Immune responses to AAV vectors: overcoming barriers to successful gene therapy
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Gene therapy products for the treatment of genetic diseases are currently in clinical trials, and one of these, an adeno-associated viral (AAV) product, has recently been licensed. AAV vectors have achieved positive results in a number of clinical and preclinical settings, including hematologic disorders such as the hemophilias, Gaucher disease, hemochromatosis, and the porphyrias. Because AAV vectors are administered directly to the patient, the likelihood of a host immune response is high, as shown by human studies. Preexisting and/or recall responses to the wild-type virus from which the vector is engineered, or to the transgene product itself, can interfere with therapeutic efficacy if not identified and managed optimally. Small-scale clinical studies have enabled investigators to dissect the immune responses to the AAV vector capsid and to the transgene product, and to develop strategies to manage these responses to achieve long-term expression of the therapeutic gene. However, a comprehensive understanding of the determinants of immunogenicity of AAV vectors, and of potential associated toxicities, is still lacking. Careful immunosurveillance conducted as part of ongoing clinical studies will provide the basis for understanding the intricacies of the immune response after AAV-mediated gene transfer, facilitating safe and effective therapies for genetic diseases. (Blood. 2013; 122(1):23-36)

Introduction

Recent studies document therapeutic successes for several genetic diseases using gene transfer vectors,1-7 and an adeno-associated viral (AAV) vector product for one of these, lipoprotein lipase deficiency, has now been licensed in Europe.8 Thus, vector-mediated gene therapies are progressing from investigational agents to approved products. A number of these are being developed for hematologic disorders, so that it is critical for hematologists to understand the risks and benefits of this new class of therapeutics. The recent success of a single infusion of an AAV vector leading to >2 years of therapeutic levels of factor IX (F.IX) in men with severe hemophilia B illustrates clearly that gene therapy has the potential to improve outcomes and simplify management of serious hematologic disorders.

At a basic level, all gene transfer strategies are characterized by 3 critical elements, the gene to be transferred, the target tissue into which the gene will be introduced, and the vector (gene delivery vehicle) used to facilitate entry of the gene to the target tissue (Figure 1A).3 The gene is the active agent of the therapeutic, but the vector, in most cases derived from a virus, is also a critical determinant of therapeutic success and of the toxicity profile of the product. In order to achieve long-term expression of the donated gene, 1 of 2 strategies can be employed: either using an integrating vector (typically retroviral or lentiviral) to introduce the gene ex vivo into a stem cell, which allows the donated gene to be passed to every daughter cell, or introducing the gene into a long-lived postmitotic cell in vivo, in which case, as long as the donated DNA can be stabilized in the cell (integrated or episomal), long-term expression will result. This review article will focus on the in vivo administration of AAV vectors, which has been successful in several settings.10,11

As one would predict, the in vivo administration of a viral vector necessitates grappling at close quarters with the human immune response to foreign antigens. Immune responses may be directed against the AAV vector component or the transgene product, or both. Animal models predicted many aspects of the human immune response to the transgene product but largely failed to predict responses to AAV capsid. Delineation of these responses, and crafting of strategies to circumvent or manage them, is critical to achieving clinical success with AAV vectors. What follows is a review of data from both clinical and preclinical studies, which, viewed together, allow the assembly of a mosaic that has led to working strategies for identifying and managing host immune responses in AAV-mediated gene transfer. There are clearly areas where the image is blurred, however, and issues that require further investigation in order to extend clinical applications will be highlighted.

AAV vectors have been used to transduce cells in the retina,5-7,12 the central nervous system,13,14 the liver,4,15 and skeletal16-18 and cardiac muscle.19 The review leans more heavily on data from studies of greatest relevance to hematologists, but other studies are also included to allow the current state of understanding to emerge.

AAV viruses and recombinant AAV virions

AAV vectors are engineered from a naturally occurring parvovirus, adeno-associated virus, which was first isolated in 1966 in studies of pathogens in the respiratory tract.20 AAV is not autonomously replicating but is rather dependent on a helper virus such as adenovirus or herpes simplex for replication. This initial exposure during a coinfection may account for the generation of a memory T-cell response to AAV, with the autonomous helper virus promoting the immune response. AAV itself is not associated with any known illnesses in the human population. Serological studies document that most people are exposed to wild-type AAV during
AAV as a gene delivery vehicle. (B) Dozens of different naturally occurring AAV capsids, as well as genetically engineered ones, have been isolated for study, from humans and from other species. The capsid sequences are highly conserved, from 60% to >99%, but studies with naturally occurring serotypes and purpose-engineered capsids have shown that even small differences in capsid sequence may affect tissue tropism of a vector and can be exploited to improve therapeutic outcomes. Figure 1A reprinted from Xie et al with permission. Copyright 2000 National Academy of Sciences, USA. Figure 1B reprinted from Arrunda and Xiao with permission. Copyright 2006 John Wiley and Sons.

Figure 1. Structure and tropism of wild-type AAV and of recombinant AAV vectors. (A) Gene therapy vectors are complex therapeutics requiring proper assembly of both DNA and protein components to generate the final product. Wild-type AAVs are small nonenveloped viruses, 20 to 25 nm in diameter, with a single-stranded DNA genome of ~4.7 kb encoding 2 sets of genes, the rep genes required for replication and virion assembly and the cap genes that encode the 3 proteins that assemble to form the 60-mer viral capsid (upper bar). AAV vectors are composed of an outer protein shell, an exact or close replica of the AAV viral capsid, carrying a therapeutic gene of interest under the control of an appropriate promoter (lower bar). The vector is 74% protein by molecular weight. Maximum packaging capacity is the 60-mer viral capsid (upper bar). AAV vectors are composed of an outer protein shell, an exact or close replica of the AAV viral capsid, carrying a therapeutic gene of interest under the control of an appropriate promoter (lower bar). The vector is 74% protein by molecular weight. Maximum packaging capacity is the 60-mer viral capsid (upper bar).

Recombinant AAV vectors are fully deleted of viral coding sequences, retaining only the viral inverted terminal repeats, which serve as signals for packaging of the genome into the viral capsid. In 1996, 2 groups reported that recombinant AAV vectors could direct long-term expression of a transgene after introduction into skeletal muscle in immunocompetent mice. The first trials of AAV vectors in human subjects were in the setting of cystic fibrosis, the first trial in hemophilia B, in which vector was injected into skeletal muscle, began in 1999.

A key concept in the study of immune responses triggered by in vivo gene transfer with AAV is that the viral vector, a recombinant molecule consisting of a eukaryotic transgene and a viral capsid, is not a virus and is in fact incapable of directing the synthesis of any viral proteins. However, the recombinant capsid is a close mimic, or in some cases an exact replica, of a true viral capsid, and thus the immune responses to the vector will be influenced by the prior exposure of the human immune system to the wild-type virus from which the vector was engineered. Concepts related to the kinetics of the immune response to viruses are likely to translate poorly to viral vectors, which consist of preformed antigen administered as a single bolus, compared with wild-type viruses, for which the continual synthesis and presentation of viral antigens are critical to the tempo of the host immune response. Thus, it seems logical that viral vectors may initiate memory responses at the time of infusion, but that these responses may not play out in the accustomed time frame given the absence of any ongoing viral replication. Despite the differences from a true virus, several organizing principles that define the current understanding of the human immune response to viruses are critical for optimizing clinical outcomes with viral vectors; these include tissue-specific aspects of the immune response, innate immunity, and the distinct roles of B cells and T cells in viral response.

Innate immunity to AAV vectors

Innate immune responses constitute the frontline defense against viral infections and the main toxicity feature encountered in the development of gene transfer strategies using adenoviral vectors. AAV vectors, conversely, have gained wide acceptance as in vivo gene transfer vectors due to their very mild proinflammatory profile. However, a growing body of work indicates that the interactions of AAV vector components with the innate immune system are potentially important determinants of the outcome of gene transfer. In murine and human plasmacytoid dendritic cells (DCs) in vitro, the AAV vector single-stranded DNA genome interacts with the innate immune system through the Toll-like receptor (TLR) 9/MyD88 and type I interferon cascade. AAV vectors also trigger nuclear factor κB–dependent production of cytokine and chemokine release in mouse liver, and MyD88 signaling in B cells also seems to control the production of capsid-specific T helper 1 antibody responses. TLR9-dependent release of inflammatory cytokines may also result in enhanced transgene immunogenicity, as shown by Martino et al for self-complementary, but not for single-stranded, AAV vectors in the context of liver gene transfer in mice. Aside from the viral genome, the capsid of AAV serotype 2 (AAV2) may also interact with the innate immune system via TLR2, recently shown to occur in nonparenchymal cells (Kupffer and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells) of human liver. Although these studies provide strong evidence that innate immune recognition of AAV occurs in animals,
little is known about the consequences of these interactions in the clinical setting, particularly how innate immunity to AAV impacts adaptive responses to the recombinant vector. Clinical observation of more than 300 subjects injected with AAV has shown no evidence of acute clinical responses such as changes in vital signs or nausea and vomiting, administration to a wide range of tissues has proceeded uneventfully.

### Adaptive immunity to AAV vectors

#### B-cell responses to AAV

Humoral immunity to wild-type AAV represents the first-encountered and one of the most effective barriers to successful systemic gene delivery with AAV vectors. In the first clinical trial in which AAV vectors were delivered through the bloodstream (in this case for hemophilia B), neutralizing antibody (NAb) titers were measured but were not used to exclude subjects from the trial because it was unclear what level of titers would actually block transduction. The study showed that relatively low NAb titers completely neutralized large doses of vector. Of 2 subjects enrolled in the high-vector-dose cohort, a dose of $2 \times 10^{12}$ vector genomes per kg body weight (vg/kg), 1 subject with a pretreatment NAb titer of 1:17 did not express any detectable circulating FIX, whereas the other with a low titer of 1:2 developed circulating levels of ~10% of normal. Studies in mice and nonhuman primates (NHPs), have shown that NAb titers as low as 1:5 can block AAV vector transduction of liver completely, and that vector remains susceptible to antibody-mediated neutralization for several hours after intravascular delivery. Similarly, antibodies against AAV vectors are likely to present an obstacle to efficient transduction for vector delivery within the joint space to target synoviocytes, into the limb vasculature to target muscle, into the ventricles to target the central nervous system, or into the coronary arteries to target cardiac muscle. Conversely, the presence of anti-AAV antibodies does not seem to affect transduction efficiency when the vector is injected intraparenchymally or into the eye.

#### Prevalence of anti-AAV antibodies in humans

Studies in healthy humans show that a significant proportion of individuals develop humoral immunity against the capsid early in life, starting at ~2 years of age, as a consequence of natural exposure to wild-type AAV. Additionally, maternal anti-AAV antibodies can be found in newborns and decrease a few months after birth, starting at 2 years of age, as a consequence of natural exposure. Individuals develop humoral immunity against the capsid early in life, starting at 2 years of age, as a consequence of natural exposure to wild-type AAV.

### Strategies to overcome humoral immunity to AAV

Gene transfer to subjects with preexisting immunity to AAV remains a major challenge for all methods of delivery through the circulation. The current approach to NAb to AAV is simply to exclude patients with antibodies to AAV, but because this involves up to 70% of the population, a better solution must be found.

Some of the proposed strategies to overcome the limitation of humoral immunity to AAV, which could be used alone or in combination, are summarized in Table 1. Switching serotypes seems unlikely to succeed because of the high degree of cross-reactivity of NAb across AAV serotypes. Conversely, some promising results have been obtained with pharmacologic and physical (plasmapheresis) modulation of anti-AAV NAb titers, and the use of catheters followed by saline flushing has been explored in the setting of AAV vector gene transfer with some promising results.

### T-cell responses to the capsid and lessons from the first trial of AAV delivery to the liver

The first clear indication that human CD8 T-cell responses to AAV capsid had not been accurately predicted by animal models and could limit efficacy of gene transfer was uncovered in the initial trial of AAV gene transfer to liver for hemophilia. An AAV2 vector expressing human F.IX under the control of a liver-specific promoter was introduced into the livers of men with severe hemophilia B; the first subject infused at the high dose, $2 \times 10^{12}$ vg/kg, initially expressed F.IX at levels in the range of 10% to 12%, establishing that the therapeutic dose had been accurately predicted by studies in hemophilic dogs. However, after a period of several weeks, F.IX expression disappeared, accompanied by a self-limited and asymptomatic transaminase elevation (Figure 2A). This series of events had never been seen in experimental animal models.

Attention focused on factors that differed between experimental animals and human subjects including previous exposure to wild-type AAV in most human subjects, and previous exposure to hepatitis C virus (HCV), hepatitis B virus, or both in most hemophiliac trial subjects, which conceivably altered the hepatic microenvironment. Evaluation for known causes of elevated transaminases was negative, and direct toxicity from the vector infusion procedure seemed unlikely because the liver function tests were normal for the first several weeks after vector administration. The time course was consistent with some sort of immune response, and the trial was thus amended to allow the collection of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) at baseline and after vector infusion, with the goal of screening for immune responses to both vector (AAV capsid) and transgene product (F.IX). The next subject to enroll was negative for serological evidence of exposure to HCV or hepatitis B virus, eliminating this as a confounding variable, but he also developed transaminase elevation in a similar time course (Figure 2B). Analysis of PBMCs by interferon-enzyme-linked immunospot (IFN-y ELISPOT) showed a response to AAV capsid but not to F.IX (Figure 3A). These findings led to the working hypothesis that the preformed capsid that had entered the hepatocyte at the time of transduction, but was not being actively synthesized by the cell, had nonetheless gained access to major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC I) presentation pathways. This resulted in the
Table 1. Possible strategies to overcome humoral immunity to AAV in systemic gene transfer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Select subjects with low-to-undetectable anti-AAV NAb.</td>
<td>Enrolment of NAb-negative subjects has been effective in allowing successful gene transfer.</td>
<td>Antibody assays are relatively insensitive (risk of false negatives) particularly for AAV serotypes performing poorly in vitro. More than 50% of humans develop anti-AAV humoral immunity after the age of 3, and thus exclusion of those with antibodies restricts the patient population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administer high vector doses.</td>
<td>Simple approach that may be effective in the presence of low-titer NAb.</td>
<td>High vector doses may trigger anticapsid CTL responses. Relatively low-titer antibodies (1:5-1:17) can neutralize large doses of vector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use empty capsids to adsorb anti-AAV antibodies, thus allowing for vector transduction.</td>
<td>Effectively overcomes humoral immunity to AAV. Does not require any pharmacologic intervention; Empty capsids are an easy-to-manufacture by-product of AAV vector production.</td>
<td>Increase antigen load in target organ, thus potentially triggering anticapsid T-cell immunity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administer IS to prevent or eradicate humoral immune responses to AAV.</td>
<td>Drugs selectively targeting B cells and plasma cells are approved for use in humans; The approach has been shown to be at least partially effective.</td>
<td>Potential risks associated with systemic IS; Risks associated with blocking the induction of regulatory T cells with IS. Many IS drugs, particularly biologics, are not effective in animal models of gene transfer, making modeling IS strategies in animals difficult; Not effective in the complete eradication of preexisting high-titer NAb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch AAV serotype or engineer AAV capsids that are less susceptible to NAb.</td>
<td>This approach has been shown to be effective in some instances.</td>
<td>Switching or altering the capsid may modify significantly the AAV vector tissue tropism; Anti-AAV capsid antibodies are highly cross-reactive among serotypes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use repeated plasma exchange cycles to adsorb immunoglobulins and therefore reduce the anti-AAV antibody titer.</td>
<td>Does not require IS; Relatively safe, noninvasive procedure; Effective in dropping anti-AAV antibody titer.</td>
<td>Requires several cycles of plasmapheresis to achieve significant drop in antibody titer; Does not completely eradicate high-titer anti-AAV antibodies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use delivery techniques such as balloon catheters followed by saline flushing to isolate the target tissue from the systemic circulation to avoid vector dilution in blood and exposure to NAb.</td>
<td>Limits systemic exposure to the vector.</td>
<td>Relatively invasive, safety in diseased target organs/tissues needs to be assessed; Not useful if systemic gene transfer is required for therapeutic efficacy, not feasible for all target tissues.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTL, cytotoxic T lymphocyte; IS, immunosuppression.

expansion of a preexisting pool of capsid-specific CD8+ memory T cells, generated in the context of a prior infection with wild-type AAV together with a helper virus such as adenovirus. The requirements for reactivation of memory T cells are less stringent than those for induction of primary responses. Memory CD8+ T cells can commence effector function or undergo expansion upon recognition of antigen presented by any type of cell in the context of MHC I molecules. The immune response then effected a clean excision of the transaminase elevation and loss of FIX expression, and thus exclusion of those with antibodies restricts the patient population.

The inability to recapitulate the human findings in an animal model led to ongoing controversy about the pathophysiological basis of the transaminase elevation and loss of FIX expression, and multiple alternative hypotheses were advanced (Table 2). However, if the observed toxicity was indeed related to the catabolism of the capsid, this aspect of drug metabolism needed to be understood in greater detail. Early studies by Engelhardt and colleagues showed that AAV vector particles are indeed ubiquitinated once internalized in the cytosol, a step that is fundamental for targeting intracellular proteins for degradation through
proteasomes. These findings are supported by the fact that proteasome inhibitors increase AAV transduction efficiency in vitro and in vivo, and that mutating surface-exposed tyrosines, which are targets for phosphorylation and ubiquitination, results in enhanced AAV vector transduction. Evidence continued to emerge to support the hypothesis that presentation via MHC I pathways of the preformed capsid antigen had led to immune-mediated destruction of transduced hepatocytes. For example Pien et al. generated a soluble T-cell receptor (TCR) reagent that recognized the B*0702 molecule complexed to a peptide from the AAV capsid and used this reagent to demonstrate peptide-MHC complexes on the surface of transduced hepatocytes by confocal microscopy. The soluble TCR also blocked lysis of transduced target cells in a dose-dependent fashion when added to a cytotoxic T-cell assay in which human PBMCs expanded in vitro against capsid were used as effector cells. In other studies, the development of a modified T-cell line that expresses the capsid-specific TCR and that also expresses luciferase as a function of engagement of the TCR (Figure 4A) demonstrated dose-dependent luciferase expression following exposure to hepatocytes transduced with AAV at progressively higher multiplicities of infection (Figure 4B). Moreover, luciferase expression could be completely blocked by the addition of proteasome inhibitors (Figure 4C), suggesting that the proteasomal processing of input capsid was required for peptide presentation.

The AAV8-F.IX trial

The AAV8 trial proposed by Nathwani and colleagues (sponsored by St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital and University College London) synthesized multiple developments in the field to generate a novel vector and a modified trial design to elude or overcome immune obstacles identified in the previous trial. First, the trial excluded individuals with neutralizing antibodies to AAV (titers >1:5) (vide supra). Second, the vector was reengineered with 3 modifications, including a switch to a capsid serotype (AAV8) with strong tropism for liver, allowing intravenous delivery, a change in the DNA conformation from single stranded to double stranded, which had been proposed to improve transduction efficiency, and codon optimization of the F.IX sequence to enhance translational efficiency. Additionally, in an attempt to thwart an immune response should it occur, the trial design incorporated a provision for administering high-dose steroids should any subject develop transaminase elevation and/or loss of F.IX expression. This necessitated excluding subjects who were positive for HCV RNA viral load because steroids are contraindicated in this setting. The first 2 dose cohorts proceeded uneventfully, and all of the subjects showed an increase in circulating levels of F.IX, in the range of 1% to 3%. These data, although modest, were nonetheless exciting, as the increases were persistent and, in some cases, allowed subjects to dramatically reduce the amount of clotting factor concentrates they used to manage their disease.

The first subject to receive a dose of $2 \times 10^{12} \text{vg/kg}$ of AAV8-F.IX had circulating F.IX levels of 8% to 10% for a period of 8 weeks (Figure 5A). However, at that point, the serum transaminases rose rapidly, and F.IX levels began to fall. The subject was begun on high-dose prednisolone, 60 mg/d, which was tapered over a period of 8 weeks. This maneuver resulted in partial “rescue” of F.IX levels,
which stabilized eventually at ~2%. Liver function tests also rapidly returned to normal. Subsequent studies of PBMCs from the subject documented a marked rise in circulating capsid-specific T cells at ~8 weeks after vector infusion and disappearance of these with administration of high-dose prednisolone (Figure 5B). These data seemed to confirm the findings from the AAV2 trial, that is, that a dose-dependent immune response directed against epitopes in the AAV capsid arises weeks after vector administration, and that it

![Figure 3. Expansion of a population of capsid-specific CD8+ T cells after vector infusion, and working model of capsid processing and presentation in hepatocyte. (A) Time course of serum transaminases and frequency of AAV2 capsid peptide-specific CD8+ T cells in PBMCs, in subject infused at 4 × 10^{11} vg/kg dose. (B) Working hypothesis of CD8+ T-cell-mediated destruction of AAV-transduced hepatocytes. On transduction, vector enters hepatocytes, and following escape from the endosome and uncoating, vector DNA directs synthesis of F.IX. Some capsid remains behind in the cytosol and undergoes proteasomal processing. Capsid-derived peptides are then transported to the endoplasmic reticulum and loaded onto MHC I molecules, making the transduced hepatocyte a target for circulating capsid-specific CD8+ T cells. Note that activation of CD8+ T cells and presentation of capsid-derived peptides must occur in a similar time course to result in a clinically detectable event. Figure 3A reprinted from Mingozzi et al,67 and Figure 3B reprinted from Mingozzi and High.10](image)

Table 2. Competing hypotheses explaining AAV vector immune responses in humans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Supporting observations</th>
<th>Observations not supporting the model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capsid antigen presentation and memory T-cell activation leads to clearance of AAV-transduced cells.67</td>
<td>Human studies show expansion of capsid T cells following vector delivery.6,67,87</td>
<td>Most humans are exposed to wild-type AAV, but not all react against the AAV capsid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression of rep/cap from vector impurities triggers CTLs against transduced cells.82</td>
<td>AAV capsid packaging DNA impurities can be found in vector preparations.84,85</td>
<td>Studies in mice failed to detect expression of Rep and Cap in animals injected with high vector doses.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation of ARFs within expression cassettes results in CTLs against AAV-transduced cells.82</td>
<td>Animal studies show that it is possible to express epitopes from ARFs.87</td>
<td>Screening of subjects for anti-ARF T-cell reactivity did not support the model.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferential uptake of AAV2 by APCs via heparin-binding domain results in higher immunogenicity.</td>
<td>Animal studies showed that AAV2 binds more efficiently to APCs than AAV8.85</td>
<td>Subjects dosed with AAV8 vectors (not binding to heparin) developed T-cell responses against the capsid.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APC, antigen-presenting cell; ARF, alternate open reading frame.
has the capacity to destroy the F.IX-expressing hepatocytes. A subsequent subject, who also developed a modest rise in transaminase levels at 8 weeks, was begun on a short course of steroids at the first sign of increase in ALT (Figure 5C-D). This subject has now maintained a circulating level of F.IX in the range of 4% to 6% for more than 24 months, with observation ongoing. His hemophilia has been changed from severe disease to mild.

It should be noted that subjects in the intermediate dose cohort in this trial also exhibited detectable numbers of circulating capsid-specific T cells on IFN-γ ELISPOT, without any ensuing transaminase elevation or decline in circulating levels of F.IX. However, the development of clinically detectable transaminase elevation requires at least 2 conditions: first, the proliferation of capsid-specific T cells; and second, the display on the surface of the transduced hepatocytes of sufficient numbers of peptide-MHC complexes (peptides derived from AAV capsid) to trigger immune-mediated destruction of transduced hepatocytes. The key advance in this trial with regard to the immune response was the demonstration that it could be controlled or at least ameliorated by a short course of high-dose steroids. This finding raises a number of follow-up questions, including what proportion of subjects will require a course of high-dose steroids, and whether this maneuver will reliably control the immune response in those who develop it, particularly when vector doses higher than the ones tested so far are administered. Ongoing and planned clinical trials will help to gather data on these questions, but progress would be facilitated by the availability of an animal model that recapitulated the human immune response.

Capsid T-cell responses in muscle gene transfer

Extensive T-cell monitoring performed in muscle gene transfer trials with AAV vectors resulted in the generation of one of the largest data sets on capsid T-cell responses in humans.17,19,64,98-102 As for liver gene transfer, the cumulative results suggest that the magnitude of T-cell responses directed against the AAV capsid roughly correlates with the dose of vector administered, with an increasing number of subjects showing T-cell reactivity to the AAV capsid in PBMCs at higher vector doses and, in some cases, a faster kinetics of detection of T cells in peripheral blood at higher vector doses.64 However, because of the fact that most of the therapeutic transgenes tested in muscle trials were nonsecreted, and that efficacy end points were more difficult to determine than with a circulating protein such as F.IX, correlation between results of immune response analysis and

---

**Figure 4.** Capsid antigen presentation is dose-dependent, and can be blocked with a proteasomal inhibitor. (A) Generation of a genetically modified T-cell line used as a sensitive detector of peptide-MHC complexes on the cell surface. The T-cell line is stably transfected with a luciferase gene under the control of a promoter containing the NFAT sequence. The cell also carries a TCR cloned from a human subject that recognizes a specific peptide from the AAV capsid complexed with HLA B*0702. Upon engagement of the TCR luciferase is produced. (B) Human hepatocyte cell line HHL5-B7 is transduced with AAV at progressively higher MOIs (x-axis). When the TCR recognizes its cognate peptide-MHC complex, luciferase expression increases (y-axis) in proportion to the number of peptide-MHC complexes engaged. (C) Treatment of cells with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib reduces AAV capsid antigen presentation in a dose-dependent manner. MOI, multiplicity of infection; NFAT, nuclear factor of activated T cells; RLU, relative light units. Reprinted from Finn et al.91
clinical outcome was more difficult to establish. Furthermore, several factors appear to influence the outcome of gene transfer in muscle, including upregulation of MHC I expression in some settings,\textsuperscript{100,101} apoptosis of reactive T cells,\textsuperscript{101,103} immunomodulatory properties of the transgene product\textsuperscript{17,98,104} as well as transgene immunogenicity (vide infra), and baseline levels of inflammation within the target tissue.\textsuperscript{105} Additional studies are needed to identify determinants that may lead to a destructive immune response following AAV vector administration to muscle and to establish whether IS is needed (eg, when large doses of AAV vectors are administered). Recently, long (>10 years) duration of expression of an AAV-administered transgene has been reported in a human subject\textsuperscript{106}; the generally low level of MHC I expression in healthy skeletal muscle may reduce the likelihood of cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL)–mediated events in this setting.

Data accumulated so far support the safety of muscle gene transfer and indicate that in skeletal muscle, as in liver and presumably other tissues with high regenerative capacity, T-cell responses against the capsid, if they occur, are mild, mostly resulting in loss of therapeutic efficacy with no other sequelaes.

**Gene transfer to immune-privileged body compartments**

The eye and central nervous system are known to be immune-privileged compartments of the body due to adaptations that limit immune responses, likely in order to preserve these tissues with limited regenerative capacities from destructive inflammatory reactions. Delivery of small amounts of AAV vectors directly into the brain has been tested in a number of trials for Parkinson disease,\textsuperscript{13,51,52,107} Canavan disease,\textsuperscript{14,108} and late infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis.\textsuperscript{50} Similarly, subretinal vector delivery has been performed in a number of clinical studies of gene transfer for RPE65 deficiency,\textsuperscript{5-7,47} and in the immunologically stringent setting of vector administration to the contralateral eye of previously injected subjects.\textsuperscript{46} In all these studies, AAV vector administration was generally associated with little to no detectable immune response to the capsid or the transgene in serum and PBMCs. Future studies will help define the upper limit of vector dose that can be safely administered to humans without breaking the immunologic unresponsiveness of brain and eye, for example, in the proposed setting of global brain transduction through intracerebrospinal fluid AAV vector delivery.\textsuperscript{44}

**Trade-offs around the immune response and problems to be solved**

The limitations imposed by the human immune response to AAV capsid are well illustrated in the hemophilia B trials. To avoid the neutralizing antibodies, one can simply exclude these patients from the trials, but this prevents as many as 70% of subjects from enrolling (vide supra). A potential remedy to the issue is the use of higher doses, but this risks increasing the capsid load to the target cell (Figure 6). At the maximum doses used thus far in the hemophilia trials, $2 \times 10^{12}$ vg/kg, the CD8$^+$ T-cell response to capsid can be reduced or controlled using a short course of high-dose steroids, but it is unclear that this same strategy will be successful at higher...
doses of vector. Thus, it may be critical to develop alternative strategies (Table 3) such as stronger IS regimens if higher doses of vector are needed. Alternatively, high specific-activity transgenes, such as F.IX Padua, may allow a reduction in dose to a level that can be tolerated without a need for steroids (vide infra), although the risks of immune responses to variant clotting factor molecules inherent in this approach must be carefully considered (http://www.novonordisk.com/investors/sea/sea.asp?sShowNewsItemGuID=a64edf3-6393-4ce7-bb74-4814aa5ec29a&sShowLanguageCode=en-GB&sSearchText=rFVIII+OR+Turoctocog+alfa+OR+NN7008). Finally, the elimination of excess empty capsids from the vector preparations may reduce the total capsid load to a level that can be tolerated without coadministration of an IS regimen (vide infra).

Vector-manufacturing considerations

AAV vector production and purification methodologies affect to a substantial degree the nature and amount of impurities in the final product. Impurities commonly found in AAV vector preparations include host cell proteins, mammalian DNA, and other contaminants, which may impact the immunogenicity of the final product itself. Thus far, the only AAV vector preparations administered to humans intravascularly and at high doses have been those prepared by triple transfection of HEK293 cells in the context of the 2 hemophilia B trials (vide supra).4-12 Vectors produced with other technologies, such as baculovirus expression systems13 or with the use of live helper virus,14 have also been used in human trials but were administered intramuscularly,15 or subretinally,16 or at lower doses than in the hemophilia studies.17,115

Aside from the host cell platform used to produce AAV vectors, one of the major determinants of the content of vector preparations is the downstream purification process. Typically, column purification methods cannot be used to separate empty from full capsids, with some exceptions,116 whereas addition of a gradient centrifugation step to the vector purification procedure can effectively isolate empty from full capsids.117,118

Excess capsid antigen may favor vector transduction in vivo by overcoming vector neutralization by anti-AAV antibodies.119 However, both full and empty capsids can enter a target cell20 and contribute to the amount of antigen being presented on MHC I molecules25 with consequent recognition of transduced cells by capsid-specific T cells. Manufacturers may thus need to balance competing demands for higher purity and better scalability of the process. Modifications that reduce empty capsids while preserving scalability are needed.

Immune responses against the transgene product

Because immune responses to the transgene product can be affected by the innate immune response to the vector, the relatively low pro-inflammatory profile and the inefficiency in transducing DCs of AAV constitute advantages for this vector in terms of risk of transgene-triggered immune responses compared with, for example, adenoviral or lentiviral vectors. Several groups showed that delivery of AAV vectors to the liver induces transgene-specific tolerance21-125, recent studies demonstrate that this effect is mediated by regulatory T cells.126,127 AAV-mediated gene transfer has also been used in hemophilic mice and dogs with neutralizing antibodies to clotting factors (both VIII and IX) to eradicate these antibodies127,129 (clinically termed inhibitors), further underscoring the protolerogenic properties of liver gene transfer with these vectors and providing proof of concept for an additional application of gene transfer (ie, eradication of inhibitors in hemophilia).

Thus far, clinical studies of liver gene transfer have been conducted only in the context of hemophilia B.4,15 As predicted by preclinical studies, none of the subjects enrolled in these trials developed immune responses against the donated therapeutic transgene, despite the fact that some of them had null mutations in the F.IX gene. However, all subjects who had a history of inhibitor following protein replacement therapy were excluded from these studies; thus additional studies will be required to address the risk of mounting an immune response against the transgene product in previously untreated patients. Finally, studies conducted so far in dogs with AAV vectors expressing the F.IX Padua hyperactive variant109 would argue that the approach is safe in the context of gene transfer.110,111 This approach will need to be carefully tested, particularly when nonnative transgene variants are expressed with vectors that may interact with the innate immune system (vide supra and Martino et al34 and Wu et al130).

The development of transgene-specific immune responses is highly dependent on the route of AAV vector administration. Preclinical studies of AAV gene transfer to muscle suggest that IS may be needed to maintain gene expression following intramuscular vector delivery in nontolerant animals.131-134

In the clinic, direct intramuscular gene transfer using AAV vectors has been explored for a number of indications, including hemophilia B, lipoprotein lipase deficiency, alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency, and muscular dystrophies.99-102 In addition, cardiac muscle has also been targeted through an intravascular approach, for cardiac failure.19 Immune responses against the therapeutic transgene were not detectable in most of these studies. However, in a recent study of AAV gene transfer in children with Duchenne muscular dystrophy in which an AAV vector encoding a functional truncated version of the dystrophin protein was delivered intramuscularly, analysis of muscle biopsies showed no transgene expression in any of the subjects, and analysis of PBMCs showed the presence of transgene-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in 4 of 6
The setting of animal models of muscular dystrophy. The use of immune responses to the transgene product has been demonstrated in DCs, with detection of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell reactivity and increase in serum creatine kinase in some subjects at approximately day 30 post vector administration. Some subjects also experienced a drop in circulating levels of transgene product associated with detection of capsid-specific T cells, having arisen most likely prior to vector injection in 2 of 4 subjects, having arisen most likely in response to spontaneous revertant fibers. In another study of intramuscular gene transfer for α₁-antitrypsin deficiency, vector administration was associated with detection of capsid-specific T-cell reactivity and increase in serum creatine kinase in some subjects at approximately day 30 post vector administration. Some subjects also experienced a drop in circulating levels of transgene product associated with detection of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell infiltrates in muscle biopsies. T-cell reactivity against the α₁-antitrypsin protein was confirmed in 1 subject, but the clinical relevance of this finding is unclear.

The role of tissue-specific promoters in reducing or abrogating immune responses to the transgene product has been demonstrated in the setting of animal models of muscular dystrophy. The use of tissue-specific micro RNA elements to detarget transgene expression in DCs, first used in the context of lentiviral vectors and more recently extended to AAV vectors introduced into skeletal muscle, prevented cellular immune responses to a human sarcoglycan transgene following intramuscular injection in normal mice but not in sarcoglycan knockout mice, where the presence of ongoing inflammation may alter or amplify immune responses. Long-term expression of the dystrophin gene in canine muscular dystrophy, achieved following short-term IS at the time of AAV vector administration, may provide another strategy for avoiding immune responses in this challenging setting.

Despite the potential risk of transgene immune responses, muscle is an important target tissue not only for diseases like muscular dystrophies, but also for protein deficiencies in which liver (the main site of synthesis of several of these proteins) is compromised and cannot be used as the target organ. Recent developments suggest that it is possible to target the skeletal muscle through the intravascular route, resulting in high transduction efficiency and lower transgene immunogenicity.

### Table 3. Possible strategies to overcome capsid-specific T-cell responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Select subjects naive to AAV.</td>
<td>Avoid memory responses to AAV.</td>
<td>Data suggest that capsid-reactive T cells fail to circulate in peripheral blood, and thus the most reliable way to screen subjects for T-cell reactivity to AAV is to use splenocytes, an approach not feasible clinically.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease the therapeutic vector dose using highly efficient AAV vectors of hyperactive variants of the therapeutic transgenes.</td>
<td>Available data suggest that the approach is feasible and may be effective in preventing T-cell-mediated clearance of transduced cells.</td>
<td>This strategy may not be applicable for those gene transfer protocols in which the therapeutic dose is expected to be considerably higher than the doses tested so far in humans. Hyperactive variants are not available for every transgene.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administer IS to prevent or block T-cell responses to AAV.</td>
<td>Steroids effectively block T-cell responses directed against the AAV capsid at doses tested thus far; Extensive experience with IS comes from transplant medicine, and several IS drugs can be safely administered for prolonged periods.</td>
<td>Potential risks associated with systemic IS; Risks associated with blocking the induction of regulatory T cells with IS; Many IS drugs, particularly biologics, are not effective in animal models, precluding preclinical testing; Timing of capsid presentation on MHC I in vivo is unknown, and thus timing of IS administration may be difficult to define except through clinical experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch AAV serotypes or engineer AAV capsids to remove MHC I epitopes.</td>
<td>In theory, the approach could work.</td>
<td>Because of AAV capsid sequence conservation, a high degree of cross-recognition by capsid-specific T cells is expected; Switching or altering the capsid can significantly modify the AAV capsid tissue tropism; Complexity of MHC I recognition in humans and presence of subdominant T-cell epitopes may hinder efforts in this direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use proteasome inhibitors or mutant capsids that are not efficiently ubiquitinated.</td>
<td>Both measures have shown efficacy in reducing AAV capsid antigen presentation on MHC I.</td>
<td>The effect may be only partial or may require prolonged pharmacotherapy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Concluding remarks

Over the past several years, studies in humans have demonstrated the therapeutic potential of in vivo gene transfer with AAV vectors. Initially, investigators underestimated the extent of the interactions of recombinant viral vectors with the human immune system because these had not been predicted by studies in animals. AAV vectors are complex biological therapeutics consisting of a viral capsid, a DNA genome, and the therapeutic transgene product that the DNA encodes. Each of these components can interact at multiple levels with the innate and adaptive immune system. Consistent with current concepts in immunology, the human immune response to vector may vary substantially depending on the tissue in which the vector is encountered, with outcomes ranging from unresponsiveness (eg, gene transfer in the eye), to tolerance (eg, to the transgene product following expression in the liver), to clearance of transduced cells (eg, capsid T-cell responses in the liver). Small-scale clinical studies have yielded a tremendous amount of information about these interactions, how to measure them, and
ultimately, how to modulate them, which is key to attaining optimal outcomes for clinical application.

Much more remains to be done to gain a more nuanced understanding of immune responses to AAV vectors and to better understand the safety implications of administering high vector doses to humans. For example, little is known about what drives these responses aside from the total vector dose administered. In the case of immune responses to capsid in liver, basic principles suggest that the simultaneous occurrence of T-cell activation and capsid antigen presentation are required to trigger a transaminase elevation and loss of transduced hepatocytes (Figure 3B); however, although it is straightforward to measure the former (through IFN-γ ELISPOT on PBMCs), there is no clinical method for measuring the latter. Also unknown is the pharmacogenetics of gene transfer and whether, for example, certain human HLA alleles may be associated with higher vector immunogenicity. Clearly, the availability of predictive animal models would constitute an important advance if the model could recapitulate effects of capsid structure, DNA conformation, and adjuvant immunomodulatory regimens on capsid antigen presentation and T-cell responses. Similarly, better solutions are needed to allow for enrollment of AAV seropositive individuals in gene transfer trials for which systemic vector delivery is required. In the case of hemophilia, there is no clinical experience with gene transfer in previously untreated patients, and therefore no knowledge about transgene immunogenicity in this patient population. Finally, innate immunity to AAV and its clinical implications are largely unknown.

Nonetheless, current results are promising and suggest that investigators have now defined a population of patients that can expect long-lasting expression of a donated gene from an AAV vector. These developments raise other questions: how long expression will last, whether readministration will be possible, and whether short-term IS with steroids will be effective at higher vector doses. These questions will only be answered by further clinical investigation.

The idea of treating AAV-naïve subjects, thus avoiding almost completely the issue of capsid immunity, is appealing. However, humans are exposed to AAV early in life, and AAV gene transfer in rapidly dividing tissues is not long lasting, unless strategies like those developed for adenovirus can be applied. Thus, the question of whether readministration will be possible, and whether short-term IS with steroids will be effective at higher vector doses, these questions will only be answered by further clinical investigation.
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