Dysregulated signaling pathways in the development of CNTRL-FGFR1–induced myeloid and lymphoid malignancies associated with FGFR1 in human and mouse models
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Key Points

- CNTRL-FGFR1 induces AML and T-cell lymphoma in murine and human progenitor cells.
- Simultaneously targeting FGFR1, FLT3, KIT, and MYC synergistically induces cell growth inhibition in CNTRL-FGFR1–transformed cells.

Introduction

Constitutive activation of FGFR1 kinase in hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) resulting from chromosome translocations involving 8p11 leads to myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN) that inevitably progress to acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and is frequently accompanied by T- and B-cell lymphomas. Overall survival is poor due to resistance to current therapeutic regimens. The hallmark of FGFR1-related neoplasms is bilineage disease, in which tumor cells from both lineages harbor the chimeric FGFR1 fusion gene, suggesting a common stem/progenitor origin. FGFR1 fuses to more than 11 partner genes, such as ZMYM2-FGFR1, BCR-FGFR1, and CNTRL-FGFR1. Constitutive activation of FGFR1 is believed to be the primary initiation event that drives disease development, although its oligoclonal nature suggests other genetic events are required for progression.

We, and others, have developed syngeneic murine models of FGR1-related neoplasms that mimic the human disease, although they do not apparently progress to AML, despite development of lymphomas. FGFR1 fusion to the centrosomal CNTRL protein is one of the more common rearrangements, and we have now developed a syngeneic mouse model that develops MPN that rapidly progresses to AML as seen in the human disease.

Methods

Cloning and sequencing of the CNTRL-FGFR1 fusion cDNA

Complementary DNA (cDNA) from BM RNA from a patient with a CNTRL-FGFR1 rearrangement was the kind gift from Dr Matsui. The polymerase...
chain reaction (PCR) fragment containing the full-length fusion gene was amplified using fidelity Tag DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) using the following primers with the respective restriction enzyme adaptor: forward EcoRI-CFP, 5'-TCGACGACTCATATAAGCAGCTTTA; reverse XhoI, 5'-AAT CGCTCG AGACGTCAGCGCGGT TT using standard PCR conditions. The PCR product was then subcloned into the EcoRI/XhoI sites of the murine stem cell virus vector (MIEG3).10 Sanger sequencing confirmed the sequence of final CNTRL-FGFR1 fusion gene.

Retroviral transduction and transplantation

The production of retroviral particles, retroviral transduction of BM, and transplantation was performed as described previously.1 Anonymized human cord blood cells were obtained from the Georgia Health Sciences University Cord Blood Bank under an approved institutional review board protocol (#1002143); approval was also obtained from the Georgia Health Sciences University institutional review board for these studies. Informed consent was obtained according to the Declaration of Helsinki. CD34+ cells were isolated using the EasySep Cord Blood CD34 Positive Selection Kit (StemCell Technologies) following the manufacturer’s protocol, and expanded in StemSpan SFEM medium (StemCell) supplemented with recombinant human cytokines: low-density lipoprotein 10 μg/mL, Flt-3 ligand 20 ng/mL, stem cell factor 100 ng/mL, thrombopoietin 50 ng/mL, IL-3 20 ng/mL, IL-6 20 ng/mL (R&D Systems). After 24 hours of pre-stimulation, CD34+ cells were transduced as described previously11 and transplanted into NSG mice.

Analysis of diseased mice

Mice that received transplants were evaluated daily for symptoms of disease as described previously3 to determine progression of the disease. During the course of this monitoring, peripheral blood (PB) samples were obtained from the tail veins to analyze the green fluorescent protein positive (GFP+)CD45− cells periodicaly after transplantation except as otherwise noted. For secondary and tertiary recipient animals, a range of 2.5 to 3 million cells from BM and/or spleen of primary or secondary recipients was transplanted into individual recipients by tail-vein injection. All animal experiments were carried out under protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Georgia Health Sciences University.

Flow cytometry analysis and stem cell sorting

Details of the specific conjugated antibodies used for flow cytometric analysis were as described previously.1,11

Cell culture and proliferation assays

All cell lines were cultured in RPMI (Invitrogen) with 5% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone), at 37°C in 10% CO2. For drug treatments, 40,000 cells/well were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated overnight, then treated with the either dimethylsulfoxide (control) or the drugs indicated in the “Results” section at concentrations defined by the experiments. Cell viability was determined as described.11

Primary leukemic spleen cell treatment

Leukemic cells were isolated from BM and spleens of leukemic mice (n = 3) by flushing RPMI medium through the long bones or by smashing the spleen in cell strainers. In vitro, spleen cells were cultured in RPMI medium supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum and treated with Fc1Q and ponatinib for 48 hours at the concentrations presented in the respective figures.

Molecular and immunoglobulin heavy-chain rearrangement analyses

The PCR primers and conditions used in the molecular analyses are described elsewhere1,12 or in supplemental Table 4. Western blot analysis of CNTRL-FGFR1 fusion protein expression was performed using standard protocols with the respective primary antibodies as described.11,12 Comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) was performed using Agilent SurePrint G3 Mouse CGH 4 × 180 K microarrays (Agilent) as described previously.4 The VDJ rearrangements of the immunoglobulin heavy chain has been described in detail previously.13 Amplification of complete DJH rearrangements was performed using 2 upstream degenerate primers (DFS, DQ52) and one reverse primer (JH4). All 3 primers were used in a single reaction to detect rearrangements from DJH1 to DJH4.

Whole-transcriptome cDNA library construction and sequencing

cDNA libraries were generated using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, messenger RNA was isolated by oligo-dT beads and fragmented by adding Elute, Prime and Fragment Mix (provided by Illumina). Fragmented messenger RNA was converted to cDNA using First Strand and Second Strand master mixtures (Illumina). Purified cDNA was subjected to end repair, A-tailing, and adapter ligation. The adapters contain index sequences to allow for Illumina multiplex sequencing. The cDNA template was amplified using 15 cycles of PCR for 10 seconds at 98°C, 30 seconds at 60°C, and 30 seconds at 72°C using a PCR master mixture (Illumina) and purified by AMPure XP beads (Agencourt). The quality of the resulting cDNA libraries was assessed using a Bioanalyzer DNA 1000 chip (Agilent) and quantified by quantitative PCR (Bio-Rad). The cDNA libraries were sequenced using paired end Illumina HiSeq2000 protocols (50 cycles).

Data processing analysis

The Illumina sequencing pipeline version 1.8 was employed for transferring raw images to base calls, generating sequence reads, and de-multiplexing the reads. The generated FASTQ files were imported to CLC Genomics Workbench and aligned to the mouse genome NCBI37/mm9. The transcript expression levels (Gene Expression Omnibus accession number GSE48048) were determined in terms of number of reads per kilobase per million and compared between sorted normal myeloid (Gr1−Mac1−) cells and sorted leukemic myeloid cells (B220+ Gr1+Mac1−). The gene cluster and gene set enrichment analyses were described previously.12

Results

CNTRL-FGFR1 induces transformation of BaF3 cells

The CNTRL-FGFR1 cDNA was generated from an FGFR1-related leukemia and cloned into the MIEG3 vector (Figure 1A). IL-3–dependent murine BaF3 pro-B cells infected with CNTRL-FGFR1 grew continuously in the absence of IL-3 compared with MIEG3-infected cells, which died (Figure 1B-C). Western blot analysis demonstrated high-level expression of the fusion protein in CNTRL-FGFR1–infected cells (Figure 1D) showing its transforming ability.

CNTRL-FGFR1 induces leukemia and lymphoma in a syngeneic mouse model

BM cells from 5 normal male BALB/c donors were transduced independently with MIEG3-CNTRL-FGFR1; subsequently, each of the 5 samples was transplanted into 2 lethally irradiated (850 cGy), syngeneic female recipients. Two mice died of radiation toxicity but the remaining 8 recovered their white blood cell count over 2 weeks following transplantation, demonstrating successful engraftment. Seven to 10 months posttransplantation, white blood cell counts increased and blast cells appeared in all 8 recipients (supplemental Figure 1A). Increased GFP+ cells were seen in the PB from primary recipients (data not shown). On autopsy, 4 out of 8 mice demonstrated enlarged lymph nodes and/or thymuses (supplemental Figure 1B and supplemental Table 1) and 5 out of
8 showed splenomegaly (Figure 2A). For the T-lymphomas, the mean latency was 189 days and 265 days for AML, which is significantly longer than the BCR-FGFR1 or ZMYM2-FGFR1 models (Figure 2B).3

Histological analyses demonstrated hypercellularity in the marrow from the sternum (supplemental Figure 1D). Leukocyte infiltration was often seen in organs such as in the spleens, livers, lungs, and kidneys (supplemental Figure 1D). Enlarged kidneys were seen in 20% of the primary recipients, and infiltrating leukocytes had destroyed the normal follicular architecture of the spleen (supplemental Figure 1D).

**CNTRL-FGFR1 induces both AML and T-cell leukemia/lymphoma**

Immunophenotype analysis of cells from the BM, spleen (SP), and PB of primary recipients revealed at least 2 different lineages. Two of 8 mice (25%) demonstrated enlarged lymph nodes and thymuses (supplemental Figure 1A). Flow cytometry showed a dominant GFP+CD4+CD8+ immunophenotype in cells from BM, PB, SP, and thymus from these mice (Figure 2C), suggesting T-cell leukemia/lymphoma. More than 95% of thymocytes were GFP+ (Figure 2C), indicating that the thymuses were involved in the abnormal T-cell development. Rare GFP+Mac1+Gr1+ and GFP+B220+ cells were seen in the BM, SP, and PB (Figure 2C) of these mice. One cell line (designated CEP2A) was isolated from the BM of 1 of these 2 mice, and flow cytometry analysis indicated a T-cell origin, with a GFP+CD4+ or GFP+CD4+CD8+ immunophenotype (supplemental Figure 2).

The majority (6/8) of primary recipients predominantly developed myeloid leukemia, with a B220+Gr1+Mac1+ immunophenotype in BM, PB, and SP (Figure 2D), suggesting they are immature blast cells and indicating that these mice, similar to CNTRL-FGFR1 patients, had developed AML. Interestingly, AML cells from a CNTRL-FGFR1 patient reported recently also coexpressed B-cell lineage markers.4 The B220+Gr1+Mac1+ cells were both GFP+ and GFP−, suggesting inactivation of GFP in subpopulations, as we observed previously.4 Pathological analysis of this group of mice showed hemorrhage in their chests, together with increased blood volume (supplemental Figure 1C) that their spleens were slightly larger than controls, and that their thymuses were almost undetectable (supplemental Figure 1C and supplemental Table 1). Surprisingly, another cell line (designated CEP5A), isolated from the BM of 1 of these mice, expressed a GFP+CD8+CD25+ immunophenotype, suggesting a T-lineage origin (supplemental Figure 2).

**CNTRL-FGFR1 disease is transplantable and originates from oligo- or monoclonal stem/progenitor cells**

CNTRL-FGFR1 clearly induces bilineage disease, suggesting a stem/progenitor cell origin. In a second series, BM cells from the primary leukemic mice (n = 6) were transplanted into secondary recipients (n = 18), which developed leukemia/lymphoma (median = 171 days posttransplantation), with a latency similar to the primary recipients (Figure 3A). Although 1 primary recipient (#3), showed a myeloid to T-cell leukemia/lymphoma lineage switch in the second BM transplantation recipient (supplemental Figure 3), all of the other secondary recipients developed AML, consistent with their primary recipients. Analysis of Tcrb rearrangement in the T-cell lymphomas demonstrated oligo- or monoclonal disease in both the primary and secondary mice (Figure 3B), indicating that additional genetic changes are required for overt T-cell leukemia/lymphoma development. Because the AML cells expressed B220, they potentially originated from progenitor B cells, although it is also possible that this antigen is expressed in a non-lineage–specific way. B220+Gr1+Mac1+ AML cells were sorted from 2 CNTRL-FGFR1 mice, and normal myeloid cells (Gr1+Mac1+) and B cells (B220+CD19+) were sorted from 3 normal BALB/c mice. Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis clearly showed that the AML cells as well as the normal myeloid cells did not express B-cell–specific genes Ebf1, Pax5, and Vpreb1,15,16 (Figure 3C). Consistently, the AML cells did not contain IgH rearrangements, compared with the normal B cells (Gr1−Mac1−B220+) sorted from the matched disease mice, or myeloid cells (Gr1−Mac1+) sorted from the normal BALB/c mice (Figure 3D). Thus, the murine AML cells apparently originated from myeloid progenitor cells, although they expressed surface B220.

**Tcrα deletion and Notch1 activation is associated with T-cell leukemia/lymphoma development**

Deletion of the T-cell receptor α (Tcrα) gene,3 and activating mutations of Notch1,12 were demonstrated during development of T-LBL in ZMYM2-FGFR1 mice. Because the T-LBL induced by CNTRL-FGFR1 shows almost the same immunophenotype as that induced by ZMYM2-FGFR1, we investigated whether this was also the case for CNTRL-FGFR1. CGH profiles showed trisomies...
for chr7, chr10, and chr15, together with a deletion of the Tcra locus on chr14 (Figure 4B) in the CEP2A and CEP5A cell lines (Figure 4A) and ZMYM2-FGFR1–containing ZNF112 cells. The Tcra deletion was confirmed using gene-specific PCR analysis (Figure 4C) as well as by flow cytometric analysis using the Tcra V2 antibody, which showed loss of cell surface Tcra receptor (Figure 4D). Deletion of Tcra, however, in itself is not sufficient to induce T-LBL as evidenced by Tcra-null mice, suggesting additional genetic or epigenetic changes are required. Western blot analysis for activated Notch1 (Val1744) in CNTRL-FGFR1–induced T-lymphoma clearly showed that both lymphoma samples and established cell lines carried activated Notch1 (Figure 4E) as seen in ZMYM2-FGFR1 mice. Genomic PCR analysis demonstrated that aberrant activation of Notch1 is due to deletions in the promoter
Consistently, cell growth was significantly inhibited (Figure 4G) by the DAPT or CompE Notch1 inhibitors. Thus, constitutive activation of Notch1 together with Tcra deletion cooperate with CNTRL-FGFR1 to promote T-lineage lymphomagenesis, providing a molecular basis for T-LBL development in the CNTRL-FGFR1 mouse model.

Aberrant gene expression related to myeloid cell development contributes to CNTRL-FGFR1-induced AML

To characterize gene expression changes in AML induced by CNTRL-FGFR1, we used RNA-seq analysis of sorted Mac1Gr11 B2201 AML cells (n = 2) from the SP and BM of leukemic mice as well as sorted Mac1Gr11 myeloid cells (n = 3) from normal mice. Unsupervised analysis of the datasets showed a remarkable difference between normal (Gr11Mac11) and leukemic (Mac1Gr11 B2201) myeloid cells (Figure 5A). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (Figure 5B) and leading-edge analysis (Figure 5C), suggested that Mac1Gr11 B2201 cells have the potential to differentiate into either myeloid or T-cell, but not a B-cell lineages. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of lineage-specific genes showed increased expression of Flt3, Gfi1, and Kit related to early myeloid-lineage commitment, whereas genes related to myeloid differentiation, Irf8, Klf4, Csf1r, Myc, and Spi1, were decreased compared with normal myeloid cells (Figure 5D). Consistent with their molecular signature, the disease had transitioned from a myeloid to a T-cell lineage. Transplantation of BM cells from 1 (#3) primary recipient with AML into 3 secondary recipients (supplemental Figure 3A) showed that only 1 secondary recipient developed AML similar to its donor mouse, and the other 2 developed T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (supplemental Figure 3B).

CNTRL-FGFR1 exclusively promotes human AML development in mice engrafted with transduced human CD34+ progenitor cells

To determine whether CNTRL-FGFR1 also induces disease in human cells, we developed an immunodeficient mouse model engrafted with either CNTRL-FGFR1 or control MIEG3-infected human
CD34<sup>+</sup> cells as described<sup>11</sup> (Figure 6A). These transplanted mice were monitored for disease progression for blast cells in blood smears. After 7 months, increased leukocyte and blasts were observed in CNTRL-FGFR1 mice (n = 9) (supplemental Figure 4A). All of these mice developed leukemia within 15 months, whereas the MIEG3 control mice did not (n = 4) (Figure 6B and supplemental Table 2). Similar to the BABL/c model, the CNTRL-FGFR1-NSG mice showed splenohepatomegaly (supplemental Figure 4A), hypercellular BM, and leukocyte infiltration into several organs (supplemental Figure 4B). Human CD45<sup>+</sup> leukocytes from CNTRL-FGFR1-NSG mice were either GFP<sup>dim</sup> or GFP<sup>-</sup> (supplemental Figure 4C). RT-PCR analysis across the CNTRL-FGFR1 breakpoint confirmed the presence of the fusion gene in the GFP<sup>-</sup> splenocytes (supplemental Figure 4C). Flow cytometry analyses showed that >95% of the human CD45<sup>+</sup> cells were also CD13<sup>+</sup>. Thus, the CNTRL-FGFR1-NSG mice developed human AML, based on their immunophenotype and morphology (Figure 6C and supplemental Figure 4A). Interestingly, 5% to 20% of the

Figure 4. Mutational activation of Notch1 and deletion of Tcra are etiologically associated with the development of T-cell leukemia/lymphoma. (A) Array CGH analysis of CEP2A (top) and CEP5A (bottom) cells shows almost identical chromosome changes in the 2 cell lines, including 14q22 segmental loss as well as gain of chr7, chr10, and chr15. (B) Detailed view of murine Tcra deletion in both CEP2A and CEP5A cell lines. (C) Genomic PCR confirms loss of Tcra in the CEP2A and CEP5A cells. (D) Flow cytometric analysis reveals that both CEP2A and CEP5A cells are negative for cell-surface Tcra. (E) Western blot analysis, using antibodies against the activated Notch1 (Val 1774 antibody, Cell Signaling Technologies), showing that activated Notch1 (different bands resulting from different truncating mutations) is present in LN isolated from the primary (1<sup>°</sup>) and secondary (2<sup>°</sup>) T-LBL mice compared with 3 murine FGFR1-related neoplasm cell lines. A spleen sample from #3 AML mouse is used as a negative control. (F) Schematic of the Notch1 gene showing the relative locations of the primers used to analyze the deletion mutants (top). A 5<sup>°</sup> deletion (brackets) creates a 500-base pair fragment using the P1/P2 primers, as shown in LN from different T-LBL mice. In normal cells, the wild-type 11.5-kb fragment cannot be amplified.<sup>12</sup> (G) γ-Secretase inhibitors DAPT and Comp E significantly inhibit CEP2A and CEP5A cell growth in vitro at micromolar concentrations.
CD45\(^+\) cells also showed a CD4\(^+\)/CD8\(^+\) immunophenotype (Figure 6C). To further investigate whether AML in CNTRL-FGFR1-NSG mice and human CNTRL-FGFR1 patients have a similar gene expression pattern as that seen in CNTRL-FGFR1-BALB/c mice, we used quantitative RT-PCR to compare gene expression levels between spleen cells from CNTRL-FGFR1-NSG and MIEG3-NSG mice. We also compared the gene expression from the CNTRL-FGFR1 patient from whom the CNTRL-FGFR1 cDNA was cloned and 2 normal human PB mononuclear cell samples. The overall gene expression patterns were similar to those seen in the CNTRL-FGFR1 BALB/c mice, except for upregulation of CSF1R expression (Figure 6D). High-level expression of FLT3, GFI1, and MYC, which have been shown in AML patients, was confirmed in CNRTL-FGFR1-NSG mice by western blot (Figure 6E) and flow cytometry analysis (Figure 6F). To determine whether overexpression of this panel of genes was functionally important in AML development, we used the ponatinib\(^{27}\) tyrosine kinase inhibitor (targeting FLT3, KIT, and FGFR1) and the JQ1 MYC inhibitor\(^{28}\) to treat primary AML cells isolated from CNTRL-FGFR1 mice. The combination of these drugs generated a synergistic growth inhibition in primary splenocytes from 3 diseased mice (#3, #4, and #7), but almost no effect on normal human PB mononuclear cells (Figure 6G). We further treated human KG-1 AML cells (carrying FGFR1OP2-FGFR1) with these 2 drugs, either alone or combination. Cell growth of KG-1 was synergistically inhibited by ponatinib and JQ1 at nanomolar concentrations, compared with AML U937 cells (showing no FGFR1 or MYC abnormalities) and HL-60 cell (harboring MYC amplification) (Figure 6H). Thus, these studies demonstrate that CNTRL-FGFR1 predominately transforms human CD34\(^+\) progenitor cells into AML, mediated by deregulation of multiple key genes related to myeloid cell development and differentiation, and simultaneously targeting some of these genes can inhibit AML cell growth.
Discussion

Understanding the etiology of stem cell–derived leukemias and lymphomas can be difficult in human disease and frequently requires reconstruction of events based on the end-stage phenotype. Mouse models as described here faithfully recapitulate the human disease; however, they can follow the progression of the disease in more detail. To our knowledge, both the BALB/c and the human CD341 xenotransplanted NSG models are the first that describe successful creation of CNTRL-FGFR1–induced neoplasms. Consistent with the human disease, both mouse models concurrently developed bi- or multiple-lineage disease. The development of these mouse models provides a significant step forward, not only in understanding the molecular etiology of this disease, but also for defining treatment regimens that can be evaluated in preclinical trials. The availability of robust mouse models for preclinical trials is particularly important because FGFR1-related neoplasms are rare and it will be challenging to recruit large numbers of patients for clinical trials. The evaluation of customized therapies for treatment of this disease, therefore, will rely on these mouse models.

Of the reported cases of FGFR1-related neoplasms so far,6,8,14,29 16 carry the CNTRL-FGFR1 rearrangement (supplemental Table 3). Of these cases, 11 either presented initially with the AML or subsequently transformed to AML, and 7 out of 15 concurrently developed myeloid and T-cell leukemia. Only 1 patient presented with AML and B-cell leukemia,9 although approximately 77% of cells in the BM were positive for the CD3 T-cell marker.9 In the mouse models,
the majority (6 out of 8) of primary CNTRL-FGFR1 disease in the BALB/c mice and 100% (9/9) of the CNTRL-FGFR1 NSG mice developed AML (supplemental Tables 1 and 2). Consistent with the human disease, 2 out of 8 of the primary CNTRL-FGFR1 BALB/c mice presented predominantly with T-cell leukemia/lymphoma and 4/9 CNTRL-FGFR1 NSG mice presented with AML and T-cell leukemia. The proportion of GFP+ B220+ cells present in BALB/c mice (Figure 2D) and CD45+CD19+ cells in NSG model mice (Figure 6C), however, was only ~1%, so these leukemic B cells represent only a small subpopulation. Together, these observations demonstrate that both of the models we have developed closely mimic the human FGFR1-related disease.

In both mouse models, the long latency before leukemogenesis implies that other cooperating events are required for AML development. Indeed, we found that activating Notch1 mutations and deletions of Tcra, are involved in the development of the T-cell leukemia/lymphomas (Figure 4), which we also found in the ZMYM2-FGFR1 mouse model. RNA-seq analysis demonstrated that many genes known to be related to myeloid cell development were dysregulated in the presence of the chimeric CNTRL-FGFR1 gene. Importantly, these gene expression changes were identified in the syngeneic BALB/c-mouse model, the CD34+ NSG human-mouse model, and in primary cells from a patient with FGFR1-related neoplasms, which reinforces the representative nature of the mouse models for designing therapeutic strategies. As a result of this genetic analysis, it appears that the chimeric FGFR1 fusion kinase promotes expansion of the stem cell population, and that increased expression of FLT3, GF11, and KIT promotes transformation of HSCs committed to a myeloid lineage. At the same time, deregulation of SPI1, IRF8, and KLF4 and upregulation of MYC further inhibits differentiation, leading to blast cell accumulation (Figure 5E). This model emphasizes that multiple oncogenic alterations, impacting several signaling pathways, act cooperatively in the etiology of FGFR1-related neoplasms. The proposed model may explain why FGFR1-related neoplasm patients are resistant to all the current therapeutic regimens that have been used to treat acute lymphoblastic leukemia, AML, and other MPN. Thus, this may explain why this disease is largely incurable, although the FGFR1 fusion kinase constitutes a potential therapeutic target. Our analysis suggests that simultaneously targeting FGFR1 signaling as well as multiple other signaling pathways will be essential in developing a successful regimen for future therapy.

Of significant interest is whether FGFR1-related neoplasms are a mixed myeloid and lymphoid (most commonly with T-lymphoid lineage) disorder or represent 2 separate malignancies occurring in 1 patient. Patients with the ZMYM2-FGFR1 fusion carried the rearrangement in both lymphoid and myeloid lineages, suggesting an origin in a common progenitor or stem cell. It is also hypothesized that FGFR1-related neoplasms arise from either a common pluripotent stem cell or from a T-cell precursor that retains the potential for myeloid differentiation. Our observations in the syngeneic model showed that, following transplantation in 1 mouse, the initial myeloid lineage disease (AML) shifted to T-lymphoblastic lymphoma (supplemental Figure 3B), suggesting either the presence of leukemia stem cells with T-lymphoid potential, which predominated in the second transplant recipients, or that CNTRL-FGFR1–transformed cells underwent a lineage switch, possibly induced by the internal microenvironment in different recipients, which has been reported in a human model of MLL-AF9 leukemia. The apparent lineage switch does not seem to be derived from emergence of a rare, more aggressive clone, because Tcrb rearrangements in cells from both the primary and secondary transplants showed the same rearrangement pattern (supplemental Figure 3C), indicating that both the AML and T-cell leukemia/lymphomas were derived from the same original clone. Lineage switching from AML to acute lymphoblastic leukemia is extremely rare, with only 2 cases reported. The exact molecular mechanism for the lineage conversion is still unknown. However, clonal analysis of lympho-hematopoietic cells in fetal mice has shown the existence of bipotent myeloid-T and myeloid-B lineage progenitors, in addition to multipotent and unipotent progenitors, suggesting a myeloid-based model of hematopoiesis in which the stem cell initially generates common myelo-erythroid progenitors and common myeloid-lymphoid progenitors. T- and B-cell progenitors subsequently arise from common myelolymphoid progenitors through myeloid-T and myeloid-B stages, respectively. Our studies support this bipotent progenitor model of the myeloid-T theory, evidenced by clonal analysis (supplemental Figure 3) and gene expression profiles (Figure 5C).

The NSG strain is deficient in mature lymphocytes and natural killer cells and is reportedly resistant to lymphoma development. Surprisingly, therefore, we observed enlarged lymph nodes and CD4+/CD8+ T-cell leukemia/lymphoma in 2 CTNRL-FGFR1 mice (Figure 6C and supplemental Table 3), which is consistent with our previous conclusion that T-cell leukemia/lymphoma induced by ZMYM2-FGFR1 is independent of thymus function. These results argue against NSG strains being unable to support T-lymphopoiesis after receiving transplants with human cells and supports other observation of human HSC engraftment in NSG mice. As such, the NSG model can be used to study leukemogenesis in myeloid and T- and B-lymphoid lineages.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that the CNTRL-FGFR1 fusion kinase induces bilineage myeloid and T-lymphoid disease in both the murine BM transplantation mouse model and, using transduced human CD34+ progenitors, in the NSG model with many clinical features similar to human CNTRL-FGFR1. Genetic and molecular analyses in these models demonstrated that multiple signaling pathways, specifically related to myeloid and T-lymphoid lineages, were altered in FGFR1-related neoplasms, which underscores the importance of developing therapies that target all of these signaling pathways to eradicate the leukemia-initiating-cells.
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