited improvement in expansion; however, persistence was ≤28 days, similar to that seen by others using CD28 CARs. Neither cytokine release syndrome nor delayed hematopoietic engraftment was observed in either trial. These data demonstrate the safety and feasibility of CD19 CAR TCM therapy after HSCT. Trials were registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov as #NCT01318317 and #NCT01815749. (Blood. 2016;127(24):2980-2990)

Introduction

For patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) who have relapsed after initial multiagent chemotherapy, salvage chemotherapy followed by hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is the standard of care. Despite high-dose chemotherapy used to ablate the residual tumor, the 3-year progression-free survival (PFS) is only 39%.1 Among patients with mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), the 5-year PFS was 33% in 195 registry patients receiving HSCT.2 Transplantation performed in first complete remission (CR1) of MCL gives a 3-year PFS of 62% and provides the greatest survival advantage compared with either consolidation therapy at first remission3 or to HSCT performed in patients not in CR1.2 Major risk factors for relapse after HSCT for non–Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) include persistent 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose–positron emission tomography (PET) positivity4,6 after salvage chemotherapy and adverse molecular genetics or history. Because disease relapse or progression is the major cause of treatment failure following HSCT for NHL, we designed studies aimed at enhancing antitumor activity by incorporating adoptive cellular immunotherapy into the transplantation regimen.

T–cell products that are genetically engineered with chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) targeting CD19 have broad application for adoptive therapy of B–lineage malignancies and have recently shown tremendous potential in treatment of B–cell leukemia.7–10 CD19 CAR T–cell therapy response rates vary between the CD19+ malignancies, with overall response rates of up to 90% reported in acute lymphoblastic leukemia,11–13 with lower rates reported for lymphoma of 50% to 80% overall response rate.14,15 Because NHL has characteristics intermediate between leukemias and solid tumors, it is possible that a more prominent
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tumor immunosuppressive microenvironment in lymphoma could prevent antitumor T-cell proliferation, infiltration, and killing of tumors, thus contributing to the lower response rates to adoptive cellular immunotherapy. We hypothesized that, following HSCT, the immunosuppressive microenvironment would be diminished as a result of myeloablative conditioning and that administering CAR T-cell therapy during the hematopoietic reconstitution could eradicate posttransplant residual disease, leading to lower relapse rates after HSCT. A day 2 posttransplant CAR T-cell infusion was chosen to (1) separate the infusional toxicity of stem cells and CAR T cells, (2) take advantage of homeostatic cytokines driving lymphocyte recovery, and (3) separate toxicities associated with T-cell expansion from neutrophil engraftment syndrome after HSCT.

The attributes of specific T-cell subpopulations that enable them to sustain a functional immune response following adoptive transfer of in vitro propagated T cells has been the subject of intensive investigation. We demonstrated in a nonhuman primate model and human T-cell NOD/Scid interleukin (IL)-2RγCnull (NSG) mouse model that CD8 effector T cells derived from macaque CD62L
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central memory T (TCM) cells, respectively, have the capacity to persist following adoptive transfer and repopulate functional memory niches. Consistently, Busch et al demonstrated the self-renewal capacity and multipotency of single TCM in serial transfer design, indicating the stemness of TCM. We therefore developed a clinical platform for purification, transduction, and expansion of CD19 CAR TCM for adoptive immunotherapy, with the goal of long-term CAR T-cell disease surveillance. We are optimizing this platform in a series of translational studies and here report on the first 2 phase 1 safety trials in which CD19 CAR TCM were administered 2 to 3 days following autologous HSCT in patients with relapsed or refractory NHL.

Methods

Clinical protocol design

The 2 trials described are phase 1 dose escalation protocols approved by the City of Hope Internal Review Board. Both the NHL1 and NHL2 trials followed the treatment schema depicted in Figure 1. Patients underwent leukapheresis for isolation of T cells for ex vivo selection and lentiviral transduction. Salvage chemotherapy was optional per standard practice as prescribed by the treating hematologist who selected agents best suited for individuals based on their response to prior therapy and organ toxicity considerations. Mobilization for autologous stem cell collection with cytokerective chemotherapy (if no salvage given) and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor and/or plerixafor was administered per current City of Hope Standard Operating Policies, Procedures and Protocols. Research participants with evidence of progressive disease after salvage chemotherapy were not considered for the treatment phase of this study. HSCT conditioning regimen was not specified; however, all patients received bis-chloroethylnitrosourea, etoposide, Ara-C, and melphalan, and CD19 CAR T cells were infused 2 to 3 days after stem cell infusion.

The NHL1 trial tested CD8-enriched TCM-derived cells transduced with a first-generation CD19 CAR that did not include a costimulatory domain (clinicaltrials.gov #NCT01318317, IND 14645). The NHL2 trial tested bulk TCM-derived cells, in which CD8 cells were not enriched, and the product contained both CD4 and CD8 T cells. These bulk TCM cells were then transduced with a second-generation CD19 CAR that included a CD28 costimulatory domain (clinicaltrials.gov #NCT01815749, IND 15490). The primary objectives for both trials were (1) to assess the safety and describe the full toxicity profile of CD19 CAR TCM-enriched cellular immunotherapy in conjunction with autologous myeloablative HSCT for patients with intermediate grade B-cell NHL and (2) to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) based on dose-limiting toxicity (DLT). Both phase 1 studies used the toxicity equivalence range design, which defines the dose escalation and de-escalation rules for determining the MTD based on a target range of acceptable toxicity.

Toxicity and disease assessment. Toxicity was assessed using the National Cancer Institute’s Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE v4.03). Patients were followed for possible DLT during the 28 days following TCM cell infusion. DLT was defined as (1) any grade 3 or higher toxicity with an attribution of definitely or probably related to the infusion of the TCM cells (excepting expected infusion-related reactions and cytokine release syndrome (CRS) lasting <48 hours); (2) any toxicity requiring the use of steroids to ablate side effects attributable to the infusion of the TCM cells; (3) any lower grade toxicity that increases to a grade 3 or higher as a direct result of the TCM cells; (4) any grade 2 or greater autoimmune toxicity, and (5) failure of hematopoietic engraftment by day 21 after HSCT. Toxicities of any grade normally expected with an autologous stem cell transplant were not considered DLTs. Disease assessments were based on either computed tomography (CT) or PET/CT at baseline (pre-HSCT) and at 60 days, 6 months, 12 months, 18 months, and 24 months after HSCT as per standard of care.

CAR T–cell dose levels. For the NHL1 trial, the originally proposed dose levels included major escalation steps at 50 \( \times 10^6 \), 100 \( \times 10^6 \), 500 \( \times 10^6 \), and 1000 \( \times 10^6 \) total T cells in a single infusion and possible half-step doses to be used in the event of DLT. After the first 3 patients, doses were based on CAR T cells rather than total T cells to more accurately review toxicities associated with a cell dose of the active product. For the NHL2 trial, all doses were based on CAR T cells, and the proposed dose escalation levels were 50 \( \times 10^6 \), 200 \( \times 10^6 \), and 800 \( \times 10^6 \) CAR T cells in a single infusion.

Patient eligibility. Eligible patients were ≥18 years old with a diagnosis of intermediate-grade B-cell NHL, ie, DLBCL, MCL, or transformed follicular lymphoma. Patients must have had a history of relapse after achieving first remission with primary therapy or failure to achieve remission with primary therapy and considered candidates for autologous HSCT. The NHL2 trial also allowed patients with high-risk disease in first remission as defined by poor prognosis histology (eg, MCL, double-hit DLBCL). Patients who had previously undergone either autologous or allogeneic HSCT were not eligible. All patients enrolled and treated on these trials gave written informed consent before participation; trials were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Generation of TCM-derived CD19 CAR T cells

For the NHL1 trial, TCMs were purified, transduced, formulated, and released as previously described. Detailed methods and differences between the protocols are described in supplemental Methods and supplemental Figure 1, available on the Blood Web site. For the NHL2 trial, several modifications were made to the published manufacturing procedures: (1) anti-CD4 microbeads were not used to deplete helper T cells, (2) anti-CD25 microbeads were added to remove T-regulatory cells, and (3) the median number of days of bead stimulation was shortened from 17 to 7 for NHL2. The lentiviral vectors encoding the first-generation CD19R:CD28:ziegfl construct or the second-generation CD19R:CD28:ziegfl:EGFRt construct are shown in Figure 1A-B. The cell products were released via serial quality control tests as described in supplemental Table 1.

Sample processing and storage for correlatives is described in supplemental Methods.

Results

Patient accrual and characteristics

**NHL1 patients.** On NCT01318317, 13 participants consented, 1 went to hospice before leukapheresis, and 1 withdrew before leukapheresis. Eleven patients underwent leukapheresis. Two patients did not qualify for HSCT, and 1 refused further treatment. Thus, 8 patients received HSCT and CD19 CAR TCM cell infusions; 7 (88%) had a diagnosis of DLBCL and 1 (13%) had a diagnosis of MCL. At baseline (prior to conditioning), 3 (38%) participants had...
Table 224 describes individual patients treated at each dose, including baseline characteristics.

**NHL2 patients.** On NCT01815749, 10 patients consented and underwent leukapheresis. One patient could not mobilize adequate stem cells for HSCT, and 1 patient was discovered to have a cytogenetic abnormality associated with myelodysplastic syndrome and was therefore ineligible for HSCT. Eight patients received HSCT and CD19 CAR T-cell infusion. Of these 8 treated patients, 4 (50%) had MCL and 4 (50%) had DLBCL. At baseline disease assessment, 6 (75%) participants had a CR and 2 (25%) had a PR. Of the 8 patients, 6 (75%) had a complete response (CR), 2 (25%) had a partial response (PR), and 1 (13%) had stable disease (SD). All patients had previously received rituximab at a median of 3.8 months (range, 1.8-15.4 months) prior to HSCT. The median number of prior chemotherapy regimens was 2 (range, 2-3). All NHL2 patients received rituximab within 6 weeks prior to protocol initiation. Two of the 8 patients (25%) had received prior involved field radiation therapy. Table 224 describes individual patients treated at each dose, including baseline characteristics.

**Dose escalation**

Table 224 shows the number of patients treated at each CAR
+ T-cell dose on the NHL1 trial. One patient was treated with 2.5 × 10^6 CAR
+ T cells (50 × 10^6 total T cells), 4 patients were treated with ~50 × 10^6 CAR
+ T cells (dose level 1), and 3 patients received 100 × 10^6 CAR
+ T cells (dose level 2). Dose level 1 was overfilled to allow a patient that urgently needed treatment to enter the currently defined safe dose before the next cohort was open. No DLTs were observed at any dose. In lieu of escalating to the MTD on the NHL1 trial, we closed the trial to enrollment and opened the NHL2 trial, which tested a modified CAR vector including a CD28 costimulatory domain transduced into an enriched CD8
+ T cell product. Table 224 shows the number of patients treated at each CAR
+ T-cell dose on the NHL2 trial. Three patients completed the 50 × 10^6 CAR
+ T-cell dose (dose level 1) with no DLTs, and the dose was escalated to 200 × 10^6 CAR
+ T cells (dose level 2). Five patients were treated at dose level 2, which was overfilled to allow patients who already had manufactured T cells and qualified for protocol treatment to enter the current safe dose without waiting for the next cohort to open. Rather than escalating to MTD on the NHL2 trial, we elected to close the trial to enrollment after the 200 × 10^6 CAR
+ T-cell dose and opened a new trial using a modified CAR vector construct.
T<sub>CM</sub> CD19 CAR T–cell manufacturing

T<sub>CM</sub> CD19 CAR T–cell products were successfully generated in all patients that were leukapheresed, and all met quality control criteria and dose requirements. Manufactured products in both studies retained expression of central memory markers (CD62L, CD27, CD28, CD127), with NHL1 products including only CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and NHL2 including CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (Figure 2). We also modified the manufacturing process by shortening the bead stimulation time from a median of 17 days (range, 14-19 days) in the NHL1 trial to 7 days in the NHL2 trial, which decreased the ex vivo expansion period from a median of 28.5 days (range, 22-34 days) to a median of 24 days (range, 21-28 days) (supplemental Tables 2 and 3).

T<sub>CM</sub> CD19 CAR T–cell infusion-associated toxicity

As expected, all participants on NHL1 (n = 8) and NHL2 (n = 8) experienced Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v4.03 grade 4 hematologic toxicities, and 8 of 8 from NHL1 and 7 of 8 from NHL2 experienced grade 3 nonhematologic toxicities that were attributed at the probable or definite level to HSCT. There were no grade 5 toxicities (resulting in death). Infusion of the T<sub>CM</sub> CD19 CAR T cells was well tolerated, and no grade 2 or higher toxicities were attributed at the probable or definite level to the T<sub>CM</sub> CD19 CAR T–cell infusion. No patients required tocilizumab or steroid intervention as a result of CD19 CAR T cell–related toxicity.

CAR T cell in vivo expansion and persistence

Patient peripheral blood samples were assayed by flow cytometry after infusion to detect antibody-stained CD19 CAR T cells. For the NHL1 trial samples, we used antibodies against the CD19scFv, and for NHL2 trial, we used cetuximab, which recognizes the truncated EGFR in the second-generation CAR construct (Figure 3). We used a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assay to detect woodchuck hepatitis virus posttranscriptional regulatory element (WPRE) and determine lentiviral vector transgene copy number to enable quantitative tracking of CD19 CAR T cells in the blood. Both methods showed that CD19 CAR T cells reached peak frequencies at ~2 weeks. The median peak expansion expressed in CAR copy number/mL of blood (based on WPRE) was 280 (range, 0-925; n = 7) in NHL1 and 692 (range, 267-27790; n = 8) in NHL2 (Figure 4A-B). The mean persistence of quantifiable CD19 CAR was 18.25 days (standard deviation, 12.1; range, 0-28) for NHL1 and 20.5 days (standard deviation, 6.9; range, 7-27) for NHL2. CD19 CAR copy number/μg of genomic DNA (gDNA) from day 1 to 28 after infusion is plotted in Figure 4C-D. When expressed as area under the curve (AUC) in Figure 4E, CAR copy number/μg of DNA over 25 days was statistically significantly higher for the NHL2 trial than the NHL1 trial, using a Welch 2-sample 2-tailed t test: mean difference = 14.8 (95% confidence interval [CI], 7.4-22.3; P < .001). Peak expansion was also improved for NHL2 with a mean difference of 1.19 log<sub>10</sub> copies/μg of DNA (95% CI, 0.54-1.83; t test, P = .002; Figure 4F), whereas persistence was not significantly different between the 2 trials (Figure 4G), with a mean difference of 2.25 days (95% CI, −8.56 to 13.1; t test, P > .5).

Clinical outcomes

We observed normal HSCT-associated neutrophil and platelet engraftment within 21 days in all 16 patients treated.

NHL1 trial. Five of 8 (63%) participants on NHL1 achieved a best response of CR or continuing CR (3 patients in CR before HSCT); 1 patient achieved best response of PR, 1 continuing PR, and 1 patient progressed through protocol therapy. Four of 8 patients (50%), with a 95% binomial CI of 16% to 84% were progression free at both the 1- and 2-year evaluation. Median follow-up was 25.8 months (range, 24-37.3 months) for patients that had not yet progressed. There were 2 deaths, both from disease progression, 1 in a patient at the 50 x 10<sup>6</sup> CAR<sup>+</sup> dose level and 1 at the 100 x 10<sup>6</sup> CAR<sup>+</sup> dose level.

Table 1. NHL1 patient characteristics and outcomes by dose level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>CAR T-cell dose (10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Disease histology</th>
<th>Patient age (years)</th>
<th>KPS at HCT</th>
<th>Pre-HSCT disease status</th>
<th>Deauville score&lt;sup&gt;54&lt;/sup&gt; before HSCT</th>
<th>Days to ANC ≥ 500</th>
<th>Best response</th>
<th>Disease status at data lock</th>
<th>Time (months) to progression or last follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>25 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>PIF/SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>50 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Continuing PR</td>
<td>Continuing PR</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>50 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Progressed</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>50 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>CR2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>50 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>CR2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>100 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Progressed</td>
<td>Progressed</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>100 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Progressed</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>100 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>CR2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Progressed</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient had a new single subcutaneous nodule of 11 x 7 millimeters. It was 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose avid (SUV 5.0 g/mL) but was not biopsied.

Table 2. NHL2 patient characteristics and outcomes by dose level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>CAR T-cell dose (10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Disease histology</th>
<th>Patient age (years)</th>
<th>KPS at HCT</th>
<th>Pre-HSCT disease status</th>
<th>Deauville score&lt;sup&gt;54&lt;/sup&gt; before HSCT</th>
<th>Days to ANC ≥ 500</th>
<th>Best response</th>
<th>Disease status at data lock</th>
<th>Time (months) to progression or last follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>100 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CR1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>50 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>CR1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Progressed</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>50 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>CR2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>200 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CR1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>200 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>CR1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Progressed</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>200 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>200 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>CR1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>200 x 10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>DLBCL</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>CR1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>Continuing CR</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NHL2 trial. All 8 NHL2 participants achieved a best response of CR or continuing CR (6 patients in CR before HSCT). Six of 8 (75%; 95% binomial CI, 35-97%) were progression free at the 1-year evaluation. Median follow-up for patients that had not yet progressed was 12.3 months (range, 12.2-14.1 months). There was 1 death from disease progression in a patient at the 200 $10^6$ CAR dose level.

Surrogates for activity: cytokine levels and B-cell reconstitution

Although we did not observe T<sub>CM</sub> CD19 CAR T-cell infusion-related clinical symptoms, we analyzed our patient samples for cytokine levels. Serum cytokine levels across dose cohorts for our patients were moderately increased, including IL-6 and interferon-γ (Figure 5), as well as IL-10, tumor necrosis factor α, granulocyte-macrophage–colony-stimulating factor, macrophage inflammatory protein-1 α, and IL-2Rα (supplemental Figure 2).

CD19 CAR T cells not only eliminate malignant CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells but also normal B cells. Therefore, B-cell reconstitution could be a surrogate end point for effective T-cell therapy. B-cell reconstitution for both trials was observed starting at ~3 months after CD19 CAR T-cell infusion. The median absolute number of CD19<sup>+</sup> cells in patient peripheral blood at day 180 was 25.6/μL (range, 0-700/μL; N = 6) for the NHL1 trial and 14.7/μL (range, 0-190/μL; N = 7) for the NHL2 trial (Figure 6A).

B-cell aplasia can also be related to the presence of rituximab in the serum, a CD20 targeting monoclonal antibody that is routinely administered to patients with NHL. Because all patients received rituximab treatment at some point prior to HSCT and CD19 CAR T-cell infusion, serum levels of rituximab were analyzed at different time points after HSCT to better understand the kinetics of B-cell reconstitution. The half-life of rituximab can vary depending on
Figure 3. CD19 CAR T-cell persistence by flow cytometry. PBMCs from patient blood were analyzed by flow cytometry at different time points after adoptive transfer. (A) Live cells were identified by staining with 7-amino actinomycin D (7-AAD) and then gated on CD3 (either fluorescein isothiocyanate [FITC] or allophycocyanin [APC] labeled), with dump antibodies anti-CD14 (either phycoerythrin [PE] or FITC labeled), and anti-CD16 (either PE or FITC labeled). (B) Anti-CD19scFv CAR Alexa Fluor 647 antibody was used for detection of CD19-specific CAR^+ T cells. Percentages of the highest CAR-positive cells at the indicated time points in all NHL1 patients are depicted. (C) Erbitux (cetuximab)-biotin/streptavidin-PE was used for detection of CD19 specific CAR^+ T cells. Percentages of the highest CAR-positive cells at the indicated time points in all NHL2 patients are depicted. (D) Time course for a representative patient sample from NHL1 shows CAR T cells at baseline and various points after adoptive transfer. (E) Time course for a representative patient sample from NHL2 shows CAR T cells at baseline and various points after adoptive transfer.
Figure 4. Comparison of CAR T-cell persistence between NHL1 and NHL2 T-cell therapy. gDNA was extracted from frozen aliquots of whole blood and tested for the WPRE copy number by TaqMan qPCR. Average copy numbers are presented if ≥2 of 3 replicates generated a cycle threshold (Ct) value. In the time points where only 1 of 3 was detectible, actual value (not average) was plotted. Starting on day 1, participants were measured for WPRE every 7 days (±3 days) during the first 28 days on study and then monthly thereafter. (A) WPRE copy numbers from 1 mL blood collected from day 1 up to day 158 after T-cell infusion in the NHL1 trial, except patient NHL1-3 (who only had PBMC sample), are plotted (whole blood, N = 7). After day 28, there was no detectable WPRE for any patient. (B) WPRE copy numbers from 1 mL blood collected from day 1 up to day 157 after T-cell infusion in the NHL2 trial are plotted (whole blood, N = 8). After day 27, there was no detectable WPRE for any patient. (C) WPRE copy numbers from blood collected from day 1 through day 28 after T-cell infusion in the NHL1 trial are plotted as a function of log10 copies/µg of gDNA (whole blood, N = 7; PBMCs, N = 1; UPN043). Gray area at bottom of graph is below the lower limit of quantification for the assay. (D) WPRE copy numbers from blood collected from day 1 through day 28 after T-cell infusion in the NHL2 trial are plotted as a function of log10 copies/µg of gDNA (whole blood, N = 8). Gray area at bottom of graph is below the lower limit of quantification for the assay. (E) The figure provides box and whisker plots of CAR copy number over time (AUC) by trial and dose level, with the individual patient AUCs...
Figure 5. Cytokine profiles after HSCT and CD19 CAR T-cell infusion. Patient serum samples were analyzed with the Luminex IS100 bead array technology, and kits were purchased from Life Technologies (Invitrogen). The assays were performed by Clinical Immunobiology Correlative Studies laboratory (CICSL) at COH using commercially available 30-plex cytokine detection assays. Each data point represents the average of triplicate measurement. (A) Interferon-γ is plotted in pg/mL at weekly time points through day 28. (B) IL-6 is plotted in pg/mL at weekly time points through day 28.

Discussion

Our team has developed CD19 CAR T-cell therapy for use in conjunction with autologous HSCT with the aim of reducing the relapse rate after transplantation. We report here on the NHL1 and NHL2 clinical trials testing successive modifications of our CD19 CAR vector design and manufacturing platform. Data from these phase 1 clinical trials support the safety and feasibility of administering TCM-derived CD19 CAR T cells for NHL patients following HSCT.

In both trials, hematopoietic stem cell engraftment was uneventful, and the only grade 3 or 4 toxicities were those expected from myeloablative HSCT. Adoptive cellular immunotherapy, particularly with genetically modified T cells, has been associated with several potential safety concerns such as CRS and neurologic changes, both of which have been associated with marked increase in serum cytokine levels. In our studies, we noted no grade 2 or greater toxicities probably or definitely attributable to T cells and saw only mildly increased cytokine levels. Although NHL2 T cells expanded ~2 logs (Figure 4D), peak levels (0.27-28 CAR copies/µL blood) were still below those seen by Kochenderfer et al (9-777 CAR-positive T cells/µL blood) in NHL patients with active disease. This may be related in part to the low cell doses tested and the low CD19 antigen drive in our HSCT trials. The Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center group has also applied CAR T-cell therapy after HSCT in patients with relapsed/refractory aggressive B-cell NHL and recently presented interim data. At the 5 × 10^6/kg T-cell dose, there were 7 patients treated, with 1 experiencing DLT of grade III to IV cytopenias and death from infection. One patient was treated with 10^7 CAR T cells/kg and experienced a DLT of grade 4 severe CRS. Four patients total experienced CRS that was manageable by tocilizumab ± steroids. It is noteworthy that the CAR T-cell dose used on the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center trial of 350 × 10^6 CAR T cells (for a 70-kg patient) exceeds our highest tested dose of 200 × 10^6 CAR T cells.

The NHL1 trial used CD8^+ TCM for CAR transduction; however, the presence of CD4^+ T cells was later shown to enhance the functionality of CD8^+ T cells, so we modified the manufacturing platform for the NHL2 trial by removing the CD4 depletion step. Another difference between the 2 trials was the addition of the CD28 costimulatory domain in the NHL2 CD19 CAR. Studies have shown that costimulatory molecules are critical for CAR T-cell expansion and persistence following adoptive therapy. Additionally, for NHL2...
manufacturing, the CD25 depletion step was added, and ex vivo culture times were slightly shorter (24 vs 28.5 days). Comparing NHL1 and NHL2 in the same disease settings, we saw a statistically significant enhancement of expansion of CD19 CAR T cells in NHL2 patients.

CD19 CAR–cell activity is difficult to assess by disease response, because 9 of 16 patients were in CR at start of study, and HSCT can also produce CRs. B-cell recovery was examined as a potential surrogate for in vivo effector function of transferred autologous CD19 CAR T cells. In most patients on both trials, B-cell reconstitution was observed around 3 months after CD19 CAR TCM infusion; however, serum rituximab levels in many patients remained above the redosing level (10 µg/mL) for 3 months. Thus, timing of normal B-cell reconstitution may reflect prior rituximab treatment. Long-term follow-up of the patients and evaluation of PFS outcomes will be more informative with regard to CD19 CAR TCM activity. At this point, with short duration of follow-up, we see a PFS of 50% for NHL1 at 1 and 2 years and 75% for NHL2 at 1 year after HSCT; given the small sample size, this difference is statistically indistinguishable.

These are the first reported clinical studies using TCM-derived CD19 CAR T cells for the treatment of B-cell malignancy in the setting of autologous HSCT, and we include 1 year of follow-up data on all patients. On the basis of preclinical data, we hypothesized that enriching the TCM population would provide long-term persistence and immunologic memory. TCM products from both of our trials showed peak levels in the blood at ~14 days, trailing off after ~28 days. Thus, a T-cell product derived from central memory enrichment as described in these studies does not persist longer than what is observed in trials with conventional bulk T cells transduced with CARs bearing CD28 costimulatory domains. The costimulatory domain seems to have a dominant effect on persistence, because CD28 CAR T cells typically persist for ~1 month, whereas CARs bearing 4-1BB costimulatory domains have been detected in patient blood for up to 4 years. It is also possible that the potential benefits of the TCM selection were offset by longer ex vivo expansion times (24 days) compared with peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC)-derived CAR T cells from lymphoma patients (10 days).

In contrast to the high levels of in vivo expansion of CD19 CAR T cells seen in studies treating high disease burden, we detected relatively low levels of CD19 CAR TCM expansion in the circulation using both cellular and molecular techniques. This is possibly related to the lack of antigen drive (ie, disease burden or normal B cells) at the time of CD19 CAR TCM infusion following myeloablative
conditioning. Modifying the manufacturing platform to include CD4 cells, shortening culture time, and adding a CD28 costimulatory domain to the CAR resulted in modest augmentation of CD19 CAR T cell expansion and CAR levels over time as assessed by AUC. It is likely that factors other than cell origin play a role in the persistence of transfected cells and their therapeutic efficacy. We are currently accruing patients to a phase 1 clinical trial (#NCT02051257) of CD19 CAR T cells after HSCT using a hinge-optimized CAR mutated in the CH2 region of the immunoglobulin (IgG4-Fc spacer to reduce Fc binding, which improved persistence and antilymphoma efficacy in NSG mice. Additional studies in the setting of HSCT will explore changing the starting T-cell population, further shortening manufacturing time, and comparing 1-1BB as a costimulatory molecule.

On the basis of findings in these sequential phase 1 trials, we conclude that TCM-derived CD19CAR T–cell therapy, using the methods herein described, is feasible and safe, and does not increase toxicity or delay hematopoietic engraftment of high-risk NHL patients undergoing HSCT. Neither trial escalated to MTD, as we opted to implement CAR and manufacturing improvements, offering patients the most promising protocols available at the time. We continue long-term follow-up of these patients to assess impact on HSCT outcomes. Analysis of patient samples from these trials along with concurrent preclinical experiments has allowed us to further refine the CAR vectors and manufacturing processes. The next trial in this series of clinical studies is underway, with the goal of extending the duration and potency of antitumor immunity in the setting of immune reconstitution following autologous transplantation.
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