Starting 90 years ago with a clinical description by Glanzmann of a bleeding disorder associated with a defect in platelet function, technologic advances helped investigators identify the defect as a mutation(s) in the integrin family receptor, \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \), which has the capacity to bind fibrinogen (and other ligands) and support platelet-platelet interactions (aggregation). The receptor’s activation state was found to be under exquisite control, with activators, inhibitors, and elaborate inside-out signaling mechanisms controlling its conformation. Structural biology has produced high-resolution images defining the ligand binding site at the atomic level. Research on \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \) has been bidirectional, with basic insights resulting in improved Glanzmann thrombasthenia carrier detection and prenatal diagnosis, assays to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms responsible for alloimmune neonatal thrombocytopenia, and the development of \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \) antagonists, the first rationally designed antiplatelet agents, to prevent and treat thrombotic cardiovascular disease. The future looks equally bright, with the potential for improved drugs and the application of gene therapy and stem cell biology to address the genetic abnormalities. The \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \) saga serves as a paradigm of rigorous science growing out of careful clinical observations of a rare disorder yielding both important new scientific information and improved diagnosis, therapy, and prevention of other disorders. (Blood. 2008;112:3011-3025)

**Introduction**

“Thus blood, for all its raw physicality, its heat, color and smell, remains first and foremost a powerfully symbolic substance—capable of representing the most primeval forces of life, and of death.”

“… for the blood is life …” Deuteronomy 12:23

To celebrate the 50th anniversary of Blood, we offer an historical account of research on our favorite receptor on the platelet surface, \( \mathrm{GPIIb/IIIa} \), or integrin \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \). This receptor plays an important role in hemostasis and thrombosis, and in accord with the quotations above, both processes have profound effects on life and health. The origin of the English word blood is uncertain. It may derive from a postulated Indo-European root *bhel*, “bloom” or “sprout,” and it has been speculated that “ancient people looked upon the effusion from incised skin as a sort of blooming,” and it has been speculated that “ancient people looked upon the effusion from incised skin as a sort of blooming,” an image well known to practitioners of the bleeding time.

The dominant theme in this review is how improved understanding of the structure and function of \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \) has led to opportunities to translate that knowledge into biomedical advances, including the development of \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \) antagonists, the first class of rationally designed antiplatelet agents. The subtheme is how advances in scientific technology deriving from discoveries in other fields have been crucial to improving our understanding of \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \). Figure 1 is a timeline depicting, by category, approximately when different technologies were introduced into the investigation of blood platelets and/or \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \). Thus, as with a musical fugue, we will try to tell 2 stories simultaneously, namely the scientific progress in understanding the importance of \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \) in biology and medicine and the technologic advances that enabled this progress. Because of space constraints, many key observations cannot be cited. Thus, we have chosen to cite a mixture of early work and recent review articles relevant to specific aspects of the \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \) story. The interested reader may wish to consult several books that contain more detailed information.3-8

**Glanzmann thrombasthenia and the molecular analysis of \( \alpha \mathrm{IIb} \beta 3 \)**

**Early platelet discoveries, clinical observations, and laboratory studies**

Advances in microscopy and intravital technology paved the way for Bizzozero’s landmark description in 1881 of blood platelets and their roles in thrombosis and hemostasis (reviewed in Robb-Smith9). Hayem made many important contributions, including confirming the relationship between hemorrhage and a low platelet count (1890) and describing the importance of platelets to the retraction of blood clots (1878), the latter providing the first in vitro assay of platelet function. Duke, in his landmark paper in 1910, described the ear lobe bleeding time as an in vivo assay that was prolonged in individuals with thrombocytopenia, and corrected when platelet counts increased after transfusion or disease remission.10 These early studies set the stage for Swiss pediatrician Eduard Glanzmann to describe in 1918 a series of patients with an inherited bleeding disorder characterized by mucocutaneous hemorrhage in which the platelet count was normal, but platelet function, as measured by clot retraction, was impaired. He termed the disorder hereditary hemorrhagic thrombasthenia (“weak platelet”), introducing the concept of a qualitative platelet disorder.11 Subsequently, Forio reported that patients with thrombasthenia had prolonged bleeding times, while others observed that thrombasthenic platelets failed to clump or to spread when visualized on blood smears (reviewed in Caen et al12).
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Discoveries during the 1950s and early 1960s laid the groundwork for further characterization of the platelet abnormality in Glanzmann thrombasthenia, including observations that normal platelets adhere to connective tissue collagen and aggregate in response to adenosine diphosphate (ADP; reviewed in Marcus and Zucker). In addition, platelets were found by electron microscopy to be surrounded by an electron-dense “glycocalyx” that is rich in fibrinogen, a plasma protein that was later discovered to enjoy an intimate relationship with GPIIb/IIIa (reviewed in Marcus and Zucker, Peerschke, and Bennett).

A major technical advance was the invention of the platelet aggregometer in 1962, which provided a quantitative optical turbidometric method to measure platelet-platelet interactions in a plasma environment. Using this methodology, several groups reported that thrombathenic platelets failed to aggregate in response to all known physiologic agonists, including ADP, collagen, epinephrine, serotonin, and thrombin. In parallel with this discovery was the finding that thrombathenic platelets were deficient in fibrinogen.

Application of electrophoretic techniques

The development of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, which permitted high-resolution separation of platelet proteins, provided new opportunities to define the defect in thrombathenic platelets. By the mid-1970s it was reported that patients’ platelets were deficient in 2 glycoproteins, one in the second carbohydrate-staining region and one in the third region. With improved gel resolution these broad carbohydrate-staining regions were subdivided and the proteins deficient or abnormal in Glanzmann thrombasthenia were named glycoproteins IIb (GPIIb) and IIIa (GPIIIa) (reviewed in Nurden). A number of modifications of the technique, including carbohydrate-specific staining, labeling of platelet surface proteins and carbohydrates, and separation of proteins in 2 dimensions, provided more detailed information (reviewed in George et al). These studies established that GPIIb and GPIIIa contain carbohydrate residues and that GPIIb (Mr 140 kDa) is composed of a heavy chain and a light chain (Mr 120 and 20 kDa, respectively) held together by disulfide bonds. GPIIIa was found to undergo a paradoxical decrease in electrophoretic mobility upon reduction, suggesting that disulfide bonds in the native protein give it a compact structure. These techniques demonstrated that most, but not all, Glanzmann thrombasthenia patients had dramatic decreases in both GPIIb and GPIIIa. Immuno-electrophoretic techniques were also applied to characterize the abnormalities; they had the advantage of not requiring protein denaturation and were thus able to provide strong evidence that GPIIb and GPIIIa exist as a calcium-dependent heterodimer.

Application of fibrinogen-binding technology

The significance of the relationship between GPIIb/IIIa and fibrinogen, and of the prolonged bleeding time in patients with
afibrinogenemia, became clearer in the late 1970s as investigators showed that radiolabeled fibrinogen binding to platelets required platelet activation, and that platelet aggregation required fibrinogen binding30,31 (reviewed in Peerschke32 and Bennett16). The key observations that thrombosthenic platelets fail to bind fibrinogen and that this is not due to a defect in “exposing” the receptor31,32 defined the pathophysiology of Glanzmann thrombasthenia as an inherited deficiency and/or abnormality of the platelet membrane fibrinogen receptor (Figure 2). Confirmation came from later studies showing that fibrinogen could bind to purified GPIIb/IIIa in several different systems.33-35

Application of pulse-chase labeling
Until the recent advent of growth-factor cocktails to expand various hematopoietic cells in culture, megakaryocytes were difficult to isolate and study because they represent less than 1% of bone marrow cells. As a result, initial studies in the late 1980s to assess the biosynthesis of GPIIb/IIIa relied on model cell lines with megakaryocyte-like features, including the human erythroleukemia (HEL) cell line.36-38 Nonetheless, cell-free and pulse-chase analysis demonstrated that GPIIb and GPIIIa are made separately in the endoplasmic reticulum, where they form a complex that is then transported to the Golgi for further processing, including carbohydrate modifications and cleavage of the GPIIb precursor molecule into the heavy and light chains.39,40 The need for GPIIb and GPIIIa to complex in order to be expressed on the cell surface41 offered an explanation for the enigma that Glanzmann thrombasthenia patients had marked deficiencies in 2 different proteins, since loss of either one would presumably prevent the other from reaching the surface.

Application of monoclonal antibody and flow cytometry technology
The development of the technique for preparing monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and its application to platelets provided a valuable new tool to study Glanzmann thrombasthenia. In fact, the first reported mAb to platelets was directed at GPIIb,42 and mAbs to GPIIb and GPIIIa were commonly produced when mice were immunized with human platelets. Some of the mAbs reacted with GPIIb, others with GPIIIa, and still others reacted only with the GPIIb/IIIa complex. A subset of mAbs could block the binding of fibrinogen to GPIIb/IIIa and incubating these mAbs with normal platelets could recapitulate the platelet aggregation defect found in patients with Glanzmann thrombasthenia.43,44 Quantitative studies using mAbs eventually established that each platelet expresses approximately 80 000 GPIIb/IIIa receptors on its surface,45 with an additional internal pool of smaller size that can be recruited to the surface with activation, particularly by so-called strong agonists such as thrombin.46,47 When normalized for the platelet’s surface area, the surface density of GPIIb/IIIa was estimated to be truly extraordinary, with receptors less than 200 Å apart on average, making it one of the densest adhesion/aggregation receptors in all of biology. The mAbs also aided in the purification of the receptor, allowing more detailed biochemical characterization and the identification of other proteins that interact with the receptor. Conformation-specific mAbs were also extremely valuable in beginning to unravel the mystery of how GPIIb/IIIa activation results in ligand binding. Thus, using appropriate screening assays, investigators were able to make antibodies that preferentially bound to activated receptors48,49 or ligand-bound receptors.50,51 Some mAbs had the reverse property, losing their binding ability with receptor activation. As the epitopes for these antibodies were identified, it became clear that receptor activation produces conformational changes in multiple regions of both glycoproteins.

The mAbs that blocked fibrinogen binding to GPIIb/IIIa were also valuable in establishing that the GPIIb/IIIa receptor was promiscuous. Ligand-binding studies had established that fibronectin, von Willebrand factor, vitronectin, and thrombospondin could all bind to platelets after appropriate stimulation, but a number of other receptors had been implicated in mediating their binding. The ability of GPIIb/IIIa-specific mAbs to inhibit, at least in part, the binding of all these ligands established that GPIIb/IIIa could also serve as a receptor for these adhesive glycoproteins.52

The mAbs facilitated clinical diagnosis of Glanzmann thrombasthenia since the number of mAb molecules that bound to platelets could readily differentiate patients who lacked the receptor from healthy subjects.53 They also established that the platelets of carriers of Glanzmann thrombasthenia, who do not have a hemorrhagic diathesis, have approximately 50% to 60% of the normal number of surface receptors.54 There was, however, overlap in mAb-binding values between healthy subjects and carriers, and this limited the usefulness of mAb binding to diagnose carriers. The mAbs proved to be extremely useful in prenatal diagnosis because there was a sharp distinction between normal and carrier fetuses on the one hand, and affected fetuses with very low levels of GPIIb/IIIa expression on the other.55 Combining mAbs with electrophoretic techniques and immunoblotting provided even more detailed characterization of the molecular defects in different patients.56,57 These data demonstrated that small amounts of residual GPIIb and/or GPIIIa could be detected in the platelets of nearly all Glanzmann thrombasthenia patients and that the patterns were consistent within kinship groups. They also provided evidence that there was a disproportionate amount of the single-chain precursor form of GPIIb in the platelets of some patients, suggesting a defect in protein maturation.57,58

Having mAbs specific for the activated and/or ligand-bound form of the receptor made it theoretically possible to detect activated platelets in the circulation of healthy individuals and patients and to identify individuals with a bleeding diathesis due to defective agonist-induced GPIIb/IIIa activation. The introduction of flow cytometry and the development of methods to study small volumes of whole blood (5 µL) made such studies much easier because they eliminated the need for radioactive materials and they provided both whole population data and data on individual platelets59,60 (Figure 3).

---

**Figure 2.** Platelet fibrinogen binding studies demonstrate that platelets from patients with Glanzmann thrombasthenia cannot bind fibrinogen in response to ADP stimulation. The upper curve is of platelets from a healthy subject and the 3 lower ones are from 3 different patients. Reprinted with permission from Bennett JS, Vilaire G. J Clin Invest. 1979;64:1393-1401.31
Application of molecular biology

The development of molecular biologic techniques opened yet another exciting era of inquiry, culminating in the cloning and sequencing of the cDNAs for GPIIb and GPIIIa in 1987. Analysis of genomic DNA established that the genes are relatively near each other on chromosome 17, but not closely linked. The primary sequences provided major new insights, establishing both subunits as transmembrane proteins. GPIIb was found to have 4 calcium binding motifs, and as had been anticipated from the nonreduced/reduced sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel data, GPIIIa contained a multitude of disulfide-linked extracellular cysteine residues (56). Most importantly, these studies established that GPIIb/IIIa is a member of an extended family of heterodimeric adhesion receptors called integrins, each made up of an α subunit (GPIIb) and a β subunit (GPIIIa; reviewed in Pytel et al and Hynes). Moreover, GPIIb/IIIa had a hemi-identical twin, αVβ3, which shared the same β subunit (GPIIIa) and had an α subunit (αV) that shared 40% homology with αIIb. Since αVβ3 is widely expressed in different cells, including osteoclasts, endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells and platelets (reviewed in Byzova et al), some investigators speculated that Glanzmann thrombasthenia would be due exclusively to defects in GPIIb, presuming that loss of αVβ3 might be incompatible with life. That speculation ended when defects in either GPIIb or GPIIIa were identified in Glanzmann thrombasthenia patients (reviewed in French and Seligsohn).

In recognition of GPIIb/IIIa’s newly discovered parentage and familial relationships, it adopted a new name, αIIbβ3, that merged the old platelet glycoprotein nomenclature with the agreed-upon conventions for integrin receptors. We will use this designation for the remainder of this review. It is difficult to convey the excitement those in the field felt during the late 1980s and early 1990s, as each day brought profound new insights into the relationships among the integrins. One memorable moment epitomizing the underlying similarities of biologic phenomena occurred at an early conference on integrin receptors when an investigator studying developmental biology showed a polyclonal antibody gel of a Drosophila melanogaster integrin receptor mutation (“lethal myospheroid”) that looked similar to the gels obtained with the platelets of patients with Glanzmann thrombasthenia. It was soon discovered that platelets contain 4 other integrins. In contrast to αIIbβ3, however, these receptors were expressed at low levels, with approximately 1000 copies per platelet of α2β1, α5β1, and α6β1, and only 50 to 100 copies of αVβ3. The tiny amount of αVβ3, however, was very precious because its presence or absence provided a hint as to whether a Glanzmann thrombasthenic patient’s molecular defect was in αIIb or β3, respectively.

Insights from studies of other integrin receptors began to provide important information about the process of ligand binding to αIIbβ3. Thus, the discovery that the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) sequence in fibronectin mediates its interaction to α5β1 (reviewed in Ruoslahti) rapidly led to the recognition that small peptides and snake venoms containing the RGD sequence could inhibit fibrinogen binding to αIIbβ3 (reviewed in Gould et al and Ojima et al). Moreover, these studies provided the missing link to understanding how von Willebrand factor, fibronectin, vitronectin, and thrombospondin could all bind to αIIbβ3, since as each of these was cloned and their amino acid sequences deduced, they all were found to contain RGD sequences in the regions mediating binding to αIIbβ3. Paradoxically, although fibrinogen contains 2 pairs of RGD sequences, the primary binding sites for αIIbβ3 necessary for platelet aggregation are at the C-termini of the 2 fibrinogen γ-chains, where a KQAGDV sequence provides a motif that can also bind to αIIbβ3.

Application of the polymerase chain reaction

Platelets contain only small amounts of mRNA, and this was a serious limitation in obtaining enough cDNA to study platelet-specific proteins. Thus, the fastidious application of the technique of reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (PCR), which greatly amplifies mRNA signals, to platelets in the late 1980s added an extraordinarily powerful method to identify αIIbβ3 polymorphisms and mutations. The most important platelet polymorphism, termed P1A1 or HPA-1, was found to be due to a β3 Leu33Pro polymorphism and forms the antigenic epitope responsible for a sizable fraction of patients with neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia.
thrombocytopenia due to maternal alloimmunization and for most adults with posttransfusion purpura (Figure 4). Additional polymorphisms on αIIb or β3 implicated in causing neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia were also identified (reviewed in Valentin and Newman93). These discoveries provided vital information for families at risk of having an affected child. They also permitted embryo selection based on preimplantation diagnosis in cases where the mother is heterozygous for the polymorphism. Functional differences have been ascribed to some of these polymorphisms, but the true extent to which they impart hemorrhagic or thrombotic risk remains to be determined, and is in the purview of the burgeoning field of association studies attempting to link variations in platelet genes, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) to variations in platelet function (reviewed in Bray96).

The application of PCR to establishing the molecular basis of Glanzmann thrombasthenia in different kindreds has provided a wealth of information about the relationship between αIIbβ3 structure and function. PCR has also permitted rapid and unequivocal carrier determination and DNA-based prenatal diagnosis (by direct gene analysis and by linkage) using amniotic fluid or chorionic villus samples87 (reviewed in Wautier and Gruel88). Since the latter can be obtained at approximately 11 weeks of gestation, the information can be provided to families much earlier than it previously could using mAb-based and functional studies of blood obtained by percutaneous umbilical cord blood sampling at approximately 20 weeks of gestation.

Studies of patients whose platelets express at least 50% of the normal amount of αIIbβ3 but fail to bind fibrinogen, termed variant Glanzmann thrombasthenia, have been particularly instructive in identifying residues involved in ligand binding. For example, the β3 Asp119Tyr and Arg214Gln mutations established the importance of both of these regions of β3 for ligand binding.93,94 Similarly, αIIb mutations of Leu183 and Pro145 affected ligand binding disproportionately to their effects on receptor expression.93,94 Still other mutations in the cytoplasmic domains of patients with variant Glanzmann thrombasthenia provided valuable clues to the mechanisms of inside-out activation of αIIbβ3 by platelet agonists and outside-in signaling initiated by ligand engagement.93,94 Some Glanzmann thrombasthenia mutations paradoxically produced constitutively active receptors, including ones affecting cysteine residues in the thiol-rich regions of β3.95

Application of technology to produce genetically modified mice

Gene targeting has produced a mouse null for β3, resulting in a hemorrhagic diathesis and platelet function abnormalities similar to those observed in patients with Glanzmann thrombasthenia.96 These mice are protected from developing acute thrombosis using a variety of models,97 but are not protected from developing intimal hyperplasia after vascular injury.98 They are also providing valuable new information about the role of αVβ3 in endothelial cells, osteoclasts,99 and wound healing.100 Mice lacking αIIb have also been produced and they, too, have a hemorrhagic diathesis101,102; they have also provided insights into the expression of αIIb during early hematopoiesis. In recent years, the use of genetic technology has been expanded to study the function of mouse platelets that contain mutations of the β3 subunit, thereby shedding light on the role of specific amino acid residues in the β3 cytoplasmic domain.103-105

Application of electron microscopy, X-ray crystallography, nuclear magnetic resonance, and computational chemistry

One of the primary goals of biologic science is to visualize the 3-dimensional structures of molecules under different conditions and observe how they interact with other molecules. Coarse insights about αIIbβ3 structure were inferred from the primary sequences of αIIb and β3 and the impact of natural and site-directed mutations.106,107 Electron microscopy added additional structural information, suggesting that both subunits have “head” and “tail” regions; that each “tail” inserts into the plasma membrane; that the subunits make contact in their “head” regions; that fibrinogen binds to the region comprised of the 2 “heads”; and that fibrinogen binding results in long-range conformational changes in

Figure 5. Model of αIIbβ3 based on αVβ3 crystal structure and depiction of switchblade model of αIIbβ3 conformational changes associated with activation and ligand binding. Inside-out signaling ultimately results in the binding of the tailin head (H) domain binding to the cytoplasmic domain of the β3 subunit, resulting in subunit separation. This is transmitted through the transmembrane domains to the ectodomain where it results in extension of the α and β subunits and perhaps additional changes in the ligand binding region of β3. Ligand then binds, resulting in a swing-out motion of the β3 hybrid and PSI domains that may initiate outside-in signaling. Additional post-ligand binding events may occur, including homo-oligomerization of integrin transmembrane domains, leading to receptor clustering. The “deadbolt” hypothesis posits that modest changes in the β3 βA (I-like) domain brought about by movement of a nearby β3 β-terminal domain loop results in ligand binding, which is then followed by receptor extension and the swing-out motion. Adapted from Qin et al.143 The molecular models of αIIbβ3 were constructed using MODELLER v82 and the PDBs ITY6, IUBC, and IYUK as previously described.941 I-EGF, integrin epidermal growth factor domain; β-TD, β-terminal domain.
the “tail” region of the receptor.108-110 Landmark X-ray crystallographic studies in 2001 and 2002 on the extracellular domains of the αVβ3 receptor, alone and in the presence of the RGD peptide citengitide, provided the first high resolution structures of an integrin and identified a number of different structural domains that are also common to αIIbβ3 (Figure 5).111,112 The ligand binding pocket was found to span the 2 subunits in the head region, and, most surprising, the receptor was found to adopt a bent conformation.

In 2004, the first crystal structures of the isolated αIIbβ3 headpiece were reported, including the structures of the headpiece complexed with the drugs eptifibatide or tirofiban.113 These studies provided insights into the αIIbβ3 specificity of certain ligands and αIIbβ3 antagonists based on differences in the αIIb binding pocket compared with the αV binding pocket. The αIIbβ3 crystal structure also differed from the αVβ3 structure in the angle between the β3 domain involved in ligand binding (βA [I-like]) and the adjacent domain (β3 hybrid), suggesting that ligand binding is associated with a dramatic swing-out motion of the receptor in this region (Figure 5).

Taken together, the structural data indicate that the αIIbβ3 receptor can undergo several different conformational changes, but it is still uncertain which ones are necessary and/or sufficient for attaining the high-affinity ligand binding state(s). Two different models of αIIbβ3 activation have been proposed. The deadbolt hypothesis suggests that platelet activation leads to movement of the external domain of the β3 subunit adjacent to the membrane (β-terminal domain [β-TD]), which in turn releases a constraint on a portion of the βA (I-like) domain involved in ligand binding and allows it to undergo a subtle conformational change that results in the receptor adopting a high-affinity ligand binding state.114,115 Extension of the receptor at both the genu of αIIb and the interface between the integrin epidermal growth factor-1 (EGF-1) and EGF-2 domains of β3 is proposed to occur after ligand binding in this model. The switchblade hypothesis posits that the αIIbβ3 receptor undergoes extension before ligand binding and that swing-out of the β3 hybrid domain occurs concurrent with or after ligand binding.113,116,117 The swing-out motion has been proposed to participate in the initiation of outside-in signaling induced by ligand binding. Thiol-disulfide exchange has also been implicated in αIIbβ3 activation based on biochemical studies and studies of Glanzmann thrombasthenia patients with Cys mutations that result in constitutively active receptors.118-122 Post-ligand binding events have also been implicated in controlling the avidity of αIIbβ3, including receptor clustering124,125 and irreversible ligand binding.126

Molecular dynamic simulations of select groups of atoms in the ligand binding regions of αIIbβ3 and αVβ3 have been performed in an attempt to understand the allosteric pathways leading to activation and the energetics of ligand binding.127-129 These computation-intensive studies have been made possible by advances in the application of biophysical and thermodynamic principles to biologic systems and the availability of more powerful computers. The results have provided models of variations in regional flexibility and interactions with water molecules over time, the force needed to remove ligands from the binding pocket under different conditions, and the allosteric pathways leading from one conformation to another. Other computational programs allow one to dock small molecules into the αIIbβ3 ligand binding pocket.130 Finally, NMR studies of the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains of αIIbβ3 have defined interactions between the subunits and between the subunits and cytoplasmic proteins important in regulating integrin activation in addition to their heterotopic interactions (reviewed in Ma et al134). These have been proposed to promote receptor clustering into oligomers after activation releases the heterotopic interactions, although other workers posit that αIIbβ3 oligomerization may be driven largely by the binding of fibrinogen or other multivalent ligands. Defining the conformational changes associated with the transition to high affinity ligand binding remains a high priority, with a variety of advanced biophysical and imaging approaches being brought to bear, but a clear consensus has not emerged.135,136

Biochemical, molecular, and genetic analyses of αIIbβ3 signaling

The presence of some regulated stimulus-response pathway leading to αIIbβ3 activation was implicit in early studies that demonstrated that platelet aggregation can be triggered by platelet agonists.13 As additional information emerged, the process that links platelet agonists to αIIbβ3 activation has come to be known as inside-out signaling. This is in contrast to direct activation of αIIbβ3 by the binding of activating monoclonal antibodies51,137,138 or low-molecular-weight ligand mimetics,139 and to outside-in signals that are sent into the platelet as the result of ligand binding and receptor clustering. Indeed, integrins have been aptly described as “bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines,”140 and studies with αIIbβ3 have played a prominent role in our understanding of the machinery (reviewed in Shattil and Newman,141 Watson et al,142 and Qin et al143). In reality, the 2 phases of integrin signaling are likely to be quite interdependent as evidenced by the identification of some signaling molecules, such as certain phospholipases and protein and lipid kinases that participate in both inside-out and outside-in signaling. Furthermore, some outside-in responses (for example, increases in cytoplasmic free calcium or activation of protein kinase C and cytosolic phospholipase A2) may feed back to enhance inside-out activation of additional αIIbβ3 complexes. To characterize integrin signaling, platelet researchers in the 1980s and 1990s began to take advantage of several emerging technologies, including flow cytometry (reviewed in Michelson and Shattil144), activation-dependent antibodies and antibodies specific for phosphorylated amino acids and proteins49,145-148 expression of αIIbβ3 and recombinant signaling proteins in heterologous cells,149 and genetically modified mice.96,150,151 More recently, knockdown of proteins in embryonic stem cell–derived megakaryocytes by RNA interference has provided additional valuable information.152

Inside-out signaling

Inside-out αIIbβ3 signaling can be considered in terms of (1) stimulators and inhibitors of integrin activation and the platelet receptors with which they interact; (2) intracellular protein-protein interactions and biochemical reactions that couple agonist/antagonist receptor occupancy to the final events that directly regulate αIIbβ3 affinity; and (3) the final regulatory events.

As might be expected for a reaction so critical to hemostasis, there is redundancy in the process of αIIbβ3 activation in the form of regulation by multiple agonists that are either immobilized at the vascular wound site (eg, von Willebrand factor, collagen), generated within the wound (eg, thrombin), or either stored or generated and then released by platelets (eg, ADP, thromboxane A2).153 Numerous signaling receptors for agonists and antagonists have been identified in platelets. Initial application of pharmacologic approaches in the 1960s and 1970s using dose-response relationships and selective agonists and antagonists provided important insights into the structural and functional classes of these receptors (reviewed in Mustard and Packham154). The introduction of
molecular biologic techniques led to the cloning and characterization of many different receptors, with studies of platelets in vitro and in vivo often facilitating the elucidation of receptor families. For example, agonist receptors include the G-protein-coupled protease-activated thrombin receptors PAR1 and PAR4 in human platelets and PAR3 and PAR4 in murine platelets155-157, the purinergic receptors for ADP (P2Y1, P2Y12, and P2X),158,159 and the thromboxane A2 receptor.160 Agonist receptors not directly coupled to G proteins include primary adhesion receptors for collagen (GPVI/FcRγ and integrin α2β1) and von Willebrand factor (GP Ib-V-IX; reviewed in Watson et al142 and Varga-Szabo et al161). αIbb3 is also considered a stimulatory receptor in the sense that it undergoes conformational changes and clustering to trigger outside-in signals in response to fibrinogen binding147 (reviewed in Shattil and Newman141 and Watson et al145). In circulating platelets, αIbb3 activation is ordinarily prevented by several activities derived from endothelial cells, including a cell surface ADPase (CD39) that removes stimulatory ADP.162,163 Prostacyclin, which binds to Gs-coupled platelet receptors to activate adenylate cyclase (reviewed in Brass155 and Samuelsson164), and nitric oxide, which activates guanylate cyclase and can also be generated within platelets.165,166

Occupancy of some agonist receptors (eg, PAR1, PAR4, GP Ib-V-IX, GP VI/FcR γ) is usually sufficient to activate αIbb3. Other receptors appear to function primarily as mediators of primary platelet adhesion (α2β1; reviewed in Varga-Szabo et al161) or shape change (P2Y12/ATP receptors; reviewed in Kunapuli et al165) or promote αIbb3 activation in concert with other stimulatory receptors. For example, P2Y1 and P2Y12 function together to induce full fibrinogen binding and platelet aggregation in response to ADP (reviewed in Kunapuli et al165), and PAR3 functions in mouse platelets as a cofactor for the authentic signaling thrombin receptor PAR4.156 The physiologic importance of specific agonist receptors has been established by basic scientists studying mutant mice and by the careful observations by clinicians of patients with bleeding disorders who manifest defective αIbb3 activation, while others are recruited to, or dissociate from, the integrin IIb3 in resting platelets, while others are recruited to, or dissociate from, the integrin during inside-out or outside-in signaling, leading to F-actin assembly. In addition, several proteins with enzymatic function become activated (asterisk) after fibrinogen binding to αIbb3. It is difficult to imagine that all of these proteins can interact with a single αIbb3 heterodimer in platelets; however, they might interact with and further regulate oligomers of αIbb3 that form in response to fibrinogen binding. Not shown are the many additional adapter molecules, enzymes and substrates that may become recruited through more indirect interactions during the various phases of integrin signaling. Abbreviations: PP1c, protein phosphatase 1c; RACK1, receptor for activated C kinase 1; Csk, c-Src tyrosine kinase; PKCζ, protein kinase Cζ; ILK, integrin-linked kinase; ITAM, a yet-to-be-identified protein with one or more immunoreceptor tyrosine activation motifs; CIB, calcium and integrin-binding 1; Syk, spleen tyrosine kinase.

Figure 6. Protein interactions with the cytoplasmic domains of αIbb or β3 regulate integrin signaling. Shown are some, but not all, of the proteins reported to associate with the αIbb3 cytoplasmic domains, many in a dynamic fashion. Some associate with αIbb3 in resting platelets, while others are recruited to, or dissociate from, the integrin during inside-out or outside-in signaling, leading to F-actin assembly. In addition, several proteins with enzymatic function become activated (asterisk) after fibrinogen binding to αIbb3. It is difficult to imagine that all of these proteins can interact with a single αIbb3 heterodimer in platelets; however, they might interact with and further regulate oligomers of αIbb3 that form in response to fibrinogen binding. Not shown are the many additional adapter molecules, enzymes and substrates that may become recruited through more indirect interactions during the various phases of integrin signaling. Abbreviations: PP1c, protein phosphatase 1c; RACK1, receptor for activated C kinase 1; Csk, c-Src tyrosine kinase; PKCζ, protein kinase Cζ; ILK, integrin-linked kinase; ITAM, a yet-to-be-identified protein with one or more immunoreceptor tyrosine activation motifs; CIB, calcium and integrin-binding 1; Syk, spleen tyrosine kinase.
crystallographic studies of purified components and mutational studies of talin and αIββ3 in a heterologous expression system.172 Thus, the F2-3 subdomains of talin’s FERM domain may only gain a foothold within a membrane-distal region of the β3 cytoplasmic domain centered at Asn-Pro-Leu-Tyr (NPXY). Then a second interaction with a membrane-proximal region of the β3 cytoplasmic domain may flip the activation switch by disrupting a salt bridge between αIb and β3, resulting in separation of the αIb and β3 subunits. Other αIββ3-binding proteins, for example members of the FERM-domain–containing kindlin family,181,182 may also regulate αIββ3 activation. Whether they do so in concert with talin or independent of it remains an area of active investigation. Similarly, the mechanism(s) linking intracytoplasmic αIb and β3 subunit separation to the conformational changes in the ectodomains responsible for high-affinity ligand binding still need to be established.

Outside-in signaling

Outside-in signaling by integrins provides a means by which these receptors mediate anchorage-dependent cellular responses. In nucleated cells, outside-in signals regulate cell adhesion, motility, and gene expression programs (reviewed in Hynes140). The anucleate platelet is bereft of DNA synthetic machinery, but it does possess translational machinery and can splice RNA in response to signals both from thrombin receptors and ligand binding to αIββ3 (reviewed in Zimmerman and Weyrich183). This provides a potential mechanism for activated thrombin-activated platelets190-192; identification of integrin-logic and biochemical analyses of the cytoskeletons in resting and platelet aggregation (reviewed in Weiss,198 Mustard,199 and Quick200). Subsequent biochemical studies established the dramatic effect of aspirin in suppressing thromboxane A2 production via irreversible acetylation of the enzyme cyclooxygenase-1 (reviewed in Marcus201 and Vane202). However, early clinical studies of the effects of aspirin on ischemic vascular disease were equivocal, reflecting in part difficulties in designing large clinical trials.203 It was only with the publication of the landmark ISIS-2 study in 1988,204 in which aspirin alone decreased the mortality of acute myocardial infarction by almost 25% and adding aspirin to streptokinase further reduced mortality by almost 25%, that the potential of antplatelet therapy was clearly demonstrated. The thienopyridine compound ticlopidine, which demonstrated greater inhibition of platelet aggregation than aspirin, entered the U.S. market in the early 1990s based on its greater efficacy than aspirin in the secondary prevention of stroke (reviewed in Savi and Herbert205). Its mechanism of action was later identified as irreversible blockade of the P2Y12 ADP receptor.205 With 2 effective antplatelet agents available, one of which was very inexpensive and had a long history of acceptable toxicity, it wasn’t clear that additional agents would be worth developing. The rationale for trying to develop αIββ3 antagonists as therapeutic agents rested on a number of considerations (reviewed in Coller197,206): (1) neither aspirin nor ticlopidine was completely effective in preventing ischemic events; (2) αIββ3 antagonists could more completely inhibit platelet aggregation in vitro than either aspirin or ticlopidine; (3) experimental data demonstrated that vaso-occlusion leading to ischemia resulted from platelet-leukocyte interactions, the primary target of αIββ3 antagonists; and (4) the lack of effect of αIββ3 antagonists on other platelet adhesion receptors might theoretically allow a single layer of platelets to contribute to hemostasis, whereas the anti-αIββ3 effect would greatly diminish platelet thrombus formation. Equally important was the clinical observation that despite suffering variably severe mucocutaneous hemorrhage, patients with Glanzmann thrombasthenia rarely have spontaneous cerebral or peripheral vessel bleeding—the most feared hemorrhagic complication of fibrinolytic therapy.207

The mAb 7E3 was selected for in vivo antithrombotic studies based on its ability to bind to dog, primate, and human platelets (reviewed in Coller197,206). Fragments of 7E3 lacking the Fc region were used, rather than the intact antibody, to avoid platelet clearance by Fc receptor-bearing cells of the monocyte-macrophage system that recognize antibody-coated platelets. Administering the F(ab′)2 fragment of 7E3 to dogs was able to produce greater inhibition of ADP-induced platelet aggregation than could be achieved with aspirin and it offered greater protection than aspirin from platelet-mediated thrombosis in dog and primate models of unstable angina or myocardial infarction.208,209 Similar results in these and other animal models were obtained with low molecular weight αIββ3 antagonists, including eptifibatide, a cyclic heptapeptide patterned on a KGDA motif that conferred selectivity for αIββ3,210 and the nonpeptide RGD-mimetic tirofiban.211

αIββ3 as a therapeutic target

A potential role of platelets in ischemic vascular disease was inferred from data derived from human pathologic specimens, animal models of thrombus formation, and biochemical studies of patients with acute ischemic events (reviewed in Coller197). There was, however, considerable uncertainty about whether platelets played a sufficiently important role to make antplatelet therapy an effective intervention. Although an association between aspirin ingestion and bleeding, particularly gastrointestinal bleeding, was recognized by the 1940s, the antplatelet effects of aspirin were first described in the mid-1960s, greatly facilitated by bleeding time measurements and the introduction of platelet aggregometry (reviewed in Weiss,198 Mustard,199 and Quick200).
For in vivo studies in humans, a chimeric 7E3 Fab molecule designated abciximab was developed containing murine variable regions and human IgG1 constant regions. Based on the 2099-patient EPIC study, abciximab was approved by the Food and Drug Administration in 1994 as adjunctive therapy to prevent ischemic complications of coronary artery angioplasty in high-risk patients (reviewed in Topol et al.213). Based on additional studies, it was approved for use in percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) involving stents and in patients with unstable angina who are expected to undergo PCI. Eptifibatide and tirofiban were subsequently approved for human use in patients with unstable angina and those undergoing PCI.214,215

In the EPIC study, abciximab increased the absolute risk of major bleeding by approximately 7%, but by reducing the dose of heparin used in combination with abciximab in subsequent studies, the absolute increase in risk was reduced to approximately 2% or less.216 Eptifibatide and tirofiban confer similar or lesser increase in risk of bleeding. Thrombocytopenia has been reported with all of the αIIbβ3 antagonists, although it is more common with abciximab (reviewed in Aster et al.217 and Aster and Bougie218). A number of mechanisms have been proposed to explain the thrombocytopenia, including the presence of antibodies to the murine component of abciximab and antibodies to neoepitopes on αIIbβ3 exposed and/or created by the binding of the drugs to the receptor.

In a large number of randomized, placebo-controlled studies of patients undergoing PCI and/or treatment for unstable angina conducted in the 1990s, these agents demonstrated benefits in reducing the risk of myocardial infarction and the need for urgent reinterventions to treat threatened occlusions (reviewed in Topol et al.213, Curran and Keating,214 Manozzi et al.,215 and De et al.219). Long-term mortality advantages have also been demonstrated, with most of the benefit paradoxically occurring long after the antiplatelet effects of the drug wore off (reviewed in Topol et al.213). Recently, a number of factors have narrowed the indications for these agents in treating cardiovascular disease, including the availability of clopidogrel, a thienopyridine related to ticlopidine that has a better toxicity profile and can achieve rapid inhibition of the P2Y12 receptor with a loading dose (reviewed in Savi and Herbert220 and Plosker and Lyseng-Williamson221), and bivalirudin, a direct thrombin inhibitor that acts as both an anticoagulant and antiplatelet agent.221 Remaining questions include whether the αIIbβ3 antagonists will prove uniquely beneficial when given very early after the onset of symptoms,222,223 when given by the intracoronary route224,225 (reviewed in Gibson et al.226), or when used in combination with a thrombus aspiration device227 or reduced-dose thrombolytic agents when PCI is not immediately available.228,229 The use of αIIbβ3 antagonists to treat acute stroke appeared promising in case reports and early phase studies, but a phase 3 study with abciximab failed to show a benefit; whether modifications in patient selection or dosing would improve the results remains an area of investigation.229

In contrast to the intravenous αIIbβ3 antagonists, oral small molecule αIIbβ3 antagonists have not demonstrated clinical efficacy when administered for secondary prophylaxis and, in fact, have been associated with increased mortality, increased bleeding, and occasionally severe thrombocytopenia(230 (reviewed in Quinn et al.231). Although it is not understood why these agents failed to have a beneficial effect, it has been speculated that their binding to platelets may induce conformational changes in αIIbβ3 that can both activate the receptor, resulting in paradoxical thrombosis, and expose neoepitopes recognized by some patients’ preformed antibodies, resulting in thrombocytopenia.139,230,232

The future

The scientific advances in understanding the structure and function of αIIbβ3 are impressive, but the therapy of Glanzmann thrombasthenia remains unsatisfactory. Thus, while there have been advances in platelet transfusion therapy (including better storage methods, HL-A matching, and leukoreduction), and the introduction of recombinant factor VIIa therapy has provided a blood-free alternative that is frequently effective, though costly (reviewed in Poon233), patients commonly lead lives compromised by variably severe, and sometimes continuous, mucocutaneous hemorrhage, seriously increased risks of surgery and childbirth, and occasional life-threatening gastrointestinal bleeding or trauma-related cerebral hemorrhage.

Bone marrow transplantation and stem cell reconstitution can cure the disorder234,235 but the risks of these procedures remain substantial, even with newer conditioning regimens, and thus they are still not desirable options for most patients (reviewed in Flood et al.236). Proof of concept for gene therapy of Glanzmann thrombasthenia has been provided in animal models(237 (reviewed in Wilcox and White238), but much more remains to be done, including how to deal with the immune response to the newly expressed αIIbβ3. Select patients with appropriate nonsense mutations may be candidates for drug therapy to promote DNA “read-through,” but this technology is still in its early phase of development.239 Stem cell biology also offers promise if autologous hematopoietic precursors can be genetically corrected and used to populate the patient’s bone marrow or produce platelets in vitro, but the immunologic barriers will likely remain.

Because αIIbβ3 is a proven therapeutic target for antithrombotic therapy, there is reason to speculate on potential ways to improve on the currently available drugs. Drugs that inhibit the receptor without altering its conformation may have several advantages, including a reduction in both thrombocytopenia and receptor activation. Because engagement of the β3 MIDAS motif may be important in initiating the swing-out motion of the hybrid domain, agents that selectively bind to αIIb without effects on β3 may be advantageous.240 Similarly, it may be useful to identify agents that do not bind to the ligand binding site at all but can selectively inhibit inside-out or outside-in signaling.241 In this regard, the currently available high-resolution structures of the β3 integrins, enlightening as they are, represent but snapshots of partial fragments of the whole transmembrane heterodimer. A more complete understanding of the biologically relevant conformational changes in the intact receptor remains an exciting goal for future investigators. In addition, the application of high-throughput screening,242 molecular docking,243 and rational drug design offers hope for identifying novel compounds. Finally, it is possible that monitoring the antiplatelet effects of existing αIIbβ3 antagonists may improve their safety and/or efficacy (reviewed in Harrison et al.244). Controlled studies will be needed, however, to test whether dose adjustment based on such monitoring improves clinical outcome.

Conclusions

It is remarkable that studies of αIIbβ3 during the 9 decades since Glanzmann reported patients with the disease that bears his name, and the 5 decades of the American Society of Hematology’s existence have gone from intact individual humans to individual atoms at a resolution of 2.8 Å, representing in effect, a span of
27 logs in mass. It is gratifying that the molecular advances have provided patients with Glanzmann thrombasthenia and their families improved diagnosis, carrier detection, and prenatal diagnosis, but it is frustrating that therapeutic advances have lagged. It is also gratifying that understanding the role of αIIbβ3 in platelet thrombus formation has led to the first rationally designed antiplatelet therapeutics and the first anti-integrin therapeutics. The current agents, however, have significant limitations, and the failure of oral αIIbβ3 antagonists was a major disappointment. It is also gratifying that studies of αIIbβ3 have led the way across a wide range of fundamental biologic phenomena related to cell activation, signal transduction, and cytoskeletal rearrangements, as well as genetic and molecular biologic phenomena, including SNPs and the phenomenon of activation-dependent mRNA translation. Given the remarkable trajectory of biomedical science, we anticipate that many more conceptual and practical breakthroughs in this area of hematology await members of the Society and their patients in the next 50 years.
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I was drawn to hematology as a fourth-year medical student when I cared for a patient with a severe thromboembolic stroke from an artificial heart valve. I read about the new use of the drug dipyridamole in combination with warfarin to reduce the stroke risk and wrote to Dr Richard Gorlin, one of the authors, for additional information. He wrote back that we knew little about platelet adhesion and so the drug’s mechanism of action was uncertain. Dr Marjorie Zucker, one of the giants in platelet physiology, agreed to let me perform an elective in her lab to study the platelet adhesiveness (retention) test, and that is where I fell in love with platelets. I was enormously fortunate then to work for 4 years with Dr Harvey Granick at the National Institutes of Health studying the interaction of platelets and von Willebrand factor. Yale Nemerson recruited me in 1976 to the new Division of Hematology at Stony Brook, where I had the great pleasure of helping to build the clinical, educational, and research programs of the division. Dr Arnold Levine built a core hybridoma facility at Stony Brook with New York State support and allowed me to try to produce antibodies to platelets. That truly changed my career. I also benefited greatly from the critical advice and support of some of the elders in the New York “clot club,” including Aaron Marcus, Ralph Nachman, Hymie Nossel, Ted Spaet, and Harvey Weiss.

In 1993 I moved to Mount Sinai as Chair of Medicine, a move made poignant because Dr Gorlin was still there after serving as Chair of Medicine. Finally, in 2001 I moved to Rockefeller University as Physician-in-Chief, where I lead our training and research programs in clinical and translational science. I retain my love of hematology, as evidenced by my continuing to conduct periodic “smear rounds” with the internal medicine residents at Mount Sinai, where I have to guess the entire clinical history of a resident’s patient just by looking at the peripheral smear.

Although I did not know it at the time, my introduction to hematology and to a career as a physician-scientist occurred precisely 40 years ago in the decrepit Peabody Building of the Boston City Hospital, a building better suited to the medicine of the early 1900s than the late 1960s. It is there that I began an internship on the Harvard Medical Service, an organization with an illustrious legacy at the interface of basic science and clinical medicine. As a Chicago boy, I had just received a solid medical education at the University of Illinois College of Medicine in Chicago and had moved to Boston at the prescient urging of my wife, who opined that a change in scenery would do us both good. After a few days at the Peabody, I was sure that I was the dumbest intern in my class, the rest having migrated to Boston with track records as valedictorians and such from leading medical schools. My feeling of inadequacy was exacerbated by the formidable intellectual and clinical skills of the supervising residents and attending physicians, and by my inability to heal the infirmities of some of the sickest patients I have ever encountered.

After a year or so, I thought that a career in cardiology was in my future, until a chance conversation with an attending physician, Dr Richard “Buzz” Cooper, Chief of Hematology at the Thorndike Memorial Laboratory, the research and fellowship training arm of the Harvard Medical Service at The City. He invited me to consider a fellowship in hematology, a subject about which I knew little. In those days, hematology was of prime importance at The City, given a steady flow of seminal investigative accomplishments there over the preceding decades by Francis W. Peabody, William B. Castle, Thomas Hale Ham, James H. Jandl, Richard Aster, and others. Never one with long-term plans, I gratefully accepted Dr. Cooper’s invitation and received hematology training and mentorship at the Thorndike from the likes of Cooper, Neil Abramson, Bernie Babior, Frank Bunn, and Herman Godwin. As if this were not enough, our weekly research and clinical conferences were attended by other giants in the field, including Bill Castle, Jane DesForges, and Jim Jandl. Making presentations to this group was both intimidating and exhilarating, providing valuable lessons in how accomplished physician-scientists think and execute their work. Within this environment I went from the dumbest intern to the dumbest hematology fellow on the block.

I owe my career as a scientist largely to Buzz Cooper, in whose laboratory I learned more than the basics of red cell membrane biology and biochemistry. It was there I learned the importance of asking the right questions, developing critical thinking and writing skills, performing the right controls, interpreting data rigorously, and generously sharing ideas and reagents with others. My interest in platelet membranes and platelet activation was spawned serendipitously in the mid-1970s as an Assistant Professor at the University of Pennsylvania through collaborations with Cooper, Robert Colman, and Joel Bennett. My interest in integrin biology starting in the 1980s was also an accident of sorts. While screening for monoclonal antibodies to activated platelets, Mike Cunningham, Skip Brass, Jim Hoxie, and I discovered the PAC-1 antibody to GP Ib-IIIa. Little did I know then that this area of inquiry would lead me in subsequent decades to study integrin biology in organisms ranging from zebrafish to mice and humans. The moral of this accidental hematologist’s story is that it’s possible to try your hand as a physician-scientist without a grand plan ahead of time, provided that you are fortunate enough to have world-class mentors, talented colleagues and collaborators, and a selfless family.
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