rise in Wiley’s blood for 800 days while the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL)-6 and anti-FIX antibodies decreased concurrently (see figure). Cytokine profiling suggested that the induction of regulatory T cells occurred both following the initial vector administration and following an immune challenge after day 800, given the rise in both interferon-γ and IL-10 at these times. Importantly, no evidence for thrombosis or nephrotic syndrome was observed during the monitoring of treated HB dogs. An accompanying dose escalation study in mice revealed evidence for thrombosis at the highest levels of FIX activity, a known complication of supraphysiologic FIX activity, which occurred at equivalent FIX activities for either FIX-Padua or wild-type FIX.2,6

Thus, gene therapy with FIX-Padua features safety and efficacy promises success in future clinical trials, with the caveat that supraphysiologic FIX activity presents a risk for thrombosis necessitating careful monitoring.2,7,8 Importantly, FIX-Padua gene therapy could lower the dose requirements for gene therapy in HB, given the high degree of efficacy in the HB dog model at clinically acceptable dosages, which will help to address the well-documented risk for dose-related anti-capsid T-cell responses to AAV vectors in HB clinical trials.9,10 Overall, it seems reasonable to predict a bright future for a “natural choice” for HB gene therapy in FIX-Padua.
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Multilevel BCR signals toward CLL

Marco Herling and Elena Vasyutina
UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE

In this issue of Blood, Iacovelli et al provide the first in vivo experimental evidence on the proleukemogenic relevance of autonomous (exo-antigen–independent) B-cell receptor (BCR) stimulation in conjunction with ligand (autoantigen)-mediated BCR signaling in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL).1

The BCR is a central growth-promoting factor in the pathogenesis of CLL. Prevailing concepts of CLL histogenesis and immunobiology favor particular subsets of normal B lymphocytes2 predestined by reactivity of their BCRs to a restricted set of (auto)antigens for affinity maturation and selection during precursor cell initiation and clonal progression.3 Although mechanistically insufficiently understood, there is mounting data on correlations of disease aggressiveness in CLL with immunoglobulin (IG) genetics,4,5 BCR signaling capacity,3,5 and receptor reactivity.5,6 BCR pathway components are at the very focus of novel interventional strategies in CLL with great clinical success.

Corroborating the theories of antigen–based selection, the surface membrane IGs (sIgMs) (the antigen recognition units) and the third complementary determining region (HC DR3) of the IG heavy chain variable (IGHV) domain in CLL exhibit remarkable stereotypy.4 Underlying this is a “preferred” constitution of the leukemic BCRs of specific IGHV genes, including their association with certain IGH-D-J genes and particular IGLV/k/λ’s. When superimposing these repertoire biases onto a disease categorization based on IGHV somatic mutations (unmutated-CLL [U-CLL] vs mutated-CLL [M-CLL]), CLls can be clustered into those with sets of stereotyped sIgMs (collectively ~1/3 of cases and mostly U-CLL), those using specific IGHVs (U- and M-CLL), and those with heterogeneous or no obvious IG characteristics (primarily M-CLL). With respect to their cognate antigens, U-CLLs typically carry polyreactive sIgMs of low affinity toward processed autologous neo-antigens (eg, myosin chains, vimentin, oxidized lipoproteins, dsDNA, and the lupus-associated ribonucleoprotein Smith [Sm]-antigen), or to microbial (foreign) antigens (eg, pneumococcal polysaccharides and pUL32 of cytomegalovirus).3 The structurally less restricted sIgMs of M-CLL react with foreign antigens (eg, yeast-derived (1,6)-glucans and Fc-tails of rheumatoid factors) in high-affinity and high-specificity engagements.3 The void of data on the actual leukemogenic contribution of such (auto)antigen-based BCR signaling was one of the issues addressed by Iacovelli et al1 (see below).

At the level of BCR responsiveness, U-CLLs show a higher signaling capacity than M-CLLs, which is in part explained by higher sIgM densities, differential expression of signaling modulators (eg, CD38, ZAP70, and TCL1),5 and the kinetics of BCR membrane microdomain formation. Nonmanipulated CLL cells (U- and M-CLL), however, commonly show higher-than-normal ostensibly basal levels of signaling activity (“anergy phenotype”). Yet, recurrent constitutively activating mutations in BCR pathway components are, in contrast to other B-cell lymphomas, not detected in...
C.L.L. Therefore, the existence of a genuine receptor-mediated “tonic” BCR signaling in both C.L.L. subsets was concluded. This second form of BCR-input kinetics, besides the (auto)antigen-based repeated engagements, had lacked a plausible molecular correlate until recent seminal studies.

First, Binder et al6 emphasized that a functional overlap across C.L.L. cases, according to binding of their BCRs to phage-display isolated epitope mimics, supersedes IGHV genetics (ie, stereotypy). They further discovered sequences within the IGHV framework region (FR) 2, and later in FR3,7 as epitopes recognized by virtually all C.L.Ls and FR2, and later in FR3,7 as epitopes recognized by virtually all C.L.Ls and IGHV-unmutated BCRs.9 Introduction of tg BCRs against defined cognate low-affinity autoepitopes (phosphatidylcholine [PtC] and Sm) to mimic U-C.L.L. antigens, or against foreign high-affinity antigens (hen egg lysozyme [HEL]), into that TCL1-tg backbone markedly changed the signaling and clinical behavior of the resulting leukemias (see figure). After insertion of their smIGs into receptorless BCR adapter–inducible cell lines, exo-antigen–independent signaling was an exclusive feature of all leukemic BCRs. This emphasizes the prerequisite nature of autonomous BCR signaling, at least in C.L.L. initiation, not necessarily drive. Furthermore, there was intriguing selection for the low-affinity BCRs against PtC or Sm in the double-tg leukemias against the Eµ-TCL1 inherent bias, but surprisingly not for anti-HEL BCRs.1 This argues for the additional importance of autoantigen-mediated interactions. However, increased disease aggressiveness was only conferred by the Eµ-TCL1/IgPtC pool, which was characterized by a much more robust intracellular signaling upon specific ligand engagement in vitro than the more indolent Eµ-TCL1/IgSm clones.

Some of the results by Iacovelli et al1 such as why IgHELs are outcompeted, must also be interpreted at the level of co-operations between BCR interactions and the impact of signal modulating oncogenes. The oncogenic adapter molecule TCL1 acts as a BCR-response sensitizer5 and might confer an apoptotic net effect in the presence of high-affinity ligands (ie, HEL in these models). Alternatively, TCL1, as a subtle oncogene, might not be able to perturb the robust anergy phenotype dictated by high-affinity IgHELs, whereas in fact, MYC has shown to do so by creating a C.L.L.-like disease.10 Collectively, these data implicate that the most advantageous C.L.L.-permissive context requires the presence of cell-autonomous “tonic” BCR signaling (eg, through BCR autorecognition) in conjunction with (auto)antigenic input alongside the “appropriate dose” of oncogenes. Here, Iacovelli et al1 have set the stage to tackle the next level of issues, such as (1) modeling gradual antigen affinities; (2) facultative roles of co-receptors, including IgD; (3) niche-specific hierarchies of glycero-phospholipids, lipoproteins, and polysaccharides) and IGHV-unmutated BCRs.7
BCR signals; (4) impact of receptor turnover (internalization kinetics) and their posttranslational modifications (glycosylations); and (5) differential pharmacotherapeutic amenabilities of both signal categories at the overt leukemic stage.
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HIV-1 Nef drives macrophages into hiding

Sharon Celeste Morley  Washington University School of Medicine

In this issue of Blood, Vérollet et al show that expression of the HIV-1–derived protein Nef alters the migratory mode adopted by macrophages, enhancing macrophage tissue infiltration and explaining the observed accumulation of tissue-resident macrophages in some HIV-infected patients.1

Cure of HIV infection, defined as the eradication of HIV from infected patients, has not yet been achieved. Although highly active antiretroviral therapy (HA-ART) has been extraordinarily effective at reducing the morbidity and mortality of HIV infection, HA-ART does not remove latent virus from all cellular reservoirs.2 Macrophages residing in tissues are potential cellular reservoirs that could contribute to the difficulty in eradicating HIV infection.3 Furthermore, invasion of tissues by HIV-laden macrophages may contribute to HIV-associated pathologies. Monocyte/macrophage infiltration of the central nervous system correlated with HIV-associated dementia (HIV-D) and encephalitis.4 Patients with HIV-D also exhibited greater macrophage infiltration of other tissues, including liver, lymph node, and spleen. A mechanistic understanding of the biochemical underpinnings of macrophage tissue invasion during HIV infection may be essential to developing HIV cures.4

Macrophage infiltration of tissues is enhanced by the adoption of the mesenchymal mode of migration. Vérollet et al now show that one of the many ways HIV subverts physiological processes to enable its own survival is by altering the choice of migratory mode to promote tissue invasion of HIV-infected macrophages.5 To place the results of Vérollet et al into context, I will briefly review cellular migratory modes. Migrating cells employ different modes of movement depending on the extracellular environment they are traversing.5 The different modes are classified according to cellular morphologies, molecular signaling pathways, and generation of propulsive vs traction forces.6 The best-studied mode of migration is that of polarized cells crawling over the surface of coverslips, or two-dimensional (2D) migration. Most widely modeled in gliding fibroblasts, 2D migration is characterized by Rac-mediated lamellipodial formation at the leading edge and uropod formation at the rear.

Requirements for cellular migration in three-dimensional (3D) tissue environments in vivo may vary from those observed in 2D. 3D migration has been divided into two modes, termed amoeboid and mesenchymal. The amoeboid mode is characterized by the movement of rounded cells that do not form strong focal adhesions or stress fibers. Cells employing the amoeboid mode generate propulsive, rather than traction, forces. Amoeboid migration is integrin independent and very rapid, enabling speeds of up to 10 μm/min. Finally, amoeboid migration is not associated with proteolysis of extracellular matrix.5 Lymphocytes moving through lymph nodes exclusively use the amoeboid mode.

In contrast, macrophages can opt to move in either the amoeboid or mesenchymal mode of migration. Cells employing the mesenchymal mode adopt an elongated or spindly appearance.4 The mesenchymal mode is associated with stronger, integrin-based sites of adhesion that anchor the cells to extracellular matrix and enable the generation of cellular traction forces. Mesenchymal movement is slower, with ranges of 0.1 to 1 μm/min, but is associated with proteolysis of surrounding extracellular matrix and supports movement through dense tissues. To support mesenchymal migration, macrophages generate podosomes, specialized integrin-based adhesion structures that quickly remodel over the course of minutes.5 Podosomes contain integrin-binding proteins, such as vinculin and talin, signaling kinases such as the src-family kinase Hck, and actin-binding proteins such as cortactin. Previous work from the group of Maridonneau-Parini has explored the regulatory role of the kinase Hck in podosome formation.7

In this elegant study, Vérollet et al now provide clear evidence that HIV infection pushes macrophages into choosing the mesenchymal mode of migration (see figure).4 Infection with HIV lacking the viral protein...
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