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Despite major therapeutic advances, most mature B-cell malignancies remain incurable. Compelling evidence suggests that crosstalk with accessory stromal cells in specialized tissue microenvironments, such as the bone marrow and secondary lymphoid organs, favors disease progression by promoting malignant B-cell growth and drug resistance. Therefore, disrupting the crosstalk between malignant B cells and their milieu is an attractive novel strategy for treating selected mature B-cell malignancies. Here we summarize the current knowledge about the cellular and molecular interactions between neoplastic B lymphocytes and accessory cells that shape a supportive microenvironment, and the potential therapeutic targets that are emerging, together with the new problems they raise. We discuss clinically relevant aspects and provide an outlook into future biologically oriented therapeutic strategies. We anticipate a paradigm shift in the treatment of selected B-cell malignancies, moving from targeting primarily the malignant cells toward combining cytotoxic drugs with agents that interfere with the microenvironment’s proactive role. Such approaches hopefully will help eliminating residual disease, thereby improving our current therapeutic efforts. (Blood. 2009;114:3367-3375)

Introduction

The microenvironment is the compilation of accessory cells that within individual organs work as a team through cell-cell contacts and active molecular crosstalk to provide a functional scaffolding to parenchymal cells. In solid tumors, a microenvironment instrumental to the survival and propagation of malignant cells is built up by the concurrence of inflammatory cells that produce growth factors, new vessel formation that provides nutrients, and immune tolerance that avoids immune-mediated elimination. Conceivably, this conducive microenvironment may be an appropriate soil to host cancer stem cells (CSCs). Signals from the tumor microenvironment are a major hurdle to cancer cell eradication, and its neoangiogenetic component has become an attractive target for treatment strategies that aim at perturbing the nurturing capacity of the tumor cell milieu.

Blood cancers develop in specialized tissue microenvironments, such as bone marrow (BM) and secondary lymphoid organs. These microenvironments are characterized by different populations of accessory stromal and T cells that interact with malignant cells and promote tumor growth and drug resistance. Malignant blood cells apparently have highly variable degrees of dependency on signals from the microenvironment. To make matters more complex, the affinity and dependency on accessory stromal cells for tumor growth and progression can change over time, with the evolution of stroma-dependent or stroma-independent subclones.

The issue of microenvironment-targeted treatment has recently gained increasing attention in hematology-oncology, and the enthusiasm in this field is justified by a number of new drugs that are targeted toward the microenvironment, such as thalidomide and lenalidomide,plerixafor, and natalizumab. At this point, the main questions are which blood malignancies may mostly benefit from microenvironment-targeted approaches, what are the potential therapeutic targets, and what are the problems they raise.

Microenvironment and blood cancers

The relationships between neoplastic blood cells and microenvironment appear to follow 3 major patterns whose better definition may provide clues for future microenvironment-targeted treatments.

The first pattern may be referred to as loss of interconnection with the microenvironmental network, which occurs when a genetic abnormality provides transformed cells with a sustained proliferation advantage that is largely autonomous and independent of microenvironmental signals. A typical example is Burkitt lymphoma, where virtually all malignant B cells are determined to proliferate because of the chromosomal translocation that leads to perennial c-myc gene activation. Accordingly, in Burkitt lymphoma, the microenvironment appears to have a limited role in planning new treatment strategies.

The second pattern is a dysfunctional environment, where the neoplastic cells engage in deregulated interactions with the supportive stroma that provide the malignant cells with growth- and drug-resistance signals. Examples are the acute leukemias and myelodysplastic syndromes, where leukemia stem cells (LSCs) escape the tightly regulated cell growth- and proliferation-control within the hematopoietic niches, and instead parasitize in the supportive hematopoietic microenvironment. Here, the dependency of the neoplastic cells on the stromal counterparts for growth and survival is partially retained and may lead to the survival of clones endowed with a higher affinity for the microenvironment. Accordingly, in these diseases, targeting the microenvironment is...
justified as an attempt to target stromal cell–dependent subclones, which otherwise survive conventional treatments and pave the way for relapses.

The third pattern, a friendly, regulated coexistence of the malignant cells and the microenvironment, is apparent in subsets of B-cell tumors, such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), follicular lymphoma (FL), mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphomas, and multiple myeloma (MM). Here, the interactions between the malignant cells and the microenvironment largely resemble the pattern that the normal counterpart B cells engage in with their respective microenvironments. Consequently, the proliferative drive for the malignant cells is, at least initially, largely dependent on external signals from the microenvironment, such as antigens, cytokines, and cell-cell interactions, and the neoplastic cells undergo apoptosis unless their survival is reinforced by these external stimuli. These interactions are not targeted by our current “conventional” treatments, which may explain why, forced by these external stimuli. These interactions are not targeted and are not one-shot treatments, which otherwise survive conventional treatments and pave the way for relapses.

The microenvironment of GC allows maturing B cells to interact with CD4⁺ T cells for the necessary help on Ag recognition and with specialized stromal cells, follicular dendritic cells (FDCs), for the required quality control after affinity maturation.⁵ The Ag encounter triggers the proliferation, maturation, and final differentiation into effector plasma cells (PCs) and memory B cells.⁸

Implications for mature B-cell malignancies

The microenvironment of mature B-cell tumors mirrors the microenvironment of the tissue where the tumor develops. It shares the general properties of cancer microenvironment with the presence of abundant different populations of stromal cells that favor the survival of malignant cells, various subsets of T cells that may support the disease progression, overcoming the antitumor effect exerted by still other specific T-cell subsets, and endothelial cells that organize neoangiogenetic microvessels. The clinical relevance of microenvironment is exemplified by the observation that the length of survival among patients with FL correlates with the molecular features of nonmalignant immune cells present in the tumor at diagnosis,¹³ and those differences in immune cells, fibrosis, and angiogenesis in tumor environment influence the
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B-cell tumors is currently less well defined compared with solid malignancies is currently explored in clinical trials. Antigen stimulation in mature B-cell malignancies

The very raison d’être of mature B cells is their Ag receptor. It follows that in specific B-cell malignancies the concept of microenvironment as a regulator of malignant B-cell growth is tightly linked to the possible role of Ag stimulation.15 Chronic BCR stimulation by latent microbial or auto-Ag can trigger the development and expansion of malignant B cells. Classic examples are the development of gastric MALT lymphomas in the context of chronic Helicobacter pylori infection20,21 and of lymphomas within salivary glands in Sjogren syndrome or the thyroid in Hashimoto thyroiditis. The list of B-cell tumors where microorganisms provide antigenic lymphomagenic stimuli is growing and includes hepatitis C virus in splenic marginal zone lymphoma,22 Borrelia in cutaneous marginal zone lymphoma,22,23 Chlamydia psittaci in ocular adnexal marginal zone lymphoma,22,24 and possibly also Campylobacter jejuni in immunoproliferations of the small intestine.22

The existing data also indicate that molecular structures normally involved in eliminating cellular debris, scavenging apoptotic cells, and providing a first line of defense against pathogenic bacteria may trigger and/or facilitate the onset and evolution also of CLL.25-27

Most patients with FL have somatically mutated immunoglobulin variable (IGVH) genes with intrachromal variation, consistent with their origin from GC. Surprisingly, these IGVH genes almost always carry introduced motifs available for N-glycosylation that are uncommon in normal B cells, very rare in CLL, undetectable in MM, although evident in a proportion of DLBCLs, suggesting a site-specific role.28 Most novel glycosylation sites are located in the complementary-determining regions sites, possibly precluding conventional Ag binding and contain oligomannose glycans, which are expressed by tumor cell surface IgM. As binding studies indicate that the oligomannose glycans occupying the variable regions are accessible to mannose-binding lectin, the possibility is raised, that within malignant GC, the Ag stimulation operating in other B-cell tumors might be mimicked by the interaction of the tumor cell BCR with mannose-binding molecules of innate immunity.29 A possible BCR reactivity has also been shown in DLBCL where it appears to carry a prognostic significance.30 The majority of examined primary DLBCLs were found to exhibit both tonic- and ligand-induced BCR signaling, which could be identified by transcriptional profiles and was abrogated by an inhibitor of spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk).30

In MM both the IgVH mutational status31 and the actual paraprotein reactivity identified in some instances32 document that Ag stimulation is somehow instrumental in triggering the onset of the diseases. Whether a persistent Ag stimulation may fuel the disease by providing persistent waves of Ag-specific plasmablasts is a matter of speculation.

The issue becomes how we might use all this information for designing therapeutic strategies. One obvious possibility is to identify the stimulating Ag, especially if it is of microbial origin and interferes with its activity, an approach successfully applied to H pylori– and C psittaci–related lymphomas. Another option is to learn how to manipulate the B-cell response triggered by the BCR stimulation. The possibility of targeting the signal transduction pathways activated in malignant B cells by microenvironmental interactions exists considering the impressively rapid progress in the field of (kinase-) signaling inhibitors. Unfortunately, signal transduction pathways are generally redundant: if one is switched off, others remain intact and can bypass the inhibitors. Master signaling pathways tend to be used in various cell types, and targeting these pathways might lead to unwanted severe side effects.

Malignant B-cell trafficking, chemokine receptors, and adhesion molecules

Evidence is growing that malignant B cells exploit the physiologic mechanisms of tissue-specific lymphocyte migration and homing to access supportive microenvironmental niches.

The exquisite homing to and within the BM of malignant B cells, such as MM33 and CLL cells,34 is mediated by the chemokine receptor CXCR4.35 This allows malignant B cells to interact with CXCL12-expressing mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs),3 which in turn provide ligands for adhesion molecules, such as VLA-4 (CD49d) or CD44,36 VLA-4-mediated adhesion to fibronectin confers a survival advantage and inhibits drug-induced apoptosis (cell adhesion–mediated drug resistance) in MM37 and other hematopoietic malignancies. Activation of CXCR4 and CXCR5 induces signals related to cell growth, such as p42/44 MAP kinase-(ERK1/2) and STAT3,38 and prolongs the survival of CLL cells,39,40 indicating that chemokine receptor activation not only attracts neoplastic B cells but also directly regulates their fate. MM PCs express on the membrane adhesion molecules, such as H-CAM (CD44), ICAM-1 (CD54), N-CAM (CD56), LFA-3 (CD58), the proteoglycan syndecan, a receptor for hyaluronan-mediated motility, and frequently also CD11/CD18.36,41,42 The interaction of MM surface adhesion structures with their homologous ligands within the BM microenvironment allows malignant PCs to be entrapped within the BM stromal cell web. There, they encounter locally produced cytokines enriched on the extracellular matrix protein layers.

FL3,44 and mantle cell lymphoma cells45 also express surface chemokine receptors, particularly CXCR4 and CXCR5,44,45 and adhesion molecules that allow for the homing and retention within
Lessons from the analysis of different B-cell malignancies

Individual B-cell malignancies have unique microenvironment properties whose detailed analysis raises a number of issues important for planning new therapeutic strategies.

Microenvironment in CLL

CLL cells relentlessly accumulate in vivo but rapidly undergo spontaneous apoptosis in vitro, implying that their apoptosis resistance, rather than being an intrinsic feature of leukemic cell, depends on external signals.2,3,19 This is not surprising considering that also the maturation stages of normal B cells are highly dependent on microenvironment signals.2,38,43 Starting from the original studies, which used unselected BM stromal cells,2,38 growing evidence suggests that different types of stromal cells, such as monocyte-derived nurse-like cells (NLCS),39 MSCs,40 or even FDCs50 protect CLL cells in coculture and are an integral part of the CLL microenvironment.

NLCS owe their name to the similarities with thymic nurse cells that nurture developing thymocytes.39 In vitro, NLCS differentiate from blood monocytes cocultured with CLL cells.39 In vivo, NLCS can be found in the spleen and lymphoid tissues of CLL patients.51 They attract CLL cells by secreting CXCL1239 and CXCL1352 and protect CLL cells from spontaneous or drug-induced apoptosis through CXCL12,39,40 B-cell–activating factor of the tumor necrosis factor family (BAFF), a proliferation-inducing ligand,54 and CD31, and plexin-B153 (Figure 2).

MSCs are known to profoundly influence the development and progression of various cancers.56 In CLL58 and other B-cell malignancies, such as MM57 as well as in a murine mature B-cell lymphoma model,54 MSC-derived survival and drug resistance signals are largely adhesion-dependent. Coculture with MSC results in the rapid, spontaneous migration of a fraction of CLL cells beneath and underneath the MSCs (pseudoeperipolesis), inducing a cobblestone-like appearance.34 This migration depends on CXCR4 and VLA-4 expression by leukemia cells34 and exemplifies the migratory potential and the need for adhesive interactions between CLL cells and the microenvironment. Adhesion molecules, particularly VLA-4 integrins, along with CD44 and gelatinase B/matrix metalloproteinase-9,58 cooperate with chemokine receptors in CLL cell adhesion to stromal cells.46 Interestingly, VLA-4 expression on CLL cells has prognostic impact,59 indicating the relevance of these interactions in vivo.

Supportive stromal niches. Anti-CD49d monoclonal antibodies or peptides that compete with CD49d ligands inhibit malignant B-cell adhesion46,47 to stromal cells and enhance the activity of cytotoxic drugs. Accordingly, drugs targeting CD49d, other adhesion molecules, or their stromal ligands, should also be evaluated in selected B-cell malignancies.

Figure 2. Molecular crosstalk between malignant B cells, exemplified for CLL B cells, and the microenvironment. This figure displays the molecules involved in crosstalk between CLL cells and accessory cells in the marrow and/or lymphoid tissue microenvironments. Contact between CLL cells (and various other mature B-cell lymphomas, as detailed in the text) and NLCS/LAMs or MSCs is established and maintained by chemokine receptors and adhesion molecules. NLCS express the chemokines CXCL12 and CXCL13, whereas MSCs predominantly express CXCL12. NLCS and MSCs attract CLL cells via the G protein–coupled chemokine receptors CXCR4 and CXCR5, which are expressed at high levels on CLL cells. Integrins, particularly VLA-4 integrins (CD49d), expressed on the surface of CLL cells cooperate with chemokine receptors in establishing cell–cell adhesion through respective ligands on the stromal cells (VCAM-1 and fibronectin/FN). NLCS also express the TNF family members BAFF and a proliferation-inducing ligand, providing survival signals to CLL cells via corresponding receptors (BCMA, TACI, BAFF-R). CD38 expression allows CLL cells to interact with CD31, the ligand for CD38, expressed by stromal and NLCS. Ligation of CD38 activates ZAP-70 and downstream survival pathways. Self and/or environmental antigens (Ag) are considered a key factor in stimulation and expansion of the CLL clone. The nature and source of Ag and its mode of presentation in CLLs are unknown and currently the focus of intensive research. Stimulation of the BCR complex (BCR and CD79a,b) induces downstream signaling by recruitment and activation of Syk and ZAP-70. BCR stimulation and coculture with NLCS also induce CLL cells to secrete high levels of the chemokines CCL3 and CCL4, which are potent T cell–attracting chemokines. Through this mechanism, CLL cells can actively recruit T cells for cognate T-cell interactions with CLL cells. CD40L+ T cells are preferentially found in CLL proliferation centers and can interact with CLL cells via CD40. Cytokines secreted by T cells or CLL cells, such as IL-4, or TNF-α are considered important regulators of CLL cell survival. Hedgehog (Hh) proteins, such as the indian (Ihh) and sonic (Shh) hedgehog proteins, are stromal-cell–derived factors that can regulate survival of CLL and other mature B-cell malignancies.54 ROR1, an oncofetal antigen with CLL-restricted expression, may function as receptor for Wnt5a,55 expressed by stromal cells. Collectively, this crosstalk between CLL cells and accessory cells results in activation of survival and drug resistance pathways, such as those provided by Bcl-2 and Mcl-1.

Individual B-cell malignancies have unique microenvironment properties whose detailed analysis raises a number of issues important for planning new therapeutic strategies.
In CLL tissues, a unique histopathology hallmark is apparent: the presence of focal aggregates of proliferating cells that cluster in pseudofollicular structures, named proliferation centers or pseudofollicles (Figure 1B). The architecture and cellular composition of proliferation centers include different Ag-presenting cells and numerous CD4+ T cells (Figure 1B), suggesting that some of the mechanisms required for the expansion of Ag-specific normal B cells within GC may also be operating in CLL. Still the processes accounting for the development and maintenance of pseudofollicles are unknown. CXCL13, a chemokine critical in establishing the architecture of normal follicles, probably plays a role. CXCL13 is constitutively secreted by FDCs and NLCs and is a potent chemoattractant for CLL cells. Recent data suggest that CLL cells are not mere passive seeds that need to home into the appropriate soil but rather active players that create a supportive microenvironment. CLL cells, after BCR stimulation or exposure to NLCs, secrete chemokines, such as CCL22, CCL3, and CCL4 which can attract other supportive cells, such as T cells. This indicates the possibility that the CLL microenvironment is created and maintained through a dynamic, interactive coevolution between leukemic and normal bystander cells.

The role of T cells in CLL can be seen from different, nonmutually exclusive angles. One possibility is that T-cell subsets may help favor disease progression, overcoming the antitumor effect exerted by other specific T-cell subsets. In this context, the role and functional significance of regulatory T cells (Treg) and γδ T cells in the CLL microenvironment are imperfectly defined. Another possibility is that T-cell abnormalities may provide the biologic basis of the significant immune deficiency that is typical of CLL. The recently identified impaired formation of immunologic synapse might represent an important element of immune dysfunction, especially because this abnormality can also be induced in healthy allogeneic T cells after contact with CLL cells. Further, the possibility of reversing this defect with the immunomodulatory drug lenalidomide is of therapeutic interest.

The overall number of circulating T cells, oligoclonal in both the CD4 and the CD8 compartment, is increased in untreated CLL patients. It is still unresolved whether these expanded T cells display immune reactivity to the malignant clone or rather target microbial antigens that are more prevalent in CLL patients because of their chronic immunosuppression. Functionally, they can stimulate CLL cell growth and survival by secreting cytokines, such as interleukin-4 (IL-4) or tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) (Figure 2). Within proliferation centers, a significant proportion of T cells display CD40L (CD154), a member of the TNF superfamily that may bind to CD40+ CLL cells, rescuing them from apoptosis. Conversely, CD40 crosslinking on CLL cells induces up-regulation of CD80 and CD86 and turns nonimmunogenic CLL cells into effective T-cell stimulators. CLL cells engineered to express CD154 by adenoviral gene transfer can crosslink CD40 on bystander CLL cells and induce the same sequence of activation and immune recognition. When this concept was moved into the clinic as a novel form of immunotherapy, CLL patients were infused with their cells transduced in vitro with an adenovirus encoding CD40L (Ad-CD154). Recently, 3 patients treated with Ad-CD154-transduced CLL cells were shown to make antibodies to ROR1, an oncofetal antigen with surface expression restricted to CLL B cells. Collectively, these data suggest that CD40 activation of CLL cells can result in different outcomes that are not mutually contradictory: activation of prosurvival and proliferation pathways, if triggered by CD154+ T cells in the context of proliferation centers, or immune recognition and induction of a specific immune response, if triggered in the context of Ad-CD154-transduced CLL cells.

Microenvironment in follicular lymphoma

A proactive involvement of the microenvironment appears to be operating in some indolent lymphomas, which tend to retain the architecture and the cellular microenvironment of normal lymph nodes. This is particularly true in FL, which faithfully recapitulates the general architecture of normal follicles, including the presence of T cells and of a meshwork of FDCs, even when the infiltrate localizes in the BM and in nonlymphoid organs. FL cells, like normal GC cells, are notoriously difficult to grow in vitro where they rapidly undergo apoptosis unless stimulated through CD40, a main signaling pathway of T- and B-cell interactions. It is also apparent in marginal zone B-cell lymphomas of MALT-type, where malignant cells maintain an association with epithelial cells. These observations indicate that the pioneer colonizing lymphoma cells that land in the appropriate soil may contribute to render it much more welcoming and suggest the coevolution of malignant cells and microenvironment.

Given that the composition of the microenvironment appears to be a key prognostic factor in FL, the relevant question becomes how such a conducive microenvironment is assembled. It has to be understood whether the non-B cellular elements in an FL infiltrate outside a lymph node, such as T cells and FDCs, are imported from outside (eg, from the original lymph node lesion) together with malignant B cells or whether they are differentiating in situ from local cells recruited from the different microenvironment elements of the different organs involved. If the latter hypothesis is correct, it remains to be established which T-cell subsets are implicated and through which mechanisms and especially which local stromal cells are recruited and how the stromal cells differentiate into FDCs. In this context, a relevant role may be played by MSCs, which can be part of the normal BM and lymphoid tissue microenvironment and, as such, might represent the soil where the neoplastic B cells seed. Alternatively, they may coevolve in the neoplastic microenvironment, as it has been shown in some solid tumors where carcinoma-associated fibroblasts provide growth signals by secreting CXCL12.

Lymphoma-associated macrophages (LAMs) confirm the critical role of myelomonocytic cells in shaping the microenvironment of some B-cell malignancies. Microarray and immunohistochemistry studies suggested a central role of LAMs in the pathogenesis and prognosis of FL, indicating the responsiveness of FLs to microenviron-
similar events might operate between malignant B cells and NLCs or LAMs in the microenvironment of CLL and FL.

Neoplastic follicles contain numerous T cells usually found in normal GC, including follicular T cells. The role of T cells in shaping FL microenvironment is unclear, some probably favoring tumor progression by production of cytokines and/or direct contact.77 Of interest, FOXP3+/CD4+/CD25+ regulatory T cells are enriched in FL nodes.78 Tregs play a critical role in the inhibition of self-reactive immune responses and, as such, have been implicated in the suppression of tumor-reactive effector T cells in FL, thereby fostering an immune-privileged microenvironment.79 It is therefore a relevant observation that FL B cells may be able to induce Foxp3 expression and regulatory function in conventional T cells within the tumor microenvironment,80,81 thereby allowing FL cells to evade the immune system detection. However, the biologic and prognostic role of various non-neoplastic T-cell populations in FL infiltrates is poorly understood, and results from a number of immunohistochemical studies investigating the number of CD3+, CD4+, and CD8+ T-cell populations are highly contradictory.72,73,82-86 de Jong et al recently reviewed 9 studies in FL, often reporting contradictory findings of FL infiltration by accessory T cells and other stromal cells (macrophages, FDCs), and their impact on patients’ prognosis.85 Lessons from these studies are that the prognostic role of certain T-cell populations might be highly dependent on specific treatment protocols and that the spatial distribution of T-cell subsets (eg, intrafollicular or extrafollicular) might be of biologic importance.

### Microenvironment in MM

MM has long been the paradigmatic model for investigating the role of microenvironment in blood cancers. The MM PC precursor is either an activated B memory cell or a plasmablast31,87 generated in peripheral lymphoid organs during secondary T cell–dependent antibody response, programmed to home to the BM and committed to differentiate in close association with the BM microenvironment.86 Malignant PCs capitalize on the same interactions and stimuli provided by BM stromal cells that allow for the development, survival, and differentiation of normal lympho-hemopoietic progenitors. MM malignant PCs produce a number of cytokines that include interleukin-1β, TNF-β, and monocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor,88 which activate the microenvironment. In turn, the cells of BM microenvironment produce several cytokines relevant to the growth and survival of MM PCs epitomized by IL-6 and insulin-like growth factor-I (reviewed by Hidesthima et al86). The physical contact between MM PC and BM stromal cells increases IL-6 production.88 BM microenvironmental stromal cells both in mice and in human PC tumors are well equipped with a large series of adhesion and extracellular matrix proteins that mediate homotypic and heterotypic interactions and provide anchorage sites to cells selectively exposed to locally released growth factors.36

The MM microenvironment has 2 cardinal features. First, neoangiogenesis is especially prominent. The density of newly formed microvessels is proportional to the PC labeling index; it increases with stage and has a strong prognostic value.89 MM cells produce angiogenic cytokines; in turn, endothelial cells stimulate MM cell growth and protect them from drugs.90 The role of neoangiogenesis, which is also evident in other blood cancers spanning from CLL to myelofibrosis,91 as a therapeutic target in MM is still unclear. As an example, a number of drugs, such as thalidomide, lenalidomide, and bortezomib, appear able to counteract the nurturing effect of the BM milieu in MM, but their precise relationship with a direct antiangiogenetic effect has not been proven. More recently, the possibility has emerged that dasatinib may target tumor vessels.92

The second important property of the MM microenvironment is that several of the cytokines that function as growth and survival factors for monoclonal PC (such as IL-6 and insulin-like growth factor) are also produced in the context of bone remodeling, leading to the concept of the vicious cycle between bone resorption and tumor growth. This notion is at the basis of the microenvironment-targeted treatment centered on blocking osteoclast-mediated bone resorption, indirectly suppressing the sequelae of osteoclasis activity.

These features still fail to disclose why MM PCs localize uniquely within the BM where bone resorption and tumor expansion stimulate and excite each other, creating a vicious cycle. The problems that have to be solved include which properties of BM microenvironment allow such a unique situation, whether specific populations of stromal cells are involved, how osteoimmunology may provide much needed clues, and how the modifications of microenvironment that occur in MM relate to the microenvironment features of monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance.

### Potential therapeutic targets: the issue of malignant B-cell niches

Overall, we are only starting to learn which pathways deliver critical survival and drug-resistance signals in the interactions that occur between B-cell malignancies and their microenvironments. The definition of the architecture of distinct niches for hematopoietic and tissue SC and their relationships with CSC niches, together with the mechanisms that govern SC migration, homing, and homeostasis,93 may translate into studies of B-cell malignancies. Particularly in the early stages, the pathways used by largely stroma-dependent clones are similar to those used during early B-cell development in the marrow and Ag-triggered B-cell expansion in follicles.

In this context, a tissue of crucial importance is the BM. Besides MM, which is by definition a BM disease, the BM is a common site of minimal residual disease and the source of relapses in patients with B-cell tumors, such as CLL. One possible explanation is that, although conventional treatment eliminates the bulk of clonal elements, residual malignant cells lurk in protective niches where they receive signals from accessory cells that promote survival and drug resistance (Figure 1A). It is reasonable to suggest that these niches may have similarities with the niches that protect HSCs, including the presence of stromal cells, T cells, and endothelial cells. This situation would be optimal to pave the way to relapse. A similar organization might occur in MM, where it is plausible to consider that transformed migrating plasmablasts reaching the BM may organize themselves in niches that would provide the environment necessary for their differentiation into nonmigratory PCs that will progressively and successfully occupy the marrow.

Considering that different types of stromal cells are being implicated in the growth of mature B-cell tumors, a precise definition of which cells and through which pathways are operating in vivo in different malignancies becomes essential. The compelling evidence that interactions with different types of stromal cells, such as MSCs or NLCs, confer survival- and drug-resistance
signals to malignant B cells offers attractive therapeutic avenues. At the same time, it cannot be overlooked that stromal cells are essential for the tightly regulated tissue homeostasis, providing niches to normal SCs, such as HSCs. This may result in a double-edged sword as exemplified when considering CXCR4 as a molecular therapeutic target. Given the importance of CXCR4 for malignant B-cell adhesion to MSCs,34 and more generally, its significance for CSC homing to protective niches,96 CXCR4 antagonists (plerixafor/AMD3100, or T140 analogs) could be useful for mobilizing cancer cells for a more effective exposure to anticancer drugs.4 However, this approach also mobilizes normal HSCs (and potentially other SCs) and exposes them to cytotoxic drugs outside their protective niches, which might result in increased toxicity. Combinations of CXCR4 antagonists with drugs that target predominantly the malignant cells, such as monoclonal antibodies (ie, rituximab, alemtuzumab), could help avoid this potential hazard.

**Targeting the microenvironment: clinical and preclinical studies**

Preliminary data from an ongoing clinical trial in patients with relapsed leukemia, which combines plerixafor with chemotherapy, suggest safety and efficacy of the concept to mobilize (using a CXCR4 antagonist) and then target tumor cells outside their protective niches.97 Related clinical studies include a CLL trial that combines plerixafor with rituximab, and a trial in MM with the T140 analog BKT140, but preliminary data are not yet available.

Another therapeutic approach that recently entered the clinical stage is related to the Syk. Syk is a key component of BCR signaling and can be blocked by R406, a small-molecule Syk inhibitor. R406 is active in preclinical models in CLL,98 and DLBCL,99 and displayed impressive activity in CLL patients in a first clinical trial.99 Other therapeutic targets are adhesion molecules, such as VLA-450 or CD44 as an alternative to CXCR4 antagonists for mobilization of neoplastic cells from their protective environment. In a first clinical trial in myeloma patients, the anti–VLA-4 antibody natalizumab (Biogen IDEC), approved for the treatment of multiple sclerosis, is currently being investigated.

Surface molecules or signaling pathways that are involved in crosstalk between malignant B cells and their microenvironment (Figure 2) also represent attractive therapeutic targets. The phosphoinositide 3-kinases are a key signaling pathway in cancer, including B-cell malignancies.100 Small molecule phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitors effectively antagonize stromal cell–derived migration, survival, and drug resistance signals in CLL.100 These drugs are expected to become available for targeting stroma-derived survival signals in B-cell malignancies in the near future. Immunomodulatory drugs, such as thalidomide and its successor, lenalidomide, are clinically active in mature B-cell malignancies, including MM and CLL, and their activity has been related to modulation of the microenvironment, rather than the malignant B cells. Here, the precise mechanism(s) remain unknown and are currently the subject of intensive research. Finally, discovery and targeting of the causative agents that induce B-cell expansion in the various B-cell malignancies could be a quintessential goal of our efforts in this field, and the discoveries related to *H pylori* and its role in development of MALT lymphoma, as discussed before, are a paradigm for this concept. Whether or not the concept of CSC applies to B-cell malignancies remains to be explored, but the microenvironment dependency and the concept of niches merge HSC, CSC, and several B-cell malignancies. Likely, a more proper understanding of these aspects will allow movement toward additional targeted treatment options.
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