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Selinexor is effective in acquired resistance to ibrutinib and synergizes with ibrutinib in chronic lymphocytic leukemia
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Key Points
- Selinexor exhibits synergy with ibrutinib in CLL.
- Selinexor is effective in vitro in ibrutinib-resistant CLL.

Despite the therapeutic efficacy of ibrutinib in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), complete responses are infrequent, and acquired resistance to Bruton agammaglobulinemia tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibition is being observed in an increasing number of patients. Combination regimens that increase frequency of complete remissions, accelerate time to remission, and overcome single agent resistance are of considerable interest. We previously showed that the XPO1 inhibitor selinexor is proapoptotic in CLL cells and disrupts B-cell receptor signaling via BTK depletion. Herein we show the combination of selinexor and ibrutinib elicits a synergistic cytotoxic effect in primary CLL cells and increases overall survival compared with ibrutinib alone in a mouse model of CLL. Selinexor is effective in cells isolated from patients with prolonged lymphocytosis following ibrutinib therapy. Finally, selinexor is effective in ibrutinib-refractory mice and in a cell line harboring the BTK C481S mutation. This is the first report describing the combined activity of ibrutinib and selinexor in CLL, which represents a new treatment paradigm and warrants further evaluation in clinical trials of CLL patients including those with acquired ibrutinib resistance. ([Blood. 2015;125(20):3128-3132])

Introduction

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) is a lymphoid malignancy of clonal B cells that exhibit aberrant activation of the B-cell receptor (BCR) signaling pathway. A critical component of this pathway is Bruton agammaglobulinemia tyrosine kinase (BTK), a nonreceptor tyrosine kinase expressed predominantly in B lymphocytes.1 Ibrutinib, which irreversibly binds and inhibits BTK activity, has shown promising results in CLL, mantle cell lymphoma, and a subset of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma driven by BCR signaling.2-4 Despite encouraging results, complete responses are infrequent.5 Additionally, acquired resistance to ibrutinib represents an important clinical challenge wherein no standard treatment approach currently exists. Mechanisms of ibrutinib resistance were elucidated by our group and others and involve mutations at the C481S site of BTK or in the immediate downstream target, PLCγ2.5-7

Exportin-1 (CRM1/XPO1) is the sole nuclear exporter of tumor suppressor proteins such as p53, inhibitory nuclear factor-κB, and FOXO3a.8-10 Selective inhibitors of nuclear export (SINEs) inhibit XPO1 and restore subcellular localization of dysregulated molecules. Our previous published work showed XPO1 is a therapeutic target for CLL,11 and has facilitated translation of selinexor, a SINE, to a phase 1 clinical trial (NCT01607892), where antitumor activity of the XPO1 inhibitor selinexor was observed in lymphoma,12 CLL,12 multiple myeloma,13 and acute myeloid leukemia.14 We recently showed that selinexor inhibits activation of downstream BCR targets such as extracellular signal-regulated kinase and protein kinase B and suppresses BTK gene expression.15 Based on these observations, we hypothesized that (1) targeting XPO1 via selinexor might be effective in patients with acquired resistance to ibrutinib and (2) dual targeting of XPO1 alongside BTK function might produce synergistic activity in CLL and prevent onset of ibrutinib-resistant clones.

Study design

Human CLL and normal B cells were isolated and cultured as previously described.11 Blood was obtained from CLL patients under an institutional review board-approved protocol with informed consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Cell death was assessed using annexin-V/propidium iodide (PI) staining as previously described.11 Chicken DT40 BTK-null cell lines (RCB1468) were obtained from RIKEN Biocore. Lentiviral constructs pReceiver-LV125 and A0534-Lv125 were obtained from GeneCopoeia and were used to stably transfect DT40 BTK-null cells with empty vector and BTK. The mutation was made using QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis (Stratagene) in the kinase domain at cysteine 481 to serine (see the primer sequence in supplemental Materials available on the Blood Web site). Confirmation of the DNA sequence and infection of the DT40 cell lines was performed as previously described.16 Cells were selected with puromycin. All animal experiments were carried out under protocols
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approved by the Ohio State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. C57BL/6 cells were engineered with CD19<sup>+</sup>CD5<sup>-</sup> leukemia cells from an Eμ-TCL1 mouse with active CLL-like leukemia. Leukemia onset was defined as ≥10% CD5<sup>-</sup>CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells in peripheral blood by flow cytometry. At leukemia onset, engrafted mice were randomly assigned to treatment groups. Overall survival was the primary end point. An in vivo model of ibrutinib resistance was developed using C57BL/6 mice engrafted with splenocytes derived from ibrutinib-resistant Eμ-TCL1 mice that were passaged through 2 C57BL/6 animals. Ibrutinib-resistant Eμ-TCL1 mice were generated by continuous dosing of animals with ibrutinib in drinking water. Ibrutinib-resistant Eμ-TCL1 mice with active leukemia were injected intraperitoneally with 100 μg EdU (5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine), single-cell suspensions were prepared from spleen and bone marrow, and EdU incorporation was detected by flow cytometry. Our prior studies with ibrutinib<sup>19</sup> and selinexor<sup>15</sup> in the Eμ-TCL1 engraftment mouse model of CLL showed that each drug alone can inhibit the expansion phase of CLL in this model. To see whether

Results and discussion

We previously showed that selinexor exhibits proapoptotic activity against CLL cells via inhibition of nuclear export of tumor suppressor proteins. Moreover, we showed that selinexor counteracts BCR signaling partially through downmodulation of BTK protein expression. We therefore hypothesized that selinexor would synergize with ibrutinib as it targets BTK through a completely different mechanism. We examined this hypothesis in primary CLL patient samples and found that ibrutinib and selinexor in combination exhibit significant synergistic cytotoxicity (Figure 1A). We repeated this assay in patient samples stimulated via TLR9 using synthetic CpG oligodeoxynucleotides and in patient samples cocultured with the human bone marrow-derived fibroblast cell line HS-5 that induces survival of normal B cells and CLL cells ex vivo. Synergistic cytotoxicity of ibrutinib and selinexor was maintained with CpG stimulation (Figure 1B). The combination showed a significant increase in cytotoxicity compared with each agent alone during stromal cell coculture (Figure 1C). It is well established that CLL cells rely on prosurvival signals from the microenvironment to resist cytotoxic agents. This suggests dual inhibition of BTK kinase function by ibrutinib and BTK protein expression by selinexor may be an effective strategy to target CLL cells localized to many different compartments including peripheral blood, bone marrow, and other secondary lymphoid tissues.

Our prior studies with ibrutinib<sup>10</sup> and selinexor<sup>15</sup> in the Eμ-TCL1 engraftment mouse model of CLL showed that each drug alone can inhibit the expansion phase of CLL in this model. To see whether...
selinexor has the potential to improve on ibrutinib therapy in vivo, because this agent is the current standard of care, we monitored overall survival in a cohort of engrafted mice randomized to receive either ibrutinib alone or selinexor and ibrutinib. As shown in Figure 1D, mice treated with the combination had significantly better survival compared with mice given ibrutinib alone. Similar to reports...
of other active agents in the Eµ-TCL1 model, disease eradication was not achieved for any treatment group due to the aggressive nature of this model. We next examined the efficacy of selinexor in the common clinical scenario of prolonged lymphocytosis following ibrutinib treatment in patients with CLL. Our previous data indicate that, although BTK is inhibited, downstream mediators of BCR signaling are activated in the persistent lymphocytes,20 and treatment with targeted kinase inhibitors shows that these cells are not dependent on a single survival pathway.20 Lymphocytes collected at baseline and 9 months after beginning of ibrutinib therapy from the same patients were treated with targeted kinase inhibitors20 or selinexor. Although all the other inhibitors remain equally active at both time points,20 selinexor was significantly more effective in persistent lymphocytosis (after ibrutinib) samples (Figure 1E), providing additional evidence for therapeutic combination of these 2 agents.

Selinexor targets multiple BCR signaling nodes, including BTK, in a manner independent of BTK kinase activity, suggesting that selinexor may possess the ability to overcome or prevent ibrutinib-mediated resistance in CLL by blocking adaptive signaling responses in resistant subclones. Our group previously identified a major mechanism of acquired ibrutinib resistance in CLL patients involving mutation of the BTK cysteine residue where ibrutinib binding occurs (C481S), changing the binding of ibrutinib from irreversible to reversible.1,2 To focus on this important resistance mechanism, we cloned human wild-type or C481S BTK into DT40 cells lacking endogenous BTK (supplemental Materials). Viability was assessed after treating DT40 cells with selinexor for 24 hours. Selinexor remains active in the presence of the BTK C481S mutation (Figure 2A). To test our hypothesis in vivo, C57BL/6 mice were engrafted with CD19+CD5+ leukemia derived from ibrutinib-resistant Eµ-TCL1 mice. Although these mice are not known to possess the C481S mutation, they maintain functionally resistant disease as a result of selective pressure from ibrutinib exposure, mimicking acquired resistance in patients. At leukemia onset, mice were randomized to receive vehicle, ibrutinib alone, or selinexor alone. As expected, mice retained their resistance to ibrutinib. However, treatment with selinexor induced a significant improvement in survival (Figure 2B). We further demonstrated that selinexor effectively inhibited the fraction of proliferating leukemic cells, based on a significant decrease in the percentage of EdU-positive leukemic cells of ibrutinib-resistant mice treated with selinexor (Figure 2C). The ability of selinexor to overcome acquired resistance to ibrutinib was confirmed in vitro in primary CLL cells derived from patients on ibrutinib that have relapsed with BTK C481S mutations (n = 3), as confirmed by Ion Torrent deep sequencing performed at the time of ibrutinib relapse (Figure 2D). These data show that selinexor has single-agent activity in ibrutinib-resistant CLL in vitro, suggesting it may be effective in ibrutinib-resistant CLL patients and may have the potential to prevent expansion of ibrutinib-resistant subclones when used in combination with ibrutinib.

Together our data suggest the combination of selinexor and ibrutinib as a promising new therapeutic paradigm in CLL that may elicit more robust initial responses and provide activity in the setting of acquired resistance to ibrutinib.
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