HTLV-1 Tax oncoprotein stimulates ROS production and apoptosis in T cells by interacting with USP10
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Key Points

- Interaction of HTLV-1 Tax with USP10 reduces arsenic-induced stress granule formation and enhances ROS production.
- USP10 controls sensitivities of leukemia cell lines to arsenic-induced apoptosis.

Human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) is the etiological agent of adult T-cell leukemia (ATL), and the viral oncoprotein Tax plays key roles in the immortalization of human T cells, lifelong persistent infection, and leukemogenesis. We herein identify the ubiquitin-specific protease 10 (USP10) as a Tax-interactor in HTLV-1–infected T cells. USP10 is an antistress factor against various environmental stresses, including viral infections and oxidative stress. On exposure to arsenic, an oxidative stress inducer, USP10 is recruited into stress granules (SGs), and USP10-containing SGs reduce reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and inhibit ROS-dependent apoptosis. We found that interaction of Tax with USP10 inhibits arsenic-induced SG formation, stimulates ROS production, and augments ROS-dependent apoptosis in HTLV-1–infected T cells. These findings suggest that USP10 is a host factor that inhibits stress-induced ROS production and apoptosis in HTLV-1–infected T cells; however, its activities are attenuated by Tax. A clinical study showed that combination therapy containing arsenic is effective against some forms of ATL. Therefore, these findings may be relevant to chemotherapy against ATL. (Blood. 2013;122(5):715-725)

Introduction

Environmental stresses, such as hypoxia, heat shock, UV irradiation, and arsenite, induce several alterations in cells, such as DNA damage and accumulation of misfolded proteins, the short- and long-term consequences of which include survival of cells with aberrant DNA and protein alterations, inflammation, aging, and carcinogenesis. On the other hand, cells activate several defense mechanisms to protect against these outcomes. For instance, cells transiently induce the formation of cytoplasmic RNA granules designated as stress granules (SGs).1,2 SGs have several antistress functions. The stresses induce translational arrest, leading to polysome disassembly, and SGs transiently store translationally inactive messenger RNAs released from polysomes and promote the translation of stress-repairing proteins, such as heat shock proteins. In addition, SGs inhibit reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and ROS-dependent apoptosis,3 and in the meantime, cells repair altered DNA and remove misfolded proteins. Therefore, SGs play critical roles in protecting against stress-induced alterations in cells.

Viral infections have also been shown to induce the formation of SGs in host cells, although some viruses encode proteins that inhibit the formation of SGs.4 For instance, the poliovirus-encoded protease 3C protein prevents SG formation via protease-dependent cleavage of Ras-GTPase–activating protein-binding protein 1 (G3BP1),5 which is essential for SG assembly.6 In addition, the NS1 encoded by the influenza A virus inhibits SG formation by preventing the activation of protein kinase that initiates a phosphorylation cascade leading to SG formation after viral infection.7 On the basis of these observations, SGs are proposed to be involved in innate immunity against viral infections, and the inhibition of SG formation stimulates viral replication and production and augments inflammation and tissue damage.8

Human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) is an etiologic agent of adult T-cell leukemia (ATL).9 Accumulation of genetic and epigenetic aberrations in HTLV-1–infected cells is a crucial step for ATL development, as only 5% of HTLV-1–infected individuals go on to have ATL at an average of 40 years after infection. Indeed, ATL cells have multiple DNA alterations, such as mutations of cellular oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes. HTLV-1 encodes the Tax oncoprotein, which plays crucial roles in the immortalization of virus-infected T cells, accumulation of gene mutations in infected cells, and leukemogenesis.9,10 To perform such pleiotropic functions, Tax exhibits a variety of activities, including transcriptional activation of a number of cellular genes via the actions of transcription factors nuclear factor κB (NF-κB), cAMP response element binding/activating transcription factor (CREB/ATF), and AP-1 and inactivation of the tumor suppressor gene p53.11-13 Notably, Tax also inhibits SG formation14; however, its significance in HTLV-1 pathogenicity remains to be clarified.

Ubiquitin-specific protease 10 (USP10) is a component of SGs that plays critical roles in several SG-mediated activities. USP10 is not essential for SG formation; however, its knockout in mouse...
embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) reduces SG formation, augments ROS production, and enhances ROS-dependent apoptosis.3 In our current study, we identified USP10 as a novel binding partner of Tax protein. The activities of Tax mutants and knockdown of USP10 indicated that Tax, by interacting with USP10, inhibits SG formation and stimulates ROS production. Accumulating evidence indicates that aberrant ROS production is a factor promoting gene mutations that cause malignancy.15 Therefore, the present findings indicate that USP10 is a host factor that inhibits ROS-induced aberration of HTLV-1–infected T cells, including genetic mutations. Notably, a previous clinical study showed that combination therapy containing arsenic trioxide, an anhydrous form of arsenite, exhibits promising effects against some forms of ATL.16 Our results revealed that knockdown of USP10 augments arsenite-induced ROS-dependent apoptosis in HTLV-1–infected T cells. Therefore, USP10 controls the sensitivity of ATL cells to arsenic and is hence a novel target for chemotherapy against ATL.

Methods
Reagents and antibodies
The following reagents were purchased from the indicated companies: sodium arsenite (Wako Pure Chemical Industries), arsenic trioxide (Sigma-Aldrich), puromycin (Calbiochem) and N-acetylcysteine (NAC; Sigma-Aldrich). Arsenic trioxide was first dissolved in 1.0 N of NaOH and was then diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The following antibodies were used in this study at the indicated dilutions: anti-USP10 (1:2000; Bethyl Laboratories), anti-HA (1:2000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-G3BP1 (1:2000; BD Transduction Laboratories), anti-poly(A)-binding protein 1 (PABP1) (1:1000; Santa Cruz, 1:500; Abcam), anti-Tax (Taxy-7)17 (1:1000), and anti-α-tubulin (1:1000; Oncogene).

Coimmunoprecipitation and western blot analysis
The coimmunoprecipitation and western blot analysis were performed as described previously.3

Immunofluorescence analysis
An immunofluorescence analysis was performed as described previously.3 The images were analyzed with a fluorescence microscope (BZ-8000; KEYENCE). More than 300 cells in 3 random fields were analyzed using staining with SG markers, G3BP1 and USP10. The SG (%) was calculated as the ratio of SG-positive cells relative to the total number of cells.

Detection of ROS
Cellular ROS levels were measured as described previously.3 The 5-chloromethyl-2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (CM-H2DCFDA) fluorescence in each cell was quantified using a fluorescence analysis software package (BZ-II analyzer; KEYENCE). More than 400 cells in 4 random fields were analyzed, and the data were presented as the mean fluorescence intensity (DCFDA-F).

Quantitative determination of apoptosis
The level of apoptosis was measured according to 2 methods. First, the cells were stained with propidium iodide, and the sub-G1 DNA content was measured using flow cytometry. Second, cells cultured on coverslips in 6-well plates were fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS and were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. The fixed cells were stained with Hoechst33258. After Hoechst33258 staining, the number of cells that exhibited condensed nuclei was counted using a fluorescence microscope. More than 300 cells in 3 random fields were analyzed per sample.

Statistical analysis
The data were analyzed using the unpaired Student t test and are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Information including “Cell lines and culture conditions,” “Plasmid constructs,” and the “Establishment of stable USP10-knockdown cell lines using lentiviral transduction” is provided in the supplemental Methods, available on the Blood Web site.

Results
Tax interacts with USP10
Cytotoxic T lymphoblast cell (CTLL-2) is a mouse interleukin (IL)-2–dependent T-cell line. Tax transforms CTLL-2 cells from IL-2–dependent growth into IL-2–independent growth, and its activity is much higher than that of nonleukemogenic HTLV-2 Tax2.18,19 Studies of chimeric Tax proteins including Tax2 have revealed that the C-terminal PDZ domain-binding motif (PBM) in Tax, which is missing in Tax2, is a factor responsible for the high transforming activity of CTLL-2.18,19 To obtain information on how Tax PBM augments the Tax-induced transformation of CTLL-2, we isolated several Tax-binding proteins in CTLL-2 cell lysates using glutathione S-transferase fusion proteins of Tax vs TaxΔC with deletion of PBM (M.H. and M.F., unpublished observations). One such Tax-binding protein is USP10. To establish the interaction between Tax and USP10 in mammalian cells, plasmids encoding Tax as well as USP10 with a hemagglutinin (HA) epitope (HA-USP10) were transiently expressed in 293T cells, and the cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibodies. The anti-HA antibody coprecipitated HA-USP10 with Tax (Figure 1A). Conversely, anti-Tax coprecipitated HA-USP10 with Tax (Figure 1B). Unexpectedly, USP10 also interacted with the Tax PBM mutant TaxΔC (Figure 1B), indicating that PBM is not essential for the interaction between Tax and USP10. In addition, Tax formed a complex with USP10 in HTLV-1–infected T cells (Figure 1C). A total of 7 HTLV-1–positive and 3 HTLV-1–negative T-cell lines constitutively expressed USP10 as well as 2 USP10-binding proteins, G3BP120 and PABP1,21 and the levels of these factors did not correlate with that of Tax (Figure 1D). An immunofluorescence analysis visualized endogenous and exogenous USP10 in the cytoplasm, where some proteins colocalized with Tax (Figures 1E and 6A; as represented by arrows). Taken together, these results indicate that Tax interacts with USP10 in HTLV-1–infected T cells, and these molecules partly colocalize in the cytoplasm.

To delineate the domain of Tax that is responsible for the interaction with USP10, we examined the interactions between Tax mutants and USP10. Three of the mutants, TaxSH-1, TaxSH-2, and TaxΔ2-353, exhibited minimal interaction with USP10 (Figure 2A-B). TaxSH-1 and TaxSH-2 have point mutations at Pro-58 to Ser and Leu-205 to Arg, respectively. TaxΔ2-353 has an N-terminal deletion of amino acids 1 to 61, containing the zinc finger region. Therefore, both the N-terminal region and the central region of Tax are required for interaction with USP10. On the other hand, TaxM22 and Tax703 demonstrated equivalent USP10-binding activity to wild-type (WT) Tax and have point mutations at Gly-137 and Leu-138 and at Leu-319 and Leu-320, respectively (Figure 2A-B).

To identify the domain of USP10 responsible for the interaction with Tax, plasmids encoding various USP10 mutants were constructed (Figure 2C). An immunoprecipitation analysis of 293T cells showed that the deletion of amino acids 727 to 798 of the C-terminal region of USP10 prominently reduced binding with Tax, whereas the
deletion of amino acids 1 to 116 of the N-terminal region, which are essential for the formation of SGs as well as binding with G3BP1 and PABP1, had a minimal effect on binding with Tax (Figure 2D), indicating that Tax interacts with amino acids 727 to 798 of USP10.

Binding of Tax with USP10 inhibits arsenite-induced SG formation

USP10 is a component of SGs that is involved in innate immunity against viral infections. Intriguingly, Tax inhibits SG formation. Therefore, we next investigated whether USP10 is involved in the Tax-mediated inhibition of SG formation. Arsenite, a prooxidant well known to stimulate SG formation, induced SGs in 293T cells, and USP10 was predominantly detected in the formed SGs (Figure 3A). The 293T cells were then transiently transfected with expression vectors encoding WT Tax, TaxΔC, TaxSH-1, or TaxSH-2, treated with arsenite and stained with anti-USP10 antibodies. WT Tax inhibited arsenite-induced SG formation (as represented by the arrow in Figure 3B). This is consistent with the findings of a previous study. In addition, 2 Tax mutants (TaxM22 and Tax703) that are capable of interacting with USP10 also reduced arsenite-induced SG formation (Figure 3D). Of the 3 Tax mutants defective in USP10 binding, TaxΔC was completely unable to interfere with SG formation. In contrast, the other 2 Tax mutants (TaxSH-1 and TaxSH-2) inhibited SG formation; however, the inhibitory activity was half that of WT Tax (Figure 3B-C). These results suggest that Tax inhibits SG formation and that this inhibition is partly mediated through the interaction between Tax and USP10.

Tax augments arsenite-induced apoptosis of 293T cells

Because SGs inhibit arsenite-induced apoptosis, we next examined whether Tax affects the sensitivity of 293T cells to arsenite-induced apoptosis. 293T cells were transfected with expression vectors encoding Tax and its mutants, and the cells were treated with 0.5 mM of arsenite for 80 minutes, washed with PBS, and further cultured for 1 hour. The cells were then stained with anti-Tax antibodies and Hoechst33258 (supplemental Figure 1). The apoptotic cells were monitored by detecting condensed nuclei in the

Figure 1. Tax interacts with USP10. (A,B) 293T cells were transfected with a control plasmid (lanes 1, 4), Tax plasmid (lanes 2, 5), or TaxΔC plasmid (lanes 3, 6) together with (lanes 4-6) or without (lanes 1-3) an HA-USP10 plasmid. At 48 hours after transfection, the cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-HA (A) or anti-Tax (B) antibodies, and the total lysates (input) and immunoprecipitates (IP) were characterized using a western blot analysis with anti-HA and anti-Tax antibodies. TaxΔC has a 4 amino acid deletion on the Tax C-terminus, the peptide of which is missing in the nonleukemogenic HTLV-2 Tax2, because the original goal was to isolate binding factors specific to Tax but not to Tax2. (C) The cell lysates prepared from HTLV-1–uninfected T cells (Jurkat; lanes 1-3) and HTLV-1–infected T cells (SLB-1; lanes 4-6) were immunoprecipitated with anti-USP10 antibodies (lanes 3, 6) or control antibodies (lanes 2, 5). The input lysate and IP were characterized using a western blot analysis with anti-USP10 and anti-Tax antibodies. (D) Cell lysates were prepared from 7 HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines (lanes 1-7) and 3 HTLV-1–uninfected T-cell lines (lanes 8-10). The expressions of USP10, PABP1, G3BP1, Tax, and α-tubulin proteins were determined using a western blot analysis with the corresponding antibodies. (E) 293T cells were transfected with a Tax plasmid together with or without the HA-USP10 plasmid. The transfected cells were stained with anti-USP10 (green) and anti-Tax (red) antibodies. The nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst33258 (blue). The bar indicates 20 μm.
Tax-expressing cells (Figure 3E). Tax augmented the arsenite-induced apoptosis of 293T cells, and this apoptosis was inhibited by an antioxidant (NAC) (Figure 3F). On the other hand, the augmentation of apoptosis induced by TaxSH-1 and TaxSH-2 in the 293T cells was approximately half that induced by WT Tax, and Tax62-353 had a minimal effect on apoptosis (Figure 3E). These results suggest that Tax, at least in part through its interaction with USP10, augments arsenite-induced apoptosis of 293T cells, and that this apoptosis augmentation correlates with the SG inhibitory activity.

Tax stimulates ROS production via USP10 in T cells

We recently showed that USP10 downregulates steady-state ROS production in several epithelial cell lines and inhibits arsenite-induced ROS production.3 To elucidate the role of USP10 in ROS production in T cells, we knocked down the USP10 expression in a human T-cell line (Jurkat) using short hairpin RNA (shRNA) (Figure 4A) and measured the ROS levels using staining with CM-H2DCFDA, a redox-sensitive probe (Figure 4B). Two USP10-knockdown cells targeting different regions of USP10 messenger RNA produced more ROS than the control cells (Figure 4C). These results suggest that endogenous USP10 in T cells downregulates ROS production under steady-state conditions.

Figure 2. The domains of Tax and USP10 are required for the interaction. (A) A schematic representation of Tax and its mutants used in this study. (B) Cell lysates prepared from 293T cells transfected with the HA-USP10 plasmid together with Tax mutant plasmids, and then were immunoprecipitated with anti-Tax. The total lysates (input) and immunoprecipitates (IP) were characterized using a western blot analysis with anti-Tax and anti-HA antibodies. (C) A schematic representation of USP10 and its mutants used in this study. PAM2 (PABP-interacting motif 2) mediates the interaction with PABP.21 (D) 293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding HA-tagged USP10 (HA-WT) or its mutants (HA-1-116, HA-96-798, HA-1-726, or HA-1-439), as described in (C), together with Tax plasmids. Cell lysates prepared from 293T cells were then immunoprecipitated with anti-Tax antibodies. The input and immunoprecipitates were characterized using a western blot analysis with anti-Tax and anti-HA antibodies. The asterisks indicate nonspecific bands.
actions by interacting with USP10 and modulating the activities of the NF-κB, CREB, and PBM pathways.

**USP10 knockdown in T cells reduces SG-forming activities and augments sensitivity to arsenite-induced apoptosis**

We next investigated the roles of USP10 in arsenite-induced SG formation and apoptosis in T cells. High-dose arsenite (0.25 mM) induced SG formation in 2 distinct USP10-knockdown Jurkat cells; however, the level of SGs was less than that observed in the control cells (Figure 5A-B). In addition, knockdown of USP10 augmented the apoptosis of Jurkat cells treated with or without a low dose of arsenite (5 μM) (Figure 5C). It should be noted that human T-cell lines are more sensitive to arsenite-induced apoptosis than adherent cell lines, including 293T cells, and even a concentration of arsenite (5 μM) that does not induce SG formation can still induce apoptosis in T-cell lines, but not adherent cell lines. These results indicate that USP10 in T cells augments SG formation and reduces arsenite-induced apoptosis.

**Augmented arsenite-induced apoptosis of HTLV-1–infected T cells is associated with a reduced level of SG-forming activity**

A recent study showed that combination therapy containing arsenic trioxide, interferon-α, and zidovudine exhibits promising therapeutic effects on some forms of ATL.\(^\text{16}\) In addition, arsenite induces apoptosis in HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines more so than in HTLV-1–uninfected T-cell lines.\(^\text{27}\) Therefore, we next examined whether the high sensitivity of HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines to arsenite is related to the level of SG-forming activity. HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines treated with a low dose of arsenite exhibited more apoptosis than the HTLV-1–uninfected cell lines (Figure 6C). This apoptosis was inhibited by NAC (Figure 6D). In addition, high-dose arsenite induced SG formation in HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines, and the amount of SGs was lower than that observed in the HTLV-1–uninfected cell lines (Figure 6C). This apoptosis was inhibited by NAC (Figure 6D). In addition, high-dose arsenite induced SG formation in HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines, and the amount of SGs was lower than that observed in the HTLV-1–uninfected cell lines (Figure 6C). This apoptosis was inhibited by NAC (Figure 6D). In addition, high-dose arsenite induced SG formation in HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines, and the amount of SGs was lower than that observed in the HTLV-1–uninfected cell lines (Figure 6C). This apoptosis was inhibited by NAC (Figure 6D). In addition, high-dose arsenite induced SG formation in HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines, and the amount of SGs was lower than that observed in the HTLV-1–uninfected cell lines (Figure 6C). This apoptosis was inhibited by NAC (Figure 6D). In addition, high-dose arsenite induced SG formation in HTLV-1–infected T-cell lines, and the amount of SGs was lower than that observed in the HTLV-1–uninfected cell lines (Figure 6C). This apoptosis was inhibited by NAC (Figure 6D).
cells (supplemental Figure 2). Collectively, these results indicate that USP10 inhibits ROS production and apoptosis in HTLV-1–infected T cells and that the inhibition correlates with the SG-forming activity. Arsenic trioxide, an anhydrous form of arsenite, has been used as a drug against ATL in place of sodium arsenite. To confirm that arsenic trioxide also exhibits similar activities to arsenite, we treated HTLV-1–uninfected (Jurkat) and infected (SLB-1) T-cell lines with arsenic trioxide (0.1–1.0 mM) induced SG formation in both the Jurkat and SLB-1 cells; however, the level of SG-forming activity was lower in the SLB-1 cells than in the Jurkat cells (supplemental Figure 3A). Moreover, the arsenic trioxide-induced apoptosis of the SLB-1 cells was significantly greater than that of the Jurkat cells, and the apoptosis was abrogated by treatment with NAC (supplemental Figure 3B-C). These results suggest that arsenic trioxide induces the ROS-dependent apoptosis of HTLV-1–infected T cells via the same mechanism as sodium arsenite (Figure 6).
inversely with the level of SG-forming activity. These results further support the idea that arsenite-induced SG formation of various hematopoietic leukemic cells correlates inversely with sensitivity to arsenite-induced apoptosis.

**Discussion**

In our study, we identified USP10 as a novel binding protein of HTLV-1 Tax in T cells and found that Tax inhibits at least 3 USP10-associated functions, namely, SG formation, ROS suppression, and inhibition of apoptosis. Under various stress conditions, including viral infection, USP10 can prevent the emergence of cells with stress-induced ROS-dependent DNA and protein alterations. Therefore, our present findings suggest that Tax, by interacting with USP10, augments ROS-dependent alterations, such as DNA damage, in HTLV-1–infected T cells, thereby promoting leukemogenesis.

Two Tax mutants (TaxSH-1 and TaxSH-2) defective for USP10 binding inhibited SG formation; however, the inhibition achieved by these mutants was half that of WT Tax. Legros et al demonstrated that Tax inhibits SG formation by binding to histone deacetylase 6 (HDAC6), a component of SGs. Therefore, both USP10 and HDAC6 are likely to play a role in the Tax-induced inhibition of SG formation. On the other hand, HDAC6 is not likely to mediate Tax-induced ROS production because, unlike WT Tax, TaxSH-1 and TaxSH-2 have a minimal effect on ROS production.

The activities of the Tax mutants suggest that multiple functions of Tax, in addition to the inhibition of USP10 functions, are involved in the stimulation of ROS production. Two Tax mutants (TaxM22 and TaxT703), which are defective for NF-κB- and CREB-dependent transcriptional activation, respectively, both failed to elevate ROS production (Figure 4), suggesting that Tax stimulates ROS generation via gene(s) regulated by NF-κB and CREB. Indeed, NF-κB can...
activate prooxidant genes, such as the reduced NAD phosphate oxidase NOX2. In addition, PBM was required for Tax to stimulate ROS production (Figure 4). Tax, acting through PBM, interacts with several PDZ domains containing proteins, including Dlg1, Scribble, and MAGI-1, then inactivates the functions of these PDZ proteins by altering subcellular localization. The PDZ proteins localize near the plasma membrane and form a complex with several membrane receptors, then positively or negatively control signals from corresponding membrane receptors. Collectively, we tentatively propose the following hypothesis of how Tax stimulates ROS production in T cells. Although Tax, acting through the NF-kB, CREB, and PBM pathways, induces the expression of prooxidant gene(s), by acting through USP10, it blocks the antioxidant response, thereby prolonging the production of ROS. A further analysis is, however, required to understand the precise mechanisms underlying how Tax stimulates ROS production in T cells.

A previous study showed that USP10 inhibits arsenite-induced ROS-dependent apoptosis in MEFs and that this inhibitory activity is mediated by the formation of USP10-containing SGs. In our study, unlike MEFs, USP10 inhibited arsenite-induced ROS-dependent apoptosis in a human T-cell line, even at a concentration of arsenite that does not provoke visible SG formation. These results suggest 2 possible mechanisms. USP10 may block ROS-dependent apoptosis without forming SGs in T cells. Alternatively, a low concentration of arsenite may induce small SGs undetectable
by the present assay method, and such undetectable small SGs may inhibit apoptosis. We are currently studying how USP10 inhibits arsenite-induced apoptosis without visible SGs in T cells.

A clinical trial has indicated that combination therapy containing arsenic trioxide, interferon α, and zidovudine exhibits promising antileukemia activity against some forms of ATL; however, it is unclear which patients are sensitive to this chemotherapy. Our present study suggests that Tax augments the sensitivity to arsenite-induced apoptosis of cells. Takeda et al showed that 66% of ATL cells exhibit inactivation of the tax gene because of mutation or DNA methylation. Therefore, the expression of the tax gene in ATL cells is likely to be a factor controlling the sensitivity of ATL cells to chemotherapy containing arsenic. In addition, a single ATL-derived cell line without a Tax expression (TL-Oml) demonstrated higher sensitivity to arsenite-induced apoptosis than the other 2 ATL cell lines (Figure 7), and the different levels of arsenite sensitivity correlated with the level of SG-forming activity in the cells.

Figure 7. The level of sensitivity to arsenite-induced apoptosis in ATL, Burkitt lymphoma, and myeloid leukemia cell lines correlates with the level of SG-forming activity. (A) HTLV-1–uninfected (Jurkat), HTLV-1–infected (SLB-1; used as a positive control), and ATL-derived (TL-Oml, ED50823, and MT-1) T-cell lines were treated with 0.5 mM of sodium arsenite for 30 minutes and then were stained with anti-USP10 antibodies and Hoechst33258. The SG (%) is presented. (B) HTLV-1–uninfected (Jurkat), HTLV-1–infected (SLB-1), and ATL-derived (TL-Oml, ED50823, and MT-1) T-cell lines were treated with 5 μM of sodium arsenite for 48 hours and then were stained with PI. The proportion of the sub-G1 population (%) (apoptotic cells) was measured using flow cytometry. (C-F) The levels of arsenite-induced SG formation (%) and the proportions of the sub-G1 population (%) in the Burkitt lymphoma (C,D) and myeloid leukemia (ML) cell lines (E,F) were assessed.
Arsenic trioxide is a highly effective chemotherapy for promyelocytic leukemia (PML).\(^\text{28}\) Arsenic trioxide induces ROS-dependent apoptosis in several PML cell lines, including NB4, in vitro.\(^\text{31,32}\) In our study, in addition to ATL cell lines, 5 leukemia cell lines derived from PML, myeloid leukemia, and Burkitt lymphoma exhibited distinct sensitivities to arsenite-induced apoptosis, and the level of sensitivity correlated with the degree of arsenite-induced SG formation. Therefore, understanding how USP10 and SGs control sensitivity to arsenite-induced apoptosis in hematopoietic cells will provide useful information for developing more effective chemotherapies for the treatment of hematopoietic malignancies, including ATL.
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