Decitabine priming enhances the antileukemic effects of exportin 1 (XPO1) selective inhibitor selinexor in acute myeloid leukemia
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Key Points

- Decitabine priming increases antileukemic effects of selinexor in AML in vitro and in vivo.
- Decitabine priming allows for decreasing the dose of selinexor in patients, thus increasing tolerability without affecting antileukemic activity.

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a clonal hematopoietic disorder characterized by genetic and epigenetic alterations leading to a block in differentiation and accumulation of leukemic blasts in blood and bone marrow (BM).1 Epigenetic silencing of genes involved in hematopoietic differentiation plays a critical role in myeloid leukemogenesis.2,3 Gene silencing caused by DNA hypermethylation can be reversed pharmacologically by inhibition of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) using azanucleosides such as decitabine.2,3 Our group recently reported a 47% complete response rate with a 10-day regimen of low-dose decitabine as a single agent in previously untreated older AML patients (>60 years).4 Although patients’ survival was not significantly better than that obtained with more intensive chemotherapy regimens (ie, 7 + 3), the single-agent decitabine regimen was well tolerated and had low toxicity. These results therefore suggest the opportunity to capitalize on this relatively nontoxic treatment and make it more effective by incorporating this compound into novel molecularly targeted approaches. Exportin 1 (XPO1) is a nuclear exporter involved in the active transport of a number of cargo proteins, including transcription factors and tumor suppressor proteins (TSPs; ie, p53 and p27), cell-cycle regulators (ie, Cdkn1a), and RNA molecules.5,6 Recent data indicate that TSP can be exported from the nucleus and thereby inactivated in cancer by hyperactive nuclear export.7 Indeed, XPO1 overexpression has been reported in several solid tumors and leukemias, including AML, and it is associated with worse outcome.8,9 Thus, XPO1 inhibition may result in increased levels of active TSP, and orally bioavailable selective inhibitors of nuclear export (SINE) that covalently bind and inhibit XPO1 are being explored as a novel therapeutic approach in solid tumors and hematologic malignancies.10,11 Our group recently reported the antileukemic activity of SINES in vitro and in vivo in AML.11 SINEs displayed potent antiproliferative properties at submicromolar concentrations with regard to apoptosis, cell-cycle arrest, and myeloid differentiation in AML cell lines and patient blasts.11 Finally, using the FLT3-internal tandem duplication (ITD)–positive MV4-11 xenograft murine model, we show that treatment of mice with oral SINE significantly prolongs survival of leukemic mice.11 These preclinical studies have supported the development of a large phase 1 clinical trial with selinexor (last-generation SINE) in patients with advanced hematologic malignancies, including AML. Preliminary data indicate that selinexor is well tolerated, safe, and active in refractory/relapsed AML patients.12 However, considering the molecular complexity of AML, it is unlikely that this disease can be cured with monotherapy, and therefore we asked whether adding an already established effective drug such as decitabine to selinexor would enhance or improve its antileukemic effects in AML.
Methods

Cell lines

AML cell lines, MV4-11, MOLM-13 and OCI-AML3 were purchased from DSMZ, Germany. All cell lines were cultured in RPMI supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin.

Primary AML samples

Newly diagnosed untreated and frozen BM samples from AML patients were obtained from the Ohio State University Leukemia Tissue Bank after obtaining informed consent approved by the cancer institution review board. Further detailed methods are provided in the Figure legends and in the supplemental Data (available on the Blood Web site).

Results and discussion

We initially treated two AML cell lines (OCI-AML3 and MV4-11) concomitantly with decitabine and selinexor at twofold dilutions of their individual concentration that inhibits 50% (IC₅₀) values and measured cell proliferation by using the WST-1 assay at 48 hours. The combination index (CI) was calculated according to the Chou-Talalay method. The CIs for the different combinations were around 1 or above 1, indicating no synergy (supplemental Figure 1). Because decitabine is a cell cycle–dependent nucleoside analog, we reasoned that these results could be explained by the cell cycle arrest induced by selinexor. Next we asked whether sequential treatment would be more effective. For this approach, we used the WST-1 assay in which cells were exposed to decitabine (IC₅₀ twofold dilutions, 4 μM to 250 nM) for 24 hours followed by selinexor (IC₅₀ twofold dilutions, 400 nm to 25 μM) for 24 hours (supplemental Table 1). This sequential treatment resulted in CI values below 1, indicating synergy as calculated by the Chou-Talalay method (Figure 1A and supplemental Table 2). These results were validated by using a third AML cell line, MOLM-13 (supplemental Figure 2A). In addition, a significant induction of apoptosis was observed (supplemental Figure 3).

Thus, we hypothesized that these effects could be mediated by the re-expression of a subset of nuclear tumor suppressors (ie, CDKN1A (p21) and FOXO3A) that are epigenetically silenced via DNA methylation and whose cytoplasmic-nuclear trafficking is regulated by XPO1 (Figure 1B). We observed that decitabine treatment increased CDKN1A and FOXO3A expression levels at both the RNA and protein level in the AML cell lines OCI-AML3, MV4-11, and MOLM-13 (Figure 1C and supplemental Figure 2B), which supports this hypothesis. These 2 genes were also found upregulated in cell lines and BM samples from AML patients treated with hypomethylation
agents. To further delineate the mechanism of this enhanced antileukemic activity, we overexpressed CDKN1A by using a lentiviral vector in both OCI-AML3 and MV4-11 cells (to mimic the priming effect of decitabine) and subsequently treated them with selinexor. Similar to the decitabine-selinexor treatment, ectopic CDKN1A and FOXO3A overexpression in OCI-AML3 and MV4-11 cells followed by selinexor treatment at values lower than IC50 resulted in significantly decreased cellular proliferation (Figure 1D-E) and increased apoptosis (supplemental Figures 4 and 5) as compared with selinexor alone. Expression levels of lentiviral-induced CDKN1A and FOXO3A were validated by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (supplemental Figure 6). We then tested the efficacy of the combination in vivo by using an established xenograft mouse model of AML. MV4-11 cells obtained from spleens of primary MV4-11 xenografts were transplanted into nonobese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficient (NOD-SCID) γ (NSG) mice via tail vein. Mice were monitored closely for clinical signs of leukemia as described in Methods. One week after leukemia cell injection, the mice were given either vehicle control, decitabine alone (0.4 mg/kg intraperitoneally twice per week), selinexor alone (20 mg/kg oral gavage twice per week), selinexor alone (10 mg/kg oral gavage twice per week), or decitabine (0.4 mg/kg intraperitoneally twice per week on Mondays and Tuesdays) followed by selinexor. Single-agent selinexor at 10 mg/kg or decitabine alone had no effect in prolonging the survival of mice with respect to the control mice (vehicle control). In contrast, decitabine (0.4 mg/kg) followed by selinexor (10 mg/kg), significantly increased the survival of the mice even when compared with selinexor alone at higher dose (20 mg/kg; 47 vs 36.5 days; P < .008; Figure 2A). On day 25, separate cohorts of vehicle- and drug-treated mice were euthanized; spleens were harvested and photos were taken for comparative study of spleen size. The mice treated with the decitabine-selinexor combination exhibited smaller spleen size than the other groups and controls (supplemental Figure 7). Finally, we validated the efficacy of the sequential drug treatment in vitro by using primary AML blasts. In supplemental Table 3, we report patient’s cytogenetic and molecular characteristics and concentrations of decitabine and selinexor treatments. The initial priming by decitabine followed by selinexor treatment significantly decreased the percentage of live cells compared with either decitabine treatment alone (P = .005; Figure 2B) or selinexor treatment alone (P = .02; Figure 2B) as measured by apoptosis.

In summary, we show here that decitabine priming increased the antileukemic effects of selinexor in AML in vitro and in vivo. It should be underscored that the decitabine priming enhanced the antileukemic activity of selinexor at lower doses compared with the treatment of selinexor alone at higher doses. This is relevant to patients because it is now possible to use lower doses of selinexor to increase tolerability without compromising the antileukemia activity. On the basis of these preclinical results, we have launched a phase 1 clinical trial of decitabine followed by selinexor in newly diagnosed elderly (≥60 years) patients with AML who are not eligible for therapy and primary refractory/refractory AML at The Ohio State University (OSU-13182; NCT02093403).
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