Stress and DNA repair biology of the Fanconi anemia pathway
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Fanconi anemia (FA) represents a paradigm of rare genetic diseases, where the quest for cause and cure has led to seminal discoveries in cancer biology. Although a total of 16 FA genes have been identified thus far, the biochemical function of many of the FA proteins remains to be elucidated. FA is rare, yet the fact that 5 FA genes are in fact familial breast cancer genes and FA gene mutations are found frequently in sporadic cancers suggest wider applicability in hematopoesis and oncology. Establishing the interaction network involving the FA proteins and their associated partners has revealed an intersection of FA with several DNA repair pathways, including homologous recombination, DNA mismatch repair, nucleotide excision repair, and translesion DNA synthesis. Importantly, recent studies have shown a major involvement of the FA pathway in the tolerance of reactive aldehydes. Moreover, despite improved outcomes in stem cell transplantation in the treatment of FA, many challenges remain in patient care. (Blood. 2014;124(18):2812-2819)

Introduction

For many years, Fanconi anemia (FA) was merely acknowledged as a clinical rarity whose biological significance was not appreciated. It was understood that FA was a genetic disease of bone marrow failure, hypersensitivity to cross-linking agents, and high risk of acute myeloid leukemia. Over the last several decades, care for patients with FA has drastically improved with the advent of better blood banking, greater success in stem cell transplant, and increased recognition of the scope of care needed for FA patients. However, only with the identification of the first FA gene, FANCC, 22 years ago did the science begin to catch up with the descriptive studies of how patients and cells derived from these patients behaved.

Now, with the characterization of 16 complementation group genes, including 5 familial breast cancer genes, a better sense of the biology of FA has emerged. First, the pathway is composed of an upstream grouping of 8 proteins termed the core complex, whose primary activity appears to be an E3 ubiquitin ligase executed through one of its member subunits, FANCL. Its primary target is a heterodimer, FANCI-FANCD2 (ID2), which both become monoubiquitinated on DNA damage or during S phase of the cell cycle. Downstream members of the FA pathway are then activated in a way that is much more complex, some entailing physical interactions with FANCD2 but many resulting in coordination of direct DNA repair pathways that have a different web-like character rather than the more linear pathway converging on ID2. Although classically termed a disease of interstrand cross-link hypersensitivity, FA is clearly more than that, requiring the coordination of translesion synthesis, homologous recombination, and mismatch repair in an effort to solve the Gordian knot of DNA lesion and replication fork.

In this review, we will lay out the clinical picture of FA along with the current state of care for FA patients. We will also detail the complex web of how the FA pathway orchestrates the DNA damage response to repair DNA lesions.

Clinical features of FA

Studies of FA have led to insights into bone marrow failure syndromes and common cancers. However, FA still holds many mysteries as to how mutations in the FA proteins contribute to the pathophysiology of birth defects, bone marrow failure, and cancer.1,3

Most FA patients ultimately have bone marrow failure, with ~90% of patients exhibiting this as their first hematopoietic presentation of disease. Classically, FA patients present with congenital defects, such as malformed or absent thumbs, absent radii, short stature, and microcephaly, and subtle but abnormal facies. A much longer list of less familiar and nonspecific characteristics may be present in patients (Table 1). Strikingly, a significant percentage of all FA patients, up to a third, exhibit none of these features, which is why hematologists, especially pediatric, routinely test for FA in bone marrow failure patients despite the lack of physical findings. The literature is therefore rife with adult patients diagnosed with FA when being treated for head and neck cancer and who exhibit inordinate toxicity as a result.4,5

When a potential FA patient presents with evidence of a hematopoietic production defect, a bone marrow aspiration and biopsy is in order to confirm bone marrow failure. Typically, a chromosomal breakage assay is also performed using peripheral blood lymphocytes with metaphase analysis in the presence of the DNA cross-linking agent diepoxybutane or mitomycin C (MMC).4,5 FA cells would demonstrate increased breakage and radial formation in the absence and presence of drug. FA diagnosis is aided greatly by sequencing analysis using an algorithm based on the relative unequal distribution of genetic subtypes (Table 2). FA cells are prone to somatic mosaicism as a result of genetic reversion, in which formerly mutant cells acquire additional mutations, resulting in diepoxybutane resistance, most likely as a result of selective pressure in the
bone marrow. Such cases along with clinical suspicion necessitate additional testing of fibroblasts obtained through skin biopsy.6,7

The vast majority of FA patients present in childhood when hematopoietic disease, be it bone marrow failure or acute myeloid leukemia, predominates. The key treatment of such patients has been stem cell transplantation (SCT).8 Because of the difficult nature of acute myelogenous leukemia therapy, especially in the wake of DNA damage hypersensitivity in FA, the best outcome results from institution of SCT prior to evolution of malignancy or neutropenia-associated infections, including bacterial and fungal. Conversely, application of SCT in the first decade is associated with significant morbidity. Nonetheless, aggressive use of SCT has resulted in an increase in survival of FA patients.9 Use of matched unrelated donors, long associated with increased risk of graft-versus-host disease, be it bone marrow failure or acute myeloid leukemia, predominates. The key treatment of such patients has been stem cell transplantation (SCT).8 Because of the difficult nature of acute myelogenous leukemia therapy, especially in the wake of DNA damage hypersensitivity in FA, the best outcome results from institution of SCT prior to evolution of malignancy or neutropenia-associated infections, including bacterial and fungal. Conversely, application of SCT in the first decade is associated with significant morbidity. Nonetheless, aggressive use of SCT has resulted in an increase in survival of FA patients.9 Use of matched unrelated donors, long associated with increased risk of graft-versus-host disease, has seen marked improvement in recent years even in vulnerable populations such as FA patients. Concomitant use of milder conditioning regimens has lowered toxicity dramatically, thus ameliorating the inherent DNA damage hypersensitivity. Institution of drugs such as fludaribine has lowered the risk of graft failure, which historically has been problematic with a prevalence of up to 10%.10,11 As a result of these general improvements, outcomes for matched related donor transplants are often >80%, whereas those for matched unrelated cases are steadily improving. Late effects of SCT, namely growth delay, endocrinopathies, and second cancers, are magnified in FA patients, for whom such phenomena occur already at increased rates over the general population.

The use of modern blood banking has resulted in supportive care that enables patients to tolerate anemia and thrombocytopenia. The specter of infection remains, however, with respect to neutropenia, despite the use of granulocyte stimulating growth factor, as the use of such a growth factor promotes the evolution of clones that eventually lead to leukemia.12,13 Androgens have also been an adjunct to care and have demonstrated efficacy in FA patients, but virilization and higher risk of liver adenomas have limited their use.

There is good evidence that bone marrow failure in FA patients stems from hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) dysfunction and depletion of the HSC reservoir. The observation that CD34+ cells counts are low in FA patients supports this idea.14,15 The progressive HSC failure in FA patients is linked to the DNA damage response mediated by p53/p21.15 Reports have recently showed that knockdown of FA genes in human embryonic stem cells resulted in defective hematopoiesis, thus implicating the FA pathway in hematopoietic development.16,17 In this same study, FANCA or FANC2 knockdown caused a significant reduction in the production of HSCs and progenitor cells on in vitro differentiation.

### FA genetics

The FA pathway is genetically complex, comprised of 16 complementation groups and associated genes. The encoded proteins have been grouped into 3 categories: (1) the FA core complex, including the E3 ligase, FANCL; (2) the ID2 complex, the substrate for the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of the core complex; and (3) downstream proteins that possess a DNA repair or damage tolerance function7,18 (Figure 1).

FA patient cells exhibit hypersensitivity to agents that cause interstrand DNA cross-links (ICLs), visualized as chromosomal fragility and radial formation that represent the biological hallmark of the disease. The more recent unraveling of the downstream proteins, namely, BRCA2/FANCD1, BACH1/FANCJ, PALB2/FANCN, RAD51C/FANCO, SLX4/FANCP, and XPF/FANCQ, reveals an intimate link to DNA repair and mainstream cancer genetics.
biology, including breast cancer. Such links have revealed opportunities for improved cancer therapy by capitalizing on FA biology, as in the use of polyadenosine ribose polymerase inhibitors in BRCA2 mutant breast cancer. This is especially apropos, as FA patients who survive to adulthood display markedly higher rates of nonhematologic cancers, such as breast, head and neck, and squamous cell cancers. In addition, sporadic mutations in FA genes have been reported in many common adult cancers, including pancreatic, lung, gastrointestinal, and squamous cell cancers. Recent data indicate a direct link between FANCD2 regulation of the transcription of the Tap63 tumor suppressor and squamous cell cancer formation.

We focus below on FA protein functions and their interacting partners, followed by a mechanistic model of DNA ICL repair. Such a model may also be more generalizable to replication fork block and collapse. We also summarize recent developments that link the FA pathway to counteracting the genotoxicity of reactive aldehydes that are byproducts of cellular metabolism.

Upstream: the FA core complex

The FA core complex is comprised of 3 subcomplexes: (1) FANCL, FANCB, and FAAP100 (FA-associated protein 100 kDa); (2) FANCA, FANCG, and FAAP20 (FA-associated protein 20 kDa); and (3) FANCC, FANCE, and FANCF. FANCL is an E3 ubiquitin ligase that in conjunction with the E2 conjugating enzyme UBE2T monoubiquitinates FANCD2 and FANCI at K561 and K523, respectively. Purified FANCL and UBE2T can ubiquitinate both FANCD2 and FANCI site specifically in vitro, and its activity and substrate specificity are enhanced in the context of the FANCL-FANCB-FAAP100 (the L-B-100) complex. In cells, all components of the core complex are required for optimal ID2 ubiquitination, although careful epistasis analysis of mutant cells has revealed that only the L-B-100 complex is absolutely required. FA proteins in general lack identifiable functional domains and are highly conserved only in vertebrates, so progress has been slow in establishing their biochemical functions. Nevertheless, multiple groups have established a preliminary network of interactions among them (Figure 1).

X-ray crystal structures suggest that FANCD2 binds 2 interfaces in the core complex, with contact to FANCL and FANCE. The FANCF structure suggests a flexible protein that links the FANCC-FANCE and FANCA-FANCG subcomplexes and mediates recruitment of the entire complex to sites of damage and FANCD2. Another core complex protein FANCA stimulates the activity of MUS81-EME1, a structure-specific nuclease involved in ICL repair. The FANCF structure suggests a flexible protein that links the FANCC-FANCE and FANCA-FANCG subcomplexes and mediates recruitment of the entire complex to sites of damage and FANCD2.

Nexus: the FANCI-FANCD2 (ID2) complex

Monoubiquitination of FANCD2 and FANCI signals activation of the FA DNA repair network and is required for ICL resistance, which is manifest by replication fork collapse. Formation of the heterodimeric ID2 complex is necessary for FANCD2 monoubiquitination.
and localization of the complex to chromatin at DNA damage foci. ID2 ubiquitination occurs mainly in S-phase.\textsuperscript{33-46}

The precise molecular function of FANCD2 and FANCI remains elusive, but biochemical analyses revealed that FANCD2, FANCI, and the ID2 complex possess DNA binding activity.\textsuperscript{45} Structural analysis of the ID2 complex suggests conformational changes inducible by interaction with DNA as well as core complex subcomplexes, such as L-B-100.\textsuperscript{47} An interplay of phosphorylation and presence of the entire array of core complex members is necessary for full ubiquitination of both members of the ID2 complex.\textsuperscript{30,31,48}

The removal of ubiquitin from the ID2 complex on completion of replication fork repair and restart is catalyzed by the USP1-UAF1 deubiquitinase (DUB), which also targets other proteins such as ubiquitinated PCNA.\textsuperscript{49,50} USP1, the catalytic component, is stimulated by heterodimerization with the accessory protein UAF1.\textsuperscript{31,52} Negative regulation of this DUB occurs on DNA damage signaling via suppression of USP1 transcription, in addition to cleavage and proteolytic degradation of the USP1 protein.\textsuperscript{53,54} Because the timely deubiquitination of the ID2 complex is necessary for the functional integrity of the FA pathway, USP1-UAF1 has emerged as an important target for developing small molecule inhibitors that can potentiate the cytotoxic effect of ICL-inducing chemotherapeutics.\textsuperscript{55} In addition, both murine and chicken cells deficient for either UAF1 or USP1 exhibit a defect in DNA double-strand break repair by homologous recombination (HR),\textsuperscript{56-58} suggesting a HR role for USP1-UAF1 as well.

**FANCM and its binding partners: regulation of replication restart**

FANCM is a core complex member with an ATP-dependent DNA translocase activity. FANCM is required for resistance to ICLs via mediation of (1) recruitment of the FA core complex to chromatin\textsuperscript{56}; (2) regression of stalled DNA replication forks; (3) traversal of the DNA replication machinery across an ICL,\textsuperscript{50} and (4) efficient DNA damage signaling via the ATR kinase.\textsuperscript{61-63} Notably, the DNA translocase activity is not required for FANCD2 ubiquitination.\textsuperscript{64}

Such activities are deemed necessary for the ability of replication to traverse an ICL using replication fork regression.\textsuperscript{65} Regression facilitates bypass of lesions in the template DNA strand,\textsuperscript{66} but how it promotes ICL removal remains unclear.

Replication restart also requires the BLM helicase, which is deficient in Bloom’s syndrome, yet another way that FA interacts with a distinct repair pathway. Bloom’s syndrome is marked by cancer susceptibility and by cells that display increased sister chromatid exchange and exhibits several FA-like features. BLM regulates HR by promoting the formation of noncrossovers during homologous recombination, and it also catalyzes replication fork regression.\textsuperscript{67} Importantly, FANCM and BLM form a complex, being tethered via the DNA binding and translocase activities of FANCM.\textsuperscript{71,72}

The MHF complex (MHF1-MHF2-FAAP24), structurally similar to hisotones, is important for FANCM stability, recruitment of the FA core complex to damaged chromatin, FANCDD2 ubiquitination, and cellular resistance to ICLs.\textsuperscript{69,70} The MHF complex also stimulates the DNA binding and translocase activities of FANCM.\textsuperscript{71,72} Based on the crystal structure of the binary complex of MHF and DNA, it has been suggested that the MHF complex serves to anchor FANCM at a DNA junction to promote replication fork regression and DNA branch migration reactions.\textsuperscript{70} FAAP24 also binds DNA and interacts with FANCM directly. The DNA binding activity of FAAP24 is required for resistance to ICLs, as well as for FANCD2 ubiquitination.\textsuperscript{73}

**Downstream: effectors of the FA pathway**

Biallelic mutations in BRCA2/FANCD1, BACH1/FANCI, PALB2/FANCN, RAD51C/FANCO, SLX4/FANCp, and XPF/FANCQ, which all play a role in known DNA repair reactions, can lead to FA.\textsuperscript{74} Because a deficiency in these proteins does not affect FANCD2 ubiquitination, they are characterized as downstream of FANCD2 in ICL removal.\textsuperscript{56} Conversely, FANCD2 has been found in complex and in repair foci with several of these proteins, suggesting a more direct functional link.

BRCA2, PALB2, and RAD51C (3 known familial breast cancer gene products) all have defined functions in HR.\textsuperscript{75} Specifically, BRCA2 and PALB2 associate with RAD51, the recombinase that catalyzes the HR reaction, and stimulate its activity.\textsuperscript{75,76} A paralog of RAD51, RAD51C, has been identified as a FA gene, FANCO, and is found in multiple subcomplexes, all with defined roles in HR.\textsuperscript{77,79} A subcomplex of RAD51B-RAD51C enhances RAD51-mediated DNA strand exchange in vitro.\textsuperscript{80}

BACH1/FANCI, another familial breast cancer and FA-J complementation group protein, is a DNA helicase that translocates on ssDNA with a 5’ to 3’ polarity. FANCI also binds to the DNA mismatch repair protein MLH1,\textsuperscript{81} which interacts with FAN1 (see below) and FANCDD2,\textsuperscript{82} supporting a role for mismatch repair in FA.

SLX4 acts as a nuclear scaffold to enhance the activity of 3 structure-specific nuclease previously implicated in ICL repair and other DNA repair pathways.\textsuperscript{83,84} These nuclease are (1) XPF-FANCO-ERCC1 that functions in nucleotide excision repair,\textsuperscript{85,86} (2) MUS81-EME1; and (3) SLX1. Each of these nuclease possesses a substrate specificity consistent with a role in the unhooking and removal of ICLs.\textsuperscript{87,88} Recent studies involving the use of Xenopus cell-free extracts have provided biochemical evidence for a major role of XPF-ERCC1-SLX4 in ICL removal.\textsuperscript{89}

FAN1, which possesses 5’ flap endonuclease and 5’ exonuclease activities, was recently identified as a binding partner of monoubiquitinated FANCD2.\textsuperscript{90} Abrogation of FAN1 nuclease activity results in hypersensitivity to ICL agents. It has been suggested that FAN1 is recruited by ubiquitinated FANCDD2 to help mediate ICL incision and removal.\textsuperscript{90} Interestingly, mutations in FAN1 do not cause FA but underlie the kidney disease karyomegalic interstitial nephritis.\textsuperscript{91}

MUS81-EME1 and SLX1-SLX4 have been shown to cooperatively cleave the Holliday junction, an important DNA intermediate in HR.\textsuperscript{92} However, this function may be independent of the role of these nucleases in ICL removal.\textsuperscript{93}

**Translesion DNA synthesis polymerases and FA**

ICL unhooking at a stalled replication fork results in a double-strand break in 1 chromatid and a single-stranded gap harboring the ICL adduct on the other (Figure 1). Repair of the strand break occurs via TLS,\textsuperscript{94} whereas gap filling in the lesion-containing DNA requires TLS, being catalyzed by a specialized DNA polymerase. Several TLS polymerases have been implicated in this regard, although for replication-dependent ICL repair, the most important appears to be
the REV1-Polβ complex.95 In ICL repair, a mechanism that relies on interaction of REV1 with FAAP20, an FA core complex member, is thought to mediate the recruitment of REV1-Polβ.42

Cell extracts use to examine the removal of a site-specific cross-link has led to a mechanistic model for ICL repair when 2 convergent replication forks encounter the DNA cross-link36 (Figure 1). In this system, replication fork stalling occurs momentarily ~20 to 40 nucleotides from the lesion, followed by disassembly of the machinery, and then by polymerase stalling at 1 nucleotide from the lesion. At this stage, unhooking incisions, requiring XPF/ERCC1 and SLX4,89 occur on the parental strand opposite to that of the approaching leading strand (Figure 1). Finally, nucleotide insertion across the adducted base by REV1-Polβ occurs. Following the unhooking and TLS events, coordinated completion of repair requires actions of HR and mismatch repair.

FA pathway and cytokinesis

Several reports have suggested that FA proteins play important roles during M phase, especially in cytokinesis.87–100 First, FANCD2 and FANCI were found to localize at ultrathin anaphase bridges between segregating sister chromatids; these bridges increase in frequency on replication stress.99,100 The number of anaphase bridges, which are normally decorated with BLM and FANCM, increases in FA-deficient cells, leading to a higher frequency of cytokinesis failure, binucleated cells, as well as supernumerary centrosomes. In addition, several FA proteins localize to centrosomes and the mitotic spindle.98,101

DNA damage response and FA: ATM, ATR, and CHK1

The FA pathway influences DNA damage signaling through the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM), ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3-related (ATR),102 and Chk1 kinases. Many of the FA proteins are phosphorylated in response to DNA damage and during distinct phases of the cell cycle. Similar to ATM-mutant cells, FANCD2-deficient cells display a defect in S phase checkpoint response after ionizing radiation (IR) exposure.103 Normally, FANCD2 is phosphorylated by ATM on serine 222 on IR treatment, and this event is required for establishing the intra-S checkpoint and a proper cellular response to DSBs.103 These results thus reveal a direct interaction between the ATM and FA pathways.

The FA pathway also intersects with ATR-mediated checkpoint signaling. Following exposure to a DNA cross-linking agent, FANCD2 and ATR colocalize in nuclear foci.104 Phosphorylation of FANCD2 on threonine 691 and serine 717 by ATR is required for FANCD2 monoubiquitination and correction of MMC sensitivity in FANCD2-deficient cells.105 ATR also phosphorylates FANCG106 and FANCI.48 FANCG phosphorylation by ATR is important for the functional interaction of FANC G with BRCA2/FANC D1107. Interestingly, downregulation of FANCM or FAAP24 negatively affects ATR-mediated checkpoint signaling.62 Clinically, Seckel’s syndrome, stemming from biallelic mutations in ATR, resembles FA in the exhibition of chromosome fragility, developmental delay, growth retardation, and cancer susceptibility.

CHK1, a substrate of both ATM and ATR, phosphorylates FANCE on threonine 346 and serine 374, and these modifications are required for the cellular resistance to MMC without affecting FANCD2 monoubiquitination and focus formation.102 CHK1 is also implicated in the serine 331 phosphorylation of FANCD2, which is required for resistance to MMC and FANCD2 mono- ubiquitination.108 Increased CHK1 activity is associated with early marrow failure, and downregulation of such activity may promote later leukemogenesis.109

Function of the FA pathway in alleviating the genotoxicity of acetaldehyde

Recent work has implicated aldehyde metabolism as a primary cause of the FA phenotype.1,10,111 Chicken DT40 cells, ablated for one of several FA genes, including FANCB, FANCC, FANCL, and BRCA2/FANCD1, are hypersensitive to acetaldehyde.110 In addition, these FA gene knockouts are synthetic lethal with mutations in the formaldehyde catabolism gene ADH5, indicating that cells become sensitized to aldehyde toxicity when the FA pathway is defective.110 Notably, mice doubly deficient in Fancd2 and aldehyde dehydrogenase (Aldh2) are prone to ethanol-induced bone marrow failure compared with wild-type mice or the single mutants.110 The bone marrow failure in Fancd2−/−:Aldh2−/− mice correlates with the accumulation of damaged DNA within the hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell pool.111 A genotypic analysis of a group of Japanese FA patients revealed that ALDH2 deficiency dramatically accelerates bone marrow failure and increases the frequency of malformation in some tissues, providing additional evidence that reactive aldehydes play an important role in the pathogenesis of FA.112 However, it remains unclear if aldehydes cause FA-associated genotoxicity. Aldehydes may make FA patients clinically worse, but no evidence yet exists that antialdehyde therapy could benefit them, although the possibility is intriguing.

FA pathway, cytokine sensitivity, and oxidative stress

FA patients exhibit altered expression levels of growth factors and cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), which is involved in the initiation of apoptosis. Studies using Fancc−/−, Fancc−/−, and Fancc−/− mouse models have demonstrated that FA cells are hypersensitive to TNF-α, and this sensitivity contributes to bone marrow failure in FA.113 Expression of Fancc CDNA in Fancc−/− stem cells prevents the formation of leukemic clonal outgrowths, implying that FANCC is crucial for proper cellular response to TNF-α. FANCD2 represses the transcription of TNF-α by binding to its promoter region,114 which may explain why FA patients have elevated TNF-α levels. Oxidative DNA damage level is persistently higher in HSC or progenitor cells from TNF-α-injected Fancc−/− mice, further supporting the notion that FA proteins protect against reactive oxygen species-induced DNA damage.115

Hematopoietic stem cells with mutant FANCC are hypersensitive to interferon-γ,116 and interferon-γ stimulates increased apoptosis in the mutant setting.117 Reconstitution of the myeloid compartment appears to depend on interferon response pathways.118 FANCC also functions as a negative regulator of cytokine-induced apoptosis by modulating the activity of PKR, a growth inhibitory kinase and key effector of apoptosis.119

Original descriptions of FA cell hypersensitivity to oxygen have led to the hypothesis that FA harbors primary defects in management
of oxidative stress, and more recent evidence supports this idea. For example, FANCA and FANCG are sensitive to redox conditioning, such that hydrogen peroxide treatment triggers complex formation of these FA proteins.121 The antioxidant tempol delayed tumor onset and protective effects against oxidative damage in Fancd2−/− mice. Low oxygen has recently been shown to stimulate ID2 ubiquitination in an ATR-dependent manner.121

The FA pathway has also been linked to mitochondrial dysfunction. A recent study has revealed excessive formation of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species in FA (FA-A, -C, and -D2) cells,122 and mice doubly deficient in Fancp and superoxide dismutase display bone marrow hypocellularity, which is not present in mice with either of the mutations.123 FA-deficient cells exhibit better growth characteristics under hypoxic conditions, and the use of low oxygen tension allows the generation of FA-deficient induced pluripotent stem cell lines.124 Many challenges lie ahead in devising an effective treatment of FA. Although stem cell transplant overcomes the ravages of acute myelogenous leukemia, patients remain prone to many solid tumors, including breast, head and neck, genitourinary tumors, and second cancers after transplant. Second, stem cell transplant in FA patients is still fraught with complications, and less toxic, more efficacious modalities would be welcome. Third, as noted earlier, many common adult cancers exhibit FA gene mutations, suggesting that dysfunction in the FA pathway can contribute to oncogenic genomic instability. Such avenues of investigation promise to enhance medical care of FA and non-FA patients alike. For example, the elaboration of polyadenosine ribose polymerase inhibitors in BRCA1 and BRCA2, both central to FA biology, have led to clinical trials that help target tumors with mutations in these proteins. Understanding the biology of FA will lead to greater opportunities for therapeutic advantage.

Summary

Although a tremendous amount of information has emerged in the 22 years since the cloning of the first FA gene, FANCC, much remains to be learned regarding how DNA repair, DNA damage checkpoints, and associated processes intersect within the FA pathway. Even though FA is rare, its general relevance to cancer biology is exemplified by ≥5 FA genes being familial breast cancer genes and the identification of somatic FA gene mutations in many common cancers.
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