Correspondence

To the editor:

Possible misinterpretation of the mode of action of therapeutic antibodies in vitro: homotypic adhesion and flow cytometry result in artefactual direct cell death

Two groups have recently proposed that type II anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) B1 (tositumomab) and GA101, as well as anti–HLA-DR antibody (L243), induce strong homotypic adhesion and direct cell death. They claim, on the basis of annexin V, propidium iodide (PI), and flow cytometric analysis, that up to 80% of cell death occurs after 24 hours of treatment with these mAbs.1-3 We have also observed, after treatment of B lymphoma cells in vitro with either anti–HLA-DR BK267 or anti-CD20 antibody B1 (BioGenex), an apparent 30% to 70% increase in cell death of different human B lymphoma cell lines by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of either 7-AAD–stained cells (Figure 1A and data not shown). However, in parallel observations in Bürker chambers, we found that only 5% of antibody-treated cells were stained with Trypan blue and most appeared alive (data not shown). We then observed in alamarBlue vital dye (AbD Serotec) assays that only 2% to 12% cell death could be measured after 24 hours of treatment of the same cell lines with anti–HLA-DR or B1 antibody (Figure 1B and data not shown). Seeing that the cells formed large and tight aggregates in the presence of the antibodies, which were resistant to vigorous pipetting, we thought that this aggregation could have significantly altered the flow cytometric data. We therefore performed cytopsins of cells treated with mAbs and stained with 7-AAD and DAPI. Counting 7-AAD+ cells in cytopsins showed very low mortality, with about 5% increased dead cells in antibody compared with controls (Figure 1C). This was markedly different from parallel flow cytometry (Figure 1A). Indeed, with Raji cells, 83% and 48.6% 7-AAD+ cells were revealed by FACS analysis after HLA-DR and B1 treatment, respectively, compared with only 7% in cytopsins (Figure 1A,C). As positive control, B-CLL treated with alemtuzumab and human

---

Figure 1. The B lymphoma cell line Raji was treated with 10 μg/mL chimeric anti–HLA-DR antibody BK267, anti-CD20 antibody B1, or control antibody trastuzumab (TX). After 24 hours, cell death was evaluated (A) by 7-AAD staining and standard FACS analysis, (B) by incubation for 5 hours with alamarBlue vital dye, or (C) by performing 7-AAD staining followed by cytopsin, fixing, and coloration of nuclei with DAPI. In panel C, photographs of cells stained with DAPI (left) or 7-AAD (right) are shown, as well as the percentage of 7-AAD+ cells with respect to total DAPI+ cells in the same experiment (table on the right). Control B-CLL cells treated with alemtuzumab and 20% human serum are shown in panel C. Finally the absolute number of cells scored by flow cytometry using reference beads was also determined by FACS (D). The data shown are the result of 1 representative experiment of at least 5 performed with 3 different cell lines. For panel C, slides were viewed with a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope using a Nikon 20× Plan Fluor DICM lens at room temperature with Vectashield Medium (Vector Laboratories). Fluorochromes were CD19-FITC and 7-AAD (both BD Biosciences). Images were acquired using a Nikon Digital Sights DS-U1 camera and were processed with Lucia Imaging Analysis Systems (Laboratory Imaging) and ImageJ software.
serum showed more than 70% cell death in cytopsins (Figure 1C), consistent with the results obtained by FACS.4

We conclude that flow cytometry results with anti–HLA-DR or type II anti-CD20 antibodies are largely due to cell aggregation. Large aggregates containing 30 to 100 cells are probably mostly excluded from the analysis, falling outside the gate of scatter plots. Dead cells are in contrast enriched within the single-cell population because aggregation is an energy-dependent process. An apparent rapid loss of cells due to aggregation was confirmed in flow cytometry by counting the absolute number of events using calibrated beads. Indeed, 87% and 70% apparent decrease in total cell number was observed at 24 hours in anti–HLA-DR– and B1-treated samples, respectively (Figure 1D).

Altogether, these observations suggest that FACS analysis should be interpreted with caution in the functional study of antibodies that cause strong homotypic adhesion, unless means are found to disaggregate cells without causing cell death. They also suggest that anti–HLA-DR and anti-CD20 B1 antibody may induce much less direct cell death than previously suggested and the reason for their efficacy in vivo may reside in other properties, such as homotypic adhesion itself inducing opsonization or immune mediated mechanisms, as recently suggested for type II anti-CD20 mAbs.5

Golay et al raise several important points regarding the possible pitfalls in assessing cell death by flow cytometry. In particular, they refer to experiments on anti-CD20 and HLA-DR monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) reported by our groups in the past year.1,2 We fully concur that more than one technique should be performed to validate any cell death phenomenon and that flow cytometry alone is insufficient. For exactly these reasons we performed real-time pipetting and flow cytometry detailed by Golay et al. Both the XTT reagent used by us and the alamarBlue used by Golay et al operate through a common mechanism (reduction by metabolically active cells). Therefore, the differences observed between our groups are hard to reconcile, but may potentially be explained by differences in cell density or dye incubation time used. Furthermore, we have previously confirmed that the loss of cell viability observed translated into a loss of clonogenic survival.3

This lack of a direct relationship implies that mechanisms other than those proposed by Golay et al are responsible for the decreased viability of the cells. In particular, we have previously confirmed that the loss of cell viability observed translated into a loss of clonogenic survival.3 We also reported a clear and substantial decrease in viable cell proliferation in the presence of tositumomab or HLA-DR mAb, using XTT assays (Figure 1B and Ivanov et al1). This assay again excludes the mechanical issues of pipetting and flow cytometry detailed by Golay et al. Both the XTT reagent used by us and the alamarBlue used by Golay et al operate through a common mechanism (reduction by metabolically active cells). Therefore, the differences observed between our groups are hard to reconcile, but may potentially be explained by differences in cell density or dye incubation time used. Furthermore, we have previously confirmed that the loss of cell viability observed translated into a loss of clonogenic survival.3

Although HA correlates with cell death, the relationship is not direct for all mAbs. For example, the pan-HLA mAb A9-1 caused high levels of aggregation yet elicited relatively low levels of cell death compared with anti–HLA DR and type II anti-CD20 mAbs.1 This lack of a direct relationship implies that mechanisms other
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Novel lysosomal-dependent cell death following homotypic adhesion occurs within cell aggregates
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