Simultaneous zinc-finger nuclease editing of the HIV coreceptors ccr5 and cxcr4 protects CD4+ T cells from HIV-1 infection
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Key Points
• Zinc-finger nucleases simultaneously and permanently inactivate HIV coreceptors ccr5 and cxcr4 resulting in HIV-resistant CD4+ T cells.
• These HIV-resistant cells may be used to achieve a functional cure for HIV in humans.

HIV-1 entry into CD4+ T cells requires binding of the virus to CD4 followed by engagement of either the C-C chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) or C-X-C chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) coreceptor. Pharmacologic blockade or genetic inactivation of either coreceptor protects cells from infection by viruses that exclusively use the targeted coreceptor. We have used zinc-finger nucleases to drive the simultaneous genetic modification of both ccr5 and cxcr4 in primary human CD4+ T cells. These gene-modified cells proliferated normally and were resistant to both CCR5- and CXCR4-using HIV-1 in vitro. When introduced into a humanized mouse model of HIV-1 infection, these coreceptor negative cells engraft and traffic normally, and are protected from infection with CCR5- and CXCR4-using HIV-1 strains. These data suggest that simultaneous disruption of the HIV coreceptors may provide a useful approach for the long-term, drug-free treatment of established HIV-1 infections. (Blood. 2014;123(1):61-69)

Introduction

Potent antiretroviral therapy (ART) suppresses circulating levels of HIV to below the limit of detection, dramatically delaying progression to AIDS.1,2 However, the side effects, cost, daily adherence requirement, and immune dysfunction that persist despite ART underscore the need for strategies to suppress HIV infection and reconstitute immune function without ART.1,3 One approach involves rendering CD4+ T cells resistant to HIV by permanent inactivation of the viral entry receptors. To enter a cell, HIV must bind its primary receptor CD4, and one of 2 coreceptors, C-C chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) or C-X-C chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) (X4).6-13 The majority of transmitted viruses use R5 to enter cells,14-16 while X4-using viruses are seen in half of late-stage infections17,18 and are associated with a more rapid disease progression.19,20 Individuals lacking functional R5 due to the naturally occurring ccr5Δ32 mutation are highly resistant to HIV infection,21-23 and, recently, an HIV-positive male who received a bone marrow transplant from a ccr5Δ32 homozygous donor appears to be cured of HIV.24,25 As allogeneic stem cell transplants prior to this case have not had any effect on HIV infection,26,27 the response seen in this patient highlights the importance of the coreceptors in maintaining HIV infection, and supports the idea that permanent coreceptor inactivation in infected individuals may provide drug-free control of HIV.

In this study, we have used zinc-finger nucleases (ZFNs) to simultaneously inactivate the ccr5 and cxcr4 genes. ZFNs are chimeric proteins that function as a pair and act in a sequence-specific manner to introduce a double-stranded break at a predetermined site in the genome.28 As these double-stranded breaks are often repaired by error-prone nonhomologous end joining,29 ZFN cleavage introduces mutations that produce truncated or non-functional proteins. The use of ZFNs to target the coreceptors is particularly attractive, as ZFNs need only be transiently expressed, and generate stable, heritable mutations in target cells. We and others have shown that permanent inactivation of ccr5 or cxcr4 alone can be achieved in CD4+ T cells and protects from infection with viruses that exploit the targeted coreceptor.30-33 Additionally, a recent phase 1 study examining the safety of R5-ZFN-modified CD4+ T cells in HIV-infected individuals showed that not only is this approach safe and well tolerated, but that these gene-modified cells are long-lived and capable of trafficking to the rectal mucosa, a major site of HIV replication (C.H.J., University of Pennsylvania, written communication, May 23, 2013). To make this approach more broadly applicable to patients with both R5 and X4-HIV, we sought to determine the feasibility of simultaneous modification of both HIV coreceptors in CD4+ T cells by ZFNs and its effect on HIV infection.
Methods

Cell culture and ZFN treatment
SupT1 T cells expressing multiple copies of CCR5 introduced by lentiviral transduction (SupT1-R5), and primary human CD4+ T cells, were maintained in RPMI (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. CD4+ T cells were grown in the presence of human interleukin-2 (IL-2) (100 IU/mL). We used R5 and X4 zinc-finger proteins (ZFPs) from our previous studies. However, the ZFN nuclelease domains were the ELD/KKR variant which were modified to function as obligate heterodimers with enhanced cleavage activity. To deliver ZFNs, we simultaneously transduced cells with 2 Ad5/F35 vectors encoding either the R5- or X4-ZFNs or an Ad5/F35 green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing control vector. This is a chimeric adenoviral vector based on the AdEasy shaft and knob domains. CD4+CD8+ T cells were activated 18 to 24 hours prior to vector transduction with anti-CD3/anti-CD28-coated magnetic beads. We determined vector multiplicity of infections (MOIs) using the 293T cell line and measured mutation frequencies by surveyor nuclease (Cell), T7 endonuclease I assay (T7EI), or deep sequencing.

Virus production and infection
Pseudoviruses mediate 1 round of infection as the viral glycoprotein gene is only supplied in trans. We generated HIV pseudoviruses encoding a GFP reporter, bearing either the HIV glycoprotein (Env) or the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) by cotransfection of the viral glycoprotein and reporter, bearing either the HIV glycoprotein (Env) or the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) by cotransfection of the viral glycoprotein and reporter, bearing either the HIV glycoprotein (Env) or the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G). The HIV pseudoviruses were made using the R5 and X4-HIV virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) by cotransfection of the viral glycoprotein and reporter, bearing either the HIV glycoprotein (Env) or the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G). We previously used systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX) to determine the in vitro binding preference of each ZFP. Using the information on CCR5- and CXCR4-binding preferences, we generated a position-weight matrix which we aligned to the human genome to look for sites with similarity to each of the 4 possible combinations of CCR5-CXCR4 cross heterodimers (R5-left with X4-right; R5-left with X4-left; R5-right with X4-right; R5-right with X4-left). We allowed up to a 4-bp mismatch compared with the SELEX consensus sequence, and considered sites with a 5-bp or 6-bp spacer between each ZFP pair. We performed deep sequencing at these sites to identify off-target activity. Off-target activity was defined as an insertion/ deletion (indel) involving the target region (20 bp) centered at the nuclease-binding sites at a frequency of $>0.1\%$, and with a calculated ratio of cleavage ($Z - M$)/$M$ of $>2$, where $Z$ represents the percentage of indels in ZFN-treated samples, and $M$ represents the percentage of indels in mock-treated samples.

Results

Simultaneous ZFN disruption of ccr5 and cxcr4 in a T-cell line protects from R5 and X4-HIV infection
To determine whether 2 ZFN pairs targeting the HIV-coreceptors ccr5 (R5) and cxcr4 (X4) could abolish expression of both coreceptors, we cotransduced the human SupT1-R5 T-cell line with increasing amounts of the Ad5/F35 vectors encoding the R5-ZFN and X4-ZFN. Coadministration of both (R5/X4) ZFNs caused a dose-dependent reduction in cell-surface expression of both coreceptors with 9% of cells no longer expressing either coreceptor at the highest MOI, while delivery of the same vector encoding GFP had no effect on surface R5 or X4 levels (Figure 1A-B). Next, we assessed the ability of ccr5 and cxcr4 codisruption to protect cells from infection with R5- and X4-using HIV-1 strains. We challenged untreated or R5/X4-ZFN-treated SupT1-R5 cells simultaneously with the R5- and X4-HIV strains BAL and BK132, respectively, and monitored surface coreceptor expression for 42 days. The proportion of double (R5/X4)–negative cells increased over the course of the infection such that by 25 days postinfection, 96% to 99% of the R5/X4-ZFN–treated cells challenged with HIV no longer expressed either coreceptor (Figure 1C-D). In contrast, the proportion of double-negative cells in the uninfected R5/X4-ZFN–treated group did not change significantly over this time (Figure 1C top panels). We also monitored cell viability and while untreated cells all died by 10 days postinfection, a portion of the R5/X4-ZFN–treated cells survived the infection with cell numbers increasing exponentially over time (Figure 1E). The coreceptor-negative cells that survived HIV infection were rechallenged with pseudovirus bearing either HIV Env or VSV-G to confirm that the mechanism of resistance was due to inhibition of HIV Env-specific entry. These cells were highly resistant to either R5 or X4-HIV pseudovirus rechallenge, displaying 170-fold and 92-fold decreases in reinfection with R5- and X4-HIV, respectively (Figure 1F). Of note, low levels of residual infection ($\sim0.1\%$) were observed in the R5/X4-ZFN group prechallenged with HIV. However, the majority of this residual infection occurred in the
1% to 4% of cells still expressing CCR5 and CXCR4 (data not shown). Additionally, these coreceptor-negative cells were readily infected by HIV expressing VSV-G HIV, a virus that is not dependent upon R5 or X4 for infection (Figure 1F). Taken together, these data suggest that simultaneous delivery of 2 ZFN pairs is an efficient and viable strategy to disrupt ccr5 and cxcr4, resulting in cells resistant to infection with both R5- and X4-using HIV.

**ZFNs achieve simultaneous disruption of ccr5 and cxcr4 in human CD4+ T cells**

To determine the feasibility of this approach in primary cells, CD4+ T cells from healthy human donors were simultaneously transduced with the adeno viral vectors encoding the R5 and X4-ZFNs. Transducing cells with MOIs of up to 500 of each ZFN resulted in modification of 20% of all R5 and X4 genes as measured by the Cel1 assay, without significant impact on cell growth compared with untransduced controls (Figure 2A-B). As surface expression of CCR5 is undetectable on most primary CD4+ T cells, we could not determine the proportion of cells lacking both coreceptors by flow cytometry. To exclude the possibility that coadministration of both ZFNs in primary cells results in R5 and X4 gene modification in mutually exclusive cells, we delivered both ZFNs to CD4+ T cells and sorted them by FACS based on surface CXCR4 expression into CXCR4-high and CXCR4-low populations.

Figure 1. Simultaneous ZFN modification of ccr5 and cxcr4 protects SupT1-R5 T cells from infection with viruses that use either CCR5 or CXCR4. (A) Surface expression of CCR5 (R5) and CXCR4 (X4) on SupT1-R5 cells following delivery of increasing MOIs of the Ad R5- and X4-ZFNs. Percentage of cells lacking both coreceptors is labeled in red. (B) Proportion of cells lacking both surface R5 and X4 (double-negative cells) following simultaneous treatment with the R5 (MOI 600) and X4 (MOI 600) ZFNs as measured by FACS. (C) Dual ZFN-treated cells were challenged with a mix of R5- and X4-using HIV and surface expression of R5 and X4 was measured over time in infected and uninfected R5X4-ZFN-treated cells. (D) The proportion of double-negative cells following R5X4-ZFN treatment and subsequent HIV infection was measured by FACS 5 and 25 days postinfection. (E) Cell viability after infection of mock (no ZFN) or R5X4-ZFN–treated supT1-R5 cells with a mix of R5- and X4-HIV. Viability was measured by FACS following treatment with a viability dye. (F) Dual ZFN-treated supT1-R5 cells previously challenged with HIV and no longer expressing either coreceptor (m) as shown in panel C, were rechallenged with either R5-HIV, X4-HIV, or VSV-G-HIV pseudoviruses expressing GFP. HIV pseudovirus rechallenge of previously HIV-selected double-negative cells resulted in 170-fold and 92-fold decreases in infection by R5 and X4-HIV, respectively, whereas VSV-G pseudovirus infection was decreased only ~1.7-fold. All graphs represent the mean (±SEM) of 4 independent ZFN treatments and 4 independent infection experiments; *P* values calculated using the Student *t* test.
ZFN treatment achieved dual modulation of both populations (Figure 2D), suggesting that adenoviral dual R5- and X4-ZFNs. As such, protection of this primary CD4+ T-cell count following simultaneous delivery of increasing amounts of the R5- and X4-ZFNs. Data are from 1 of 3 independent experiments. (B) ccr5 and cxcr4 gene modification increases in a dose-dependent manner following R5- and X4-ZFN treatment, as measured by the Cel1 assay 7 to 10 days post-ZFN treatment. Data are from 1 of 3 independent experiments. (C) Primary CD4+ T cells were sorted by CXCR4 expression into CXCR4-high and CXCR4-low populations (left) following simultaneous R5X4-ZFN treatment. Successful sorting was confirmed by repeat FACS (right panel). Arrows indicate gating strategy. (D) ccr5 and cxcr4 gene disruption was measured by Cel1 following R5X4-ZFN treatment and subsequent sorting by FACS into CXCR4-high and -low populations. ccr5 disruption is similar in the X4-high and X4-low populations, suggesting ccr5 and cxcr4 disruption are not occurring in mutually exclusive cells. Data are from 1 of 3 independent experiments. (E) CD4+ T cells treated with equal MOIs of the AdGFP or the R5- and X4-ZFNs were stained with the memory markers CCR7 and CD45RO Tcm [CD45RO+ CCR7+] and Tem [CD45RO+ CCR7-]. (F) ccr5 and cxcr4 gene modification in Tcm and Tem subsets was similar suggesting that long-lived Tcm cells can be efficiently rendered HIV-resistant. Data shown are from 1 of 3 independent experiments. Tcm, central memory T cell; Tem, effector memory T cell.

Depletion of central memory CD4+ T cells is a hallmark of HIV pathogenesis and progression to AIDS. As such, protection of this long-lived subset is important for the success of any HIV gene therapy approach. We therefore determined whether central memory CD4+ T cells could be modified by the R5- and X4-ZFNs. We first transduced CD4+ T cells with both ZFNs, expanded them in vitro for 10 days, and then sorted the cells by FACS into central and effector memory populations based on surface expression of the memory markers CCR7 and CD45RO (Figure 2E). CD4+ T-cell activation using CD3/CD28-coated beads results in differentiation of ~97% of cells into a memory phenotype, with roughly half of all cells being either central or effector memory cells, and the proportion of effector and central memory subtypes is not affected by treatment with the adenoviral vector or the ZFN (data not shown). We detected both ccr5 and cxcr4 modification (Cel1) in the central memory (CCR7+, CD45RO+) and effector memory (CCR7-, CD45RO-) populations at similar levels (Figure 2E), suggesting that we can effectively target the coreceptors in these critically important T-cell subsets.

Survival advantage of dual ZFN-treated CD4+ T cells in vitro

To determine whether the level of gene modification seen in primary cells rendered them resistant to both forms of HIV-1, we treated CD4+ T cells with both ZFNs, the R5-ZFN alone, or with a GFP control. We then challenged all 3 groups with a mix of R5- and X4-using HIV-1 and monitored cell growth and viability. By 32 days postinfection, there were no detectable live cells in the groups that received either no ZFN or the R5-ZFN alone, whereas cells from the R5/X4 ZFN group continued to expand (Figure 3A). We measured levels of ccr5 and cxcr4 gene modification 3 weeks into the infection in the R5/X4-ZFN-treated group and observed increases in both ccr5 (1.8-fold) and cxcr4 (1.9-fold) gene modification (Figure 3B-C) when compared with the start of the infection. The preservation of cell growth and increases in gene modification seen only in the R5/X4-ZFN group in the presence of HIV suggest that ZFN modification of both coreceptors provides a significant survival advantage in the presence of R5- and X4-using HIV.

Analysis of off-target cleavage following dual ZFN administration

Simultaneous administration of 2 ZFN pairs creates the theoretical possibility of forming 4 different ZFN cross-heterodimers made up of the left and right halves of the 2 unique ZFN pairs. These ZFN cross-heterodimers may subsequently bind to unintended target sites, resulting in more off-target gene modification compared with delivery of a single ZFN pair. As the target sequence contributes to ZFN binding and thus specificity, we previously determined the in vitro DNA binding preference of each CCR5 and CXCR4 ZFP using SELEX. We constructed a position-weight matrix for each 12-bp ZFP binding site, and by comparing these sequences against the human genome to identify potential off-target binding sites, identified sites that were in fact independently cleaved by the R5- and
X4-ZFNs.31,32 In this study, we took a similar approach to identify off-target sites that could result from the binding and cleavage of a ZFN heterodimer consisting of one-half R5-ZFN and one-half X4-ZFN. We performed deep sequencing at these predicted sites in primary CD4+ T cells following treatment with both the R5- and X4-ZFNs compared with otherwise identical untransduced controls (supplemental Table 1, available on the Blood Web site). Using genomic DNA from samples where on-target modification of ccr5 and cxcr4 were 28% and 20%, respectively, we analyzed 4000 to 20,000 reads per predicted off-target site but failed to detect significant levels of modification at any of the 40 predicted off-target sites (supplemental Table 1). This results suggest that cross-heterodimerization of the R5- and X4-ZFN pairs following delivery to primary CD4+ T cells does not result in detectable off-target activity at the 40 sites examined. Moreover, the use of recently described orthogonal heterodimeric nuclease domains that further restrict cleavage34 could further address this concern.

Dual coreceptor disruption protects CD4+ T cells following HIV infection in vivo

NOD.Cg-PkdclucidI2rgpmWjl/Sjz (NSG) immunodeficient mice are a useful model for studying HIV-1 in vivo as their immune systems can be reconstituted using human cells that are susceptible to HIV infection.35 To assess whether our approach protects against infection with both R5- and X4-HIV in vivo, we treated primary CD4+ T cells with no ZFN, the R5-ZFN alone, or with the R5- and X4-ZFNs simultaneously. Three groups of 18 mice each were infused with 1.8 \times 10^7 cells per animal from each treatment group (Figure 4A). We observed CD4+ T-cell engraftment across all groups 21 days postinfusion (Figure 4B). We then infected half the animals in each group by simultaneously infusing 5 \times 10^6 unmodified (no ZFN) CD4+ T cells infected with the R5-virus Bal and 5 \times 10^6 unmodified CD4+ T cells infected with the X4-virus BK132. Of note, while the BK132 HIV-1 swarm primarily uses CXCR4 to enter cells, it can also achieve very low levels of infection using CCR546 as evidenced by the outgrowth of R5-HIV when this virus was used to challenge X4-ZFN–treated CD4+ T cells.32 Prior to infusion, the frequency of HIV p24+ cells was 58% and 44% in the R5- and X4-HIV–infected cells, respectively. Control animals in each group received an infusion of 1 \times 10^5 uninfected, unmodified CD4+ T cells. Animals that received R5-ZFN– or R5/X4-ZFN–treated cells had 5.5- and 12.8-fold lower CD4 counts, respectively, than animals that received untransduced cells when measured 3 days prior to infection (Figure 4B). However, this difference in CD4 counts was no longer present at the time of the first peripheral blood sampling 6 days postinfection (Figure 4D). This slight engraftment difference was not seen previously31,32 and may be donor specific. Although CCR5 ablation alone was previously shown to protect against challenge with CCR5-using HIV,31 animals that received both ZFNs were better able to maintain their CD4+ T-cell counts following challenge with R5- and X4-HIV (Figure 4D). Specifically, CD4 counts in animals from the infected R5/X4-ZFN group were 35- and 100-fold higher than those in animals from the mock and R5-ZFN–infected groups, respectively, by 22 days postinfection, and these differences increased to an average 200-fold difference 55 days postinfection (Figure 4D). Additionally, we measured the proportion of human CD4+ T cells in the spleens of infected animals at time of sacrifice. In animals that received dual ZFN-treated cells, up to 10% of all cells populating the spleen were human CD4+ T cells whereas we could not detect any human CD4+ T cells in spleens from animals that received either no ZFN or the R5-ZFN alone (Figure 4C).

To determine the mechanism of CD4 count maintenance observed in the R5/X4-ZFN group, we performed deep sequencing at the ZFN cut sites in CD4+ T cells from animals in the R5/X4-ZFN group to measure changes in the proportion of ZFN-induced indels. There was no change in ccr5 or cxcr4 gene modification in uninfected animals that received both ZFNs. However, on average, 69% of all ccr5 genes and 73% of all cxcr4 genes were mutated in CD4+ T cells from the infected R5/X4-ZFN animals by 34 days postinfection (Figure 5A). This represents a 2.2-fold increase in ccr5-modification and a 2.3-fold increase in cxcr4 modification compared with 6 days postinfection. Additionally, we observed significantly lower surface expression of both coreceptors on cells from the infected R5/X4-ZFN–treated mice 34 days postinfection (Figure 5B). These data provide strong evidence that simultaneous disruption of ccr5 and...
Figure 4. Dual R5- and X4-ZFN treatment confers protection in vivo. (A) Experimental timeline of the in vivo study is shown. (B) All 3 treatment groups were successfully engrafted as measured 21 days postinfusion and 3 days prior to infection with a slight decrease in CD4+ T-cell counts in ZFN groups compared with the GFP control. (C) Dual ZFN treatment preserves CD4+ T cells in the spleen compared with controls. Spleens were obtained from infected animals from all animals at the time of euthanasia. Human CD4+ T cells were defined as CD45+CD3+CD4+CD8− T cells by FACS. (D) Dual ZFN treatment confers long-term protection against HIV as measured by preservation of peripheral blood CD4+ T-cell counts. All uninfected animals and 1 infected animal in the R5/X4-ZFN-treated group were killed 34 days postinfection due xenogeneic graft-versus-host disease. Infected animals were followed for 55 days after infection. All statistical analyses were performed using a Mann-Whitney U test. Error bars indicate the mean ± SEM.
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resistant to the major forms of HIV in vivo, and these cells can
enraft, traffic normally to the spleen, and have a significant survival
advantage in the presence of R5- and X4-using HIV.

**Discussion**

Genetic approaches to control HIV infection have so far involved
the removal of host genes required by the virus, or the introduction
of antiviral genes that interfere with virus replication.47,48 Although
both are attractive options, the latter approach could be hampered by
the potential immunogenicity of foreign transgenes and the diversity
of the HIV viral quasispecies in chronically infected individuals,
which may allow for the outgrowth of viral variants resistant to these
antiviral genes. Removal of essential host factors, such as the
coreceptors, may present a greater challenge for the virus to overcome
as suggested by the natural HIV resistance in ccr5Δ32 homozygotes
and the functional cure achieved following the transplantation of
ccr5Δ32 stem cells.34,35 Genetic editing through the use of coreceptor-
specific ZFNs clearly renders a fraction of cells coreceptor-negative, as
HIV-1 challenge of ZFN-treated cells results in preferential survival of
edited cells lacking the targeted coreceptor.31,32 Treatment with
R5-specific ZFNs results in permanent modification of 30% and
50% of ccr5 alleles in human hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) and
T cells, respectively,31,36 with a biallelic modification frequency of
up to half of that.36 The efficiency of biallelic disruption becomes
even more important when attempting to disrupt both ccr5 and
cxcr4, necessitating the inactivation of 4 genes in a given cell to
render it resistant to infection by virtually all HIV-1 strains.

Although inactivation of the viral coreceptors appears to be a
logical approach to control HIV infection, what is less obvious is the
amount of coreceptor ablation required to observe a therapeutic
effect. Data from ccr5Δ32 heterozygotes suggest that even partial
coreceptor ablation may be of clinical benefit as these individuals
display delayed disease progression following HIV infection.49 As
such, the current ZFN technology may provide a therapeutic benefit
despite not completely eliminating coreceptor expression. In support
of this, in a recent phase 1 study of HIV-infected patients who re-
ceived autologous R5-ZFN–modified CD4+ T cells, a number of
these patients exhibited viral load decreases compared with pre-
treatment levels following a 12-week interruption of ART (C.H.J.,
University of Pennsylvania, written communication, May 23, 2013).
Of note, the viral load in 1 of these patients reached undetectable
levels prior to the reinstatement of ART and further analysis revealed
this patient to be a ccr5Δ32 heterozygote. Despite the modest number
of patients enrolled in this study, it is tempting to speculate that even
the relatively low levels of coreceptor ablation achieved by ZFN
modification may be of therapeutic benefit, and that this benefit will
only increase as the expression of either coreceptor is further reduced.

A potential limitation associated with any approach that targets
CCR5 alone is the presence of virus strains that use CXCR4. Clinical
failure associated with the use of the CCR5 antagonist maraviroc is
either the result of an outgrowth of preexisting virus strains that use
CXCR4 and continue to replicate despite CCR5 blockade,50 or
mutations that arise in the viral glycoprotein allowing it to use the
drug-bound form of CCR5,51 a resistance pathway not available to the
virus when ccr5 is disrupted by ZFN technology. The fact that X4
HIV-1 strains can be present at low levels in chronically infected
individuals provides a powerful rationale for using a strategy that
targets both ccr5 and cxcr4.52 Although R5 ZFNs have been used to
ablate ccr5 in HSCs to provide a self-renewing population of CCR5-
negative cells, CXCR4 signaling plays a critical role in the bone
marrow retention of HSCs.53,54 As a result, loss of CXCR4 expression
on HSCs may result in their unwanted egress into the peripheral blood.
Although less is known about the effects of the specific loss
of CXCR4 expression on CD4+ T cells, a recent study of T-cell–specific
cxcr4 knockout mice showed that these animals are born in normal
numbers and display humoral and cellular responses indistinguishable
from those of wild-type mice,55 suggesting that loss of CXCR4 expression
on CD4+ T cells may be immunologically tolerated.

Our work and that of others provides compelling evidence for the
ability of ZFN-mediated coreceptor ablation to protect CD4+ T cells
and provide virologic control of HIV infections. However, a number of
questions remain regarding the clinical efficacy of this approach and
the ease with which it can be implemented. Although the original transplant using ccr5Δ32 cells resulted in a cure, other factors may have contributed to this outcome including reductions in reservoir size by the conditioning chemotherapy and graft-versus-host disease experienced by the patient. In fact, a recent study demonstrated that allogeneic stem cell transplant with a reduced-intensity chemotherapy regimen followed by multi-year ART, decreased the peripheral blood HIV reservoir size. Additionally, due to the efficacy of ART, future studies must determine whether ZFN-treated cells can sufficiently control viral replication, restore normal immune function, and decrease the viral reservoir, as these features of HIV infection must be impacted if we hope to achieve a cure.4,5 functional or otherwise, in HIV-infected individuals.
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