Evaluation of bendamustine in combination with fludarabine in primary chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells
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The fludarabine and cyclophosphamide couplet has become the backbone of the chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) standard of care. Although this is an effective treatment, it results in untoward toxicity. Bendamustine is a newly approved and better-tolerated alkylating agent. We hypothesized that similar to cyclophosphamide, bendamustine-induced DNA damage will be inhibited by fludarabine, resulting in increased cytotoxicity. To test this hypothesis and the role of the stromal microenvironment in this process, we treated CLL lymphocytes in vitro with each drug alone and in combination. Simultaneous or prior addition of fludarabine to bendamustine resulted in maximum cytotoxicity assayed by 3,3'-dihexyloxacarbocyanine iodine negativity, annexin positivity, and poly(adenosine 5'-diphosphate-ribose) polymerase cleavage. Cytotoxicity elicited by combination of both agents was similar in these malignant B cells cultured either in suspension or on marrow stroma cells. Cell death was associated with DNA damage response, which was determined by phosphorylation of H2AX and unscheduled DNA synthesis. H2AX activation was maximum with the drug combination, and unscheduled DNA synthesis induced by bendamustine was blocked by fludarabine. In parallel, ATM, Chk2, and p53 were phosphorylated and PUMA was induced. Cell death was caspase independent; however, caspases did decrease levels of Mcl-1 survival protein. These data provide a rationale for combining fludarabine with bendamustine for patients with CLL. (Blood. 2014;123(24):3780-3789)

Introduction

The most efficacious therapies in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) include alkylating agents and the combination of these DNA-damaging drugs with purine nucleoside analogs. In fact, the combination of cyclophosphamide and fludarabine or pentostatin has long been the standard of care for CLL.

Bendamustine is a newly approved alkylating agent. Chemically, bendamustine is 4-[5-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]-1-methyl-2-benzimidazolyl] butyric acid hydrochloride. Structurally, it is an alkylating agent with a benzimidazole ring and a butyric acid side chain, which improves water solubility. The nitrogen mustard group of bendamustine resembles a similar group on chlorambucil and cyclophosphamide, the 2 most commonly used alkylating agents for CLL.

When chlorambucil, which is among the oldest drugs used for the treatment of CLL, was compared with bendamustine for efficacy and toxicity profiles, the overall response rate to bendamustine was 68%, which was more than double the observed rate with chlorambucil. Based on these results, bendamustine was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of CLL. In another randomized clinical study of untreated CLL, fludarabine resulted in higher response rates and a longer duration of remission and progression-free survival than did single-agent chlorambucil. Collectively, these data illustrate the benefits of single-agent bendamustine or fludarabine for the treatment of CLL.

The most-used alkylating agent for CLL, although not alone but rather in combination with fludarabine, is cyclophosphamide. When compared head to head, the fludarabine and cyclophosphamide regimen was preferred to fludarabine or chlorambucil. With these clinical investigations, the fludarabine plus cyclophosphamide couplet with or without monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) has become standard of care for patients with CLL.

The choice of this combination of a purine nucleoside analog (fludarabine) with an alkylating agent (cyclophosphamide) was based on the mechanism of action. Cyclophosphamide-mediated DNA damage results in monoadducts, biadducts, and intra- and interstrand crosslinks. This DNA damage initiates a repair response, and in most cases cells repair the damage with no or minimal biological response, especially in cells such as CLL lymphocytes that are characterized by an increased DNA repair ability. This biological property provides a rationale for combining alkylating agents with chemotherapeutic drugs such as fludarabine that inhibit...
DNA synthesis. Such rationales have led to clinical investigations of these 2 agents in combination. These preclinical data and the above-mentioned clinical results with bendamustine underscore the potential importance of combining fludarabine with bendamustine.

To test such an approach, we combined bendamustine with fludarabine in primary CLL cells. We identified the optimal schedule and determined the mechanistic basis for the effectiveness of this combination by quantitating DNA damage, maintenance of damage response, effect on DNA/RNA synthesis, and effect on proteins impacted by DNA damage and repair response. Furthermore, we evaluated the biological consequences of the single agents and their combination in primary CLL cells. Finally, we compared the presence of a stroma-influenced DNA damage response and repair and the biological consequences in CLL lymphocytes treated with one or the other or both drugs.

Materials and methods

Drugs and chemicals

Bendamustine hydrochloride was obtained from Cephalon (Frazer, PA), and fludarabine was a gift from Berlex Laboratories (Alameda, CA). Fludarabine was dephosphorylated, and free nucleoside 2-fluoro-arabinosyladenine (F-ara-A) was used. Z-VAD was purchased from MP Biomedicals (Solon, OH).

Patient samples

The in vitro studies were carried out in freshly isolated lymphocytes obtained from peripheral blood of 38 patients with CLL. Samples were obtained twice from patients 9 and 13 (total samples 40; see supplemental Table 1 available on the Blood Web site). All patients signed a written informed consent to participate in this laboratory protocol, which was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Isolation of peripheral blood MNCs, and suspension and stromal cocultures

MNCs were isolated from heparinized peripheral blood by Ficoll gradient separation (Ficoll-Hypaque specific gravity, 1.086; Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated human serum, 5% antibiotics (streptomycin, penicillin) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and 5% l-glutamate (Mediatech, Homodon, VA). A Coulter Channelyzer (Coulter Electronics, Hialeah, FL) was used to determine cell number and the mean cell volume.

For CLL-stromal cocultures, lymphocytes were cultured on confluent layers of human stromal cells (NK-Tert; RIKEN cell bank, Tsukuba, Japan) at a ratio of 100 CLL cells to 1 stromal cell. Stromal cells were plated first in medium at 1 x 10^5 cells per well, and after 8 to 24 hours, the medium was removed and 1 x 10^7 CLL cells per well were added.

CB CD34-positive cells

Cord blood (CB) units were provided under protocols approved by The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center institutional review board.
CB mononuclear cells (MNCs) were prepared by centrifugation on Ficoll layers (Histopaque 1077, Sigma) at 400g for 20 minutes before collecting the interface cells. Washed CB MNCs were incubated in Clinimacs buffer (phosphate-buffered saline [PBS] EDTA buffer, Miltenyi Biotec) containing CD34 microbeads (CD34 reagent, Miltenyi Biotec), 0.5% human serum albumin (Baxter Healthcare), and an Fc blocker (Gammagard, Baxter Healthcare) for 30 minutes on ice. After incubation, CB CD34-positive cells were selected by magnetic-activated cell sorting (LS Column, Miltenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Cell viability assays

**DiOC₆ staining.** Cell viability was determined using 3,3′-dihexyloxycarbocyanine iodide (DiOC₆) dye to test the mitochondrial membrane potential and propidium iodide (PI) to test cell membrane permeability using an FACScalibur (Becton Dickinson).

**Annexin V/PI staining.** Cells were incubated with annexin V/flourescin isothiocyanate (5 mL) (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), and to the labeled cells 10 µL PI (50 µg/mL) was added. The cells were analyzed immediately with an FACScalibur. At least 10,000 events per sample were recorded and processed using Cell Quest software (Becton Dickinson).

**Flow cytometry detection of phosphorylated-H2AX**

CLL cell pellets were lysed at 4°C in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer supplemented with 1 Mini cOmplete protease inhibitor tablet (Roche). Aliquots (30-50 µg) of total protein were loaded onto 4% to 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gels and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (GE Osmonics Labstore, Minnetonka, MN). The membranes were blocked and incubated with primary antibodies against the following: total ATM (Abcam, Cambridge, MA), phospho-ATM (Millipore, Billerica, MA), Bcl-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Abcam), Mcl-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), PUMA (ProSci, Poway, CA), total p53 (EMD Chemicals, Gibbstown, NJ), phospho-p53 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), and poly (adenosine 5′-diphosphate-ribose) polymerase (PARP) (BD Pharmingen). After being washed, membranes were incubated with infrared-labeled secondary antibodies and then visualized using a LI-COR Odyssey infrared imager.
Bonferroni procedure.

Comparison of treatment effects by groups was done using the CONTRAST statement in PROC MIXED. SAS version 9.1 assumed to be independent.

For all cell death assays, a time-matched control (untreated cells) was used, and this background value (generally 5% to 20%) was subtracted from the drug-treated value. Data were similar with both assays (DiOC6 negativity, Figure 1; annexin V/PI positivity, supplemental Figure 1). There was a dose- and time-dependent increase in cell death from the same 3 samples (1, 2, and 3) when incubated with either bendamustine or fludarabine for 24, 48, and 72 hours. We selected 3, 10, and 30 μM concentrations of these drugs, because during therapy, the fludarabine peak plasma level is 3 μM17 and the bendamustine level is 30 μM.18 Maximum cell death was generally observed with 30 μM bendamustine and 10 μM fludarabine.

In simultaneous incubations, compared with bendamustine alone, the combination of bendamustine and fludarabine was significantly higher at lower concentrations (3 and 10 μM) and at 24 and 48 hours (Figure 1A: \( P = .013 \) [24 hours], \( P = .001 \) [48 hours]; Figure 1B: \( P = .0006 \) [24 hours]; supplemental Figure 1A: \( P = .04 \) [24 hours], \( P = .002 \) [48 hours]; and supplemental Figure 1B: \( P = .0005 \) [24 hours]). Longer incubations (72 hours) and higher concentrations (30 μM) did not produce significantly different results (Figure 1C). Similarly, compared with fludarabine alone, there was a small but consistent increase with the combination with significant differences at lower concentrations and at 24 and 48 hours.

**Induction of apoptosis in CD34-positive cells or stroma cells**

Cell death at 24 or 48 hours was minimum (<15%) in CD34-positive cells, and this was not significantly increased with the combination (Figure 1D).

Similarly, for NK-Tert stroma cells, there was only 5% to 10% increase in apoptosis with the combination of both drugs (data not shown).

**Sequence-specific effect of bendamustine and fludarabine**

To determine if the sequence of drug affected sensitivity, CLL lymphocytes were incubated with fludarabine and 8 hours later with bendamustine or with bendamustine followed 8 hours later by fludarabine or both drugs together. In all samples, in both suspension and stroma culture conditions, at 24 hours, compared with single-agent treatment (bendamustine or fludarabine), there was a significantly higher cell death when the drugs were combined simultaneously or fludarabine was administered first, followed by bendamustine (compare \( P \) values in Figure 2A-B). At 48 hours, the trend was similar (Figure 2C-D). In contrast, when bendamustine was followed by fludarabine, the results were not different from either bendamustine alone or fludarabine alone (Figure 2). These data suggest that the presence of fludarabine with bendamustine was necessary for maximal apoptosis.

**Effect of bone marrow stroma coculturing on induction of apoptosis in CLL cells**

For coculturing, we used a standardized method19 in which CLL lymphocytes were incubated with NK-Tert MSCs or in suspension. There was a 10% to 30% survival advantage when CLL cells were cultured on stroma (data not shown). Also, in most samples, 24-hour treatment with either fludarabine or bendamustine resulted in a decrease in apoptosis when cells were cocultured with stroma (compare Figure 2A with Figure 2B, white and gray bars). However, when the drugs were combined, the difference in apoptosis was minor or nonexistent between suspension and stroma cultures, suggesting that the combination overcame stroma-induced resistance. At 24 hours, the percent apoptosis above background values was similar and ranged from 20% to 80% (\( P = .23 \) DiOC6 assay, Figure 3A; 0.88 annexin V/PI assay, supplemental Figure 2A). Similarly for 48 hours, data were not significantly different between suspension and stroma cocultures.
cocluring (P = .25 DiOC6, Figure 3B; 0.62 annexin V/PI, supplemental Figure 2B).

Phosphorylation of H2AX in CLL cells

Previous studies\textsuperscript{14} have established that alkylating agents induce DNA damage and that readout of this DNA damage response and repair is the phosphorylation of H2AX. Compared with untreated cells, CLL cells showed only a 5% to 10% increase in the H2AX phosphorylation (γ-H2AX) signal after treatment with fludarabine or bendamustine for up to 20 hours. This increase was similar in both culture conditions. Treatment with either fludarabine or bendamustine for 8 hours followed by a second drug increased the signal in both culture systems. Between the 2 treatment sequences, fludarabine followed by bendamustine resulted in the higher DNA damage response. The simultaneous addition of both drugs increased the signal 2 hours after the start of incubation and reached 60% or higher in both model systems (Figure 4A-B). The slopes were similar for H2AX as soon as both drugs were combined.

To determine if simultaneous addition of the drugs consistently increased the H2AX phosphorylation signal, similar experiments were performed with the lymphocytes of 10 patients cultured either in suspension (Figure 4C) or cocultured with bone marrow stroma cells (Figure 4D). Panels A and B show samples from 1 patient that were either untreated (control) or incubated with 30 μM bendamustine, 10 μM fludarabine, their simultaneous combination, or their sequential combination (bendamustine followed by fludarabine or fludarabine followed by bendamustine) for the indicated times. Phosphorylation of H2AX was measured using flow cytometry. Panels C and D show results from 10 patient samples, and cells were either untreated (speckled bars) or treated with bendamustine alone (white bar), fludarabine alone (gray bar), or the simultaneous combination of fludarabine and bendamustine (black bar). Phosphorylation of H2AX was measured using flow cytometry, and data are mean ± SD. 8H, 8 hours; B, bendamustine; F, fludarabine.

Changes in RNA and DNA synthesis

There was no significant change in uridine incorporation between untreated and bendamustine-treated cells. Fludarabine alone decreased total RNA synthesis in CLL cells cultured either in suspension or on stroma. The combination of bendamustine and fludarabine produced the maximum inhibition, which was similar under both culture conditions (Figure 5A-B).

CLL cells from peripheral blood cultured in vitro are in G0 to G1 phase and replicationally quiescent. However, DNA damage response results in an increase in thymidine incorporation due to the initiation of unscheduled DNA synthesis. Fludarabine-treated cells did not show any significant change. In contrast, bendamustine produced a 2-fold and significant increase in DNA synthesis in CLL cells (Figure 5C-D) that was abrogated when fludarabine was added.

Changes in DNA damage signaling molecules

Total ATM was unchanged, but there was an increase in phospho-ATM, which was most prominent with fludarabine treatment. With both drugs alone and in combination, there was a stabilization of p53 protein, which was also evident with phosphorylation of p53 at ser15. At 8 hours, only combination treatment produced phosphorylation of ser15-p53; however, at 24 hours, single agents and the combination of drugs resulted in phosphorylation of p53. Phosphorylation of p53 is a response to DNA damage and repair stress. With
the combination of bendamustine and fludarabine, the damage was higher at an earlier time (as reflected in the H2AX data) and hence a stress response was observed at 8 hours. However, with single agents, this response took a longer time (ie, 24 hours). Phosphorylation of p53 at ser20 was also measured, which was induced, but the bands were very faint (not shown). Consistent with the p53 phosphorylation data, there was induction of PUMA in these samples (Figure 6A). The increase in p53 and PUMA was further confirmed in 4 additional patient samples (Figure 6B).

Chk1 and Chk2 have been established as mediators of the DNA damage response and phosphorylate p5320-22 and are activated by ATM and ATR kinases.23,24 Although Chk1 was expressed at low levels and phosphorylation was below the limit of detection (Figure 6C), Chk2 was present at high levels and was phosphorylated after treatment with bendamustine, fludarabine, or their combination (Figure 6D). H2AX phosphorylation measured by flow cytometry (Figure 4) was confirmed by immunoblot assays (supplemental Figure 4).

Except for the combination treatment at 24 hours, Mcl-1 protein was unchanged in all samples. At 24 hours, when treated with both agents, there was a slight decrease in Mcl-1 protein that was consistent with the cell-death induction data. Data were similar in both types of culture conditions (Figure 6A).

Impact of a pan-caspase inhibitor in DNA damage signaling molecules and cell death

To evaluate role of caspase activation and to block caspase-mediated protein degradation, cultures were also pretreated with Z-VAD, a pan-specific caspase inhibitor (Figure 7A). Compared with control untreated cells, there was an increase in p53 protein due to stabilization of the protein with either fludarabine or bendamustine. This occurred in concert with increases in phospho-p53 protein. However, at this time point (48 hours) in bendamustine- and fludarabine-treated cells, there was a decrease in p-p53 protein, possibly due to increased cell death. Expression of PUMA protein, a proapoptotic molecule, paralleled the phospho-p53 increase. Mcl-1 protein levels were stable and similar in all conditions after treatment with a single compound but were dramatically decreased with both drugs. This decrease was abrogated when cells were pretreated with Z-VAD, indicating that the dissipation of Mcl-1 protein was due to caspase-mediated cleavage of this antiapoptotic protein. In contrast to Mcl-1, Bcl-2 protein levels remained unchanged with these treatments (Figure 7A).

Because Z-VAD pretreatment mitigated the decline in Mcl-1 protein levels, we evaluated the effect of caspase inhibition on apoptosis of CLL cells. As shown for 2 representative patients, PARP cleavage was similar in CLL cells irrespective of the culture conditions (suspension vs stroma). Furthermore, Z-VAD pretreatment did not affect PARP cleavage. These data suggest that PARP cleavage was caspase independent. Similar data were obtained in a total of 6 patient samples for stroma and suspension culture comparison and in 3 patient samples in the presence and absence of Z-VAD.

To further confirm and extend these investigations, CLL cells from patients (n = 3) cultured in suspension (Figure 7B-C) or on stroma (Figure 7D-E) were either untreated or pretreated with Z-VAD followed by treatment with both drugs. At both 24 and 48 hours, there were similar amounts of cell death in both culture conditions (P = .82 and .84 at 24 and 48 hours in the DiOC6 assay and P = .93 and .93 at 24 and 48 hours in the annexin V/PI assay with Z-VAD; P = .57 and .82 at 24 and 48 hours in the DiOC6 assay and P = .68 and .60 at 24 and 48 hours in the annexin V/PI assay without Z-VAD). Furthermore, cell death was not affected by the presence of Z-VAD.
Discussion

Bendamustine is a newly approved alkylating agent that showed effectiveness during a clinical trial in patients with lymphoma and CLL.4 This drug appears to be well tolerated and milder than cyclophosphamide. Hence, it is desirable to test bendamustine with a purine nucleoside analog. Previous studies have provided some utility of the bendamustine and fludarabine combination, but the role of survival factors from the microenvironment and mechanistic investigations were missing.

Bendamustine alone showed dose- and time-dependent CLL cell death (Figure 1). Although a distinct pattern of cytotoxicity and mechanisms were observed for bendamustine,25 the primary action was the activation of a DNA damage stress response and apoptosis.26 Although mitotic checkpoint and catastrophe have also been reported specifically in cell lines,26,27 those responses were irrelevant in our system because primary CLL lymphocytes are replicationally quiescent. The cytotoxic response to bendamustine has been shown to be caspase and p53 independent28 and resulted in Puma and Noxa induction28 without impacting the levels of Bcl-2 and Bax,29 and prior treatment did not affect the outcome.29 In the current work, both in suspension and stroma cocultures, we demonstrate phosphorylation of Chk2, phosphorylation and stabilization of p53, and induction of Puma but not Noxa (not shown).

The addition of fludarabine to bendamustine significantly increased cell death (Figure 2). Combination index determinations with isobolograms demonstrated that 48-hour incubations of CLL cells with both drugs were synergistic.29 Furthermore, the best combination was simultaneous incubations. The addition of fludarabine followed by bendamustine was also effective, but bendamustine followed by fludarabine was the least potent among these 3 combination strategies (Figure 2). These results underscore the presence of intracellular fludarabine triphosphate at the time of bendamustine treatment, consistent with previous results with the cyclophosphamide and fludarabine couplet.14

Because the primary action of bendamustine is the induction of a DNA damage response and the initiation of DNA repair, we reasoned that fludarabine will block DNA repair and induce higher levels of cytotoxicity. Fludarabine triphosphate inhibits DNA repair by several mechanisms. First, fludarabine triphosphate gets incorporated opposite Ts in the nascent DNA chain during replication or repair patch synthesis.15 Fludarabine incorporation into DNA may be enhanced in replicationally quiescent CLL lymphocytes by the addition of DNA-damaging agents, thus presumably enhancing the cytotoxic activity of fludarabine.14,30 Second, because the fludarabine moiety incorporated at the 3’ end of DNA is resistant to proofreading exonucleases, DNA synthesis terminates.31 Third, fludarabine triphosphate inhibits the DNA primase needed to synthesize primer to initiate DNA synthesis.32,33 Fourth, DNA ligase, required to fill the nick after DNA repair patch synthesis, is inhibited by fludarabine.34 Fifth, fludarabine triphosphate incorporation during messenger RNA synthesis35 may play a role in the inhibition of transcript synthesis,
which may include the transcription of genes needed for DNA repair. Finally, fludarabine triphosphate inhibits ribonucleotide reductase, an enzyme responsible for the maintenance of optimal levels of 2'-deoxynucleoside 5'-triphosphate pools for DNA synthesis. The level and activity of ribonucleotide reductase are low in quiescent CLL lymphocytes; however, DNA damage response and repair induce this enzyme in an ATM- and p53-dependent pathway to synthesize deoxynucleotides. Thus, fludarabine triphosphate–mediated inhibition may block the synthesis of deoxynucleotides required for DNA repair. Collectively, these multifaceted actions would impact DNA damage repair elicited by alkylating agent; our data on H2AX phosphorylation, which is an indicator of DNA damage, repair, and its inhibition, were in concert with this notion. Once again, an increase in the g-H2AX signal was observed with the simultaneous combination or increased dramatically as soon as fludarabine was added in the culture of CLL cells being incubated with bendamustine (Figure 4A–B). In parallel with H2AX response data, a 5-hour bendamustine treatment induced a significant increase in DNA synthesis in CLL cells. This was blocked in cells treated with the bendamustine and fludarabine couplet (Figure 5C–D). Although direct fludarabine incorporation in the DNA was not investigated in our system, these data indirectly provide evidence for the fludarabine-mediated inhibition of a bendamustine-induced DNA repair response.

Previous investigations have demonstrated that bone marrow stroma cells (including the NK-Tert human stroma cell line) result in the development of resistance to an alkylating agent or purine nucleoside analog. Interestingly, our data clearly suggest that the combination of these agents abrogated resistance; cell death effects were similar in CLL samples whether kept in suspension or on stroma (Figure 3).

Although several B-cell receptor pathway inhibitors, such as ibrutinib, idelalisib, and IPI-145, are showing unprecedented clinical responses and prolonged overall survival, complete remissions and cures are rare. These data further underscore the need for cytotoxic therapeutics, because they lead to remissions. Our present data provide the rationale to combine fludarabine and bendamustine for CLL treatment. A preliminary report suggested a benefit of
this combination strategy in patients with CLL and mantle cell lymphoma.\textsuperscript{53} A phase 1/2 investigation at MD Anderson is testing bendamustine and fludarabine with a single dose of rituximab.\textsuperscript{54} Clinical response data in 35 CLL patients with relapsed/refractory disease suggest that the regimen is well tolerated and results in 71% objective clinical remissions. Furthermore, DNA damage biomarker studies validate our current in vitro data and demonstrate an increase in DNA damage with the combination.
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