In normal B cells, the engagement of BCR induces either proliferation and then differentiation into antibody-producing cells or a reversible lethargic state named anergy, a sort of limbo that B cells enter when they encounter an antigen (usually an autoantigen), in the absence of the costimulation provided by T cells. Because the engagement of BCR has a key role in the pathogenesis of CLL, the question becomes if and how CLL leukemic cells differ from normal B cells when their BCR is stimulated. There are two main differences. First, the proliferating CLL B cell fails to undergo plasma cell differentiation. Hence, no antibody is produced to neutralize the triggering antigen whose stimulating activity may proceed unabated and favors clonal expansion. Second, although normal anergic B cells are short-lived and prone to apoptosis (thus preventing the development of dangerous autoreactive cells), CLL cells are not, as they are uniformly protected by the overexpression of the antiapoptotic protein BCL2. Duckworth et al, using different stimuli such as interleukin-21 (IL-21) and cytosine guanine dinucleotide-oligodeoxynucleotides that robustly induce differentiation into plasma cells and having the expression of PRDM1 as readout are able to show that: (1) the reduced differentiation capacity of anergic CLL cells is independent of the signaling pathway; (2) at variance with normal B cells the costimulation of anergic CLL cells does not overcome the differentiation hurdle; and (3) the reduced capacity of inducing PRDM1 is also reflected by a block in immunoglobulin secretion (see figure). Of interest, they also observe the reversing of CLL cell anergy by appropriate in vitro culture associated with the ability to induce expression of PRDM1 upon adequate stimuli.

BCR-induced cell proliferation tends to be associated with unmutated (U) IgVH gene status and anergy with mutated (M) IgVH gene status. Anergic CLL B cells can be identified by their molecular signature. Considering that the mutational status of IgVH genes is an important prognostic determinant with U-CLL bearing a worse prognosis, the modality of response to BCR stimulation with the sequence Ag stimulation→proliferation is considered dangerous, whereas the sequence Ag stimulation→anergy is regarded as more advantageous and has been taken to partly explain the more indolent clinical behavior
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In this issue of Blood, Herman et al elucidate the in vivo effects of ibrutinib (a BTK inhibitor) in various disease compartments of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). The study validates the differential impact of ibrutinib in various tumor compartments of patients treated on a small but well-designed and well-conducted clinical trial. In their study, the authors provide a link between the extent of on-target drug effects and the magnitude of clinical responses. They demonstrate rapid and sustained inhibition of the B-cell receptor (BCR) signaling pathway and progressive inhibition of the dependent NF-κB pathway in circulating CLL B cells over the first few weeks of therapy with ibrutinib. This effect was also observed in matched lymph node and bone marrow resident CLL B cells obtained at serial time points in the trial. Similarly, significant reductions were observed in the expression of activation markers CD69 and CD86, and the proliferation marker Ki-67. This provides evidence for simultaneous pathway targeting and inhibition in tumor compartments of peripheral blood, bone marrow, and lymph nodes.

The authors did not observe significant correlation in nodal responses among patients in various prognostic subgroups. A previously validated specific BCR gene signature score was also observed to correlate with nodal responses, regardless of risk factors, only from B cells obtained from lymph node biopsies rather than circulating B cells. However, no definite explanation was provided for the dramatic tumor reductions seen with ibrutinib other than a combination of reduced proliferation, inhibition of survival mechanisms, and slightly increased apoptosis observed in circulating cells.

The BTK gene is located on the long arm of the X chromosome at the Xq21.33-q22 locus and encodes the BTK protein that is part of the Tec family of kinases. BTK is located downstream of the BCR signaling pathway and encodes the BTK protein that is part of the Tec family of kinases. BTK is located downstream of the BCR signaling pathway and encodes the BTK protein that is part of the Tec family of kinases.
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