Solution structure of the major factor VIII binding region on von Willebrand factor

Nuha Shiltagh,1 John Kirkpatrick,1 Lisa D. Cabrita,1 Tom A. J. McKinnon,2 Konstantinos Thalassinos,1 Edward G. D. Tuddenham,1,3 and D. Flemming Hansen1

1Division of Biosciences, Institute of Structural and Molecular Biology, University College London, London, United Kingdom; 2Department of Haematology, Hammersmith Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; and 3Katharine Dormandy Haemophilia Centre and Thrombosis Unit, Royal Free Hospital NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom

Key Points

- The high-resolution structure of the complex disulfide-bonded TIL′E′ (D′) region of VWF is presented.
- The major factor VIII binding site is localized around a flexible region on the TIL′ domain.

Although much of the function of von Willebrand factor (VWF) has been revealed, detailed insight into the molecular structure that enables VWF to orchestrate hemostatic processes, in particular factor VIII (FVIII) binding and stabilization in plasma, is lacking. Here, we present the high-resolution solution structure and structural dynamics of the D′ region of VWF, which constitutes the major FVIII binding site. D′ consists of 2 domains, trypsin-inhibitor-like (TIL′) and E′, of which the TIL′ domain lacks extensive secondary structure, is strikingly dynamic and harbors a cluster of pathological mutations leading to decreased FVIII binding affinity (type 2N von Willebrand disease [VWD]). This indicates that the backbone malleability of TIL′ is important for its biological activity. The principal FVIII binding site is localized to a flexible, positively charged region on TIL′, which is supported by the rigid scaffold of the TIL′ and E′ domain β sheets. Furthermore, surface-charge mapping of the TIL′E′ structure reveals a potential mechanism for the electrostatically guided, high-affinity VWF FVIII interaction. Our findings provide novel insights into VWF FVIII complex formation, leading to a greater understanding of the molecular basis of the bleeding diathesis type 2N VWD. (Blood. 2014;123(26):4143-4151)

Introduction

The preservation of hemostatic integrity is secured by the activities of von Willebrand factor (VWF). Upon vascular damage, VWF acts as a molecular bridge facilitating the initial adhesion and aggregation of platelets to the site of vessel injury. Furthermore, VWF is the protective carrier of procoagulant factor VIII (FVIII) in plasma, thereby prolonging its half-life and efficiently localizing FVIII to the incipient platelet plug.1,2 The arrest of bleeding is critically dependent on VWF, as exemplified by von Willebrand disease (VWD), the most common inherited bleeding disorder in humans, which results from defective or deficient VWF protein.3

The importance of VWF-FVIII complex formation is illustrated by patients with severe VWD, who have undetectable VWF levels. Not only do these patients have a concomitant deficiency of FVIII, but they also have a considerably shortened survival of intravenously administered FVIII.1 This phenotype, which mimics hemophilia A, is also observed in patients with type 2N VWD, whose VWF harbors mutations that lead to decreased FVIII binding affinity.4 This behavior reflects the dependence of FVIII survival on the formation of VWF-FVIII complexes and illustrates the biological significance of this interaction. Hence, the importance of elucidating the structure of the FVIII binding region on VWF is 2-fold. First, it would provide insights into the mechanism of FVIII binding to VWF and reveal the minimal VWF unit required to stabilize FVIII. Second, analysis of VWD patient mutations against a background of both structural and functional perturbations will provide the link between genetic pathology and clinical phenotype.

Electron microscopy studies have given a first glimpse of the domain arrangement and overall structure of the multidomain VWF protein5 and in particular showed that the trypsin-inhibitor-like (TIL′) E′ domains form a protrusion from the D3 domain, thereby presenting the TIL′E′ domains to the physiological binding partner FVIII.6 However, the limited resolution of these structures has precluded the elucidation of the details needed to examine the molecular recognition and binding of FVIII to VWF. In addition, high-resolution structure determinations of the topologically complex disulfide-bonded VWF domains have not hitherto been feasible, except for the triplicated A domains,5,7 which are notable for their
relative scarcity of cysteine residues. Thus, a detailed characterization of the interaction between VWF and FVIII has remained intractable, until now.

The FVIII binding region on VWF has been identified within a tryptic fragment termed SPIII-T4 (residues 767-1031). A recent domain assignment of VWF, based on conserved cysteine signatures, reveals that SPIII-T4 is composed of 3 distinct and conserved domains, namely TIL’ (residues 766-827), E’ (residues 829-863), and VWD3 (residues 867-1031). Accumulated lines of evidence suggest that of these regions it is the TIL’ domain (Figure 1), previously known collectively as D’, that are essential for FVIII binding. First, 72% of unique missense mutations that directly affect FVIII binding to VWF (type 2N VWD) are found in domains TIL’ and E’. Importantly, the most severe type 2N phenotypes, characterized by the lowest circulating plasma FVIII levels, are due to mutations in TIL’ (Figure 1 and supplemental Figure 1 available on the Blood Web site). Second, epitope-mapping studies of potent antibodies against the VWF epitope, residues 765-816) and mAb-32B12 (VWF epitope, residues 814-821) indicate that the putative FVIII binding site is localized to TIL’.

Here, we present the solution structure and a characterization of the structural dynamics of the TIL’ and E’ domains of VWF. The TIL’ and E’ structure and dynamics provide an unprecedented view of the FVIII binding site on VWF and reveal a potential mechanism for the electrostatically guided VWF-FVIII interaction.

**Materials and methods**

**Protein expression**

The TIL’ coding sequence (residues 766-864) was amplified from full-length VWF complementary DNA and cloned into a PET32b+ vector containing an N-terminal thioredoxin (TRX) and His6 tag. The TRX-His6 tag was separated from the TIL’ coding sequence by a 31-residue linker (SGGLYPRSSMKETAAALKERQHMDSDPLGT) and a tobacco etch virus (TEV) cleavage sequence (ENLYFPEQIG), leaving residues GAMG as cloning artifacts. Constructs of TIL’ were expressed in Escherichia coli Shuffler cells and grown in L of either lysogeny broth or M9 minimal medium. Isotope-labeled samples were grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with 2.5 g/L U-13C-glucose (uniform [U-13C] labeling) and 1 g/L 15NH4Cl (U-15N labeling) as the sole sources of carbon and nitrogen, respectively. For the 10% fractionally labeled TIL’ used for stereospecific assignment of the Val and Leu side-chain methyl groups, the M9 medium was supplemented with 10% U-13C-glucose and 90% unlabeled glucose. Cells were grown to an optical density (OD) at 600 nm of ~0.6, and subsequent overexpression for 16 hours at 16°C was induced by the addition of 0.4 mM isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside.

**Protein purification**

After harvesting, the cells were resuspended in ~40 mL of wash buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 500 mM NaCl, 40 mM imidazole [pH 7.4]). To the cell suspension was added 1 tablet of Complete Protease Inhibitor (Roche), nonionic and nonnondenaturing detergent in the form of 0.25% octylphenyl-polyethylene glycol, lysosome, and DNase (5-10 μg/mL). Cells were broken by French press and subsequently centrifuged, and the cleared lysate (~40 mL) was filtered (0.22 μm) and loaded onto a His-Trap Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid column (5 mL; GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with wash buffer. Bound protein was eluted using a linear gradient with increasing imidazole and pooled fractions dialyzed against 20 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM NaCl (pH 7.4) for TEV proteolysis. TEV protease was added in the ratio of 1 OD280 unit TEV per 5 to 10 OD280 units of TRX-His6-TIL’; the reaction was incubated for 3 hours at room temperature and then at 4°C overnight to complete cleavage. Subsequently, the N-terminal TRX-His6 tag was separated from the cleaved TIL’ fraction on the His-Trap Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid column. The TIL’ was loaded onto a 16/60 Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with 20 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM NaCl (pH 7.4) as a final purification step. Monomeric TIL’ was concentrated to 450 μL with final sample concentrations of 0.2 to 0.4 mM for further analyses.

Isotope-labeled 15N and 13C,15N samples were prepared in 20 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, 10% D2O at pH 7.4 for nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Samples used for backbone residual dipolar coupling (RDC) measurements were prepared by adding PFI bacteriophage (ASLA Biotech, Latvia) (~5 mg/mL) or pentapeptide glycol dodecyl ether (C12E3)-ω-hexanol (Sigma-Aldrich) (3% wt/wt C12E3, r = 0.94), where r is the molar ratio of C12E3 to ω-hexanol, with corresponding deuterium uptake of 1.5 Hz and 4 Hz, respectively.

**Mass spectrometry**

Recombinant TIL’ (10 μM) was buffer exchanged into 100 mM ammonium acetate (pH 7.0) for mass spectrometry (MS) experiments, which were carried out on a Synapt HDMS (Waters) mass spectrometer. A 2.5-μL aliquot of the protein sample was delivered to the mass spectrometer by means of nanoelectrospray ionization using gold-coated capillaries (prepared in-house).

**Ellman’s reagents**

Formation of all disulfide bonds was verified by an Ellman’s assay with 5,5’-dithio-bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) which reacts with a free sulfhydryl group to form a colored product. The extent of disulfide bond formation was determined by measuring the absorbance at 412 nm.
yield 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid, which was detected spectrophotometrically at 412 nm. The Ellman’s assay yielded ~0.1 mol free -SH per mol TIL’E’, thus confirming that essentially all cysteine residues are engaged in disulfide-bond formation.

**Results**

**Recombinantly produced TIL’E’ is correctly folded and disulfide bonded**

Based on predictions of the FVIII binding site on VWF (Figure 1 and supplemental Figure 1), the TIL’ and E’ domains (residues 766-864), which are located just after the Furin cleavage site (residues 763-764) in the primary sequence of VWF, were selected for structural characterization. Previous homology modeling predicted that all cysteine residues in TIL’E’ form disulfide bridges, of which 3 have been confirmed experimentally: Cys767-Cys808, Cys776-Cys804, and Cys810-Cys821. Thus, isotopically labeled TIL’E’ for NMR structural and dynamic studies was obtained by overexpression in an *E. coli* strain engineered to facilitate cytoplasmic disulfide-bond formation. Correct pairing of the 16 cysteine residues, and hence correct folding of TIL’E’, was critically dependent on the expression of the TIL’E’ domains downstream of an N-terminal thioredoxin tag, yielding an initial translation product that bears resemblance to the native VWF prodomain, which contain oxidoreductase-like sequences.

Recombinant TIL’E’ was analyzed by size-exclusion chromatography (supplemental Figure 2), electrospray-ionization MS (supplemental Figure 3), and Ellman’s assay, which together confirmed that TIL’E’ was homogeneous, monomeric, and contained a negligible amount of free thiol groups. Compelling evidence that the TIL’E’ protein is well folded is provided by the very narrow charge-state distribution of the native MS profile (supplemental Figure 3) and the wide *H* chemical-shift dispersion in the *H*-*N* heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) NMR spectrum (Figure 2). Closer inspection of the *H*-*N* HSQC spectrum in Figure 2 revealed a heterogeneous distribution of peak intensities, providing the first indication that the TIL’ and E’ domains possess differential flexibility.

**Recombinant TIL’E’ binds to FVIII and mAb-418**

The best reporter of TIL’E’ function is binding to FVIII; this is dependent upon the folded TIL’E’ adopting its biologically active
of the TIL' E conformation. Solid-phase binding assays of recombinant FVIII with plasma-derived VWF (pdVWF), TIL' E expressed in E coli, and reduced and alkylated TIL' E (Red./alkyl. TIL' E). The obtained apparent dissociation constants are pdVWF 0.9 nM ± 0.2 nM and TIL' E 26 nM ± 2 nM.

The disulfide-bonding pattern shown in Figure 1 was verified by cross-disulfide NOEs corresponding to Cys₁₅₁-Cys₁₆₅, where Cys₁₅₁-Cys₁₆₅ represents a predicted disulfide bond between the iᵗʰ and jᵗʰ cysteine residues in the domain sequence (supplemental Figure 7). This permitted the unambiguous assignment of 4 disulfide bridges, including Cys₇₉₂-Cys₈₂₇ in TIL' and Cys₈₂₉-Cys₈₅₁, Cys₈₄₉-Cys₈₅₈, and Cys₈₄₆-Cys₈₆₃ in E' that had been predicted but not previously biochemically assigned (Figure 1 and supplemental Figure 7). The overall disulfide-bonding topology was further confirmed by exclusion of the corresponding constraints from preliminary structure calculations. With the exception of the Cys₇₈₈-Cys₇₉₉ linkage and to some extent the Cys₇₇₆-Cys₈₀₄ linkage in the TIL' domain, which were poorly defined due to limited NOE density, all predicted cysteine pairs came into close proximity. Therefore, all disulfide bonds were included as constraints in the structure calculation, resulting in the solution structure of VWF TIL' E shown in Figure 4.

TIL' has a long dominating loop, whereas E' is all β sheet

The global fold of TIL' E shown in Figure 4 is crescent shaped, as suggested from electron microscopy imaging. The structure clearly shows that TIL' and E' form independently folding domains, confirming the previous domain assignment separating D' into the 2 distinct domains. Salient features of domain TIL' (residues 766-827) are the limited regions of secondary structure (~70% loop; 26%
Figure 5. Pico-to-microsecond and millisecond structural dynamics of TIL’. (A) Backbone TIL’ \(^{1}H^{15}N\) heteronuclear NOEs that report on motions on the subnanosecond timescale. Low values indicate flexible, unstructured regions. Secondary-structure elements of TIL’ are depicted below the plot. (B) Simultaneous stereo representation of the structure and pico-to-microsecond dynamics (gray) overlaid with the lowest-energy structure from the single-structure calculations (TIL’, blue; E’, red). The stereo mode is wall-eye. (C) Nitrogen backbone millisecond chemical exchange model to the data shown (red, 700 MHz; black, 500 MHz). In contrast, Figure 4 shows the relative positions of the 2 \(\beta\) sheets, \(\beta_1\)-\(\beta_2\) (residues 772-775:806-809) and \(\beta_3\)-\(\beta_4\) (residues 814-817:820-823), that form a scaffold bearing a long 30-residue loop, the \(\beta_1\) to \(\beta_2\) loop. This loop encompasses a 8-residue insertion between the second and third conserved TIL’ cysteine residues as compared with structural homologs of TIL’ (supplemental Figure 1). The second antiparallel \(\beta\) sheet, formed of strands \(\beta_3\) and \(\beta_4\), is connected by a reverse turn forming a small-hairpin structure. There is a single turn of a \(3_1\) helix (residues 824-826) at the C terminus of TIL’. TIL’ belongs to the Ascaris protease inhibitor family, defined by a 10-cysteine signature and a conserved protein fold,\(^{33}\) with the closest structural homolog being a chymotrypsin inhibitor domain (Protein Data Bank accession number 1CCV) with a Z score of 3.6, as calculated by the DALI server.\(^{34}\) In contrast, Figure 4 shows that the greater proportion of E’ is formed of a triple-stranded antiparallel \(\beta\) sheet formed of strands \(\beta_3\) (residues 839-844), \(\beta_4\) (residues 847-852), and \(\beta_5\) (residues 855-858). Additionally, the N terminus contains a short double-stranded \(\beta_1\)-\(\beta_2\) sheet (residues 829-831:834-836). The relative positions of the 2 \(\beta\) sheets are restrained by the E’ Cys829-Cys851 disulfide bond. The 6-cysteine E’ domain was previously suggested to be a member of the fibronectin-I-like superfam of proteins\(^{35}\) and thus similar to the von Willebrand factor type C (VWC) module. Supplemental Figure 8 shows an overlay of the structure of the E’ domain solved here by NMR spectroscopy and the first subdomain of a full VWC module. The 2 domains shown are structurally highly similar with a backbone root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of 1.3 Å, thus highlighting the structural similarities between the E’ domain and the VWC module. The family of the 10 lowest-energy structures of TIL’E’ is well defined, with an average backbone pairwise RMSD of 1.12 Å, and corresponding RMSDs for the TIL’ and E’ domains in isolation of 1.37 Å and 0.34 Å, respectively (supplemental Figure 9). The backbone RMSD of the TIL’ domain excluding the long \(\beta_1\) to \(\beta_2\) loop is 0.81 Å, indicating that this loop dominates the structural heterogeneity of TIL’ and alluding to its intrinsic dynamic character (supplemental Figure 10). The 10 lowest-energy structures have 73.1% of residues in the most-favored regions in the Ramachandran plot, 22.3% in the additionally allowed regions, 3.4% in the generously allowed regions, and 1.2% in disallowed regions.

**TIL’ is strikingly dynamic on at least 2 timescales**

The conformational sampling of a protein is often essential to its biological activity,\(^{36}\) and hence the dynamic characterization of TIL’E’ is of great importance for elucidating the mechanism by which FVIII is stabilized in plasma. The structural dynamics of TIL’E’ were quantified experimentally by \(^{15}\)N nuclear spin relaxation experiments.\(^{17}\) Analysis...
of these relaxation data revealed interesting motional trends; most notably, that there is a distinguishable mobility differential between the TIL’ and E’ domains.[^18]

[^18]: ([^1H]15N nuclear Overhauser effects (hetNOEs; Figure 5A), which report specifically on backbone fluctuations on a pico-to-nanosecond (ps-ns) timescale, clearly show that, with the exception of the very C-terminal residues, the E’ domain is rigid with a uniform distribution of hetNOE values around 0.7. In contrast, several residues in the β1-to-β2 loop of the TIL’ domain show significantly lower NOE values, indicating a comparatively higher degree of flexibility. The amplitudes of the local ps-ns motions were quantified as squared order parameters,[^18] S^2, according to the model-free analysis of the 15N spin relaxation rates (supplemental Table 4), and confirmed the relative flexibility of the TIL’ β1-to-β2 loop on this timescale.[^18] The analysis of the 15N relaxation rates includes a determination of the diffusion tensor, D. Of special interest here is the ratio of the parallel and the perpendicular components of the axial diffusion tensor, D||/D⊥, because this ratio reports on the shape of the molecule. Firstly, the ratios obtained separately for the 2 domains are very similar (D||/D⊥, TIL’ = 1.82 ± 0.05 and D||/D⊥, E’ = 1.9 ± 0.1), which indicates that the TIL’ and E’ domains tumble as a single entity with only limited interdomain motion. Secondly, the relatively large values of D||/D⊥ confirm that the overall structure is a highly prolate spheroid, in agreement with the calculated structures (Figure 4).

Backbone dynamics on the broader pico-to-microsecond (ps-μs) timescale were investigated, because ligand binding and dissociation often takes place in this time regime.[^36] The amplitudes of motions over the ps-μs timescale were estimated from backbone chemical shifts[^34] and subsequently included in structure calculations,[^21] together with the restraints listed above, to determine an ensemble of protein conformations that represent, simultaneously, the TIL’ structure and its associated dynamics. Accordingly, this structural ensemble represents a more faithful atomistic description of the conformational variability of TIL’ on a ps-μs timescale. The ensemble representation of TIL’ was systematically cross-validated by a combination of RDCs and side-chain 13C methyl chemical shifts[^40] to give an optimal ensemble size of 10 replicas (supplemental Figure 11). The ensemble representation is shown in Figure 5B and shows that the β1-to-β2 loop is significantly more flexible than the remainder of TIL’.[^41]

Although the hetNOEs (Figure 5A) and chemical shifts report on ps-ns and ps-μs dynamics, respectively, structural dynamics on the millisecond timescale can be specifically probed using CPMG relaxation dispersion experiments.[^10] The time constants of many biochemical processes, such as ligand binding and dissociation,[^30] are on the millisecond timescale, and CPMG experiments were therefore performed on the TIL’ E’ domains to quantify such dynamics (Figure 5C). A first inspection of the CPMG data reveals localization of slower motions to the domain TIL’, particularly in the β1-to-β2 loop (Figure 5C), confirming the existence of a sparsely populated (excited) state with a lifetime in the millisecond range, whereas the E’ domain remains rigid on this timescale. A detailed analysis of the CPMG relaxation dispersions reveals that the ground state of TIL’ E’ exchanges with an excited state; the lifetime of the excited state is approximately 0.5 ms and has a population of 3.5% (supplemental Methods and materials). Excited states often have significant functional roles in molecular recognition and ligand binding, and the existence of the TIL’ E’ excited state is likely to be functionally relevant for the binding of VWF to FVIII.

A summary of the TIL’ E’ dynamics is shown in Figure 5D, from which it is evident that the TIL’ β1-to-β2 loop is strikingly dynamic on at least 2 timescales, whereas the remainder of TIL’ E’...
provides a rigid scaffold supporting this conformationally dynamic entity.

**Discussion**

The solution structure and dynamic characterization of TIL’E’ allow an unprecedented interpretation of the likely functional consequence of type 2N VWD missense mutations. The greater proportion of the known type 2N missense mutations are distributed in the vicinity of the dynamic TIL’ β1-to-β2 loop (Figure 6A). Type 2N mutations that involve a cysteine residue (C788R/Y, Y795C, and C804F in TIL’; C858S/F in E’) are associated with aberrant multimerization, poor secretion, and reduced FVIII binding,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Δ Volume (Å³)*</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Predicted effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIL’</td>
<td>R782W</td>
<td>+41.1</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Loss +ve, polar-to-hydrophobic, structural perturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G785E</td>
<td>+88.1</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Introduce +ve charge, 1-volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E787K</td>
<td>+8.9</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Introduce +ve in region of -ve charge, highly deleterious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C788R</td>
<td>+94.7</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Introduction of -SH, introduce +ve, 1-volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C788Y</td>
<td>+108.4</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Introduction of -SH, 1-volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T789P</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Perturbation of putative a3 binding region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T791M</td>
<td>+40.9</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Perturbation of putative a3 binding region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y795C</td>
<td>-96.4</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Exposed -SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M800V</td>
<td>-28.5</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Border of putative a3 binding region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C804F</td>
<td>+85.4</td>
<td>β1-β2 loop</td>
<td>Introduction of -SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P812L</td>
<td>+41.0</td>
<td>β2-β3 loop</td>
<td>Perturbation of putative a3 binding region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R816W</td>
<td>+42.1</td>
<td>β3</td>
<td>Loss of conserved +ve in putative a3 binding region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R816Q</td>
<td>-40.7</td>
<td>β3</td>
<td>Loss of conserved +ve in putative a3 binding region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H817Q</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>β3</td>
<td>Loss of conserved +ve in putative a3 binding region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E’</td>
<td>R854W</td>
<td>+42.1</td>
<td>β4-β5 loop</td>
<td>Loss +ve, polar-to-hydrophobic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R854Q</td>
<td>-40.7</td>
<td>β4-β5 loop</td>
<td>Loss +ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C858F</td>
<td>+97.4</td>
<td>β5</td>
<td>Introduction of -SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C858S</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>β5</td>
<td>Introduction of -SH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Changes in residue volumes are based on Pontius et al.47

In summary, the majority of the noncysteine type 2N mutations (Figure 6) are found in the vicinity of the flexible regions of TIL’, thereby localizing the FVIII binding site to this part of the VWF protein. Of the type 2N mutations in TIL’E’ involving charged residues, all but one result in loss of positive charge, supporting a predominantly electrostatic interaction between VWF and the FVIII light chain, which is in agreement with the fact that high ionic strength and low pH result in a much lower affinity of the VWF-FVIII complex.27,48 Hence, based on the foregoing analyses, we propose that E’ and the β sheets of TIL’ provide a rigid scaffold for the positively charged region on TIL’, which complements the charge distribution of the FVIII light chain to electrostatically guide VWF-FVIII complex formation.

The cysteine-rich N- and C-terminal flanking regions of VWF have been the subject of significant efforts aimed at characterizing the structure and plasticity of function of this unique protein. Supplementation of the VWD type 2N missense mutations on the structure of TIL’E’, together with the characterization of its structural dynamics, reveals the malleable FVIII binding surface of VWF and represents an important step toward a detailed molecular understanding of these functionally important VWF domains for which atomic-resolution structures have hitherto been unavailable.
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