Antigen and substrate withdrawal in the management of autoimmune thrombotic disorders

Douglas B. Cines,1,2 Keith R. McCrae,3 X. Long Zheng,4 Bruce S. Sachais,1 Eline T. Luning Prak,1 and Don L. Siegel1

Departments of 1Pathology and Laboratory Medicine and 2Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA; 3Tausig Cancer Institute and Department of Cell Biology, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH; and 4Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

Introduction

Autoantibody-mediated hematologic disorders are common and diverse, targeting many cell types and coagulation proteins and leading to complications that can include thrombosis, bleeding, infection, or vasculitis. There is expanding understanding of host defects that permit autoantibodies to emerge, characteristics of autoantibodies that promote disease development, and interventions that impede autoantibody production. Although immunosuppression would, in theory, provide the most rational way to address the autoimmune response, such an approach, even if targeted to errant disease-specific B-cell clones, would not often provide therapeutic effects efficacy on a time scale necessary to alleviate acute and potentially life-threatening complications, such as thrombosis.

For the 3 thrombotic disorders considered here, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), antiphospholipid syndrome (APS), and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP), there is compelling evidence that autoantibodies are pathogenic, but these same autoantibodies may also circulate in the absence of clinical disease. In each disorder, thrombosis occurs episodically and shows regional predilections not entirely explained by circulating antibody titer or antigen specificity. This suggests that, although the autoantibodies are necessary, they are not sufficient for disease expression and additional factors modify the structure of endogenous proteins to induce or enhance recognition by autoantibody in the case of HIT and APS or that modulate effects downstream of autoantibody engagement with its cognate autoantigen in TTP.

Moreover, these disorders share clinical features, including acute onset of thrombosis not readily amenable to immunosuppression and variable response to anticoagulation. Therefore, although this model adds an additional layer of complexity to our understanding of pathogenesis, identifying and characterizing these processes may provide new therapeutic opportunities. Agents that antagonize the structural reorganization of endogenous protein autoantigens in HIT and APS or act on the substrate of the autoantigen in TTP, ultralarge multimers of von Willebrand factor (ULVWF), processes we refer to as “antigen” or “substrate withdrawal,” respectively, might lead to new, highly targeted approaches to prevent or treat these 3 acute-onset autoimmune thrombotic disorders and might mitigate current reliance on nonspecific immunosuppression or systemic anticoagulation.

Antigen withdrawal: is there precedence?

Before addressing the 3 thrombotic disorders HIT, APS, and TTP, we first asked whether there is precedence for the hypothesis that the onset and duration of certain autoantibody-mediated disorders are regulated at the level of antigen expression and organization. We found that the most straightforward support for this concept comes from the effect of antigen withdrawal in several disorders characterized by antibody-mediated thrombocytopenia.

Immune thrombocytopenia induced by drugs

The concept of antigen withdrawal is most clearly exemplified by the various ways in which self-reactive anti-platelet antibodies are induced by drugs or their metabolites. In the case of abciximab, pathogenic antibodies are directed to the murine component of the
chimeric Fab fragment. Drugs such as penicillin elicit self-reactive antibodies by binding to host cell proteins, whereas others (eg, glycoprotein Ib/IIa antagonists) might expose immunogenic epitopes in native proteins to preexisting antibodies or induce new epitopes causing delayed onset of disease. It is theorized that some drug-induced antibodies (eg, quinine, quinidine) arise from a preexisting population of low-affinity antibodies that recognize both the drug and its target but only bind with high affinity when both are present. The drug contains elements that mirror both the antigenic site and the complementarity determining regions of the Fab, serving as a bridge that improves “fit.” The relevance of these findings is that drug-induced thrombocytopenia typically resolves soon after the drug is discontinued, although the antibodies may persist for years and cause rapid onset of disease on reexposure to antigen (eg, quinidine). Less frequently, the autoantibodies bind to platelets in a drug-independent manner (eg, alemtuzimab) but still only persist for weeks to months.

**Immune thrombocytopenia induced by vaccines and microbes**

A second example of antigen withdrawal leading to resolution of clinical disease comes from the experience with thrombocytopenia after vaccination or infection. Acute thrombocytopenia develops within 42 days after mumps-measles-rubella vaccination in children, with an estimated incidence of one case per 40 000 doses. Antiplatelet glycoprotein Ib/IIa antibodies have been documented and patients respond to treatment used for primary immune thrombocytopenia (ITP). Importantly, thrombocytopenia is self-limiting in 80%-90% of cases and typically resolves within 6 weeks. ITP may also develop after infection with Helicobacter pylori, HIV, hepatitis C, and probably others (eg, in children). Antimicrobial antibodies that cross-react with glycoprotein Ib/IIa or uncharacterized platelet antigens have been identified. Most patients with HIV-related ITP respond to reduction in viral load. In contrast, the response rate to eradication of H pylori vary regionally from ~ 50% in Japan and Italy to ~ 5% in native-born United States patients, for unknown reasons that may relate to the generation of microbe-independent antibodies, analogous to the evolution of MALT lymphoma. The responsiveness of ITP to eradication of hepatitis C or other infections is less well characterized. We posit that, upon “antigen withdrawal,” whether by discontinuation of the drug, dissipation of the antigen in the case of vaccination, or successful antimicrobial therapy, the impetus to generate platelet-directed memory B cells wanes and the normal host immune system resets to eliminate residual self-reactive antibody-producing cells.

We next asked whether this insight into the pathogenesis of antibody-mediated thrombocytopenia is also applicable to disorders characterized by antibody-mediated thrombosis in which a seemingly normal constitutive host protein in an otherwise seemingly immunologically normal host becomes a persistent autoantigen.

**HIT: autoantigen oligomerization**

HIT is an autoantibody-mediated thrombotic disorder that occurs in ~ 1% of patients receiving at least 5 days of unfractionated heparin (UFH) in therapeutic doses, a lower proportion of those treated exclusively with low molecular weight heparin, and rarely after exposure to the anticoagulant pentasaccharide fondaparinux. HIT is caused by antibodies that recognize platelet factor 4 (PF4), a member of the CXC chemokine family, in a complex with heparin (H), other polysaccharides, or cellular glycosaminoglycans (GAGs). The reader is referred elsewhere for detailed reviews on the pathogenesis of thrombosis, diagnosis, and management of HIT.

Here, we address the question of why the prevalence of antibodies to PF4/heparin (H) or GAGs after intense platelet activation and release of PF4, such as those undergoing coronary bypass or other major surgery, greatly exceeds the incidence of HIT. Antibody titer and IgG isotype contribute to clinical risk, but many exceptions have been reported and host response factors (eg, trauma, vascular function) may contribute as well. HIT antibodies bind to PF4/H or PF4/GAG complexes over a narrow molar range of reactants at which they activate platelets. Although there is emerging literature that epitope specificity contributes to risk, the focus here is on the antigen and how changes in its composition and recognition by “HIT antibodies” may contribute to pathogenesis without a change in the antibody itself.

PF4 is a 32-amino acid protein synthesized predominantly by megakaryocytes and stored in the α-granules of platelets bound to chondroitin sulfate side chains on serglycin. PF4 monomers exist in equilibrium with dimers and tetramers, with the latter likely to predominate within the granules based on estimated concentration. Polymorphisms and posttranslational modifications of PF4 have not been reported. It has been proposed that, when platelets are activated, they release PF4/chondroitin sulfate complexes. A proportion of these complexes bind to the cell surface through the chondroitin sulfate moiety. PF4 also binds extensively to endothelium and other hematopoietic cells that express GAGs with higher affinity for PF4, such as heparan sulfate.

How does this help to explain the discrepancy between the incidence of seropositivity and clinical disease? Insight into this issue begins with the finding that PF4 and heparin form complexes that vary in size depending on the molar ratio of reactants. Binding of HIT antibody to PF4/H occurs over a relatively narrow range of molar ratios of reactants (~ 2 molecules of PF4 to 1 molecule of UFH, assuming an average molecular weight of 15 kDa). The requirements for antigen induction have been analyzed systemically by incubating plasma containing HIT antibodies with PF4 and diverse, characterized polysaccharides. The results showed that the polysaccharide plays a “permissive role” in antigen formation: a gradation of antigenicity is imparted to PF4 by the polysaccharides that depends on chain length, chemical composition, and extent of branching. At the optimal antigenic ratio of reactants, PF4 and UFH form ultra-large complexes (ULCs) in solution (molecular weight > 670 kDa). The concentration of heparin required to form ULCs and the percent of total complexes they represent vary inversely with the length of the “heparin.” Fondaparinux does not form ULCs, which correlates with its low risk of causing HIT. ULCs bind multiple anti–PF4/H HIT-like antibodies per complex and are more potent inducers of platelet activation than are smaller complexes that contain an estimated 2 PF4 and 2 UFH molecules. ULCs are stable over 24 hours but are readily dissociated by small changes in heparin or PF4 concentration. The in vivo relevance of these findings is supported by the relationship between the concentration of exogenous PF4 and binding of anti–PF4/H antibodies to platelets and monocytes (wherein antibody binding increases with addition of PF4 until an optimal concentration is reached above which binding falls) and the expression level of endogenous PF4 and the development of thrombocytopenia and thrombosis in an animal model. The finding that activation of platelets and monocytes increases PF4 binding capacity may provide insight into why the risk of thrombosis is greater in settings, such as bypass
surgery and trauma, among others, that are characterized by intense PF4 release, inflammation, and vascular injury.

The immunogenicity of PF4-heparin complexes in vivo correlates with their physical properties measured by the dynamics of light scattering and z-potential. Complexes enlarge through colloidal electrostatic interactions. Particle size peaks (>2000 nm) at PF4/UFH ratios that minimize z-potential. However, immunogenicity is enhanced by a net cationic surface charge (higher PF4/UFH ratios) that may permit complexes to bind more efficiently to GAG-containing antigen-presenting cells. Analysis using atomic force microscopy shows that heparin approximates PF4/H with the same Bmax by ELISA. This suggests that pathogenic antibodies may recognize an epitope clustered by heparin (1A). In contrast, heparin has no such effect or may partially inhibit the avidity of KKO through increased proximity to more than 1 binding site on PF4 (1B).

PF4 dimers are shown as ribbon diagrams based on the published crystal structure. A PF4 dimer (left) (A) Exposing the epitope recognized by RTO (2). (C) Disruption of PF4 tetramerization. Two PF4 dimers forming oligomeric complexes (shown here as a dimer for simplicity), which distal side of the tetramer. Heparin neutralizes cationic charge repulsion among PF4 on the surface of each PF4 tetramer (blue); the interrupted line represents binding to the PF4 tetramerization will reduce antibody avidity and prothrombotic sequence by impeding or disrupting formation of pathogenic ULCs. Several lines of evidence support this concept. First, increasing concentrations of UFH in vitro and in vivo12,14,17 or the addition of undersulfated, nonanticoagulant heparin dissociate H/PF4 complexes, reduce antigenicity, and displace PF4 from cells or cell-like surfaces. Second, a PF4 variant (PF4KS) with a mutation at the dimer-dimer interface binds heparin comparably to wild-type PF4 but does not tetramerize spontaneously, forms few ULCs in the presence of heparin, and is poorly recognized by HIT antibodies over a wide range of UFH concentrations. Third, the crystal structure of the AB/CD dimer interface has been used to design small molecule inhibitors of tetramer formation. These PF4 antagonists prevent and reverse oligomerization of wild-type PF4 by heparin, inhibit antibody binding, and block activation of platelets (Figure 1). Here we consider how antiphospholipid antibodies (APLAs) may engage in the immune response, as well as the clinical spectrum and pathogenic mechanisms that contribute. The APS is characterized by arterial and/or venous thrombosis, unexplained recurrent abortions or fetal loss, and persistent positive tests for a lupus anticoagulant or anticardiolipin or anti-β2-glycoprotein I (β2GP) antibodies. Treatment is predicated on systemic anticoagulation and secondary thromboprophylaxis. Immunosuppression is of unproven benefit, suggesting a need for novel, targeted intervention strategies. The clinical presentation, diagnosis, management, and diversity of antigenic targets have been reviewed elsewhere.

Here we consider how antiphospholipid antibodies (APLAs) and their cognate antigens are found in plasma both in the presence and in the absence of disease. Although some APLAs recognize prothrombin or other proteins alone or in complex with phospholipid, we will focus on the phospholipid binding protein β2GP, the most prevalent target of APLAs31 and the one best documented to be associated with thrombosis.33-35 We propose that enhanced exposure of antigenic regions in β2GP underlies recognition by pathogenic anti-β2GP antibodies and that preventing these changes might provide an unexplored approach to intervention in APS. β2GP is an abundant plasma protein (0.2 mg/mL), consisting of 326 amino acids within 4 typical and one atypical short consensus repeat modules. The atypical fifth short consensus repeat is positively charged, containing 18% lysine residues that mediate binding to phospholipid and anionic surfaces, such as DNA and
heparin, through the 281-CKNKEKKC-288 sequence. Anti-β2GPI antibodies also bind to diverse cellular proteins, although the structural basis for binding has not been well defined. Crystallization of β2GPI reveals that the 5 modules assume a fishhook-shaped structure with individual short consensus repeats arranged as beads on a string. The 4 N-terminal modules form a slight right-handed spiral that joins the atypical fifth domain to form the tip of the hook. β2GPI contains 3 potential N-linked glycosylation sites in domain 3 (Asn143, Asn164, Asn174) and a fourth in domain 4, which contributes to the fishhook-like shape after binding to anionic phospholipid. Binding to this surface is mediated by domain 5 and results in exposure of the domain 1 epitope. Binding of bivalent anti-β2GPI antibodies to the exposed epitope may then promote functional β2GPI dimerization. However, to stimulate unactivated cells, this complex would need to dissociate from phospholipid and subsequently bind cellular receptors. Antibody binding may stabilize and promote the unfolded conformation of β2GPI.

Antigenic sites in β2GPI have been mapped using human anti-β2GPI antibodies from persons with or without clinical manifestations of APS. Although antibodies may bind to each of the 5 domains, site-directed mutagenesis reveals that those found in patients with APS react primarily with amino acids 40-43 within domain 1. Third, the APLA epitope in β2GPI domain 1, composed at least in part by Arg39-Arg43, may become exposed and recognized by anti-β2GPI antibodies. Circulating β2GPI to be largely in a circular conformation (top left), in which this epitope (red dot) is not exposed. Shielding of this epitope may result from interactions between domain 1 (D1) and domain 5 (D5), or possibly by steric effects of carbohydrate residues originating from domains 3 (D3) and 4 (D4) (represented by the blue triangle). (A) Depicts “unfolding” of circular (β2GPI) to a fishhook-like shape after binding to anionic phospholipid. Binding to this surface is mediated by domain 5 and results in exposure of the domain 1 epitope. Binding of bivalent anti-β2GPI antibodies to the exposed epitope may then promote functional β2GPI dimerization. However, to stimulate unactivated cells, this complex would need to dissociate from phospholipid and subsequently bind cellular receptors. (B) Depicts the proposed effect of direct binding of β2GPI to putative cellular receptors (annexin A2, apoER2, GPIb; green semicircles). Subsequent β2GPI unfolding and cross-linking by anti-β2GPI antibodies may activate cells directly through receptor oligomerization. (C) Shows how binding of β2GPI to cellular receptors may lead to unfolding and subsequent cross-linking by PF4 tetramers depicted in blue. Cross-linking via PF4 might directly activate cells or facilitate the ability of anti-β2GPI antibodies to cross-link β2GPI. (D) Shows how partial epitope exposure may be induced by β2GPI deglycosylation, oxidation, or interactions with proteins and/or proteases derived from infectious agents. Subsequent binding of anti-β2GPI antibodies may then occur coincident with binding of β2GPI to cellular receptors. Antibody binding may stabilize and promote the unfolded conformation of β2GPI.

In view of these findings, why are circulating antigen-antibody complexes not detected in all persons with anti-β2GPI antibodies, and why are most patients asymptomatic at most times and at most sites although the antigen and antibody are both abundant in plasma? One potential explanation is that the relevant epitopes are normally encrypted and that exposure requires a conformational or biochemical change in β2GPI in vivo before it is recognized by antibody. An alternative explanation is that antibodies only bind with clinically relevant avidity when β2GPI is deposited on an appropriate biologic surface (cell surface phospholipid or protein receptor) at sufficiently high density to engage both of its Fab arms. Three nonmutually exclusive mechanisms by which cryptic epitopes on β2GPI might be induced or exposed have been proposed.

De-encryption may involve a dynamic global conformational change in β2GPI structure. For example, plasma β2GPI is found predominantly in a circular or “closed” form, which it is thought is maintained by interactions between domains 1 and 5 (Figure 2). This circular conformation can be converted to the fishhook-like “open” structure, similar to that identified by crystallography. APS antibodies recognize only the open conformation of purified β2GPI, although both conformations are recognized on incubation with cardiolipin-coated surfaces. It has been proposed that binding of β2GPI to anionic phospholipids or receptors exposed on the surface of activated or apoptotic cells converts the circular form found in plasma to the open conformation, although this has not been demonstrated directly. It has also been proposed that β2GPI undergoes a conformational change when it binds to Streptococcus pyogenes protein H, leading to the development of APLA. Other antibodies may arise from molecular mimicry. The Val247/Val247 β2GPI genotype, which is more prevalent in patients with APS, may alter the tertiary structure of β2GPI either by impeding this interaction between domains IV and V or another mechanism that reduces the stability of the closed conformation.

De-encryption may also result from removing a protective shield provided by carbohydrates in domain 1. A subset of human anti-β2GPI antibodies that correlate with a clinical history of thrombosis bind to plasma β2GPI more avidly after it has been immobilized on a hydrophilic than on a hydrophobic surface, which may shift the orientation of carbohydrate residues. This interpretation is supported by the observation that this same subset of antibodies binds deglycosylated plasma β2GPI in a manner identical to recombinant β2GPI, which lacks carbohydrate. However, the role of carbohydrates in epitope masking remains unproven and may not fully explain either the circular conformation of β2GPI found in plasma or the difference in the pattern of proteolytic digestion products between the open and closed conformations of the molecule.

Third, β2GPI may be altered by oxidation. Some APLAs recognize phospholipids that have undergone oxidation (e.g., oxidized LDL). β2GPI can also be oxidized directly. Approximately 80% of circulating plasma β2GPI is maintained in the reduced form by platelet and endothelial cell oxidoreductases, which may protect cells from hydrogen peroxide–induced apoptosis. Free cysteines in reduced β2GPI, particularly Cys288 and Cys326, are susceptible to oxidation and nitrosylation as is the Cys52-Cys60 disulfide bond in domain 1, which spans the primary antigenic region. Oxidation increases the affinity of polyclonal rabbit and murine monoclonal antibodies for β2GPI, but its effect on patient-derived antibodies requires additional study.
As mentioned, APS is associated with oxidative stress.\textsuperscript{57,58} The clinical relevance of oxidation as a therapeutic target is supported by the observation that the total amount and proportion of β2GPI that is oxidized are increased in patients with APS and a history of thrombosis compared with healthy controls or persons with thrombosis who do not have APS.\textsuperscript{54} In vitro, some statin drugs that possess antioxidant activity inhibit endothelial cell activation by APLA,\textsuperscript{59} and preincubation of monocytes with coenzyme Q\textsubscript{10} decreases APLA-induced oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction.\textsuperscript{58} In a mouse model of ischemia-reperfusion injury to mesenteric vessels associated with oxidative stress, deposition of β2GPI and anti-β2GPI antibodies at the ischemic site exacerbated tissue injury. Tissue damage was attenuated by peptides corresponding to the lysine-rich lipid-binding regions in β2GPI domain 5 that may block its binding to tissues.\textsuperscript{60} Moreover, the antioxidant hydroxycholorquine inhibits β2GPI-anti-β2GPI immune complexes from disrupting the annexin V shield formed over exposed phospholipids,\textsuperscript{61} although its effect on β2GPI conformation or epitope exposure is uncertain.

Fourth, the conformation of β2GPI may be altered by interaction with proteins released in response to cell injury. Artificially dimerized β2GPI stimulates platelet adhesion.\textsuperscript{62} Although dimers or oligomers have yet to be detected in plasma, they might form on cell surfaces under specific conditions. For example, β2GPI dimerizes when it binds to PF4,\textsuperscript{63} which is released when platelets are activated. Modeling strategies predict that one β2GPI molecule binds through anionic patches within the “fishhook” region to the A and C chains of one PF4 tetramer, whereas a second β2GPI binds to the B and D chains of the tetramer\textsuperscript{63} (Figures 1C and 2). PF4, which binds primarily to cellular GAGs, might function as a pathophysiological inducer of β2GPI dimerization, enhancing its avidity for cellular receptors or exposed phospholipid at sites of inflammation or vascular injury.\textsuperscript{63} It would be of interest to determine whether inhibitors of PF4 tetramerization\textsuperscript{1,24,25} or its contact sites on β2GPI might reduce anti-β2GPI binding. Annexin A2,37 apoER2,62 GPIb,39 and other cell surface receptors for β2GPI might provide additional potential targets for intervention. It is unclear which, if any, of these molecular partners induces conformational changes in β2GPI that promote binding of anti-β2GPI antibody and initiate intracellular signaling.

Lastly, cell activation or damage by anti-β2GPI antibodies in some experiments is amplified by complement.\textsuperscript{64} Incorporation of complement components into β2GPI-containing immune complexes may also stabilize their binding to cell surfaces, which may in turn recruit additional antibodies and provide a strong stimulatory signal to B cells. Complement activation products are elevated in patients with APLAs,\textsuperscript{54} although the correlation with thrombosis is not firmly established. Successful treatment of catastrophic APS with the anti-C5 antibody, eculizumab, has been reported.\textsuperscript{65} The emergence of low molecular weight inhibitors of C3 may offer alternative approaches to treatment.

**TTP: autoantigen function**

TTP is a potentially fatal syndrome characterized by profound thrombocytopenia, microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, and varying degrees of organ dysfunction.\textsuperscript{66} Although a few cases are associated with inherited mutations of the ADAMTS13 gene,\textsuperscript{67} most are caused by acquired autoantibodies that inhibit plasma ADAMTS13 activity.\textsuperscript{58,69} This compromises proteolytic processing of VWF and leads to persistence of ULVWF, exaggerated platelet aggregation, and thrombus formation in small arteries and capillaries. Treatment of TTP is predicated on removing antibody by plasma exchange, creating antigen excess or interfering with antibody production to prevent relapse. Here we explore the possibility of interfering with antibody binding by changing the structure of ADAMTS13 or by inhibiting the interaction of uncleaved ULVWF with platelets, analogous to inhibiting thrombin generated by autoantibodies in HIT and APS.

Similar to HIT and APS, in which autoantibodies appear to be necessary but not sufficient for disease expression, anti-ADAMTS13 inhibitory autoantibodies may be present during disease remission. This suggests that the clinical impact of decreased ADAMTS13 depends on additional factors that affect the balance between antigen, reflected in enzyme activity, and the amount of substrate that needs to be processed. In addition, ADAMTS13 inhibitory autoantibodies are routinely assayed using recombinant ADAMTS13 or ADAMTS13 derived from the plasma of healthy persons. Neointerception, as postulated for HIT and APS, has not been shown to play a role in TTP, although the possibility that binding of ADAMTS13 to ULVWF enhances autoantibody affinity has not been excluded.

VWF is a glycoprotein produced in endothelial cells and megakaryocytes that consists of a 2-40 subunit polypeptide linked by disulfide bridges. Each 250 kDa subunit is capable of simultaneous or sequential binding to collagen, platelet receptors GPIb and GPIIIa, and factor VIII through distinct sites. Upon stimulation, endothelial cells release ULVWF, which forms “string-like” polymers that remain anchored on cell membranes via an unknown mechanism.\textsuperscript{70-72} Plasma ADAMTS13 rapidly and efficiently cleaves cell-bound ULVWF and ULVWF/VWF after Tyr\textsuperscript{1605} in the central A2 domain of the protein.\textsuperscript{60} ADAMTS13 derived from the plasma of healthy persons. Neointerception, as postulated for HIT and APS, has not been shown to play a role in TTP, although the possibility that binding of ADAMTS13 to ULVWF enhances autoantibody affinity has not been excluded.

The imbalance between plasma levels of ADAMTS13 and ULVWF may contribute to the pathogenesis of arterial thrombosis. Inflammatory cytokines may enhance antibody production by promoting the addition of N-linked oligosaccharides, which may accelerate endocytosis of ADAMTS13 through mannose receptors on dendritic cells and thereby enhance antigen presentation. Inflammation may also contribute to this imbalance, independent of an increase in ADAMTS13 inhibitory antibodies. For example, reactive oxygen species released from activated neutrophils can modify Met\textsuperscript{1606} (or less commonly Tyr\textsuperscript{1605}) in VWF, inhibiting its cleavage by ADAMTS13.\textsuperscript{73} Inflammatory cytokines also trigger the release of ULVWF from the endothelium while concomitantly suppressing the synthesis of ADAMTS13 in the liver and endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{78}

It has been proposed that the balance between ADAMTS13 activity and VWF can be restored, even in the presence of ADAMTS13 autoantibodies, using N-acetylcysteine, which reduces the VWF intra-A1 and intersubunit disulfide bonds, thereby altering the mean size and platelet adhesive function of VWF multimers in vitro and in vivo\textsuperscript{79} (Figure 3B). Alternatively, acute episodes of TTP might be attenuated by pharmacologic inhibition of the interaction of platelet GPIb with the A1 domain of VWF using an anti-VWF aptamer (eg, ARC1779)\textsuperscript{80,81} or nanobody (eg, ALX-0081)\textsuperscript{82-84} (Figure 3C). A continuous infusion of ARC1779
in vivo. Substitution of these residues with Ala dramatically reduces ADAMTS13 proteolytic activity and inhibition by autoantibodies. When a more subtle change of these residues in exosite 3 may be essential for binding and proteolytic cleavage of VWF and are required to attenuate arterial thrombosis in vivo. Substitution of these residues with Ala dramatically reduces ADAMTS13 proteolytic activity and inhibition by autoantibodies. When a more subtle change of these residues in

**Antigen modulation in other autoimmune disorders**

The concurrence of autoantibodies and their autoantigenic targets in the apparent absence of disease is not unique to autoimmune thrombotic disorders. Patients treated for autoimmune hemolytic anemia may have residual red cells with a positive direct antiglobulin test and high titers of antiglobulin-reacting IgG in their plasma yet show no evidence of hemolysis. Similarly, antiplatelet autoantibodies may be found in patients with a history of idiopathic TTP during clinical remission. The lack of red cell or platelet destruction is not readily explained by waxing and waning of autoantigen expression or autoantibody titer or avidity of autoantibody but may be related to antibody modifications, such as galactosylation or fucosylation, which can influence effector function.

Evidence for altered self-antigen structure is also not unique to the hematologic disorders. One of the most striking accounts of altered self-antigens involves the autoantibody reactivity to citrullinated peptides, which are a sensitive and specific serologic marker for rheumatoid arthritis. Conversion of arginine residues to citrulline by deimination is catalyzed by peptidylarginine deiminase type 4. The peptidylarginine deiminase type 4 enzyme acts on histones and, intriguingly, is essential for the release of neutrophil extracellular chromatin traps that capture pathogens.

Patients with Felty syndrome, a form of rheumatoid arthritis that includes the additional features of splenomegaly and neutropenia, produce autoantibodies that preferentially bind to deiminated histones. Other examples of autoantigen modifications include oxidation (discussed above), phosphorylation (eg, B-cell epitopes in the La/SSB autoantigen), and epigenetic changes (eg, the presence of unmethylated CpG motifs enhancing the immunogenicity of DNA). Another mechanism by which autoantigens may exhibit altered antigenicity is through formation of immune complexes. For example, rheumatoid factor antibodies bind other antibodies, generating antiantibody complexes to a wide array of antigens. Similarly, anti-DNA antibodies, by binding to DNA, can be complexed with proteins that also bind to DNA. Formation of these intermolecular complexes can increase the avidity of self-antigen/antibody interactions while also potentially promoting epitope spreading by recruiting a diverse pool of T cells. Finally, the cellular context of autoantigen expression is also important. Several autoantigens that are associated with systemic lupus erythematosus can be expressed within apoptotic blebs and circulating microparticles containing nuclear and cytosolic antigens may stimulate the immune system by simultaneously triggering several different classes of receptors within a highly localized space.

In conclusion, we suggest that the variability in the clinical expression of HIT and APS can be explained in part by structural changes in endogenous PF4 and B2GPI that induce antigenic epitopes or cause the proteins to cluster. In patients with TTP, disease expression correlates with increased “demand” for limited residual autoantigen, ADAMTS13, by stress-induced increases in ULVWF. We posit that modifying the antigenic target in the

---

**Figure 3. Nonimmunosuppression strategies to treat autoantibody-mediated TTP.** VWF is secreted from activated endothelial cells as ULVWF multimers, which recruit flowing platelets and form thrombi. (A) In the presence of flow and/or platelet binding, VWF is stretched to expose its A2 domain that is cleaved by ADAMTS13, resulting in dissociation of platelet aggregates. (B) In the absence of ADAMTS13 activity because of hereditary mutations of ADAMTS13 or acquired autoantibodies that block ADAMTS13 function, a disulfide bond reducing agent, such as N-acetylcysteine, or the C-terminal TSP1 repeats of ADAMTS13 may be able to reduce the disulfide bridges linking VWF polypeptide subunits disassembling the VWF multimers. (C) In the setting of insufficient ADAMTS13 activity as described in panel B, aptamer or nanobody may bind to the VWF-A1 domain, inhibit the interaction between ULVWF and platelet receptor GPIb, and eliminate thrombus formation under flow. (D) Anti-ADAMTS13 inhibitory autoantibodies may be bypassed through the use of novel recombinant ADAMTS13 preparations that have been engineered to retain VWF cleaving activity while deleting autoantibody-binding epitopes.

Suppressed VWF activity and led to a rise in platelet counts in patients with hereditary TTP. ARC1779 and nanobody ALX-0081 appear to be well tolerated. However, their clinical efficacy in animal models or in humans with autoimmune TTP has not yet been reported.

Another potential approach to treat acute episodes of autoantibody-mediated TTP makes use of novel recombinant ADAMTS13 preparations that retain VWF cleavage activity but are unaffected by autoantibodies that inhibit native wild-type ADAMTS13 (Figure 3D). Development of such enzymes has been made possible by first characterizing the binding of autoantibodies to ADAMTS13 on a molecular level. Nearly all adults with acquired TTP harbor autoantibodies that recognize the spacer domain of ADAMTS13, particularly exosite 3 (Tyr659-Tyr665) and its surrounding residues (Arg654 and Phe652), even when antibodies to other domains are present. The surface/charged amino acid residues in exosite 3 may be essential for binding and proteolytic cleavage of VWF and are required to attenuate arterial thrombosis in vivo. Substitution of these residues with Ala dramatically reduces ADAMTS13 proteolytic activity and inhibition by autoantibodies. When a more subtle change of these residues in the exosite 3 and surrounding areas is introduced, the resulting ADAMTS13 variants exhibit normal or enhanced proteolytic activity. Importantly, some gain-of-function variants also acquire resistance to in vitro inhibition by anti-ADAMTS13 autoantibodies. We are currently evaluating the efficacy of these reengineered ADAMTS13 variants in a murine model of acquired TTP to assess their potential as short-term therapy.
settings of HIT and APLAs or modulating the downstream effects of autoantigen engagement in the context of TTP provides new therapeutic strategies to alleviate the acute, potentially life-threatening, consequences of systemic thrombosis while obviating the need for nonspecific immunosuppression or anticoagulation.

Note added in proof: The text states that the anti-thrombotic efficacy of targeting VWF in models of thrombosis had not been reported. Such findings are reported in the 120:13 issue of Blood by Navarette et al.101
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