Chemical genomic screening reveals synergism between parthenolide and inhibitors of the PI-3 kinase and mTOR pathways
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We have previously shown that the plant-derived compound parthenolide (PTL) can impair the survival and leukemogenic activity of primary human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) stem cells. However, despite the activity of this agent, PTL also induces cellular protective responses that likely function to reduce its overall cytotoxicity. Thus, we sought to identify pharmacologic agents that enhance the antileukemic potential of PTL. Toward this goal, we used the gene expression signature of PTL to identify compounds that inhibit cytoprotective responses by performing chemical genomic screening of the Connectivity Map database. This screen identified compounds acting along the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and mammalian target of rapamycin pathways. Compared with single agent treatment, exposure of AML cells to the combination of PTL and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors significantly decreased viability of AML cells and reduced tumor burden in vitro and in murine xenotransplantation models. Taken together, our data show that rational drug combinations can be identified using chemical genomic screening strategies and that inhibition of cytoprotective functions can enhance the eradication of primary human AML cells. (Blood. 2010;116(26):5983-5990)

Introduction

The biologic heterogeneity within primary human tumors has become an increasingly prevalent consideration for the development of new therapies. This heterogeneity is evident for acute myeloid leukemia (AML) in which the data suggest that a subpopulation of the bulk AML, the AML stem cells (AML-SCs), are essential for initiating and perpetuating disease as well as resistance to standard chemotherapy, likely providing a residual....
guidelines of the National Institutes of Health, and principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Parthenolide gene expression signature**

The gene expression signature for CD34+ primary AML upon PTL exposure was described previously. Briefly, cells were cultured for 1 hour ex vivo and exposed to PTL for 6 hours. Total RNA for 12 pairs of PTL-treated and untreated primary patient samples was harvested and submitted to the University of Rochester Functional Genomics Core Facility for hybridization on Affymetrix HG-U133 Plus 2.0 GeneChips. Data were normalized using the robust multi-array average (RMA) procedure. Genes were selected using probewise paired t tests. Multiple test correction was performed using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Pathway analysis was performed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis Software Version 4.0. Data are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus, accession number GSE7538.

**Gene expression-based chemogenomic screens**

The genes with the 75 most extreme test statistics were chosen for the up-regulated and down-regulated genes, yielding a 150-gene signature. Next, we interrogated the Connectivity Map (build02) using the described Connectivity Score statistic, which combines signed Kolmogorov-Smirnov test statistics, and determined specificity using the publicly available Web-based interface available at http://www.broadinstitute.org/cmap. Robustness of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin (PI3K/mTOR) inhibitors as the most extreme class of agent to antagonize the PTL signature was confirmed through repeated interrogation of the CMap2 dataset across a range of false discovery rate cutoffs using R/BioConductor. The Connectivity Map dataset is made available by the Broad Institute at http://www.broadinstitute.org/cmap. The genes used to perform the Connectivity Map analysis are included in the supplemental data (available on the Blood Web site; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article).

**Flow cytometric assays**

To determine viability, cells were stained with annexin V–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC; BD Biosciences) and 7-aminoactinomycin (7-AAD, Molecular Probes-Invitrogen) to detect phosphatidylserine exposition and cell permeability, respectively. Cells were additionally stained with antibodies against phenotypic markers CD34, CD38, and CD123 (BD Biosciences) to identify phenotypically defined subpopulations. At least 50,000 events were recorded per condition on an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data analysis was conducted using FlowJo 8.2 software for Mac OS X (TreeStar). Cells that were negative for annexin V and 7-AAD were scored as viable. To determine oxidative state of AML cells, staining was performed with 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H2DCF-DA), monobromobimane (mBBr), and 7-AAD (Molecular Probes-Invitrogen).

**Colony-forming unit and NOD/SCID xenotransplantation assays**

MethoCult (StemCell Technologies) was used to assess the colony-forming ability of both AML and normal hematopoietic progenitors, after treatment with the indicated drugs. Growth conditions and scoring were performed as described. For xenograft studies, nonobese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficient (NOD/SCID) mice were sublethally irradiated with 2.7 Gy (270 Rads) using a RadSource X-Ray irradiator before transplantation. Equal numbers of primary AML cells (2 million cells per mouse) were then injected via the tail vein in a final volume of 0.2 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.5% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Treatment with DMAPT and temsirolimus was started 3 weeks after transplantation. DMAPT was administered via intraperitoneal (IP) injection at a dose of 100 mg/kg 3× daily. Temsirolimus was administered via intraperitoneal injection 3×/wk at 5 mg/kg. The treatment regimen was maintained for 3 weeks. Animals were then killed and the mouse BM analyzed by flow cytometry. Tumor burden was ascertained by determining the percentage of human CD45 cells in the mouse bone marrow (BM). In addition, cells were stained with antibodies specific to human CD34, CD38 and mouse CD45 antibodies (BD Biosciences). For the secondary injections, human CD34+ cells were isolated from the BM of the untreated and the DMAPT + temsirolimus–treated groups using an antibody-coupled magnetic bead separation kit (Milenyi Biotec) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Equal numbers of human CD34+ cells (800 000 cells per mouse) were injected into sublethally irradiated NOD/SCID mice. Mice were killed 8 weeks after secondary transplantation and the BM analyzed by flow cytometry to ascertain the tumor burden. Peripheral blood counts were determined using a Heska HemaTrue analyzer.

**Immunoblots and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction**

Primary AML cells were treated for 6 hours in serum-free medium with PTL, temsirolimus, or both at the indicated doses. At 6 hours after treatment, one fraction of the treated cells was isolated for RNA preparation according to the Trizol protocol or for protein preparation as described previously. Protein lysates were subjected to immunoblot analysis with antibodies to phospho-p65 (S536), phospho-p70S6 kinase (T421/S424), phospho-Akt (S473), phospho-4E-BP1 (T37/46), total Akt, total 4E-BP1 (Cell Signaling Technology), total p70S6K (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), heme oxygenase I (HO-1, Stressgen), and actin (Sigma-Aldrich). RNA was submitted for analysis using TaqMan assays per manufacturer’s recommended protocols (Applied Biosystems).

**Confocal microscopy**

Cell fixation was performed using methanol pre-chilled to −20°C. Upon permeabilization with blocking buffer (10% fetal bovine serum and 0.1% Tween 20 in 1× phosphate-buffered saline [pH 7.4]), staining was performed with anti-Nrf2 (C-20) antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and Alexa488 secondary antibody. Slides were mounted using Fluoromount-G 234 (SouthernBiotech). Fluorescence was observed using a 100× objective (1.4 numeric aperture) on a Leica SP1 inverted scanning confocal microscope. Image acquisition was performed using Leica confocal software (Leica TCS SP5).

**Synergy analysis**

Assessment of synergy between compounds was performed according to the Chou-Talalay median-effects method as implemented in the CalcuSyn 2.0 software package (Biosoft). Isobologram analysis was also performed using CalcuSyn. Shifts in LD50 were determined using GraphPad Prism Version 5.0 software (GraphPad Software).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance for cell viability and colony-forming assays was performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by the Tukey multiple comparison test to determine the significance of particular comparisons, except where otherwise indicated. Two-way analysis of variance was used to determine significance in the NOD/SCID xenotransplantation assays followed by Tukey posttests. Analyses and graphs were performed using GraphPad Prism software.

**Results**

**Search for cytoprotective pathways activated when AML cells are challenged with parthenolide or DMAPT**

From our analysis of PTL, we noted that many of the molecular responses evoked by drug treatment were likely to represent cellular cytoprotective responses (supplemental Figure 1). Thus, we reasoned that screening for compounds that are known to inhibit cytoprotective pathways and counteract the transcriptional responses to PTL would serve as potential synergizing agents. For example, if the PTL signature were opposite the signature of an
mTOR inhibitor, it would suggest that PTL is potentially an mTOR activator. To this end, we screened the 6100 gene expression profiles (“instances”) of drug treatments acting along the CMap2 database using a 150-gene PTL response signature.16 The resulting compounds were prioritized according to the CMap2 “connectivity score” metrics.30 A positive connectivity score reflects a compound with a gene expression profile most similar to PTL, whereas a negative connectivity score reflects a compound with a gene expression profile most opposite to PTL. From the 6100 drug treatment instances, the instance achieving the greatest positive connectivity score with respect to our PTL response signature derived in primary AML was PTL treatment of HL60 cells, suggesting the accuracy of this screen (not shown). However, among compounds achieving negative connectivity scores, significant enrichment was noted for compounds acting along the PI3K and mTOR pathway (P < 10−5). These compounds included wortmannin, LY-294002, and sirolimus (Figure 1A). Inhibitors of the PI3K/mTOR pathways demonstrated an overall tendency to achieve negative connectivity scores (Figure 1B). To determine whether the finding of PI3K/mTOR inhibitors was not limited to the particular 150-gene PTL response signature used to screen the CMap2 database, we tested a range of PTL gene expression signatures over a range of statistical cutoffs varying from 0.1 to 0.0001. PI3K and mTOR inhibitors were reliable findings, consisting of between 40% and 85% of the top 20 compounds (Figure 1C) resulting from our screen when considering wortmannin, LY-294002, and sirolimus (median percentage = 60%). In contrast, upon querying CMap2 with 100 randomly generated gene signatures, the median and mode for the number of PI3K/mTOR inhibitor hits was zero among the top 20 results (range, 0-3). Thus, the finding of PI3K and mTOR inhibitors was not localized to a specific statistical cutoff, reflecting the increased likelihood that PTL activates the PI3K and mTOR pathways. Moreover, the connection to PI3K and mTOR inhibitors was highly specific, produced by less than 2.1% of queries conducted with 312 gene expression signatures in the Broad Institute molecular signature database (MSigDB). Finally, we determined whether the observation of “negatively connected” PI3K and mTOR inhibitors was a general response to cytotoxic agents. Neither daunomycin, etoposide, nor paclitaxel demonstrated this effect (supplemental Figure 3).

Given the typical role of PI3K signaling in growth and survival, and previous reports implicating these pathways in the survival of AML,31,32 we reasoned that activation of this pathway by PTL could represent a protective mechanism evoked by the cellular insult of PTL treatment. If true, then addition of PI3K and/or mTOR pathway inhibitors should enhance the cytotoxicity of PTL.

Activation of the PI3K/mTOR pathway in response to PTL

To directly examine whether PTL activated the PI3K pathway, we examined the status of phospho-p70S6K (Thr-37/46) in all patient samples examined (Figure 2), whereas levels of total p70S6K were unaffected. The mTOR target, 4E-BP1, demonstrated substantial baseline phosphorylation (Thr-37/46) that was very modestly to unappreciably increased upon PTL exposure (supplemental Figure 6), possibly reflecting regulatory differences in mTOR substrates. However, the activation of PI3K/mTOR pathway was further supported by the PTL-induced phosphorylation of its immediate downstream target, Akt/PKB (supplemental Figure 5),33 PTL treatment also resulted in the inhibition of NF-κB, as shown by the loss of phosphorylation on the NF-κB p65 subunit (Figure 2). Notably, the activation occurred in connection with the ability of PTL to inhibit NF-κB. Thus, the results indicate activation of the PI3K/mTOR axis and suggest that this activation is connected to PTL activity as evidenced by increased phosphorylation of p70S6K in association with decreased phospho-p65.

![Figure 1. PI3K/mTOR inhibitor gene expression signature opposes the cytoprotective signature of PTL. (A) Rank and description of PI3K or mTOR inhibitors (wortmannin, LY-294002, and sirolimus [rapamycin]) resulting from interrogation of the CMap2 database. Results are ranked according to extremeness of the negative connectivity score. The connectivity score statistic is indicated in the “Score” column. (B) Bar plot indicating the distribution of PI3K or mTOR inhibitors (wortmannin, LY-294002, or sirolimus) along the 6100 search results ordered according to similarity to PTL. Each horizontal black line indicates an instance of either wortmannin, LY-294002, or sirolimus. The green region indicates instances achieving positive connectivity scores. The gray region indicates instances with no relationship to the PTL response signature. The red region indicates instances of compounds with negative connectivity scores. The gray and green regions indicate instances of the PI3K and mTOR inhibitors class that are either unrelated to PTL or similar to PTL, respectively. The enrichment for the PI3K/mTOR inhibitor compound class is significant (P < 10−5). Results in the red region are most relevant to this screen. (C) The frequency of wortmannin, LY-294002, and sirolimus instances among the top 20 negatively connected hits arising from screens with varying PTL response signatures differing by false discovery rate cutoff. The horizontal axis indicates the false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff; the vertical axis represents the frequency of these instances.](http://www.bloodjournal.org/)

![Figure 2. Activation of the PI3K/mTOR pathway in response to PTL. Representative immunoblot analyses for 3 primary AML specimens treated with 5μM PTL for 6 hours. Blots were probed as indicated with antibodies against either phospho-p70 S6 kinase (T421/S424), total p70 S6 kinase, phospho-p65 (S536), or β-actin.](http://www.bloodjournal.org/)
PI3K and mTOR inhibitors demonstrate synergism with PTL at the bulk, progenitor, and stem cell level

We next determined whether PI3K and mTOR inhibitors could enhance the efficacy of PTL. Primary AML cells were exposed to a subeffective dose of PTL and either the PI3K inhibitor, wortmannin, or the mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin (sirolimus). Cell survival in different AML subpopulations was assessed at 24 hours after treatment. Treatment with PTL alone and wortmannin alone were moderately toxic to phenotypically described AML-SCs, demonstrating a modest effect on overall viability relative to untreated controls (Figure 3A-C). However, the combination of PTL and wortmannin demonstrated greater toxicity against AML-SCs than treatment with either PTL alone (P < .01) or wortmannin alone (P < .001). Next, we tested the effects of the mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin, and the PI3K inhibitor, LY-294002, with similar results (supplemental Figure 4). Combination indices (CIs) to determine synergy for the drug combinations (ie, CI < 1) were calculated according to Chou-Talalay method,29 which indicated that the combinations were synergistic (CI = 0.61 for PTL and wortmannin; CI = 0.33 for PTL and rapamycin).

We next determined whether the effects of inhibition of these pathways are discernable in functional assays. To this end, we tested the ability of PTL to functionally impair the formation of AML colonies, but not erythroid and myeloid colonies, alone or in combination with the rapamycin analog, temsirolimus (TEM; Figure 3E left panel). Indeed, PTL and TEM each demonstrated a moderate ability to impair the formation of AML colonies (52% and 62% colonies, respectively, relative to untreated controls). However, PTL + TEM demonstrated a substantial improvement over either PTL alone (P < .05) or TEM alone (P < .05), significantly reducing the formation of AML colonies to 11% relative to untreated controls. Importantly, PTL, TEM, and PTL + TEM did not significantly impair formation of normal erythroid and myeloid colonies (Figure 3E right panel).

To determine the ability of PTL + TEM to impair AML-SC function, primary AML cells or BM cells obtained from healthy donors were treated with 5 μM wortmannin alone, 2.5 μM PTL alone, or in combination for 18 hours in suspension culture, followed by plating in methylcellulose culture. The percentage of colony-forming units (CFUs) was normalized to untreated control (n = 3; AML4, AML6, AML8). (F) Percentage of engraftment of AML1 achieved in NOD/SCID mice receiving AML (left panel) or normal BM (right panel) cells after 18 hours of culture with or without 2.5 μg/mL TEM and 5 μM PTL. Each symbol represents a single animal analyzed at 6 to 8 weeks after transplantation. Mean engraftment is indicated by the horizontal bars. Normal CD34- (untreated vs PTL + TEM), P = .280. Normal CD33- (untreated vs PTL + TEM), P = .084; normal CD19- (untreated vs PTL + TEM), P = .009. "% human cells" indicates the percentage of total bone marrow cells positive for labeling with hCD45 antibody. "%CD34+" is gated on hCD45+ cells.

**Figure 3.** Treatment with PI3K/mTOR inhibitors synergizes with PTL’s antileukemia activity. Primary AML samples were treated for 18 hours with either 5 μM wortmannin, 2.5 μM PTL, or 5 μM PTL, alone or in combination. (A) Representative example of flow cytometric analysis of CD34+CD38- populations (AML6). Dot plots show 7AAD (y-axis) vs annexin V (x-axis). (B) Percentage of viable cells normalized to untreated control for total blasts (n = 5; AML2, AML6, AML8, AML10, AML11) and (C) CD34+CD38- populations (n = 5; AML2, AML6, AML8, AML10, AML11). Error bars represent SEM. (D) AML vs normal cells were treated with 5 μM wortmannin alone, 2.5 μM PTL alone, or in combination for 18 hours in suspension culture, followed by plating in methylcellulose culture. The percentage of colony-forming units (CFUs) was normalized to untreated control (n = 3; AML4, AML6, AML8). (E) Percentage of colonies relative to untreated control after treatment for 18 hours with either 2.5 μg/mL of temsirolimus (TEM), 5 μM PTL, either alone or in combination. Primary AML specimens are shown in the left panel and primary normal marrow specimens are shown in the right panel (n = 3; AML4, AML6, AML8). (F) Percentage of engraftment of AML1 achieved in NOD/SCID mice receiving AML (left panel) or normal BM (right panel) cells after 18 hours of culture with or without 2.5 μg/mL TEM and 5 μM PTL. Each symbol represents a single animal analyzed at 6 to 8 weeks after transplantation. Mean engraftment is indicated by the horizontal bars.
donors were treated with the combination of drugs ex vivo for 24 hours and injected into sublethally irradiated NOD/SCID mice. At 6 weeks posttransplantation, BM was harvested and examined for the presence of human cells. We observed that the combination resulted in a significant decrease of AML cells to engraft in NOD/SCID mice (Figure 3F left panel; AML1, AML2, AML4, AML5, AML6) and NQO1 (right panel; AML1-AML7) in CD34⁺ AML specimens after 6 hours of treatment with PTL, TEM, or PTL + TEM (P + T). Data are shown relative to untreated controls. Fold changes are represented on a log-10 scale (log10RQ).

Inhibition of PI3K/mTOR impairs antioxidant responses to PTL

Pathway analysis of the PTL response signature indicated involvement of the NF-E2–related factor 2 (Nrf2) pathway (supplemental Figures 1–2), a transcription factor involved in activating the expression of detoxifying and antioxidant enzymes.36 Nrf2 is reportedly translocated to nucleus via PI3K activation.37 Previous studies indicate that the cytoprotective genes, including antioxidant genes, we examined whether PI3K and mTOR inhibitors could prevent activation of Nrf2 and/or its downstream targets.

To test whether PTL-mediated translocation of Nrf2 to the nucleus involved the PI3K/mTOR pathway, we ascertained the localization of Nrf2 in AML cells. PTL exposure for 6 hours resulted in the nuclear accumulation of Nrf2 (Figure 4A bottom left) relative to untreated controls (Figure 4A top left). TEM alone resulted in no change in the cellular distribution of Nrf2 (Figure 4A top right) relative to untreated control. In contrast to PTL, exposure of cells to the combination of PTL and TEM prevented accumulation of Nrf2 in the nucleus, where its distribution is apparently cytoplasmic (Figure 4A bottom right). Because Nrf2 demonstrates a cytoprotective distribution with the combination of PTL + TEM, but a nuclear distribution with PTL alone, we expected that the antioxidant responses controlled by Nrf2 in response to PTL would diminish with the combination of PTL and TEM. To test this prediction, we determined the effect of the interaction between PTL and TEM on a well-known target of Nrf2, heme oxygenase 1 (HO-1),38 at the transcriptional and translational level at 6 hours posttreatment. Using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) on primary CD34⁺ AML cells, we observed that PTL + TEM generally impaired the ability of PTL to induce HO-1 expression in a significant manner (P < .05; Figure 4B). The effects of TEM in terms of suppression of HO-1 expression were also apparent at the translational level as determined by immunoblot analysis of total HO-1 protein in primary CD34⁺ AML specimens (Figure 4C). To further confirm the suppressed activity of Nrf2, we tested additional Nrf2 targets using qPCR, including glutamate-cysteine ligase regulatory subunit (GCLM)39 and NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase (NQO1).40 Indeed, the PTL-mediated induction of GCLM (Figure 4D left panel) was significantly suppressed with the PTL + TEM combination (P < .001). Similar effects were observed with NQO1 (P < .01). Based on these findings, we next sought to determine whether the observable impairment of antioxidant gene expression would demonstrate the expected functional consequence of increased reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Inhibition of PI3K/mTOR increases oxidative stress induced by PTL

Induction of oxidative stress (along with NF-κB inhibition) has previously been described as one of the hallmarks of agents that selectively impair primary AML cells.36 Thus, we investigated whether the combination of TEM with PTL acted to increase...
Overall oxidative burden. As shown in Figure 5, PTL alone is a potent free thiol scavenger as indicated by loss of fluorescence labeling with the thiol reactive probe mBBr (Figure 5A top left panel; Figure 5B bottom panel). This activity of PTL has previously been described as a primary mechanism by which the compound induces oxidative stress.26,41 Interestingly, at sublethal doses of PTL used in this study, there is not an overt increase in cellular reactive oxygen species (ROS), as detected by the well-characterized ROS probe, H2DCF-DA (Figure 5A top left panel; Figure 5B top panel). Moreover, TEM alone fails to appreciably modulate either free thiol species or ROS (Figure 5A middle panels; Figure 5B). In contrast, the combination of PTL + TEM induces both a loss of free thiols and an increase in ROS, indicative of a greater overall oxidative insult with the combined regimen (Figure 5A, bottom panels; Figure 5B). Thus, TEM blocks the PTL-mediated activation of Nrf2 and its antioxidant target genes, resulting in increased oxidative stress.

The combination of DMAPT and temsirolimus impairs engraftment of AML-SCs in NOD/SCID mice and reduces tumor burden in established AML xenotransplants

Based on the biologic activity of PTL in combination with PI3K and mTOR inhibition, we sought to determine the efficacy of this strategy for reducing tumor burden in established human-mouse xenografts using clinical agents. The effects of the interaction between TEM and DMAPT, the clinical analog of PTL,22,42 were tested at doses that are only minimally active for each drug when used as single agents.9,43 NOD/SCID mice were sublethally irradiated and injected with 2 million AML cells per animal. At 2-3 weeks after engraftment, we initiated a treatment schedule consisting of DMAPT, TEM, or DMAPT + TEM for 3 weeks, after which tumor burden as a function of percent bone marrow engraftment was determined (Figure 6). DMAPT at the doses used had no significant effect on tumor burden relative to untreated controls.9,43 TEM was able to produce a modest effect on tumor burden (~50% of untreated). However, DMAPT + TEM decreased tumor burden to ~25% of untreated controls and DMAPT alone (P < .05). When the remaining tumor was injected into sublethally irradiated secondary recipient NOD/SCID mice, we observed substantial impairment of tumor growth (supplemental Figure 8). Finally, we also examined nonspecific toxicity of the DMAPT and TEM drug combination in naive NOD/SCID mice. The data indicate no significant impairment of normal hematopoiesis, and no observable changes in weight or general health (supplemental Figure 9).

Discussion

In the present study we describe a chemogenomic strategy that rapidly selected agents that enhance the cytotoxicity of PTL. This effort successfully identified PI3K/mTOR-pathway inhibitors as compounds that effectively synergize with PTL to induce selective eradication of primary human AML cells, including AML-SCs.
Furthermore, the effects against bulk, stem, and progenitor AML cells were evident both in vitro with patient specimens and in vivo using xenotransplantation models of AML.

Based on these findings, we propose that chemogenomic approaches are applicable to the identification of unknown synergy between 2 or more candidate agents. The results described herein underscore the capabilities of chemogenomic profiling efforts such as the CMap, where the overall pattern of transcriptional changes are ascertained for a collection of compounds. Unlike simple live/dead screens, the transcriptional data associated with compounds are reusable for the discovery of novel relationships between compounds. As proteomic and epigenomic data become available in the chemical space, the precision with which drug discovery can be performed in this manner is likely to improve dramatically.

It is notable that the relationship between PTL and PI3K/mTOR inhibitors discovered by the CMap was anticorrelative, that is, PI3K and mTOR inhibitors tended to exert opposite effects on gene expression changes associated with PTL exposure. A reasonable and intuitive initial interpretation of this result is that PI3K/mTOR inhibitors should antagonize the effects of PTL. However, our study demonstrates synergism between PTL and PI3K/mTOR inhibitors. Synergism is likely observed in this particular case because the PTL signature at the time point we selected largely represents genes that serve cytoprotective functions that diminish PTL function. Indeed, “negatively connected” drugs such as mTOR inhibitors suppressed cytoprotection as suggested by the ability of TEM to impair Nrf2 function upon PTL challenge. We propose that the ability to predict synergy/antagonism with the CMap is strongly dependent on an understanding of the function of the input genes.

In addition to yielding a promising compound combination, the CMap2-based screen provided surprising insight into the biology of AML at the bulk, stem, and progenitor level. Indeed, the primary target for eradicating AML-SCs in many studies has been NF-κB. This study indicates that exposure of primary AML cells to PTL up-regulates PI3K/mTOR signaling. Although the underlying mechanism of this induction remains to be elucidated, we propose that it may represent a secondary protective response that is activated upon loss of the survival benefit conferred by NF-κB activity. Thus, going forward, detection of secondary survival responses associated with different therapeutics may enable both improved drug efficacy and greater success in translating compounds to clinic.

Another notable aspect of this work is the results obtained using TEM in combination with DMAPT for treatment of established xenografts (Figure 6). Treatment of animals with DMAPT alone did not appreciably affect tumor burden at the dose and schedule used for this study. Nonetheless, DMAPT was able to synergize with TEM and cause a significant decrease in tumor burden with the DMAPT + TEM combination. This observation is relevant to the drug development process because it suggests that compounds failing to demonstrate overt antitumor effects as single agents may still exert effects that are of significant value when combined with other agents. Thus, we suggest that comprehensive transcriptional profiling of patients undergoing treatment with experimental agents may be critical in predicting the overall value of a candidate agent. Indeed, experimental agents that fail to elicit an overt antitumor response may still be modulating pathways highly relevant to therapy. In the absence of objective tumor responses, such activities will likely be missed unless some form of comprehensive analysis such as gene expression profiling is used during the early phases of testing new drugs.

We also observed that another component of the cytoprotective response associated with PTL is Nrf2 activation. Inhibition of either PI3K or mTOR abrogated the ability of PTL to cause nuclear accumulation of Nrf2. Accordingly, failure to activate Nrf2 further resulted in prevention or impairment of the activation of Nrf2-regulated genes. Previous reports have indicated the ability of PI3K to directly activate Nrf2 (supplemental Figure 2). However, in addition to PI3K, we note here that mTOR activity appears to be an additional requirement for Nrf2 activation in primary AML specimens, a mechanism for pursuit in future studies.

Taken together, our findings demonstrate that chemogenomic strategies are not only relevant to the identification of cancer drugs, but also that such methods may be of significant benefit in designing potential multiagent therapeutic regimens. Moreover, by focusing on properties conserved in all AML cell populations (ie, stem, progenitor, and blast), we propose that regimens most likely to maximize eradication of intrinsically heterogeneous tumor populations can be developed using high-dimensional drug response data such as that available at CMap2.
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