Sphingosine-1-phosphate facilitates trafficking of hematopoietic stem cells and their mobilization by CXCR4 antagonists in mice
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CXCL12 and VCAM1 retain hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) in the BM, but the factors mediating HSC egress from the BM to the blood are not known. The sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 1 (S1P1) is expressed on HSCs, and S1P facilitates the egress of committed hematopoietic progenitors from the BM into the blood. In the present study, we show that both the S1P gradient between the BM and the blood and the expression of S1P1 are essential for optimal HSC mobilization by CXCR4 antagonists, including AMD3100, and for the trafficking of HSCs during steady-state hematopoiesis. We also demonstrate that the S1P1 agonist SEW2871 increases AMD3100-induced HSC and progenitor cell mobilization. These results suggest that the combination of a CXCR4 antagonist and a S1P1 agonist may prove to be sufficient for mobilizing HSCs in normal donors for transplantation purposes, potentially providing a single mobilization procedure and eliminating the need to expose normal donors to G-CSF with its associated side effects. (Blood. 2012;119(3):707-716)

Introduction

Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) normally reside in a microenvironment within the BM called the stem cell niche, which is essential for the regulation of stem cell self-renewal and cell-fate decisions. A small but significant number of HSCs regularly exit the BM as part of a regulated physiologic process and transit through the blood. The egress of HSCs from the BM can be dramatically increased via several mechanisms, collectively referred to as HSC mobilization. This process is used clinically to provide HSCs for transplantation purposes, and forms an essential component for the treatment of an increasing number of malignant and nonmalignant conditions. Despite the clinical success of peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) transplantation, considerable problems remain, including inadequate collections in a substantial minority of cases and significant side effects for normal donors. Improving HSC mobilization procedures requires a better fundamental understanding of the physiology of HSC mobilization.

Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) is a biologically active phospholipid produced by sphingosine kinases (SK1 and SK2). S1P is a chemoattractant for hematopoietic cells, and binds to a series of 5 cell-surface receptors. S1P levels are high in the blood, but are extremely low in tissues because of degradation by S1P lyase. These differences in S1P levels lead to the formation of a gradient used by lymphoid cells to exit tissues. HSCs also express S1P receptors, undergo chemotaxis in response to S1P, and have recently been shown to use a S1P gradient to emigrate from peripheral tissues to the lymphatic system. The egress of B-cell progenitors from the BM is dependent on S1P/S1P1 interactions. Mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) with the CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 in mice can be inhibited by prior treatment with an S1P lyase inhibitor, suggesting that S1P may also be involved in the egress of HPCs from the BM into the peripheral blood (PB).

In the present study, we examined the role of S1P in the egress of HSCs/HPCs after 2 different methods of mobilization: with the CXCR4 antagonists TC14012 and AMD3100 and with the cytokine G-CSF. Using pharmacologic disruption of the S1P/ S1P1 axis, Sphk1 deleted (SK1−/−), and S1pr1 conditional knock-out (KO) mice (S1P1−/−), we show that S1P plays a significant role in the egress of HSCs/HPCs from the BM after mobilization with the CXCR4 antagonists AMD3100 and TC14012. In contrast, G-CSF–mediated mobilization was independent of the S1P/S1P1 axis. More importantly, we demonstrate that S1P1 is required for the steady-state trafficking of HSCs. Furthermore, we show that plasma S1P levels do not increase in patients undergoing HSC mobilization with G-CSF or AMD3100, and that S1P plasma levels were not predictive for successful mobilization with G-CSF. Finally, we demonstrate that the S1P1 agonist SEW2871 enhances HSC/HPC mobilization after inhibition of CXCR4, raising the possibility that HSC mobilization of normal donors may be greatly simplified.

Methods

Patients

G-CSF and G-CSF/chemotherapy–mobilized PBSC donors were recruited through the Sydney Cellular Therapies Laboratories at Westmead Hospital. PB samples were collected before the commencement of G-CSF and on the...
morning of the first leukapheresis, with signed informed consent and approval by the Sydney West Area Health Service Human Research Ethics Committee in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Details of autologous donors are provided in Table 1. Among the normal donors mobilized with G-CSF, there were 6 females and 16 males between the ages of 19 and 67, with a median age of 49 years. G-CSF–mobilized normal donors received 10 μg/kg/d of G-CSF in 2 divided doses for 5 days. AMD3100-mobilized donors were recruited through the Washington Medical School, with ethical approval through the Institutional Review Board (the Human Research Protection Office). These donors consisted of 7 males and 7 females between 37 and 65 years of age, with a median age of 49 years. They received 320 μg/kg of AMD3100 by IV infusion over 30 minutes. Blood was collected before AMD3100 administration and at the indicated time points thereafter.

### Animals

C57BL/6, congenic B6.SJL-Ptprc Pep3b/BoyJ, DBA2, Balb/c mice were purchased from the Animal Resources Centre (Perth, Australia). Transgenic S1pr1 (S1P1fl/fl) mice16 were kindly provided by Prof R. Proia (National Institute for Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD) and the SK1−/− mice27 by Prof J. Gamble (Centenary Institute, Sydney, Australia) with permission from Prof Proia. Mx1-Cre mice were obtained from the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia) with permission from the Mouse Genetics Cologne Foundation (Munich, Germany). S1P1−/− mice were bred with Mx1-Cre to generate Mx1-Cre;S1P1−/− mice. S1pr1 was deleted in adult mice by administration of 15 mg/kg of poly I:C poly C every second day for a total of 3 doses, and mice were then housed for a minimum of 2 weeks before use in experiments. Mice were used in accordance with guidelines of the Westmead Hospital Animal Ethics Committee.

### Reagents

FTY720 was a gift from Novartis (Basel, Switzerland). 4′Deoxypyridoxine (DOP), SEW2871, and AMD3100 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and G-CSF (Filgrastim) from Amgen. TC14012 was synthesized by Auspep. A summary of reagents administered to mice is provided in Supplemental Table 1 (available on the Blood Web site; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article). Anti–CD45.1–FITC, anti–Gr-1 (Ly6G/C) (biotin), anti–CD3 PE-Cy7, anti–CD8 (APC-Cy7), and streptavidin-APC were obtained from BD Biosciences. Anti–CD3 PE-Cy7, anti–CD45.1–FITC, anti–CD45.2–PE, and anti–B220 allophycocyanin cychrome 7 (APC-Cy7), anti–CD3 PE-Cy7, anti–Gr-1 (Ly6G/C) (biotin), anti–CD4 (APC), anti–CD8 (APC-Cy7), and streptavidin-APC were obtained from BD Biosciences.

### Mobilization and drug treatments

Mice were mobilized by subcutaneous injection of 10 mg/kg of AMD3100 or TC14012 1 hour before being killed. G-CSF (125 μg/kg) was administered twice daily by subcutaneous administration for 4 days, and mice were killed on day 5. When G-CSF and AMD3100 were used in combination, mice received G-CSF for 4 days and AMD3100 was administered on day 5, 1 hour before killing. FTY720 (1 mg/kg) was administered by IP injection 14 hours before mobilization, and DOP was added to glucose water at a final concentration of 30 mg/L for 3 days before mobilization. Mice mobilized with G-CSF were treated with 1 mg/kg of FTY720 14 hours before the first dose of G-CSF, and another 1 mg/kg/d for the 4 days of the mobilization.

### Competitive repopulation assay and secondary transplantsations

Donor PBMCs were obtained from indicated volumes of blood from mobilized or control animals (CD45.2+). FTY720 (1 mg/kg) was administered by IP injection 14 hours before mobilization and, DOP was added to glucose water at a final concentration of 30 mg/L for 3 days before mobilization. Mice mobilized with G-CSF were treated with 1 mg/kg of FTY720 14 hours before the first dose of G-CSF, and another 1 mg/kg/d for the 4 days of the mobilization.

### CFU assays

Mononuclear cells were isolated from blood, BM, spleen, thymus, and lymph nodes of mice. Single-cell suspensions of spleen, thymus, and lymph nodes were obtained by pushing the tissue through a 70-μm nylon mesh. Mononuclear cells from tissues were assessed for CFU-C content by culturing cells in MethoCult medium supplemented with 50 ng/mL of recombinant mouse SCF, 10 ng/mL of recombinant mouse IL-3, 10 ng/mL of recombinant human IL-6, and 3 U/mL of recombinant human erythropoietin (StemCell Technologies). RBC lysis was performed on blood, BM, and spleen samples before plating in methylcellulose.

### Assessment of S1P and CXCL12 concentrations in plasma

S1P levels in human and mouse plasma was determined using an S1P ELISA kit (Echelon Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. CXCL12 levels were assessed using an ELISA kit from Bioscientific.

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of autologous transplantation patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Mobilization protocol</th>
<th>WBCs pre/post G-CSF, × 10^9/L</th>
<th>CD34* cells/μL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>72/F</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Modified HD Cyclo 2 g/m²</td>
<td>7/38</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>55/M</td>
<td>NHL/DLCL relapse</td>
<td>ICE/PEG</td>
<td>6/40</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>48/F</td>
<td>NHL/DLCL</td>
<td>ICE/PEG</td>
<td>5/13</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>56/M</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>HD Cyclo</td>
<td>10/11</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>53/M</td>
<td>Peripheral T-cell lymphoma relapse</td>
<td>ICE</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>48/M</td>
<td>NHL/DLCL</td>
<td>RICE</td>
<td>7/48</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>39/M</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>HD Cyclo</td>
<td>9/42</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>26/F</td>
<td>HD relapse</td>
<td>ICE</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>56/M</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>HD Cyclo</td>
<td>12/13</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>61/F</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>HD Cyclo</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>53/F</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>HD Cyclo</td>
<td>8/32</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MM indicates multiple myeloma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; DLC, diffuse large cell lymphoma; HD, Hodgkin disease; HD Cyclo, high-dose cyclophosphamide; ICE, ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide; and RICE, rituximab and ICE.
S1P in human plasma was also assessed using HPLC, as described previously.18

Chemotaxis assay

BM cells (5 x 10^5) were added to the upper chamber of a Transwell with 8-μm diameter pores (In Vitro Technologies). Test agents were plated in the bottom chamber and plates were incubated for 3 hours at 37°C. Cells recovered from the lower chamber were counted and plated in CFU assays, and the number of CFUs recovered from the lower chamber was calculated.

Assessment of S1P1 expression by immunohistochemistry

Thymuses were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (Lomb Scientific) for 24 hours, and then embedded in paraffin. Sections were cut at a 4-μm thickness and mounted onto SuperFrost slides (Menzel-Glaser). After drying, sections were dewaxed with histolene, rehydrated in descending ethanol concentrations, and incubated for 30 minutes with 3% H2O2 (Sigma-Aldrich). The S1P1 antigen was unmasked by autoclaving in 10mM citrate, pH 6.0, at 120°C for 30 minutes. Sections were incubated in normal goat serum and then 2 μg/mL of S1P1 rabbit primary Ab (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at 4°C overnight, followed by 1 hour at 37°C. An HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary Ab (1:200; DakoCytomation) was used and then visualized using the diaminobenzidine chromogen (Envision Plus; DakoCytomation). All images were obtained using SPOT Version 4.6 software and a SPOT RTKE camera attached to an Olympus BX40 microscope in air at room temperature.

PCR and RT-PCR

Genomic DNA and total RNA were extracted from PB cells using TRIZol reagent (Invitrogen). For RNA, genomic DNA was removed by RNase-free DNase. RNA was reverse transcribed using MMLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) with the following cycles: 94°C for 3 minutes and a final cycle at 72°C for 2 minutes. Specific primers for GAPDH and the detection of exon 2 of genomic DNA have been reported previously,16,19 and those for the detection of S1P1 mRNA were: forward, GCCAGGGTCGACGCAATCCA. Amplified products were resolved in a 2% agarose gel, visualized with GelRed staining (Jomar Biosciences), and imaged using a Vilber Lourmat Gel Documentation System. Composite images compiled using Adobe Photoshop Version CS4.

Statistics

Comparisons between 2 groups were performed using Student t tests, and those between multiple groups using ANOVA analysis. Pairwise comparisons between groups were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. Linear regression was used to determine correlations between variables. Analysis of transplantation experiments was performed as follows. The level of engraftment was log transformed before analysis to stabilize the variance. Repeated-measures ANOVA was used to examine the joint effects of the within-mouse change over time and with treatment.

Results

FTY-720 inhibits HPC egress from the BM during mobilization by a CXCR4 antagonist but not by G-CSF

We used several pharmacologic agents known to disrupt the S1P/S1P1 axis to assess the contribution of S1P to the egress of HSCs/HPCs from the BM in a mouse model of mobilization. FTY720 is a potent immunosuppressive agent that induces internalization and degradation of the S1P receptors, including S1P1, rendering the cells unresponsive to S1P.20 HSCs express S1P1 and migrate in vitro and in vivo in response to S1P.10,21 Administration of 1 mg/kg of FTY720 to C57Bl6 mice resulted in an expected decline in the total WBC count, predominantly because of loss of circulating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (Figure 1A).22 Loss of surface S1P1 expression in lymphoid cells in the thymus of treated animals demonstrated that these cells are no longer able to respond to S1P (Figure 1B). Despite the significant reduction in total WBCs in the initial cohort of 6 animals, no significant reduction in circulating CFUs was detected (Figure 1D and F). Examination of a larger cohort (n = 24) permitted the detection of a small but significant (27%, P = .03) reduction in PB CFUs after FTY720 treatment—considerably less than that reported by Massberg et al.10

We used the CXCR4 antagonists TC14012 and AMD3100 (both at 10 mg/kg) to induce HPC mobilization, a well-defined mobilization strategy in which CXCL12 binding to CXCR4 is disrupted. Pretreatment of mice with FTY720 significantly inhibited the TC14012 antagonist-induced leukocytosis (Figure 1C, P < .0001). FTY720 also significantly decreased the number of circulating CFUs in the PB after administration of TC14012 (Figure 1D, P = .009). There was no measurable increase in the number of CFUs detected in the lymph nodes or spleens of FTY720-treated animals compared with placebo-treated animals (data not shown) regardless of coadministration of TC14012. This demonstrates that HPC mobilization into the PB by CXCR4 antagonists is inhibited...
by FTY720-mediated down-regulation of S1P₁ receptor expression. In contrast, the leukocytosis and mobilization of HPCs by G-CSF were not significantly inhibited by FTY720-induced down-regulation of S1P₁ (Figure 1E-F). Similar results were obtained using BALB/c mice (supplemental Figure 1). These results demonstrate that S1P₁ is involved in the egress of HPCs from the BM during steady-state conditions and after CXCR4 antagonist–induced mobilization, but not after G-CSF–induced mobilization. Inhibiting the S1P gradient or suppressing S1P₁ expression had only minor effects on the makeup of colonies obtained, with a small but significant reduction in CFU-GE/MM and a concomitant increase in the proportion of CFU-GM, suggesting that the more primitive progenitors are more sensitive to S1P (supplemental Table 2).

**S1P₁⁻/⁻ mice demonstrate reduced HPC mobilization after administration of AMD3100**

The results obtained from pharmacologic suppression of S1P₁ by FTY720 were confirmed using conditional S1pr1 gene-deleted mice. Gene deletion of S1P₁ results in embryonic lethality. To overcome this problem, we generated conditional S1pr1-KO mice by crossing mice in which S1pr1 exon 2 was flanked by loxP sites with mice transgenic for Mx1-Cre. After poly I:poly C treatment, the S1pr1 gene was deleted in hematopoietic cells (Figure 2A), and no mRNA for S1P₁ could be detected by RT-PCR (Figure 2B). Consistent with previous reports, deletion of the S1pr1 gene resulted in accumulation of lymphoid cells in the white pulp of the spleen (Figure 2C) and a reduction in the total WBCs (Figure 2D, *P* = .014). The leukocytosis induced by AMD3100 was also significantly inhibited (Figure 2D, *P* = .006). More importantly, the number of CFUs mobilized into the PB as a result of AMD3100 administration was significantly reduced in S1P₁⁻/⁻ mice (Figure 2E, *P* = .004), further supporting a role for S1P₁ and S1P₁ in AMD3100-mediated HPC mobilization. No difference was observed in the number of lineage-negative cells expressing Sca-1 and c-kit or in the colony-forming capacity of the BM after S1pr1 deletion (data not shown).

**Reduction of the S1P gradient between BM and blood suppresses HPC egress from BM after mobilization with AMD3100**

The experiments described in “Results” clearly demonstrate that S1P₁ expression is required for optimal HPC mobilization. To assess the role of the S1P gradient between blood and BM on HPC mobilization directly, we used both pharmacologic and genetic approaches to disrupt the gradient. The S1P gradient between the PB and tissues is maintained by the S1P-degrading enzyme S1P lyase, which is abundant in tissue and maintains tissue S1P concentrations lower than those in the blood. S1P lyase activity can be inhibited with the vitamin-B₆ antagonist DOP. The concentration of S1P in the BM of mice treated for 3 days with DOP was increased by 1.3- to 2.2-fold (data not shown), but did not result in a significant reduction in the PB WBC count or basal CFU levels (Figure 3A-B). Although the leukocytosis induced by 10 mg/kg of AMD3100 was reduced 22% by DOP, this did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3A). Similar to the effects of FTY720, DOP significantly suppressed the mobilization of CFUs into the PB (Figure 3B, *P* = .02).

To confirm the findings obtained with pharmacologic inhibition of S1P lyase, we examined SK1⁻/⁻ mice, which lack Sphk1 but still express Sphk2. As a result, plasma concentrations of S1P in SK1⁻/⁻ mice are significantly reduced in SK1⁻/⁻ mice (Figure 3E). This reduction in S1P concentrations in the plasma was reflected by a reduced capacity of the plasma from SK1⁻/⁻ mice to attract HPCs into the lower well in a chemotaxis assay (Figure 3F). Despite reduced PB S1P concentrations, SK1⁻/⁻ mice had normal WBCs compared with wild-type animals (Figure 3C). However, the mobilization of HPCs by AMD3100 was significantly reduced in these animals (Figure 3D). This demonstrates that the S1P gradient plays a significant role in HPC mobilization after blockade of CXCR4, and that basal S1P plasma concentrations are sufficient for this purpose.

**The level of transplantable steady-state and AMD3100-mobilized HSCs is reduced after down-regulation of S1P₁**

To demonstrate the role of S1P₁ in the mobilization of HSCs, we undertook competitive transplantation experiments in mice with or without FTY720-mediated suppression of S1P₁. PB was collected from donor mice (CD45.2) treated with FTY720 or placebo with or without the addition of AMD3100, and injected into lethally irradiated recipients (CD45.1/CD45.2). To ensure the survival of all animals, BM-derived competitor (CD45.1) cells were also administered to all recipients. This system permitted the identification of donor (CD45.2), recipient (CD45.1/CD45.2), and competitive (CD45.1) cells by flow cytometry (Figure 4A). All recipient mice demonstrated multilineage engraftment (Figure 4B and E left panels), however, the recipients of AMD3100-mobilized blood from FTY720-treated animals had 2.1-fold (95% confidence interval [95% CI], 1.1–4.2, *P* = .034) lower donor chimerism (Figure A).
Our data demonstrate that S1P mediates the egress of HSCs/HPCs from the BM under steady-state conditions and after mobilization with CXCR4 antagonists, but not G-CSF mobilization. However, it has been suggested that HPC mobilization by AMD3100 or G-CSF is dependent on increases in plasma S1P levels. In an attempt to reconcile these findings with our data, we assessed S1P concentrations in the cardiac blood of mice treated with either AMD3100 or G-CSF. Surprisingly, both agents produced a slight decrease in plasma S1P concentrations, although only that induced by AMD3100 was statistically significant (Figure 5A).

To investigate these findings in a clinical setting, we examined plasma S1P concentrations in 22 normal donors undergoing G-CSF–mediated HSC mobilization for transplantation purposes. Blood samples were drawn before administration of the first G-CSF injection and on the morning of the first leukapheresis before establishing venous access. Plasma S1P concentrations, as determined by ELISA, declined from 1.9 ± 0.7 to 1.4 ± 0.7 nM (P = .0003; Figure 5B). This finding was confirmed in a smaller group of donors using HPLC to determine the S1P concentration (1.1 ± 0.3 nM before mobilization to 0.9 ± 0.3 nM after mobilization, P = .01, n = 13). A slightly greater decline in the concentration of S1P in plasma (1.2 ± 1.1 vs 0.6 ± 0.7 nM, P = .003) was observed in patients (n = 11) being mobilized with G-CSF and chemotherapy for autologous transplantation (Figure 5B). S1P plasma concentrations were not predictive of the number of CD34+ cells/μL obtained at the time of the first leukapheresis in allogeneic or autologous donors (data not shown). However, patients who mobilized poorly (ie, those with less than 50 CD34+ cells/μL) had significantly higher plasma levels of S1P before mobilization (2.01 ± 1.00 vs 1.27 ± 0.73 nM, P = .03; Figure 5D left panel). This difference was no longer apparent at the time of collection (1.40 ± 0.89 vs 0.94 ± 0.66 nM, P = .13). When the analysis was restricted to allogeneic donors, high S1P plasma concentrations remained predictive of a lower number of CD34+ cells/μL (data not shown). Plasma S1P is thought to originate from RBCs or possibly platelets, but neither the RBC nor platelet counts demonstrated any correlation with the concentration of S1P in steady-state or mobilized plasma. However, both RBC and platelet counts did significantly decrease as a result of G-CSF administration (supplemental Table 3).

AMD3100 is being increasingly used as a mobilizing agent, with alternative CXCR4 antagonists being developed for use as single agents. Therefore, we also examined plasma S1P concentrations in 14 donors mobilized with AMD3100 as a single agent. AMD3100 produced a median peak WBC count of 16 × 10^9/L (range 13-25, P < .001) and CD34+ cells/μL of 17 (range 4-46, P = .0002) 4 hours after AMD3100 administration. S1P concentrations remained stable over the 24 hours after AMD3100 administration (Figure 5E). Furthermore, there was no correlation between S1P plasma levels at baseline or after 4 hours and the number of CD34+ cells/μL.

CXCL12 signaling through CXCR4 has been considered to play a role in the egress of HSCs/HPCs from the BM during G-CSF–mediated mobilization, and plasma CXCL12 concentrations have been associated with mobilization efficiency. Therefore, we also assessed the CXCL12 levels in plasma from mobilized donors. In keeping with the findings of Gazitt et al, we observed a significant decrease in the concentration of CXCL12 in the plasma of normal PBSC donors on the day of collection compared with steady-state (Figure 5C). Unlike the findings by Gazitt et al in lymphoma patients undergoing autologous PBSC transplantation, the plasma concentration of CXCL12 was not associated with the number of CD34+ cells/μL, nor was there any difference in the CXCL12 concentration at steady-state or at the time of collection in donors that mobilized well (> 50 CD34+ cells/μL) compared with those with low CD34+ cells/μL (< 50 CD34+ cells/μL; Figure 5D right panel). These data demonstrate that HSC/HPC mobilization with G-CSF or AMD3100 in humans is not associated with elevations in plasma S1P, and strongly suggest that elevation in plasma S1P is not required for HSC/HPC mobilization with these agents.
IV administration of a S1P1 agonist enhances AMD3100-mediated mobilization

We have shown that steady-state S1P levels are sufficient to induce the egress of HSCs from the BM to blood, and that elevations in plasma S1P are not required for mobilization. We also sought to determine whether elevations in plasma S1P could promote greater mobilization. To this end, we used a chemical analog of S1P, SEW2871, because S1P itself can be rapidly degraded in vivo.29 IV administration of SEW2871 1 hour before AMD3100 administration resulted in a dose-dependent mobilization of HPCs, but only when AMD3100 was coadministered (data not shown). Although 25 mg/kg of SEW2871 had a minimal effect on total WBC counts (Figure 6A) when administered 1 hour before AMD3100, HPC mobilization was increased by 2.5-fold (Figure 6B, P < .002). As seen with other strategies for modulating the S1P1/S1P axis, SEW2871 did not significantly change G-CSF–mediated HPC.
mobilizers), mice (Figure 6E and 6G). The WBC count in DBA2 (good mobilizers), but not C57Bl6 (poor mobilizers), increased with the addition of SEW2871 (supplemental Table 2) in mice. The proportion of CFU-GEMM was also slightly but significantly increased with the addition of SEW2871 (Figure 6H). An insignificant 1.4-fold (Figure 6F, *P* = nonsignificant) increase in HPCs in the blood was observed in C57Bl6 mice, and a significant 1.7-fold (Figure 6H, *P* = .004) increase was seen in DBA2 mice. The proportion of CFU-GEMM was also slightly but significantly increased with the addition of SEW2871 (supplemental Table 2) in DBA2 mice (*P* = .002) but not C57Bl6 mice (*P* = nonsignificant). The concurrent addition of SEW2871 was associated with a small increase in the WBC count in DBA2 (good mobilizers), but not C57Bl6 (poor mobilizers), mice (Figure 6E and 6G).

To demonstrate that S1P agonists can enhance AMD3100-mediated HSC mobilization, we again used competitive transplantation experiments. PB was collected from donor mice (CD45.2) mediating HSC mobilization, we again used competitive transplantation experiments. PB was collected from donor mice (CD45.2) treated with SEW2871 or placebo, followed by AMD3100. Le-thally irradiated recipients (CD45.1) received 500 L of donor blood with the addition of 0.25 × 10^6 BM cells from RFP mice (Figure 7A). Mice were monitored by weekly tail-vein bleeds, and the percentage donor engraftment over time is shown in Figure 7B. The recipients of blood from donors treated with SEW2871 and AMD3100 had a 2.8-fold (95% CI, 1.7-4.7, *P* < .001) increase in donor chimerism compared with recipients of blood from animals mobilized with AMD3100 alone (Figure 7B). Analysis of the contribution of hematopoietic lineages revealed a similar distribution as that seen in the experiment using FTY720 to suppress S1P expression, which was not significantly altered by the addition of SEW2871 (Figure 7C). These data demonstrate that S1P agonists can significantly improve HSC mobilization induced by CXCR4 antagonists such as AMD3100.

### Discussion

HSCs are retained in the HSC niche through chemokine gradients and adhesive interactions mediated by receptors expressed on HSCs, such as CXCR4 and VLA-4 and their respective ligands, CXCL12 and VCAM-1, which are expressed within the niche. The interactions are disrupted during HSC mobilization. The loss of retentive factors suggests 2 potential explanations for the egress of HSCs from the BM. The first is a stochastic model in which the HSCs randomly leave the niche as a result of reduced retention signals, with some entering the peripheral circulation. Alternatively, the cells may be directed by positive signals that attract them into the PB. This model requires the presence of a chemoattractant leading from the BM to the blood. Such a chemoattractant would need to be insufficient to overcome retentive forces during steady-state hematopoiesis to permit HSCs to largely remain in the BM. However, once the retentive factors were reduced, it would facilitate the migration of HSCs into the blood. S1P and its receptor, S1P<sub>1</sub>, control lymphocyte egress from primary and secondary lymphoid organs. In the present study, we demonstrate for the first time that S1P<sub>1</sub> is important for the egress of HPCs and HSCs from the BM under steady-state conditions and after CXCR4 antagonist–mediated mobilization. Suppression of S1P<sub>1</sub> expression by genetic or pharmacologic means significantly impaired the mobilization of HPCs into the blood in the presence of CXCR4 blockade and reduced the egress of HSCs in steady-state BM. In addition, the IV infusion of a S1P<sub>1</sub> agonist, SEW2871, enhanced AMD3100-mediated HSC mobilization.

The S1P/S1P<sub>1</sub> axis plays an important role in the trafficking of hematopoietic cells either directly or via regulation of endothelial cells. Because endothelial cells also express S1P<sub>1</sub>, the strategies used in this study to disrupt S1P<sub>1</sub> would affect both the hematopoietic and endothelial compartment. We believe, however, that the results obtained were primarily because of effects on HSCs rather than on endothelial cells for the following reasons. First, the endothelial layer lining BM sinusoids is discontinuous, as opposed to the tight barrier imposed by lymph node endothelium. Second, according to the hypothesis proposed by Rosen et al., the agonist properties of FTY720 could result in increased vascular integrity and, as a result, decreased HSC mobilization. Deletion of the S1P<sub>1</sub> receptor on vascular endothelium would therefore be expected to...
result in the opposite effect (i.e., decreased vascular integrity and thus increased HPC mobilization). However, this increased HPC mobilization was not observed in the S1P1-KO mice.

In addition to a role for S1P1, we also demonstrated that the gradient of S1P between tissues and PB is also important for CXCR4 antagonist–mediated HPC mobilization. After both pharmacologic and genetic flattening of this gradient, HPC mobilization was significantly impaired. However, alterations in S1P levels, particularly in the BM, may have unintended effects on the health of HSC/HPCs, which could in turn alter mobilization kinetics. S1P signaling can promote cell proliferation and maintain pluripotency in some systems, making this explanation seem unlikely in the case of the DOP experiments, in which increased S1P levels would have been expected to promote HSC/HPC numbers and thus enhance mobilization. Loss of S1P1 on HSCs by either genetic or pharmacologic means could result in decreased HSC viability and thus mobilization. However, we were unable to detect any effect of FTY720 exposure or S1P1 deletion on HPC viability as assessed by colony assays and BM HSC numbers (data not shown).

The failure to alter HPC mobilization in response to G-CSF by manipulating the S1P1/S1P1 axis is consistent with previous studies and highlights the differences between G-CSF- and AMD3100-mediated mobilization. Mobilization with G-CSF produces multiple effects on the BM, including the disruption of the chemotactant effects of CXCL12 and adhesive interactions such as those mediated by VCAM1 and c-kit. In contrast, mobilization with CXCR4 antagonists such as AMD3100 primarily functions by disrupting the effect of CXCL12. It is possible that remaining factors that retain HSCs in the BM impede movement into the blood even when the chemotactant effects of CXCL12 have been blocked, increasing the importance of the S1P gradient when CXCR4 alone is blocked. The superiority of G-CSF over AMD3100 as a mobilizing agent would support this hypothesis. However, the ability of SEW2871 to further enhance HPC mobilization when added to the combination of G-CSF and AMD3100 suggests that more complex events are involved. One possibility is that the pools of HSCs/HPCs mobilized by G-CSF and AMD3100 are not identical. It is tempting to speculate that the rapid mobilization induced by AMD3100 preferentially targets HSCs/HPCs located close to the vasculature, whereas the slower-acting G-CSF mobilizes HSCs from a variety of niches. If this is the case, then the S1P/S1P1 axis may be important for the egress of cells from the vascular niche. This could explain why SEW2871 can enhance mobilization after G-CSF and AMD3100; the mobilization of the vascular pool of HPCs mobilized by AMD3100 could be enhanced independently of the actions of G-CSF.

Recently, Ratajczak et al demonstrated a role for S1P in HPC mobilization, although they identified mobilization-induced activation of the complement system and RBC lysis resulting in increased plasma S1P as being responsible. Consistent with that study, we observed a significant decline in both platelet and RBC numbers in response to G-CSF mobilization (supplemental Table 3). However, there was no correlation between RBC or platelet numbers (or the extent of the decrease in their levels) and plasma S1P concentrations before or after G-CSF administration. Indeed, S1P levels significantly declined during G-CSF–induced mobilization. Unlike the results of Ratajczak et al, our results show that basal plasma S1P concentrations are sufficient for mobilization, suggesting that it is the loss of the retentive CXCL12 gradient that is pivotal to the initiation of mobilization. Accordingly, we also showed that disruption of the S1P/S1P1 axis through FTY720-mediated S1P1 down-regulation significantly reduced steady-state transplantable HSCs in the blood of mice. This suggests that S1P1 is required for the normal trafficking of HSCs under steady-state conditions. Our results highlight the capacity of basal S1P concentrations to mediate the egress of HSCs from the BM during mobilization and during steady-state conditions.

The feasibility of using S1P1 agonists as adjunct mobilizing agents in the clinical setting remains to be determined. Although several S1P receptor agonists are available, few have been tested in clinical trials. FTY720, a nonselective S1P receptor agonist, has been approved for the treatment of multiple sclerosis and tested for...
renal transplant rejection, whereas the compound selective for S1P₃, ACT128800, is in or has completed phase 2 trials for multiple sclerosis and psoriasis. Whereas there are suggestions that long-term exposure to these agents produces macular degeneration and conditions associated with increased vascular leak, there is little evidence of adverse events resulting from a single dose. FTY720 induces transient lymphopenia and bradycardia, with the latter thought to be because of interactions with S1P₃. The doses of SEW2871 used in the present study are high, and careful evaluation of the safety of S1P₁ agonists in this setting will need to be undertaken.

Our study found that expression of the S1P receptor S1P₁ was important for both AMD3100-mediated mobilization and the trafficking of HSCs under steady-state conditions. Possibly the most important finding was that AMD3100-mediated HSC mobilization could be enhanced by IV infusion of the S1P₁ agonist AMD3100. The addition of IV SEW2871 was unable to enhance G-CSF–mediated HPC mobilization. However, mobilization after the combination of G-CSF and AMD3100 was improved by the addition of SEW2871, although this was most evident in good rather than poor mobilizers. This suggests that this may not be an appropriate strategy for improving outcomes for donors who are poor mobilizers. However, enhanced AMD3100-mediated HSC mobilization by SEW2871 raises the possibility that combining CXCR4 antagonists with a S1P agonist may provide a rapid and less toxic mobilization strategy for normal allogeneic donors.
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