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A Bim-targeting strategy overcomes adaptive bortezomib resistance in myeloma through a novel link between autophagy and apoptosis
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Key Points

• Loss of Bim contributes to resistance rather than intrinsic bortezomib resistance in multiple myeloma.
• A Bim-targeting strategy combining an HDACI with a BH3 mimic overcomes such resistance through a new link between autophagy and apoptosis.

Bim contributes to resistance to various standard and novel agents. Here we demonstrate that Bim plays a functional role in bortezomib resistance in multiple myeloma (MM) cells and that targeting Bim by combining histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACIs) with BH3 mimetics (eg, ABT-737) overcomes bortezomib resistance. BH3-only protein profiling revealed high Bim levels (Bimhi) in most MM cell lines and primary CD138+ MM samples. Whereas short hairpin RNA Bim knockdown conferred bortezomib resistance in Bimhi cells, adaptive bortezomib-resistant cells displayed marked Bim downregulation. HDACI upregulated Bim and, when combined with ABT-737, which released Bim from Bcl-2/Bcl-xL, potently killed bortezomib-resistant cells. These events were correlated with Bim-associated autophagy attenuation, whereas Bim knockdown sharply increased autophagy in Bimhi cells. In Bimlow cells, autophagy disruption by chloroquine (CQ) was required for HDACI/ABT-737 to induce Bim expression and lethality. CQ also further enhanced HDACI/ABT-737 lethality in bortezomib-resistant cells. Finally, HDACI failed to diminish autophagy or potentiate ABT-737-induced apoptosis in bim−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts. Thus, Bim deficiency represents a novel mechanism of adaptive bortezomib resistance in MM cells, and Bim-targeting strategies combining HDACIs (which upregulate Bim) and BH3 mimetics (which unleash Bim from antiapoptotic proteins) overcomes such resistance, in part by disabling cytoprotective autophagy. (Blood. 2014;124(17):2687-2697)

Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is an accumulative disorder of mature plasma cells. Recent treatment advances, including proteasome inhibitors (eg, bortezomib, carfilzomib) and immunomodulatory agents have significantly improved MM patient outcomes.1 However, relapse and drug resistance occur in virtually all responding patients.2 Like many malignancies, MM is characterized by dysregulation of the Bcl-2 family,3 divided into pro- and antiapoptotic groups. The former consists of multidomain (eg, Bak and Bax) and BH3-only proteins (eg, Bim, Bid, Puma, Noxa, Bad, Bik, Bmf, and Hrk), and the latter include multidomain proteins (eg, Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, Mcl-1).4 Whereas Bax and Bak are absolutely required for apoptosis, BH3-only proteins include activators (eg, Bim) and sensitizers or derepressors (eg, Noxa, Bik).5 Attention has focused on Bim because it determines the activity of diverse agents targeting oncogene-driven pathways.6,7 Bim is upregulated by inhibition of pathways (eg, MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT) that repress expression through transcriptional regulation and/or posttranslational modifications, particularly phosphorylation.8 Bim phosphorylation promotes ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation.9,10 Notably, proteasome inhibitors (eg, bortezomib) block the latter process that results in Bim accumulation, which represents a mechanism of action (MOA) of these agents.11 However, not all MM patients respond to bortezomib (intrinsic resistance), and initial responders eventually relapse (adaptive or acquired resistance),12 thus prompting efforts to understand and overcome these events.

BH3 mimetics such as ABT-737 bind and inactivate antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins, which induces apoptosis in MM cells.3,13 ABT-737 has two clinical analogs: ABT-263 (Navitoclax) and the newer-generation ABT-199, both of which target Bcl-2 and show promising activity in certain cancers,14 including hematopoietic malignancies.15 Mechanistically, Bim release from Bcl-2/Bcl-xL represents a major ABT-737 MOA.16 Notably, BH3 mimetics also induce autophagy by releasing Beclin-1 from Bcl-2/Bcl-xL.17 In contrast to apoptosis, autophagy is generally a cytoprotective mechanism that maintains intracellular homeostasis by removing harmful mal-folded proteins, protein aggregates, and damaged organelles,18 whereas autophagy inhibition promotes BH3-mimetic lethality.19 Importantly, a recent study demonstrated that Bim
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inhibits autophagy by sequestering Beclin-1 at microtubules.20 Conversely, histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACIs) upregulate Bim in tumor cells, including MM cells.21,22 Among HDACIs, romidepsin and vorinostat have been approved for use in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma and peripheral T-cell lymphoma.23 HDACI lethality involves multiple mechanisms, including oxidative injury, death receptor upregulation, antiapoptotic protein down-regulation, Bim upregulation, and disabling of chaperone and DNA repair proteins, among others.24 Notably, HDACIs also modulate autophagy.25–28

Currently, the role of Bim in resistance to proteasome inhibitors such as bortezomib is largely unknown. Here we report that Bim is widely expressed in MM cells, and although basal Bim levels do not correlate with intrinsic bortezomib resistance, Bim down-regulation confers adaptive bortezomib resistance in BimHI MM cells. Furthermore, HDACIs prime bortezomib-resistant cells that display Bim downregulation to BH3-mimetic lethality by increasing Bim expression. Mechanistically, Bim upregulation by HDACIs disables cytoprotective autophagic responses to BH3 mimetics. Finally, in BimHI MM cells, which display minimal Bim upregulation in response to HDACIs, autophagy disruption (eg, by chloroquine [CQ]) is required for full response to this strategy. Collectively, these findings provide proof of principle for a Bim-targeting strategy in which HDACIs, which upregulate Bim, are combined with BH3 mimetics (eg, ABT-737), which unleash Bim from antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins in bortezomib-resistant MM.

Materials and methods

Cells and reagents

Human MM cell lines,29,30 primary MM samples, and Bim knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs)31 are described in supplemental Materials, available on the Blood Web site. To establish human MM cells adaptively resistant to bortezomib, RPMI8226 and U266 cells were continuously cultured in gradually increasing concentrations of bortezomib (initially 0.5 nM and increasing in stepwise increments of 0.2 nM) to 10 nM or 15 nM, respectively.

The Bcl-2/Bcl-xL/Bcl-w antagonist ABT-737 and ABT-199 were kindly provided by Abbott Laboratories (Abbott Park, IL).32 Suberoyl bishydroxamic acid (SBHA)33 and CQ were purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA) and Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), respectively. Drugs were dissolved in sterile dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), prepared into aliquots, and stored at −20°C.

Autophagy analysis

LC3 (microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3) puncta were visualized after stable transfection with pE green fluorescent protein (GFP)-LC3B (plasmid 11546; Addgene, Cambridge, MA)34 by using a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope. LC3 processing from LC3-I to LC3-II was monitored by immunoblotting analysis using anti-LC3 antibody (Novus, Littleton, CO).35,36 MEFs were stained with acridine orange (Sigma-Aldrich)37 and monitored by immunoblotting analysis using anti-LC3 antibody (Novus, Littleton, CO).37,38

Other procedures

See the supplemental Methods.

Statistical analysis

Values represent the means ± standard deviation for ≥3 independent experiments performed in triplicate. The significance of differences between experimental variables was determined by using 1-way analysis of variance with Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons test and Student t test. P < .05 was considered significant.

Results

Bim downregulation confers adaptive rather than intrinsic bortezomib resistance in BimHI MM cells

To examine basal levels of BH3-only proteins, 8 human MM cell lines were subjected to immunoblotting profiling. All untreated human MM cell lines analyzed displayed high Bim protein levels (BimHI), with one exception: H929 cells (BimLO) (Figure 1A). In contrast, basal Noxa or Puma expression was relatively low and varied between lines. Similarly, Bim was clearly expressed in primary CD138+ MM cells but was minimally expressed in their CD138− counterparts or normal cord blood CD34+ cells (Figure 1B and supplemental Figure 1A). Interestingly, H929 cells were equally if not more susceptible to bortezomib compared with other lines (eg, U266 and MM.1S; supplemental Figure 1B), suggesting lack of correlation between basal Bim levels and intrinsic bortezomib sensitivity. However, in BimLO U266 cells, short hairpin RNA (shRNA) Bim knockdown sharply diminished poly adenosine diphosphate (ADP)-ribose polymerase (PARP) cleavage and reduced apoptosis (P < .0001 compared with negative controls [shNCs]) induced by bortezomib (5 nM, 24 hours; Figure 1C and supplemental Figure 1C), indicating a significant functional role for Bim in bortezomib sensitivity of BimLO MM cells.

To assess Bim functional significance in adaptive bortezomib resistance, U266 cells were cultured in progressively higher bortezomib concentrations up to 15 nM, generating a highly resistant subline (PS-R). Whereas 20 nM bortezomib (24 hours) killed almost 100% of bortezomib-naive U266 cells, PS-R cells displayed virtually complete resistance (Figure 1D, left, and supplemental Figure 1D). To identify candidate gene(s) responsible for resistance, a profiling array for 84 key apoptosis pathway genes compared PS-R cells to parental U266 cells (Figure 1D, right). The heat map identified the gene hit BCL2L11 (messenger RNA 1.52-fold lower in PS-R than in U266 cells), which encodes Bim, a finding validated by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (supplemental Figure 1E). PS-R cells consistently displayed sharply reduced Bim protein levels, particularly the EL isoform and, to a lesser extent, L and S isoforms, accompanied by modest increases in Mcl-1 expression (Figure 1E). Bim localized primarily to the mitochondria-enriched fraction38 where loss of Bim was also observed (supplemental Figure 1F). Bim expression was also clearly downregulated in bortezomib-resistant 8226/VR cells, which exhibited little change in Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, or Mcl-1 expression (supplemental Figure 1G). Notably, CD138+ cells isolated from newly diagnosed MM patients displayed higher basal Bim levels compared with those from relapsed patients who had received prior bortezomib (Figure 1F and supplemental Figure 1H). PS-R cells displayed little or no change in expression of other Bcl-2 family proteins, including antiapoptotic (eg, Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, BclI/A1, or Bcl-w) or proapoptotic BH3-only proteins (eg, Puma, Bid, Bad, Bmf, and Bik; not shown). Interestingly, modest Noxa increases, but declines in Bfl1/A1 and Hrk expression were observed in PS-R cells (not shown). Together, these findings argue that whereas basal Bim expression per se does not predict bortezomib responsiveness, Bim downregulation represents a mechanism underlying adaptive forms of bortezomib resistance in MM cells initially expressing high Bim (ie, BimHI).
Bim plays a significant functional role in BH3-mimetic sensitivity in MM cells

BH3 mimetics (eg, ABT-737) activate Bim by releasing it from antiapoptotic proteins (eg, Bcl-2, Bcl-xL), and BH3 peptides have been used to predict tumor cell susceptibility to anticancer therapies.39 Notably, exposure of various MM cells to ABT-737 clearly downregulated Bim, principally the EL isoform (Figure 2A). ABT-737 exposure also induced Mcl-1 upregulation, consistent with reports in lymphoma cells.40 These events presumably represent compensatory responses to disruption of Bim and Bcl-2/Bcl-xL interactions (supplemental Figure 2A). The functional role of Bim in ABT-737 lethality in MM cells was then assessed. Following exposure to 750 nM ABT-737 for 48 to 72 hours, shRNA knockdown of Bim diminished Bax mitochondrial translocation, cytosolic cytochrome c release, and caspase-9 cleavage (Figure 2B), as well as apoptosis (supplemental Figure 2B). Conversely, stable transfection of bortezomib-resistant PS-R cells with HA-tagged Bim (Figure 2C, inset) significantly sensitized MM cells to ABT-737 compared with empty-vector controls (P < .01 in each case; Figure 2C). Moreover, transient transfection of bortezomib-resistant PS-R cells with HA-tagged Bim resulted in robust apoptosis (Figure 2D), whereas ABT-737 failed to further increase lethality in these cells (supplemental Figure 2C). These findings suggest that BH3 mimetics elicit Bim downregulation and Mcl-1 upregulation as compensatory responses to disabling of Bcl-2/Bcl-xL and raise the possibility that a Bim-targeting strategy might be effective in bortezomib-resistant MM cells displaying Bim downregulation.

Bim upregulation primes bortezomib-resistant MM cells to BH3-mimetic lethality

Treating drug-resistant tumor cells with BH3 (particularly Bim) peptides lowers the death threshold and increases sensitivity to anticancer agents (eg, ABT-737),41 that is, priming for cell death.42 Therefore, attempts were made to test whether Bim upregulation could mimic BH3 peptides in priming bortezomib-resistant MM cells that displayed loss of Bim to ABT-737-induced death by using HDACIs, which are known to upregulate Bim in myeloma cells.21,22 Exposure of either PS-R (Figure 3A) or 8226/VR cells (Figure 3B) to
the HDACi SBHA (particularly in combination with ABT-737) clearly upregulated Bim (principally the EL isoform) and was accompanied by markedly increased caspase-3, caspase-9, and PARP cleavage and pronounced increases in apoptosis ($P < .01$ vs SBHA alone; Figure 3C-D). Similar results were obtained when the clinically relevant BH3 mimetic ABT-19914 was used (supplemental Figure 2D).

To extend findings to primary bortezomib-resistant MM specimens, CD138$^+$ cells were isolated from the bone marrow of a patient with relapsed MM after treatment with bortezomib. These cells exhibited resistance to bortezomib ex vivo (supplemental Figure 2E). Notably, whereas ABT-737 or SBHA administered individually induced little or no cell death, combined treatment strikingly increased apoptosis (70% to 90%) of CD138$^+$ cells (Figure 3E, upper panel). In marked contrast, agents alone or in combination displayed little or no toxicity toward bone marrow nonmyeloma CD138$^-$ cells (supplemental Figure 2F). Similar results were observed in 6 additional primary samples, including 3 derived from relapsed patients (arrowhead; Figure 3E, lower panel). These findings argue that a dual Bim-targeting strategy combining HDACIs that upregulate Bim with BH3 mimetics that unleash Bim from antiapoptotic proteins (eg, Bcl-2/Bcl-xL) may be effective against adaptively bortezomib-resistant MM cells and raise the possibility that this strategy may preferentially target primary MM cells.

**Autophagy disruption contributes functionally to HDACi/BH3-mimetic lethality**

Recent findings indicate that in addition to triggering apoptosis,\(^{43,44}\) Bim also inhibits autophagy by localizing Beclin-1 to microtubules.\(^{20}\) Notably, BH3 mimetics such as ABT-737 potently induce autophagy by dissociating Beclin-1 from Bcl-2.\(^{17}\) Moreover, HDACIs also modulate autophagic responses.\(^{25-27,45}\) ABT-737 markedly increased levels of lipidated LC3-II, an event largely blocked by SBHA in bortezomib-naive U266 cells and bortezomib-resistant PS-R cells (Figure 4A), findings confirmed by analysis of autophagic flux using bafilomycin A1\(^{36}\) (supplemental Figure 3A). Consistent with these results, ABT-737 robustly increased the number of GFP-LC3 puncta, whereas SBHA alone had little effect. However, in both lines, SBHA sharply reduced ABT-737-induced GFP-LC3 puncta formation (Figure 4B). Interestingly, untreated PS-R cells exhibited modest increases in basal autophagy, reflected by both increased LC3-II expression and GFP-LC3 puncta compared with parental
CD138^+ cells derived from relapsed MM patients also exhibited relatively higher basal levels of autophagy compared with bortezomib-na¨ıve patients (supplemental Figure 3B). However, clear changes in the expression of key proteins (eg, ULK1, Beclin-1, and ATG5) involved in autophagy initiation were not observed (supplemental Figure 3C).

Although Bim has been reported to interact directly with and sequester Beclin-1, co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) with either anti-Bim or anti-Beclin-1 antibodies did not detect direct Bim/Beclin-1 associations in either parental U266 cells (not shown) or bortezomib-resistant PS-R cells with or without drug treatment (supplemental Figure 3D). Bim plays a functional role in autophagy disruption

The role of Bim in regulation of autophagy was then assessed. SBHA clearly reduced ABT-737–induced LC3-II expression in shNC cells (Figure 5A), consistent with previous results involving untransfected U266 cells. Notably, SBHA coadministration also upregulated Bim and diminished ABT-737–induced autophagy in primary CD138^+ MM cells derived from relapsed MM patients, as shown by the representative images obtained from one patient (Figure 4D), as well as in tumor tissues obtained from bortezomib-resistant MM cell xenografts (Figure 4E).
were used. Two separate shLAMP2 clones (designated E3 and C3; Figure 5B inset) displayed significantly increased ABT-737 sensitivity (for each clone, $P \leq .05$ vs shNC cells; Figure 5B). These findings are consistent with previous results demonstrating the potentiation of ABT-737 lethality by CQ, which disrupts autophagosome maturation through inhibition of lysosomal acidification in lung cancer cells. However, whereas SBHA significantly increased ABT-737 lethality in shNC cells ($P \leq .05$ vs ABT-737 alone), it failed to potentiate ABT-737 lethality in shLAMP2 cells ($P > .05$ vs ABT-737 alone), presumably because autophagy had already been disabled in these cells. These findings argue that HDACI-induced Bim negatively regulates ABT-737–induced autophagy and that Bim-mediated suppression of a cytoprotective autophagic response plays a significant functional role in potentiation of BH3-mimetic lethality by HDACIs in both bortezomib-naïve and bortezomib-resistant MM cells.

To define requirements for Bim in HDACI–BH3-mimetic interactions, $bim$ gene knockout (Bim$^{-/-}$) MEFs were used. Following ABT-737 exposure, wild-type (Bim$^{+/+}$) MEFs stained with acridine orange displayed a clear increase in acidic vesicular organelles (orange or red; Figure 5C), including autophagosomes and lysosomes, that reflect enhanced autophagy. In sharp contrast, SBHA failed to attenuate ABT-737–induced autophagy in Bim$^{-/-}$ MEFs (Figure 5C). Moreover, ABT-737/SBHA markedly induced apoptosis in Bim$^{+/+}$ MEFs, a phenomenon enhanced by CQ. However, $bim$ gene knockout (Bim$^{-/-}$) MEFs displayed sharply diminished ABT-737/SBHA-mediated apoptosis, even in the presence of CQ (Figure 5D). These findings argue that the presence of the $bim$ gene is required for Bim-mediated inhibition of cytoprotective autophagy as well as the effectiveness of a strategy combining HDACIs with BH3 mimetics.

**Autophagy disruption is required for enhanced HDACI/BH3-mimetic lethality in Bim$^{low}$ MM cells**

To determine whether analogous events also occur in Bim$^{low}$ MM cells, H929 cells that intrinsically express low levels of Bim...
In contrast to Bimhi cells, SBHA failed to upregulate Bim in H929 cells, whereas SBHA/ABT-737 coexposure induced very modest increases in Bim expression and PARP cleavage in these Bimlow cells (Figure 6A). Consistent with these results, SBHA/ABT-737 minimally induced apoptosis in H929 cells (Figure 6B). However, CQ disrupted autophagosome maturation, reflected by LC3-II accumulation and sharply upregulated Bim in H929 cells (Figure 6A), presumably because of interference with autophagic degradation of ubiquitinated proteins49 including Bim.9,10 Interestingly, in the presence of CQ, SBHA with or without ABT-737 treatment further increased Bim expression (Figure 6A). Moreover, co-IP revealed that Bim upregulation by CQ alone (lane 8) or SBHA plus CQ (lane 10) (Figure 6C) induced increased binding of Bim to Bcl-2, an established mechanism of Bim neutralization.50 Notably, such binding was essentially abrogated by ABT-737 (lanes 9 and 11; Figure 6C) thereby unleashing and activating Bim.16 These events were associated with pronounced potentiation of SBHA/ABT-737–mediated apoptosis by CQ (Figure 6B) and PARP cleavage (Figure 6A). In contrast to H929 cells, in adaptively bortezomib-resistant cells (eg, PS-R) characterized by acquired Bim loss, SBHA clearly upregulated Bim and significantly increased ABT-737–mediated apoptosis (Figure 3A,C).

However, CQ coadministration induced a pronounced further increase in cell death in PS-R cells (P < .01 vs SBHA/ABT-737 treatment without CQ; Figure 6D) but not in parental U266 cells (not shown). Moreover, CQ also enhanced Bim upregulation induced by SBHA with or without ABT-737 in PS-R cells (not shown), whereas ABT-737 diminished Bim/Bcl-2 associations (supplemental Figure 3D). Similar results were obtained in primary CD138+ MM cells displaying low basal Bim or derived from relapsed patients (Figure 6E). Together, these findings indicate that disrupting autophagy (eg, by CQ) plays a critical role in potentiating HDACI/BH3-mimetic lethality in Bimhi MM cells displaying minimal Bim upregulation after exposure to HDACIs, but it also significantly enhances anti-MM activity of this strategy in cells with acquired bortezomib resistance.

The HDACI/BH3-mimetic regimen is active in vivo but is inactivated by Bim shRNA knockdown

Finally, the in vivo activity of this regimen was examined in mouse xenograft models. Coadministration of ABT-737 with SBHA significantly reduced tumor burden (Figure 7A) and prolonged animal survival (not shown) in a bortezomib-resistant (PS-R) MM
cell xenograft. In contrast, the same regimen was ineffective in a U266/shBim cell xenograft (Figure 7B), in which SBHA failed to upregulate Bim (supplemental Figure 3F). These findings suggest that Bim upregulation also plays a functional role in the in vivo activity of the HDACI/BH3-mimetic regimen.

Discussion
Despite the introduction of effective therapies in MM, including front-line treatment with bortezomib, nearly all patients eventually relapse.2 One approach to this problem involves the use of second-generation proteasome inhibitors (eg, carfilzomib), which are effective in some bortezomib-refractory patients.12,51 However, there is a pressing need to understand mechanisms of resistance to proteasome inhibitors such as bortezomib and to develop strategies active against such resistant cells. Previous efforts have highlighted the contribution of the antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family protein Mcl-1 to MM cell survival and bortezomib resistance,52 but recent attention has focused on the role of proapoptotic BH3-only proteins (eg, Bim) in MM responsiveness to bortezomib.53 Bim downregulation correlates with poor prognosis in MM,54 but the precise role that Bim plays in bortezomib resistance has not yet been defined. Current studies emphasize an important contribution of Bim to adaptive (or acquired) rather than intrinsic forms of bortezomib resistance in MM. They also describe a Bim-targeting strategy that combines HDACIs that upregulate Bim21,22 with BH3 mimetics that unleash Bim from antiapoptotic proteins (eg, Bcl-2, Bcl-xL),16 which may overcome such forms of bortezomib resistance.

Our results suggest that Bim downregulation contributes functionally to adaptive (but not intrinsic) bortezomib resistance in MM cells. Recent gene expression profiling data reveal that BCL2L11 (Bim) is consistently and highly expressed in 4 MM subtypes (ie, HY, CCND1, MEF, and MMSET).55 Consistent with these findings, most of the MM cell lines and primary MM samples tested displayed high basal Bim levels (ie, Bimhi), suggesting that MM cells are primed by BH3-only proteins (eg, Bim) for cell death.41 Interestingly, MM cells (eg, H929) that exhibit low basal levels of Bim (Bimlow) were fully sensitive to bortezomib, arguing against a role for Bim in intrinsic bortezomib resistance. However, in Bimhi MM cells, shRNA knockdown of Bim dramatically reduced bortezomib sensitivity. Significantly, apoptosis pathway–targeted gene expression profiling identified BCL2L11 (Bim) as one of the genes downregulated in bortezomib-resistant MM cells, supported by clearly diminished Bim protein levels in these cells. Collectively, these observations argue that Bim downregulation is primarily involved in adaptive bortezomib resistance in which it raises the death threshold (ie, loss of priming).50 In addition, perturbations in the expression of other Bcl-2 family members (eg, Mcl-1, Hrk) may also contribute to
or cooperate with Bim downregulation in conferring bortezomib resistance. For example, interactions between Bim and Mcl-1 may regulate MM cell survival or determine sensitivity to anti-MM agents (eg, melphalan). Efforts to test these hypotheses are underway.

A corollary of these findings is that agents that upregulate Bim may re-prime bortezomib-resistant MM cells toward death. Bim BH3 peptides prime neoplastic cells (including MM cells) for apoptosis induced by ABT-737, but the therapeutic use of peptides presents a challenge. Alternatively, HDACIs upregulate Bim in tumor cells, including MM cells, whereas BH3 mimetics (eg, ABT-737) unleash Bim from Bcl-2/Bcl-xL. Interestingly, ABT-737 induced a modest but discernible decline in Bim levels, accompanied by increased Mcl-1 expression, presumably representing compensatory responses to Bcl-2/Bcl-xL inhibition. Moreover, shRNA Bim knockdown markedly diminished ABT-737 lethality, whereas Bim overexpression increased ABT-737 sensitivity, arguing that Bim is critical for ABT-737 sensitivity. Notably, BH3 mimetics are effective against certain molecular MM subtypes that harbor a t(11;14) and a Bcl-2\textsuperscript{Mcl-1\textsuperscript{low}} profile. Thus, the MOAs of HDACIs (eg, Bim upregulation) and BH3 mimetics (eg, unleashing Bim from Bcl-2/Bcl-xL) provide a specific rationale for combining these two classes of agents. Although we and other groups have described synergistic interactions between HDACIs and BH3 mimetics in various hematopoietic malignant cells, the ability of such a Bim-targeting strategy to re-prime bortezomib-resistant MM cells toward death has not previously been examined. Significantly, HDACIs, particularly when combined with BH3 mimetics, increased Bim expression and sharply increased BH3-mimetic lethality in bortezomib-resistant cells. Collectively, these findings indicate that, as described in the case of Bim peptides, an alternative Bim-targeting strategy that combines HDACIs and BH3 mimetics may be feasible and effective against certain adaptive forms of proteasome inhibitor resistance.

Apoptosis (type I) and autophagy (type II) represent two major forms of programmed cell death. Although excessive autophagy has been implicated in cell death in some settings, autophagy represents a cytoprotective mechanism conferring drug resistance in most circumstances. In this context, BH3 mimetics (including ABT-737) trigger autophagy by unleashing Beclin-1 from its inhibitory association with Bcl-2, whereas disruption of this event enhances BH3-mimetic lethality. Analogously, HDACIs induce autophagy in certain tumor cell types such as glioblastoma cells and breast cancer cells. Moreover, interference with autophagy (eg, by CQ or 3-MA (3-methyladenine), which disrupts autophagic flux) significantly enhances HDACI/BH3 mimetic regimen lethality, particularly in Bim\textsuperscript{low} MM cells. Thus, loss of Bim expression can contribute to an adaptive form of bortezomib resistance, and a Bim-targeting strategy combining HDACIs, which upregulate Bim, with BH3 mimetics, which release Bim from antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins, may represent an effective approach to this problem.

Figure 7. The HDACI/BH3-mimetic regimen displays activity in vivo which is blocked by Bim shRNA knockdown. (A-B) NOD/SCID-γ mice were subcutaneously inoculated in the flank with (A) 5 × 10\textsuperscript{6} PS-R or (B) U266/shBim cells. Doses of 100 mg/kg ABT-737 and 200 mg/kg SBHA were administrated intraperitoneally individually or in combination (n = 5 per group) 3 days per week. Control animals were administered equal volumes of vehicle. Tumor size was measured by caliper, and volumes were calculated by using the formula (length × width\textsuperscript{2})/2. (C) A mechanistic model for the roles of Bim in adaptive drug resistance and priming resistant MM cells toward death. Left: BH3 mimetics (eg, ABT-737) simultaneously activate apoptosis and autophagy by releasing Bim and Beclin-1 from Bcl-2, respectively. Whereas the former action is therapeutically beneficial, it is opposed by the latter cytoprotective response (autophagy ON), which raises the cell death threshold and promotes drug resistance. In this setting, Bim downregulation is associated with adaptive resistance to targeted agents such as bortezomib. Right: HDACIs upregulate Bim in MM cells, including those resistant to bortezomib due to Bim downregulation, and thereby reprime them to BH3-mimetic–induced apoptosis. The latter event is related, at least in part, to disruption of cytoprotective autophagy (autophagy OFF) through release of Bcl-2 from Bim and resulting enhanced sequestration of Beclin-1 by Bcl-2. Notably, inhibition of autophagy (eg, by CQ) significantly increases HDACI/BH3 mimetic regimen lethality, particularly in Bim\textsuperscript{low} MM cells. Thus, loss of Bim expression can contribute to an adaptive form of bortezomib resistance, and a Bim-targeting strategy combining HDACIs, which upregulate Bim, with BH3 mimetics, which release Bim from antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins, may represent an effective approach to this problem.
and reduced the ability of SBHA to attenuate ABT-737–mediated autophagy in MM cells. SBHA also failed to prevent ABT-737–induced autophagy in Bim knockout MEFs. Furthermore, genetic disruption of autophagy (eg, by LAMP2 shRNA) significantly sensitized MM cells to ABT-737 but diminished potentiation of ABT-737 lethality by SBHA, presumably because autophagy had already been disrupted. Interestingly, although SBHA minimally upregulated Bim and increased ABT-737 lethality in Bimlow MM cells (eg, H929), disruption of autophagy by CQ strikingly increased apoptosis in association with pronounced Bim upregulation. Bim is a substrate for ubiquitination and degradation via the proteasome and autophagy. CQ also further increased HDACi/BH3-mimetic activity in bortezomib-resistant MM cells. In marked contrast, bim gene knockout (Bim–/–) MEFs were strikingly resistant to this regimen, even with CQ, indicating that the presence of the bim gene is required for this Bim-targeting strategy. Collectively, these findings argue that potentiation of BH3-mimetic lethality by HDACis stems, at least in part, from impairment in a cytoprotective autophagic response secondary to Bim upregulation in MM cells.

In summary, our findings underscore the importance of Bim as a determinant of adaptive bortezomib resistance in MM cells and describe a Bim-targeting strategy designed to overcome such bortezomib resistance through attenuation of Bim-mediated autophagy. A model illustrating these concepts is provided in Figure 7C. In this model, BH3 mimetics (eg, ABT-737) release Bim from Bcl-2, which favors apoptosis, an event attenuated by autophagy in bortezomib-resistant MM cells. SBHA also failed to prevent ABT-737–mediated Bim upregulation, disruption of autophagy (eg, by CQ) may be required to induce Bim expression and apoptosis by this regimen. Consequently, efforts to develop this Bim-targeting strategy further in bortezomib-resistant MM cells are currently underway.
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