Interferon-regulatory factor 4 (IRF4) is a critical transcription factor for hematopoietic development and the immune system. It has been identified as an oncogene in multiple myelomas but a tumor suppressor in CLL. In this study, Shukla and colleagues backcrossed Vh11 mice which have a dramatically expanded B1 cell population into IRF4-deficiency mice and found that 100% (n = 12) of IRF4−/−Vh11 mice developed CLL within 10 months (see figure). Among those IRF4−/−Vh11 mice, 70% resemble indolent CLL and 30% exhibit aggressive CLL. Even after just 5 months, 7 of 12 (58%) IRF4−/−Vh11 mice developed CLL and the rest developed monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis (MBL). In contrast, no CLL or MBL was developed in the IRF4+Vh11 control mice within 12 months. The authors also reported that IgM+CD35+ CLL cells started to increase in the blood of IRF4−/−Vh11 mice at 2 to 4 months of age and occupied ~69% of peripheral blood mononuclear cells at 8 months of age (see figure). IRF4−/−Vh11 mice exhibited splenomegaly and lymph node enlargement and those with aggressive CLL had enlarged livers. The authors then identified the surface phenotype of IRF4−/−Vh11 CLL cells as CD19+, B220+/−, CD23+, CD21−, IgD+, and CD1d+/−, and demonstrated that IRF4−/−Vh11 CLL cells were transplantable in immunodeficient host mice.

To further characterize the IRF4−/−Vh11 CLL cells, Shukla and coworkers studied proliferation, survival, and molecular signatures of these cells and found that IRF4−/−Vh11 CLL cells mainly proliferated in spleen while not in blood nor in lymph node, and these cells were resistant to apoptosis. Consistent with these findings, reexpression of IRF4 in IRF4−/−Vh11 CLL cells in vitro promoted apoptosis. Very interestingly, the authors found that the expression of Mcl-1 which is a critical prosurvival factor for CLL cells was significantly increased in all 5 IRF4−/−Vh11 CLL samples compared with controls, while the expression of TCL1 and miR15a/16-1 was not deregulated.

Collectively, the findings in this study strongly indicate an important role of IRF4 in the initiation and progression of CLL and potential applications of this novel IRF4−/−Vh11 mouse model in understanding CLL etiology and testing preclinical drugs.

There are also several questions that need to be further addressed. First, in order to verify the role of IRF4 in the initiation of CLL, the transplantable ability of untransformed IRF4−/−Vh11 cells should be further investigated. Second, IRF4 deficiency affects other lymphocyte subsets; therefore, other potential abnormalities in IRF4−/−Vh11 mice need to be characterized. Third, as the authors discussed in the manuscript, more generations of backcrossing are preferred to get a pure genetic background in IRF4−/−Vh11 mice to further facilitate reproducibility of experiments. Overall, this study represents a significant step forward in our understanding of CLL, and the CLL field is looking forward to the applications of this new mouse model with respect to immunology, experimental therapeutics, and biology of this disease.
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Mutant IDH enzymes convert a Krebs cycle intermediate, \(\alpha\)-KG, into 2-HG. This alters the energy balance of the cell and interferes with a host of metabolic functions, including the epigenetic milieu.

Krebs cycle, but also an essential co-substrate for a host of cellular metabolic functions. Several different dioxygenases, including TET2 and histone demethylases (enzymes that clearly influence the epigenetic milieu of the cell), depend on \(\alpha\)-KG for their normal function. The IDH mutations occur at critical arginine residues in the active site of the enzyme (R132 in \(\text{IDH1}\) and R140 and R172 in \(\text{IDH2}\)). The resulting amino acid substitution not only prevents the normal catalytic function of the enzyme, it also results in a new (or neomorphic) function—the conversion of \(\alpha\)-KG to 2-HG, which (in the case of the \(R\)-enantiomer) is a competitive inhibitor of \(\alpha\)-Ketoglutarate. This alters the energy balance of the cell and interferes with a host of metabolic functions, including the epigenetic milieu.

sequencing studies gave us the mutations, whereas the diligent work of the biochemists unraveled the mechanisms that contribute to the transformed phenotype (and, as a bonus, gave us 2 exciting new terms to bandy about: neomorphic and oncometabolite). As has been found with most other putative oncogenes in AML, IDH mutations by themselves do not appear sufficient to induce transformation.

Chaturvedi and colleagues take an important next step in this story. They and others had noted increased Hox family gene expression in association with IDH mutations. Using a retrovirally transduced murine bone marrow model, they induced a myeloproliferative phenotype when \(\text{IDH1}\) was combined with \(\text{Hox}\) 49. The double-mutant cells contained increased levels of the inhibitory \(R\)-enantiomer of 2-HG, were cycling more, and had decreased cdk gene expression and increased mitogen-activated protein kinase activity. A small molecule inhibitor of mutant \(\text{IDH1}\) caused decreased cell-cycling and increased apoptosis in the double-mutant cells. The same inhibitor induced apoptosis and decreased colony formation in methylcellulose of \(\text{IDH1}\)-mutant human primary bone marrow cells relative to control, thus establishing an important proof of principle that these mutant enzymes can be successfully targeted and their metabolic effects are to some degree reversible.

So, it seems that the next big target in AML has been identified. We can anticipate that the pharmaceutical–industrial complex will swing into action and launch an IDH inhibitor arms race of sorts. We can also anticipate limited single-agent activity of such drugs, given what we have learned about this disease over the past decade—namely, that AML emerges from what is at least a 2-step process and that targeting single defects (eg, as with FLT3 inhibitors) is only going to get us part way to our goal of cure. Nonetheless, we can only be encouraged by the progress we are seeing, and how chipping away at these different molecular defects will eventually cause this disease to crumble at last.
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