Cytotoxic T lymphocytes directed to the preferentially expressed antigen of melanoma (PRAME) target chronic myeloid leukemia

Concetta Quintarelli,1,3 Gianpietro Dotti,1,4,5 Biagio De Angelis,1,3,6 Valentina Hoyos,1 Martha Mims,1,4 Luigi Luciano,7 Helen E. Heslop,1,4,8 Cliona M. Rooney,1,5,8,9 Fabrizio Pane,3,6,7 and Barbara Savoldo1,8

1Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Baylor College of Medicine, The Methodist Hospital and Texas Children’s Hospital, Houston; 2School of Biotechnological Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy; 3CEINGE-Biotecnologie Avanzate SCARL, Naples, Italy; Departments of 4Medicine and 5Immunology, Baylor College of Medicine, The Methodist Hospital and Texas Children’s Hospital, Houston; 6Dipartimento di Biochimica e Biotecnologie Mediche, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy; 7Hematology Unit, CEINGE-Biotecnologie Avanzate, Naples, Italy; and Departments of 8Pediatrics and 9Molecular Virology and Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, The Methodist Hospital and Texas Children’s Hospital, Houston

The cancer testis antigen (CTA) preferentially expressed antigen of melanoma (PRAME) is overexpressed in many hematologic malignancies, including chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). The sensitivity of CML to donor lymphocyte infusion after allogeneic stem cell transplantation suggests this tumor can be highly susceptible to cellular immunotherapy targeted to tumor associated antigens. We therefore tested whether functional PRAME-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (PRAME CTLs) could be generated and expanded from healthy donors and CML patients, or whether the limited immunogenicity of this CTA coupled with tumor-associated anergy would preclude this approach. Using optimized culture conditions and HLA-A*02–restricted PRAME–peptides, we have consistently generated PRAME CTLs from 8/9 healthy donors and 5/6 CML patients. These CTLs released IFNγ in response to PRAME peptides (between 113 ± 8 and 795 ± 23 spot forming cells/106 T cells) and lysed PRAME peptide–loaded cells (45 ± 19% at an effector:target [E:T] ratio of 20:1) in a MHC-restricted fashion. Importantly, these CTLs recognized and had cytotoxic activity against HLA-A*02+/PRAME+ tumor cell lines, and could recognize and respond to primary CML cells. PRAME CTLs were generated almost exclusively from the naive T-cell compartment, and clonal analysis showed these cells could have high αβTCR-peptide avidity. PRAME CTLs or vaccines may thus be of value for patients with CML. (Blood. 2008;112: 1876-1885)

Introduction

Achievement of complete cytogenetic remission in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) has dramatically improved with the introduction of imatinib.1,2 Nonetheless, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) remains a valid option for patients losing or not achieving cytogenetic response with imatinib.3-6 This benefit to the cellular immunotherapy targeted to tumor associated antigens. Finally, aberrant antigen processing mechanisms in tumor cells may preclude presentation even of highly expressed antigens. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734.
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The online version of this article contains a data supplement.
In this paper we describe the use of an artificial APC line to overcome the need for large numbers of professional APCs to generate tumor-specific T cells, and the development of culture conditions that expand PRAME CTLs. We found that PRAME CTLs generated from normal donors and from patients with CML are specific for HLA-A*02-restricted PRAME peptides, and respond in a MHC-restricted manner to HLA-A*02+PRAME+ tumor cell lines and autologous CML blasts. These data suggest that PRAME is a suitable target for CML immunotherapy.

**Methods**

**Cell lines and tumor cells**

The following tumor cell lines were used: KT1 (CML) kindly provided by Dr Fujita (First Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Ehime University, Japan); BV173 (CML), L428 and HDLM-2 (Hodgkin lymphoma) and ME1 (acute myelogenous leukemia) from German Collection of Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany); and U266B1 and ARH77 (multiple myeloma), K562 (erythroleukemia), and MRC-5 (normal human fetal lung fibroblasts) from ATCC (Rockville, MD). Cells were maintained in culture with RPMI 1640 medium (HyClone, Logan, UT) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone), 2 mM L-glutamine (GIBCO-BRL, Invitrogen, Gaithersburg, MD), 25 IU/mL penicillin, and 25 mg/mL streptomycin (Lonza Walkersville, Walkersville, MD) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C.

**Samples from CML patients and healthy donors**

Bone marrow samples were collected from 50 CML patients after signed informed consent was obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and after approval by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of University of Naples Federico II (Table 1). For 8 CML patients (7 HLA-A*02+ and 1 HLA-A*02−) and 9 HLA-A*02+ healthy donors, peripheral blood was collected according to the local IRB-approved protocol (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX). This protocol was approved for collecting anonymized CML samples (striped of identifiers), as the major goal of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of generating PRAME CTLs.

**Professional and artificial APCs**

**Professional APCs: dendritic cells.** To generate dendritic cells (DCs), peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were selected for the CD14 positive cell population using anti-CD14 beads (Miltenyi Biotech, Auburn, CA) and cultured in media (CellGenix, Antioch, IL) with IL-4 (1000 U/mL) and GM-CSF (800 U/mL; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). On day 5, cells were matured with IL-6 (1 ng/mL), TNF-α (1 μg/mL), IL-1β (1 μg/mL), and PGE (1 μg/mL; all from R&D Systems) for 48 hours and then used to prime T cells after loading them with specific peptides.

**Professional APCs: CD40-activated B cells.** To generate CD40-activated B lymphocytes, PBMCs were cocultured with MRC-5 cell line engineered to stably express human CD40L (>90% positive) in AIM-V media (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 5% human AB serum (Valley Biomedical, Winchester, VA) in the presence of IL-4 (500 U/mL) and Cyclosporine-A (1 mg/mL; Sandoz Pharmaceutical, Washington, DC). After 7 days of culture, the cells were plated under the same conditions. On day 14 of culture, more than 90% of the cells were CD19+ based on phenotypic analysis, and after loading them with specific peptides they were used to prime T cells.

**Artificial APCs.** To generate artificial APCs (aAPCs), the K562 cell line was modified to express human HLA-A*02, CD80, CD40L, and OX40L molecules using sequential transduction with retroviral vectors. After transduction, K562 cells were selected by drug resistance (puromycin and neomycin), and single-cell fluorescence-activated cell–sorted (CD40L and OX40L) to obtain a K562/HLA-A*02+CD80+CD40L+OX40L+ clone (K562/aAPCs). This clone was then expanded, and the expression of the transgenic molecules was monitored by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis over time.

**Table 1. PRAME mRNA expression in CML samples from patients at different stage of disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Disease stage</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Copy number of PRAME, average ± SD (range)</th>
<th>Level of PRAME mRNA expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Dx</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3.46 ± 4.34 (0-18.3)</td>
<td>N &lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>&lt; 60 mo IFN-α</td>
<td>11.17 ± 22.79 (0-83.12)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>&gt; 60 mo IFN-α</td>
<td>24.31 ± 48.80 (0-118.8)</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>&lt; 60 mo IM</td>
<td>17.85 ± 25.80 (0-65.83)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>IFN-α/IM</td>
<td>95.97 ± 163.49 (1.9-515.98)</td>
<td>1 (12%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pt indicates patient number; N, normalized mRNA copy number (mRNA copy PRAME/Gusb*104); Dx, CML at diagnosis; CP, CML in chronic phase; BC, CML in blastic phase; IFN-α, interferon-α; and IM, imatinib (STI-571).

**Generation and expansion of specific CTLs**

CTL lines were generated from PBMCs obtained from 9 HLA-A*02+ healthy donors and 6 HLA-A*02− CML patients.

**Generation of virus-specific CTLs.** PBMCs were stimulated with K562/aAPCs (PBMCs:K562/aAPCs ratio 20:1) loaded either with NLVPMP-VATV (pp65-cytomegalovirus (CMV)) or CLGGLLTMV (LMP-2 EBV) HLA-A*02-restricted peptides at 5 μM for 2 hours, then washed twice in complete media [RPMI 1640 5%, Click medium (Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA) 45%, supplemented with 5% human AB serum (hABS) and 2 mmol L-glutamine]. T cells were collected and stimulated weekly with K562/aAPCs loaded with the same peptides. After second round of stimulation, cells were expanded using IL-2 (50 U/mL; Proleukin, Chiron, Emeryville, CA) and hABS in the complete media was replaced with FBS.

**Generation of PRAME peptide–specific CTLs.** The previously identified HLA-A*02-restricted PRAME peptides used in this study included ALYVDSLFFL, VLGLDLVLL, SLYSPEEA and SLQHLHGL. CD8+ cells were selected using magnetic antibodies (Miltenyi). These cells were primed with professional APCs (pAPCs; T cells:pAPCs ratio 20:1) loaded to tumor-associated HLA-A*02-restricted peptides, in complete media and in the presence of IL-7 (10 ng/mL), IL-12 (1 ng/mL), and IL-15 (2 ng/mL; all from R&D Systems). For peptide loading, pAPCs and aAPCs were incubated with a pool of the 4 HLA-A*02-restricted PRAME- peptides, each at 5 μM. This optimal peptide concentration was determined in preliminary titration experiments (Figure S1, available on the Blood website; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article). After 2 hours incubation at 37°C in 5% CO2, cells were washed twice in PBS-1× to remove unbound peptides, resuspended in the appropriate media, and then used as stimulator cells for T lymphocyte activation. In a second set of experiments we used PBMCs from healthy donors and generated CTL lines using pAPCs and aAPCs loaded with the HLA-A*02-restricted ALY-peptide, which consistently recruits specific T cells from healthy donors.

After the first stimulation, T cells were collected and stimulated weekly with K562/aAPCs loaded with the same peptides. IL-7, IL-12, and IL-15 cytokines were added for the second stimulation. Subsequently, cells were expanded using IL-2 (50 U/mL) and complete media with FBS.

The same culture conditions were also used for additional HLA-A*02-restricted TAA, including ELAGIGILTV (from MART-1), RMFPNAPYL (from Wilms tumor-1, WT-1), VLQELNVTV (PR1 peptide from PR3...
protein.11 KVAELVHFL (from MAGE-A3),24 ILAKFLMWL and RLVD-DFLV (from human telomerase, hTERT)25,26 and YMDGTMQSV (from tyrosinase, TYR).27 All peptides were obtained from Genemed Synthesis (San Antonio, TX).

In selected experiments, PRAME ALY-specific CTLs were generated using CD8+CD45RA+ or CD8+CD45RA− T cells obtained by negative immunomagnetic sorting (Miltenyi Biotech).28

**Isolation and expansion of PRAME peptide–specific T-cell clones**

Polyclonal T-cell lines obtained after 3 stimulations with K562/aAPCs loaded with ALY peptide were cloned by limiting dilution assay in 96-well plates in the presence of IL-2 (100 U/mL), CD3 antibody (50 ng/mL OKT3; Ortho Biotech, Bridgewater, NJ), and irradiated (4000 rad) allogenic PBMCs as feeder cells, as previously described.19 The specificity of the T-cell clones was evaluated by measuring the IFNγ release in response to the specific PRAME peptide (ELSpot assay), and their cytotoxic activity was determined with a standard 51Cr-release assay against autologous phytohaemagglutinin (PHA)–activated blasts loaded with the specific PRAME peptide.

**Immunophenotyping**

Phycoerythrin (PE)–conjugated, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)–conjugated and peridinium chlorophyll protein (PerCP)–conjugated CD3, CD4, CD8, and CD56 monoclonal antibodies were used to stain T lymphocytes. Control samples labeled with an appropriate isotype-matched antibody were included in each experiment. Cells were analyzed by a FACScan (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) equipped with the filter set for 4 fluorescence signals. CTL lines were also analyzed for binding with specific tetramers, as previously described.29 Tetramers were prepared by Baylor College of Medicine core facility. For each sample, a minimum of 100 000 cells were analyzed using a FACSCalibur with the CellQuest software (BD Biosciences). To determine whether samples from normal donors and CML patients were HLA-A∗02+, we used a specific FITC–labeled antibody. To evaluate the percentage of circulating malignant cells in CML samples, we used CD33 and CD34 monoclonal antibodies. All antibodies were from BD Biosciences.

**ELSpot assay**

The IFNγ ELSpot assay was performed as previously described.30 T cells were plated in triplicate and serially diluted from 10⁵ to 10¹⁰ cells/well, and then each PRAME peptide (5 μM) was added. In all experiments, T cells were also incubated with an irrelevant peptide to show the specificity of IFNγ release. As positive control, T cells were stimulated with 25 ng/mL phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) and 1 μg/mL ionomycin (Iono; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). To measure the αβ TCR avidity, CTL lines and clones were stimulated with specific peptides from 200 to 0.02 nM and IFNγ¹ SFC enumerated (Zellnet Consulting, Fort Lee, NJ).

**Chromium release assay**

The cytotoxic specificity of T cells was evaluated using a standard 4-hour ⁵¹Cr release assay, as previously described.39 Target cells incubated in media alone or in 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) were used to determine spontaneous and maximum ⁵¹Cr release, respectively. The mean percentage of specific lysis of triplicate wells was calculated as follows: [(test counts – spontaneous counts)/(maximum counts – spontaneous counts)] × 100.

**Western blot analysis**

Tumor cell line lysates, obtained from 5 × 10⁶ cells, were resolved on a 7.5% SDS-PAGE. PRAME protein was detected by immunoblotting using a rabbit polyclonal antibody (Ab32185; AbCAM, Cambridge, MA). Immunoblots were developed using enhanced chemoluminescence detection reagents (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom). Membranes were reprobed using the monoclonal anti-GAPDH Ab (Sigma-Aldrich).

**Real-time qRT-PCR and fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis**

PRAME mRNA was measured by real-time quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. One microgram total RNA was reverse transcribed using SuperScript First-strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNAs from PRAME and beta-glucuronidase (GUSb, as housekeeping control) were separately amplified using primer and probe sequences that were designed, tested, and standardized by Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA; Hs00196132_m1 and Hs99999908_m1, respectively). All reactions were amplified in triplicate on an ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detector (Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, CA) and the mean Ct values were used to calculate the transcript copy number by the formula: mRNA copy number = power ((Ct − 39.7)/3.47), where 39.7 and 3.47 are the intercept and the slope, respectively, of the appropriate plasmid standard curve. The normalized copy number for PRAME was determined as follows: (mRNA Copy number PRAME/mRNA copy number GUSb)×10⁴. The threshold was systematically set at 0.1 to avoid problems of baseline creeping. The sensitivity of PRAME detection by the described method is 10¹⁰ normalized copies.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis was performed as previously reported31,32 on unseparated nucleated cells using differently labeled BCR and ABL probes (LSI BCR/ABL ES Dual Color Translocation Probe, Abbott Molecular Diagnostics, Abbott Park, IL).

**Statistical analysis**

All in vitro data are presented as means plus or minus SD. Student t test was used to determine the statistical significant differences between samples, and P at less than .05 was accepted as indicating a significant difference.

**Results**

**Functional validation of K562/HLA-A∗02/CD80/CD40L/OX40L aAPC line (K562/aAPCs)**

Many efforts to generate TAA-specific CTLs are limited by their requirement for large numbers of autologous professional APCs for repeated target cell stimulations. To overcome this problem, we prepared aAPCs derived from the K562 cell line that does not express MHC molecules. We engineered this line to express the HLA-A∗02 molecule, and improved its costimulatory properties by coexpressing CD80, CD40L and OX40L molecules as described in “Methods.” This aAPC line stably expressed transgenic HLA-A∗02, CD80, CD40L, and OX40L for more than 6 months (Figure 1A). In addition, expression was stable after several freeze-thaw cycles (data not shown).

To measure the function of these aAPCs, we first used 2 virus-derived antigens, pp65 (from CMV) and LMP-2 (from EBV). K562/aAPCs were loaded either with HLA-A∗02–restricted NLV (CMV) or CLG (EBV) peptides and used to stimulate uninfected PBMCs or CD8+ T cells obtained from CMV- or EBV-seropositive HLA-A∗02+ healthy donors. As shown in Figure 1B, after 3 weeks of culture, a significant percentage of T cells was specific for either NLV or CLG peptides, as assessed by tetramer staining. These T-cell lines were also functional since they produced IFNγ on exposure to either NLV or CLG peptides (Figure 1C), and were cytotoxic to autologous PHA blasts loaded with NLV or CLG peptides and to autologous EBV-lymphoblastoid cell lines (EBV-LCL) transduced with an adenosial vector to express pp6533 or LMP-2 proteins35 (Figure 1D). These data confirmed that K562/aAPCs can be used to generate and expand functional CTLs.
We generated a T-cell line from a representative donor using K562/aAPCs without PRAME peptide loading. None of these T-cell lines had measurable specific response to PRAME peptides or PRAME-positive target cells (data not shown). To further validate the culture protocol optimized for the generation and expansion of PRAME CTLs, we used the same in vitro condition to generate CTLs specific for other TAAs such as WT1, MART-1, PR3, MAGE-A3, hTERT, and Tyr. These results are summarized in Figure S2, and they confirm that this approach was applicable to a variety of TAAs.

**PRAME CTLs originate from a different T-cell subset to viral-specific CTLs.** We next investigated the mechanism responsible for the observed difference in APC requirements between CTLs specific for viral antigens versus the cancer testis antigen PRAME. Effector T cells specific for CMV/EBV derived viral antigens are primarily generated from memory T-cell subsets. We assessed whether CTLs specific for the self-antigen PRAME had the same origin. We prepared ALY-peptide specific CTLs from 3 healthy donors using sorted CD45RO+ T-cell subsets. In contrast, CTLs specific for the CMV-derived NLV peptide generated using the same culture condition to generate CTLs specific for other TAAs such as WT1, MART-1, PR3, MAGE-A3, hTERT, and Tyr. These results are summarized in Figure S2, and they confirm that this approach was applicable to a variety of TAAs.

**Specificity and functionality of PRAME CTLs**

We used multiple approaches to ensure that the PRAME CTLs expanded from the naive subset of T cells were specific and active against antigen-expressing targets.
respectively). In addition, 2 CTL lines released IFN-γ to 2 PRAME peptides (ALY and VLD in 8/9 and 5/7 donors, respectively). The number of IFN-γ SFC was negligible when T cells were stimulated weekly only with peptide-loaded K562/aAPCs. Although IFN-γ SFC were detectable when T cells were primed with peptide-loaded pAPCs, only the addition of IL-15 to the cytokine cocktail significantly increased the generation of ALY-specific CTLs. Shown is 1 representative of 4 donors. (B) Fold expansion of PRAME CTLs primed with autologous pAPCs and stimulated with aAPCs for 3 weeks. Each symbol represents one of the 8 individual PRAME CTL lines and the horizontal lines represent the mean group value. (C) IFN-γ SFC in response to ALY or ELA-irrelevant peptide of ALY-specific CTLs expanded from 3 donors from sorted naive (CD45RA+CD8+) and memory (CD45RO+CD8+) T-cell subset. In contrast, CTLs generated against the viral peptide NVL (from the pp65 protein of CMV), were originated predominantly from the memory (CD45RO+) T-cell subset. (D) Killing (20:1 E:T ratio) of ALY-loaded autologous PHA blasts and ELA-irrelevant peptide (indicated by —). (E) Staining with the ALY-specific tetramer of T cells responding to ALY, VLD, and SLY peptides and CTL line #1 responded to ALY, VLD, and SLY peptides. This observation suggests that ALY and VLD peptides are more dominant. IFN-γ production was specific, as fewer than 25 IFN-γ SFC/10^5 cells were produced when PRAME CTLs were incubated with media alone or with an irrelevant peptide (Figure 3A). Although IFN-γ+ T cells were detectable in 8 of 9 ALY-specific CTL lines, we could clearly identify ALY-tetramer+ cells in only 2 CTL lines (Figure 3B). To evaluate whether this discrepancy between IFN-γ release and tetramer staining was due to variable affinity/avidity of the αβTCRs, we compared the numbers of IFN-γ+ SFCs produced in response to decreasing concentrations of ALY-peptide (ranging from 200 to

**Cytokine release**

CTL lines generated using the peptide pool were tested for IFN-γ release in response to each peptide individually, using a specific ELISPOT assay. As shown in Figure 3A, we found that the majority of the expanded PRAME CTLs released IFN-γ in response to at least 2 PRAME peptides (ALY and VLD in 8/9 and 5/7 donors, respectively). In addition, 2 CTL lines released IFN-γ in response to SLY- or SLL-PRAME peptides. CTL line #2 recognized ALY, VLD, and SLY peptides and CTL line #1 responded to ALY, VLD, and SLL peptides. This observation suggests that ALY and VLD peptides are more dominant. IFN-γ production was specific, as fewer than 25 IFN-γ SFC/10^5 cells were produced when PRAME CTLs were incubated with media alone or with an irrelevant peptide (Figure 3A). Although IFN-γ+ T cells were detectable in 8 of 9 ALY-specific CTL lines, we could clearly identify ALY-tetramer+ cells in only 2 CTL lines (Figure 3B). To evaluate whether this discrepancy between IFN-γ release and tetramer staining was due to variable affinity/avidity of the αβTCRs, we compared the numbers of IFN-γ+ SFCs produced in response to decreasing concentrations of ALY-peptide (ranging from 200 to
Figure 4. ALY-specific CTLs are cytotoxic to PRAME+ tumor cell lines. (A) Expression of PRAME in several tumor cell lines as assessed by qPCR. (B) Expression of PRAME in the same cell lines by Western blot analysis. In addition to the predicted band (58 kDa), another band of approximately 75 kDa was observed, likely originated from posttranscriptional modifications. (C) Cytotoxic activity of ALY-specific CTLs toward tumor cell lines evaluated using a standard 3¹Cr release assay. As negative controls, we used (i) CTLs specific for another HLA-A2*02 tumor-restricted epitope (ELA from MART-1 protein; [ii] autologous PHA blasts loaded with ELA irrelevant peptide; (iii) the L428 tumor cell line (PRAME+, but HLA-A*02). As positive control we used autologous PHA blasts loaded with the ALY peptide. Data at a CTLs:tumor cells ratio of 40:1 are shown. Overall, killing of PRAME+HLA-A*02+ was significantly higher than control cell killing. (D) Killing of PRAME+HLA-A*02+ cell lines was inhibited by preincubation with MHC class I antibody but not with isotype control, confirming MHC class I restriction.

Cytotoxic activity

We evaluated the cytotoxic activity of PRAME CTLs using standard 4-hour ³¹Cr release assays with autologous PHA blasts loaded with ALY peptide (as the response to this peptide was the most consistently detectable) or irrelevant peptide as target cells. As shown in Figure 3C, PRAME CTLs lysed PHA-blasts loaded with the ALY peptide (average 45% ± 19%; effector:target [E:T] ratio, 20:1; P < .001), but not PHA blasts loaded with an irrelevant peptide (< 10%). The killing mediated by PRAME CTLs was MHC class I-restricted since it was significantly inhibited by preincubation of target cells with anti-HLA class I antibody (7% ± 1.5%; E:T ratio 20:1), but not by preincubation with the appropriate isotype control antibody (56% ± 6%; E:T ratio 20:1; P = .04; Figure 3D). Notably, not only CTL lines with high avidity but also CTL lines with low avidity for ALY peptide were detected by staining with the specific tetramer.

PRAME CTLs recognize naturally processed PRAME peptides

The capacity of PRAME CTLs to lyse target cells pulsed with peptides may not predict their recognition and lysis of tumor cells that are naturally expressing and processing PRAME antigens. Therefore, we measured the cytotoxic activity of PRAME CTLs against PRAME-expressing tumor cell lines. As shown in Figure 4A-B, screening of multiple HLA-A*02+ tumor cell lines using both qPCR and Western blot analysis demonstrated that HDLM-2, U266-B1, ARH77, ME1, KT1, and BV173 tumor cell lines expressed PRAME. We then used these tumor cell lines as targets to determine the cytotoxic activity of PRAME CTLs in a standard 4-hour ³¹Cr release assay. Because CTLs recognizing ALY peptide were consistently generated from healthy donors, we also generated CTLs in which pAPCs and aAPCs were loaded with ALY peptide exclusively. Cytotoxicity against HLA-A*02+/PRAME+ tumor cell lines was evaluated in CTLs generated using pAPCs and aAPCs loaded either with the peptide pool (data not shown) or with the ALY peptide alone (ALY-specific CTL lines). CTL lines expanded using APCs loaded with the ALY peptide showed more consistent cytotoxic activity. As shown in Figure 4C, killing of HDLM-2, U266-B1, KT1, and BV173 tumor cells by ALY-specific CTL lines was higher than that mediated by CTLs reactivated against ELA peptide from MART-1 (lysis at 40:1 E:T ratio was 25% ± 19% vs 12% ± 14% for HDLM-2, P = .02; 57% ± 9% vs 23% ± 18% for U266-B1, P = .02; 49.4% ± 21.8% vs 27.9% ± 19% for ARH-77, P = .004; 8% ± 6% vs 4% ± 2% for ME-1, P = .5; 32% ± 2% vs 5% ± 2% for KT1, P = .02; 60% ± 27% vs 28% ± 14% for BV173, P = .088; Figure 4C). Killing of autologous PHA blasts loaded with an irrelevant peptide and killing of the PRAME+ but HLA-A*02- L428 cell line were both less than 10%. Killing mediated by CTLs was MHC class I-restricted (Figure 4D), as it was inhibited by preincubation of target cells with an anti-HLA class I antibody (U266-B1: 34% ± 13%; BV173: 21% ± 16%; ARH77: 8% ± 8%; HDLM-2: 0% ± 5%), but not with the appropriate isotype control antibody (U266-B1: 54% ± 15%, P = .32; BV173: 80% ± 16%, P = .05; ARH77: 50% ± 26%, P = .04; HDLM-2: 22% ± 5%, P = .001).

PRAME CTLs target PRAME+ CML tumor cells

Because PRAME has been reported previously to be overexpressed in CML tumor cells,17 we have analyzed its expression in 58 samples obtained from CML patients in different stages of disease. As shown in Table 1, PRAME mRNA was broadly detectable using qPCR assay in our group of patients, since 46% of them showed between 1/10° and 10/10° copies of PRAME/GUSβ mRNA, and 27% more than 10/10® copies. For 7 HLA-A*02+ CML patients (Figure 5A), sufficient PBMCs were available to perform culture experiments. To assess disease stage in these patients we evaluated the percentage of circulating CD33+ and CD34+ cells16,17 and FISH analysis.31,32 Samples from patients 1, 2, and 5 lacked circulating CD33+ or CD34+ cells, and cytogenetic analysis showed 100% of Philadelphia (Ph)–negative metaphases. In patient samples 3 and 6, circulating CD33+ cells were 41% and 44%, respectively, whereas CD34+ cells were 0% and 1.5%, respectively, suggesting that these patients were in chronic phase. For the sample obtained from patient 3, cytogenetic analysis showed 80% Ph+ metaphases. In patient sample 4 the
percentages of circulating CD33$^+$ cells and CD34$^+$ cells were 86% and 50%, respectively, suggesting that this patient was in accelerated/blastic phase. Because CML samples 3 and 4 contained significant numbers of CD33$^+$ cells, we used these samples as a target for PRAME CTLs generated from HLA-A*02$^+$ healthy donors, measuring the CTLs response by IFN$\gamma$ ELISpot assays. As controls, we used PRAME$^+$ CML blasts from an HLA-A*02$^+$ patient (no. 8) with circulating blasts (CD33$^+$ :77% and CD34$^+$ : 3%), or incubated MART-1-ELA-peptide specific CTLs with autologous CML blasts. As shown in Figure 5B, ALY-specific CTLs responded both to ALY peptide and to PRAME$^+/HLA$-A*02$^+$ CML blasts. In contrast, few IFN$\gamma$ SFC were detected in response to CML blasts which lacked significant PRAME expression or which were PRAME$^+$ but HLA-A*02$^-$ (Figure 5B). ELA-specific CTLs from the same donors also failed to respond to autologous CML cells (Figure 5B). Furthermore, blocking experiments using anti-HLA class I antibody showed that the production of IFN$\gamma$ by ALY-specific CTLs in response to CML blasts was MHC restricted (Figure 5C).

**PRAME CTL single-cell clones**

Because PRAME CTLs generated from healthy donors could be matched with primary PRAME$^+$ cell lines or CML blasts only for HLA-A*02, to ensure that the reactivity we observed was genuinely PRAME-specific and not a manifestation of residual alloreactivity in the CTL lines, we cloned ALY-specific T lymphocytes from the bulk CTL cultures by limiting dilution. We were able to identify several clones (66/111 of the expanded clones) that produced IFN$\gamma$ in response to the ALY peptide but not to an irrelevant peptide (Figure 6A). As shown in Figure 6B, we also confirmed that these clones were cytotoxic to HLA-A*02$^+$PRAME$^+$ cell lines (such as BV173 and U266-B1), but not to the HLA-A*02$^+$PRAME$^+$ cell line L428. In addition, we found that these clones could target primary CML blasts as assessed by IFN$\gamma$ ELISpot assay. Figure 6C shows the response of 2 clones to CML blasts. Recognition was mediated through the conventional TCR as it was inhibited by preincubation with MHC class I antibodies.

**Generation of PRAME CTLs from CML patients**

We next obtained T cells from the peripheral blood of 6 HLA-A*02$^+$ CML patients, and primed them with autologous pAPCs loaded with the pool of PRAME-derived peptides (ALY, VLD, SLY, and SLL) in the presence of IL-7, IL-12, and IL-15. Subsequently we stimulated these cells with peptide loaded K562/aAPCs and IL-2. We were able to detect and expand PRAME CTLs from 5 of the 6 patients tested.

As shown in Figure 7A, in 3 patients we expanded T cells that produced significant amount of IFN$\gamma$ in response to 2 different PRAME-particles [ALY (205 ± 24 and 78 ± 11 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells) and VLD (20 ± 2 and 103 ± 14 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells), or SLY (175 ± 18 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells) and VLD (33 ± 6 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells)]. In 2 other patients, T cells generated a single PRAME peptide, either ALY (45 ± 4 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells) or VLD (33 ± 2 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells), but not in response to an irrelevant peptide (all < 5 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells) as assessed by the specific ELISpot assay.

These PRAME CTLs obtained from CML patients also recognized autologous tumor cells. As shown in Figure 7B,C, we found that PRAME CTLs from the 2 donors tested produced IFN$\gamma$ SFC when incubated with autologous PRAME$^+$ CML blasts (56 ± 11 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells for patient 3 and 33 ± 6 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells for patient 6) but not with PRAME$^-$ CML blasts (Figure 7C). In contrast, CTLs generated from the same patient against the ELA peptide did not produce IFN$\gamma$ when incubated with PRAME peptides (< 5 SFC/10$^5$ T cells) or with autologous CML blasts (3 ± 2 IFN$\gamma$ SFC/10$^5$ T cells; Figure 7B). Unlike those from healthy donors, PRAME CTLs expanded from leukemic patients had low avidity for PRAME-derived peptides, as CTLs did not recognize PRAME tetramers (data not shown) and produced low amounts of IFN$\gamma$ SFC when exposed to low concentrations (<2 nM) of specific peptides (Figure S3B).

**Discussion**

CML is highly susceptible to control by the immune system, and effector T cells recognizing TAAs overexpressed in tumor cells...
may play an important role in this process.6-8 The identification and validation of candidate antigens with clinical promise is crucial for developing immunotherapies for CML that can be translated to clinical trials. Here, we show that the cancer testis antigen PRAME is one such target. A combination of professional and artificial APCs and cytokines allows PRAME CTLs to be obtained from healthy donors and from CML patients with active disease. These PRAME CTLs recognize and kill tumor cell lines expressing PRAME and also specifically recognize blasts from CML patients expressing endogenous PRAME.

Our results suggest that PRAME may be a suitable target antigen for immunotherapy aimed at control of CML. The 2 most widely considered means of obtaining such an immune response are the use of peptide vaccination to recruit CTLs in vivo ("active" immunity), and the preparation of CTLs ex vivo for adoptive transfer ("passive" immunity). Both strategies are currently being evaluated in patients with hematologic malignancies and solid tumors using other putative target antigens.12,14,38,39

Although both active and passive approaches can induce objective clinical responses, adoptive transfer of tumor-specific CTLs, may be better suited than vaccination for the control of advanced disease, at least in patients with melanoma40 and EBV-associated malignancies.19,41-43 But although tumor-specific CTLs targeting viral antigens, such as those derived from EBV, are consistently expanded ex vivo from a pool of memory T cells,44 it has proved harder to consistently prepare CTLs specific for nonviral TAAs such as PRAME. The frequency of CTL precursors specific for TAA/CTA-derived peptides is usually very low, and these cells are rarely detectable in the peripheral blood of healthy individuals.12 Although it has been reported that PRAME CTL precursors can be detected in PBMCs freshly isolated from healthy donors,45 we found the frequency to be too low to be quantitated by
IFNγ ELISPOT assay in our cohort of healthy donors. Moreover, these low frequency cells may reside in the naive T-cell subpopulation, or be anergized, so that their recruitment and expansion require the use of potent APCs in combination with several cytokines. Our results show that PRAME CTLs were derived from naive CD45RA+/CD8+ T cells and that the requirement for pAPCs could not be overcome even by substituting an aAPC with a broad array of costimulatory components (CD80, CD40L, and OX40L), so that pAPCs provide more effective priming for naive T cells. We used B cells exposed to CD40L21 as our pAPCs because these cells could be reproducibly generated in sufficient numbers from the limited amount of blood available for the study. Although we did not perform a formal comparison between the effectiveness of CD40-activated B cells and DCs, in 1 case in which DCs and CD40-activated B cells were both available, we found no apparent difference. IL-15, a cytokine that plays an important role in breaking immunotolerance and in restoring the function of T cells that have been anergized or tolerized,46 was also essential for optimal expansion of PRAME CTLs from both healthy donors and CML patients. Fortunately, however, once this initial priming step is overcome, our results show that subsequent expansion steps have less stringent requirements and can be accomplished with an artificial APC and IL-2, simplifying implementation in clinical practice where autologous pAPCs may be limited in number.

The antitumor effect of adoptive T-cell therapies is likely to be enhanced when the infused T cells recognize more than one epitope of viral and/or tumor-associated antigens,19 because the risk of tumor escape is reduced.47 Therefore, we determined whether our PRAME responses were directed to more than one of the previously identified HLA-A*02-restricted PRAME epitopes.16 We found that CTL lines from both healthy donors and CML patients were directed against at least 2 PRAME-derived peptides, ALY and VDL. These CTL lines and clones were functional and could target not only peptide-loaded cells, but also tumor cell lines expressing PRAME and PRAME+ primary CML tumor cells. Hence, the PRAME peptides targeted by these CTL lines are naturally processed and presented in the context of MHC class I even by tumor cells.

In patients with leukemia, CTLs with the highest avidity for tumor-associated antigens such as PR1 may be deleted.20 Therefore, we examined whether CTL lines generated from healthy donors and CML patients had a different range of avidities for PRAME-derived peptides. We found that PRAME CTLs generated from CML patients with active disease were characterized by a lower avidity for PRAME-derived peptides than CTLs from normal donors. Thus, selective depletion of high-avidity CTLs in patients with leukemia may occur not only for PR1-specific CTLs,20 but also for PRAME CTLs, and may be a general immune evasion strategy. Our study was not designed to correlate PRAME expression specifically with disease stage, cytogenetic response, or treatment, so we cannot correlate these parameters with the generation of PRAME CTLs from CML patients. Of note, PRAME expression by CML cells may be regulated by epigenetic mechanisms,28 so that treatment with IFN and/or imatinib may alter PRAME expression and further modify the ability to expand PRAME CTLs from patients in any given stage of disease.

Given our results showing the antileukemic effects of PRAME CTLs, the administration of PRAME peptides to generate T cells in vivo represents a simple, and hence appealing, alternative to the preparation of PRAME CTLs for adoptive transfer ex vivo. Vaccine trials using peptides derived from other leukemia-associated antigens including p210 bcr/abl, PR1, and WT1 have shown promise, although their overall potency may be lower than that of adoptively transferred CTLs.12,14,20 However, the predominance of low-avidity CTLs in patients with active disease suggests that achievement of minimal residual disease with more conventional therapies before peptide vaccination may be required to allow the generation of T cells with higher avidity for the antigen.20

In conclusion, we have confirmed that PRAME is expressed by a significant proportion of CML samples17 and represents a potential target antigen both for adoptive T-cell therapy and for vaccination of CML patients. Because the highest-avidity CTLs are obtained from healthy donors, this antigen may be of particular value for generating a selective GvL effect after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Ultimately, CTL administration may be used in sequence with peptide vaccines to maintain long-term immune surveillance.
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