In summary, the study by the EMN provides a practical approach for dealing with the perils associated with proteasome inhibitor and rituximab–based therapy in WM patients. PN, however, continues to remain a significant problem with bortezomib use despite schedule modifications in WM patients. More work is still needed to optimize proteasome inhibitor–based therapy in WM patients.
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AIDS–lymphoma (ARL): one more step along the way

Alexandra M. Levine1
1CITY OF HOPE NATIONAL MEDICAL CENTER

In this issue of Blood, some of the controversies surrounding optimal therapy for patients with AIDS-related lymphoma (ARL) are now clarified by the analyses of Barta et al.1

The profound immunodeficiency characteristic of HIV infection serves as an etiologic factor in the pathogenesis of ARL while also limiting the efficacy of standard multiagent chemotherapy due to development of intercurrent life-threatening infections, as well as depletion in bone marrow reserves. Prior to the availability of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), use of standard multiagent chemotherapy was extremely difficult due to these factors, and low-dose chemotherapy was advocated.2 The introduction of cART provided a stunning reversal in prognosis, with an increase in overall survival (OS) among those with full-blown HIV infection alone.3 Although concomitant use of cART and multiagent chemotherapy was shown to be safe in terms of pharmacokinetics,4 concerns remained about additive depletion of bone marrow reserve, potential overlapping toxicities, and limitations of chemotherapy dosing. At the same time, initiation of cART at the conclusion of systemic chemotherapy was shown to be an effective paradigm, as demonstrated by the initial infusional etoposide, prednisone, infusional vincristine, infusional doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide (EPOCH) trials from the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group.5 Despite an increase in HIV viral load and a decrease in CD4 cells during the course of EPOCH, these parameters returned toward normal by 6 to 12 months following (re-)institution of cART. Although this study demonstrated that control of HIV viral load was not required for attainment of complete response (CR), still, development of opportunistic infection occurred in 8% of the initial EPOCH–treated patients within the first 3 months of completion of chemotherapy, and patients with CD4 cells <100/mm3 fared significantly worse than those with more intact immunity. Would these patients have done better if cART had been given earlier, concurrently with chemotherapy? A recent study from the AIDS Malignancy Consortium (AMC), in which patients received concomitant cART and chemotherapy, found that approximately half of complete responders had CD4 cells <100/mm3 at study entry, with a viral load >50 000 copies/mL, indicating that control of HIV infection is not mandatory for attainment of CR.6 The paper by Barta et al brings further clarity to this question by demonstrating that concurrent use of cART and chemotherapy was associated with statistically improved CR rates, with a trend toward improved OS among 1546 patients with ARL, studied as part of 19 prospective trials. Thus, although it is clearly possible to attain CR in the absence of concurrent cART, results are likely to be improved when cART is added. This is an important finding from the analyses of Barta et al.

Whether to use rituximab with chemotherapy has been another controversy in terms of ARL patients. Although clearly associated with improved outcome in patients without HIV infection,7 early studies from the AMC indicated that rituximab was associated with a statistically significant increase in infectious death,8 leading to the conundrum: to use or not to use? Careful evaluation of the AMC data, however, demonstrated that the infectious deaths occurred primarily among patients with CD4 counts <100/mm3. Further, subsequent studies from the AMC and elsewhere failed to confirm the initial conclusions, demonstrating that rituximab could be used safely with chemotherapy,
without an increase in infections or death due to infection.\textsuperscript{5,9} As shown in the figure, the current analyses by Barta and colleagues has further conﬁrmed the importance of rituximab in this setting, leading to a statistically higher CR rate, as well as improved progression-free survival and OS. Although these ﬁndings were limited to patients with CD4 cells >100/mm\textsuperscript{3} in the study of Barta et al, it will be important to next deﬁne the optimal regimen(s) for those with more profound immunodeﬁciency.

The Barta et al study has provided important data on large numbers of ARL patients, treated prospectively and evaluated using patient speciﬁc data. While serving to address several controversial areas, it is important to understand the limitations of this study. Although data were analyzed from 1546 patients enrolled in 19 published trials, a total of 23 such trials were excluded, and 1 included trial was taken from a letter to the editor and a second was from a published abstract. Only 2 of the included studies were phase 3 randomized trials, and approximately one-third of all included subjects came from one center.\textsuperscript{10} The exclusion of so many trials and patients may raise some question as to the validity of the conclusions. Additionally, many different regimens were used in patients treated over the course of 20 years. To analyze the data, the authors combined the various regimens into groups, as more or less intensive, infusional or not, and including rituximab or not. Again, this grouping may obscure the facts concerning use of one type of chemotherapy vs another. Despite the large numbers of patients, certain treatment groups were too small to draw conclusions, and although infusional regimens were found to be superior to those administered by bolus, this was only statistically conﬁrmed when the infusional regimens under study were combined in the group as a whole. Further, the use of CR as an end point in the Barta et al analysis is made more difﬁcult by the lack of uniform evaluation criteria for determination of CR, as well as lack of central review of these staging and restaging data, making veriﬁcation of CR less than optimal. It is hoped that the ongoing phase 3 randomized trial comparing rituximab-cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone with rituximab-EPOCH in HIV-negative patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (CALBM50303; clinicaltrials.gov NCT00118209) will answer this question more carefully.

Will we ever have a fully “clean” data set from which to derive ﬁnal treatment recommendations, based on level 1 evidence? Probably not, as HIV-infected patients, as well as the oncologists who treat them, tend to feel very strongly about use of concurrent chemotherapy with cART and/or use of rituximab. These inherent beliefs have confounded the ability to enroll patients on prospective, randomized trials. Given these realities, the paper by Barta and colleagues has provided helpful information, which may serve our patients well.
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Factor XIII: sticking it to platelets
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In this issue of Blood, Kasahara et al report that platelet-dependent clot retraction requires factor XIII (FXIII), which covalently associates fibrin polymers with protein located within the platelet plasma membrane at lipid rafts.

The formation of hemostatic clots begins with deposition of platelets in the area of vascular injury. The activated platelets adhere to each other, with fibrinogen and von Willebrand factor serving as intermediaries, and provide a surface for the assembly of coagulation complexes that produce thrombin, which catalyzes the formation of fibrin polymers from fibrinogen. After the clot forms, it retracts to consolidate volume and minimize leakage, a process during which platelets pull on the fibrin polymers.

The thrombin generated on the platelet surface also converts plasma FXIII to its active form FXIIIa. FXIII is a member of the transglutaminase family of enzymes, which crosslink proteins by catalyzing the formation of isopeptide bonds between glutamine and lysine residues. FXIII has two forms: a plasma form (pFXIII) that is a tetramer of two carrier B-subunits and two catalytic A-subunits and an intracellular form (cFXIII) that consists of two catalytic A-subunits. pFXIII circulates as azymogen that must be activated by thrombin; cFXIII is constitutively active. FXIIIa crosslinks not only fibrin, but also crosslinks α2 antiplasmin to fibrin (which inhibits clot lysis) and fibronectin to fibrin. FXIII also has other substrates, which include factor V, Plasminogen activator inhibitor-2, collagen, and the intracellular proteins filamin, actin, and myosin.

The importance of FXIII is underscored by the clinical signs and symptoms of its deficiency. Clots formed in the absence of FXIII are unstable and easier to lyse by the fibrinolytic system, the consequence being delayed bleeding after injury or surgery. Affected individuals also experience delayed wound healing, possibly due to the inability of clots to retract properly. In affected women, habitual abortion is common, most likely due to combined abrogation of fibrin/fibronectin crosslinking by FXIII and impairment of firm adhesion of the placenta to the endometrium.

Clot retraction requires both platelets and fibrin. Platelets anchor fibrin to their surface and intracellular motors act as a winch to contract the polymerized fibrin fibers. Integrin αIIbβ3 on platelets is required to retract clots, because patients with Glanzmann thrombasthenia, who lack the receptor, exhibit defective clot retraction. In mice, FXIII has also been shown to be necessary.

Using elegant microscopy and biochemical approaches, Kasahara et al show for the first time that fibrin associates with lipid rafts on the platelet surface and that raft integrity is required for clot retraction; raft disruption with methyl-β-cyclodextrin (which removes membrane cholesterol) prevented fibrin
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