Dasatinib crosses the blood-brain barrier and is an efficient therapy for central nervous system Philadelphia chromosome–positive leukemia

Kimmo Porkka,1,2 Perttu Koskenvesa,1,2 Tuija Lundán,1,3 Johanna Rimpiläinen,4 Satu Mustjoki,1,2 Richard Smykla,5 Robert Wild,6 Roger Luo,3 Montserrat Arnan,7 Benoît Brethon,6 Lydia Eccersley,9 Henrik Hjorth-Hansen,10 Martin Höglund,11 Hana Klamova,12 Håvar Knutsen,13 Suhaq Parikh,14 Emmanuel Raffoux,15 Franz Gruber,16 Finella Brito-Babapulle,9 Hervé Dombret,15 Rafael F. Duarte,7 Erkki Elonen,2 Ron Paquette,17 C. Michel Zwaan,18,19 and Francis Y. F. Lee5

Although imatinib, a BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is used to treat acute Philadelphia chromosome–positive (Ph+) leukemia, it does not prevent central nervous system (CNS) relapses resulting from poor drug penetration through the blood-brain barrier. Imatinib and dasatinib (a dual-specific SRC/BCR-ABL kinase inhibitor) were compared in a preclinical mouse model of intracranial Ph+ leukemia. Clinical dasatinib treatment in patients with CNS Ph+ leukemia was assessed. In preclinical studies, dasatinib increased survival, whereas imatinib failed to inhibit intracranial tumor growth. Stabilization and regression of CNS disease were achieved with continued dasatinib administration. The drug also demonstrated substantial activity in 11 adult and pediatric patients with CNS Ph+ leukemia. Eleven evaluable patients had clinically significant, long-lasting responses, which were complete in 7 patients. In 3 additional patients, isolated CNS relapse occurred during dasatinib therapy; and in 2 of them, it was caused by expansion of a BCR-ABL–mutated dasatinib-resistant clone, implying selection pressure exerted by the compound in the CNS. Dasatinib has promising therapeutic potential in managing intracranial leukemic disease and substantial clinical activity in patients who experience CNS relapse while on imatinib therapy. This study is registered at ClinicalTrials.gov as CA180006 (#NCT001108719) and CA180015 (#NCT001109097). (Blood. 2008; 112:1005-1012)

Introduction

Central nervous system (CNS) involvement is a relatively common complication in Philadelphia chromosome (Ph+–positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph+ ALL) and blast crisis-chronic myelogenous leukemia (BC-CML).1 Imatinib is a first-generation BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitor, which is approved for use in patients with Ph+ leukemias. Despite its use, up to 20% of imatinib-treated patients with either lymphoid or myeloid BC-CML or Ph+ ALL develop CNS relapses.1,2 In many cases, these have occurred despite complete responses in peripheral blood and bone marrow3,4 and are attributable to poor penetration of imatinib into the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) with inadequate concentrations for kinase inhibition.5 This may be, at least partly, because imatinib is a substrate for the drug-eluting P-glycoprotein, which results in subtherapeutic levels of imatinib in the CNS.1,5-8

Conventional therapy for CNS leukemia, including intrathecal chemotherapy, high-dose systemic chemotherapy (cytarabine, methotrexate), and radiotherapy, is unsatisfactory as many patients experience significant toxicity, short-lived responses, and ultimately death resulting from refractory leukemia.9

Dasatinib, a second-generation inhibitor of tyrosine kinases SRC and BCR-ABL, has shown significant activity in adults with imatinib-resistant or -intolerant CML or Ph+ ALL.10-11 In vitro, dasatinib has 325-fold greater potency than imatinib for inhibiting BCR-ABL.12 It effectively inhibits the growth of leukemic clones harboring all known imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL kinase domain point mutations, with the exception of V299L, T315I, and F317L.13 Dasatinib also has antileukemic activity in the multidrug-resistant K562/ADM model that highly expresses the P-glycoprotein drug efflux pump.17 A recent single case report suggested regression of Ph+ CNS leukemia after dasatinib therapy.18

Based on these results, we further investigated the preclinical and clinical effects of dasatinib in CNS leukemia. We show that dasatinib is associated with increased survival in a mouse model of...
intracranial CML and induces clinically meaningful responses in patients with CNS disease.

Methods

Preclinical analysis of dasatinib in an animal model of intracranial CML

Compounds, tumor cell lines, and reagents. Dasatinib (synthesized by Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ) and commercially available imatinib (Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) were dissolved at 10 mM in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). In the in vitro experiments, compounds were diluted with culture medium to yield final concentrations of less than or equal to 0.1% DMSO. Sterile buffers and solutions were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Sterile tissue culture ware was obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). K562 CML cells were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and maintained in fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen).

Study protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board/Independent Ethics Committee at each participating center. In vitro cell proliferation assay. K562 CML cells were seeded at 10^5 cells/mL in regular culture medium into 6-well plates, and different concentrations of compound (or DMSO for controls) were added. At 24, 48, and 72 hours after treatment, cells were harvested and total viable cell counts were determined using the ViCell cell viability analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA).

Transfection of K562 cells with luciferase construct. The firefly luciferase (luc) gene was subcloned from pGL3 (Promega, Madison, WI) into the pcDNA3.2 expression vector (Invitrogen). Transfection of the K562 cell line was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen), and cells were selected with 10 μg/mL of G418 (Gibco, Paisley, UK). Transfected K562-pLUC#2 (Figure S1, available on the Web site; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article) was used in all experiments.

In vivo cell proliferation assay. K562 CML cells were injected intracranially into SCID-beige mice. The mice were randomized into the following groups: control (DMSO) or treatment groups with different concentrations of dasatinib. Bioluminescence signals were analyzed using Living Image software (Caliper Life Sciences, Hopkinton, MA). For in vitro imaging, bioluminescence images were acquired using an intraperitoneal dose of 150 μg/kg of D-luciferin immediately before being anesthetized with Avertin (250 mg/kg) and were imaged 15 minutes later using the IVIS Imaging System 100 Series (Xenogen). Quantitation was based on total light (photons/sec) of emitted light as a measure of the relative number of viable tumor cells. Data were analyzed using Xenogen Living Image software.

Dasatinib plasma and brain pharmacokinetics in mice. Plasma and brain dasatinib concentrations were determined by high performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (HPLC/MS). The analytical equipment used consisted of a Hewlett Packard (Palo Alto, CA) model 1100 HPLC/Autosampler combination, coupled to a Phenomenex Prodigy C18-ODS3 column. A gradient elution method was used with a mobile phase consisting of 5 mM of ammonium acetate in a mixture of water/acetonitrile, pH 5.0. The HPLC was interfaced to a Finnigan LCQ Advantage ion-trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientifics, Waltham, MA) operated in the positive ion electrospray, full MS/MS mode. For dasatinib, fragmentation of m/z 488 yielded daughter ions for quantitation at m/z 401. For the internal standard, m/z 444 was fragmented to yield daughters at m/z 303. Helium was the collision gas. The standard curve ranged from 2.7 nM to 40 μM and was fitted with a quadratic regression weighted by reciprocal concentration (1/x). The limit of quantitation was 2.7 nM. Plasma samples (50 μL) were deproteinized before analysis with 3 volumes of acetonitrile containing 5 μg/mL BSA (Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, TX) as internal standard. Brain samples were homogenized in 2 parts water before dilution in acetonitrile. Plasma/brain ratios were calculated to assess the relative ability of dasatinib to cross the blood-brain barrier.

Clinical analysis of dasatinib in CNS Ph+ leukemia

Patients. Patients with Ph+ ALL or BC-CML and CNS involvement who received treatment with dasatinib, either as part of clinical trials evaluating dasatinib in Ph+ ALL or BC-CML or on a “compassionate-use” basis, were identified. None of the patients was using dasatinib at the time of CNS disease diagnosis, with the exception of a subgroup of the 3 patients who developed CNS disease relapse while on dasatinib therapy. Informed consent was obtained from all patients taking part in clinical trials in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Treatment. Adult patients received oral dasatinib 140 mg once daily or 70 mg twice daily. The dasatinib dose could be adjusted after one cycle of treatment (4 weeks), with dose escalation in patients with lack of response and dose reduction in patients with unacceptable toxicity. Pediatric and adolescent patients with Ph+ ALL treated according to study protocol received oral dasatinib 60 to 160 mg/m² once daily. Dasatinib was administered until disease progression, intolerable toxicity, withdrawal of consent, or until the investigator and patient agreed that discontinuation of therapy was in the best interest of the patient.

Patient evaluation. Lumbar punctures were performed every 1 to 4 weeks until resolution to follow outcome. Responses were classified according to criteria described by Odom et al.9 Briefly, a complete response was defined by an absence of blasts in the CSF, a very good partial response as a CSF mononuclear cell count less than 5 × 10^3/L and identification of only single blasts on cytospin slide examination, and a partial response as a decrease in CSF mononuclear cell count by more than 50%. Response duration was measured from the first day the response criteria were met until the date treatment was discontinued because of progression or death, or the date of the last CNS assessment. Dasatinib and dasatinib metabolite concentrations within the CSF were measured by HPLC/MS/MS chromatography.

Analysis of BCR-ABL gene mutations in CSF. The total RNA from CSF cell samples was extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Because of the small number of cells resulting in low RNA yields, the samples were subjected to RNA amplification with Agilent Low RNA Input Fluorescent Linear Amplification Kit (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). The amplified cRNA was then purified and further reverse-transcribed to cDNA.
according to published recommendations of Europe Against Cancer program.\textsuperscript{20} Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed as described with minor modifications.\textsuperscript{21,22} The amplified PCR products were then sequenced with direct sequencing and ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

### Results

#### Antitumor effects of dasatinib and imatinib in mice

**Experiment 1.** We initially evaluated the efficacy of dasatinib and imatinib in the K562 intracranial CML tumor model. Drugs were administered orally starting on day 5 after tumor implantation, and treatment was continued until clear disease progression was observed (ie, MST was achieved for each individual treatment set). Dasatinib was administered using a 5-days-on/2-days-off twice-daily schedule (Monday through Friday) at dose levels of 5 mg/kg and 15 mg/kg, whereas imatinib was administered continuously twice daily (without drug administration break period) at a dose level of 100 mg/kg. Dasatinib was associated with a dose-dependent increase in survival compared with control groups ($P < .01$; Table 1). In contrast, imatinib completely failed to increase the MST.

**Experiment 2.** We next assessed the antitumor activity of dasatinib and imatinib in the intracranial CML tumor model in more detail. A K562 variant cell line was generated (K562-pLUC\#2) overexpressing the firefly luciferase gene to allow noninvasive monitoring of intracranial tumor growth in vivo using BLI. K562-pLUC\#2 cells were injected intracranially into SCID-beige mice, and the mice treated on day 6 after tumor implantation for 14 days with either dasatinib 15 mg/kg or imatinib 100 mg/kg using the same schedules described previously. Tumor growth was measured on day 5 (pretreatment) and once weekly thereafter by BLI until animals became moribund. Bioluminescence in the untreated and imatinib groups continued to increase over time. In contrast, dasatinib-treated animals displayed a striking inhibition of tumor growth between pretreatment and end-of-drug treatment time points. Moreover, several animals showed regression of large primary tumors, as indicated by a decrease in bioluminescence signal (data not shown). Quantitative analysis of the mean photons emitted from the intracranial K562-pLUC\#2 tumors over time is shown in Figure 1A. Tumor bioluminescence increased significantly in the untreated control group. Imatinib treatment failed to inhibit intracranial K562-pLUC\#2 tumor growth, with results comparable with untreated controls, whereas dasatinib treatment resulted in complete regression of CNS tumors followed by tumor stasis while animals were on therapy. Antitumor activity, as determined by BLI, also correlated with increased survival. In contrast to imatinib, dasatinib had a significant effect on survival ($P < .05$ vs untreated control; Table 1).

**Experiment 3.** To further delineate the effects of dasatinib, K562-pLUC\#2 cells were implanted and allowed to grow to well-established intracranial lesions. Dasatinib was then initiated at escalated doses of 25 or 50 mg/kg once daily for 30 days. Both dosages of dasatinib were associated with a significant increase in survival ($P < .001$ vs untreated control; Table 1). Furthermore, BLI indicated that intracranial tumors regressed to near nondetectable levels and remained so as long as the animals were on treatment (Figures 1A,B and 2). Once drug administration stopped, all animals redeveloped intracranial tumors and eventually died.

To rationalize the robust antitumor activity of dasatinib in these intracranial CML models, we assessed the plasma and brain concentrations of dasatinib. Dasatinib brain concentrations were, on average, 12- to 31-fold lower than in plasma (ie, brain/plasma ratio or brain penetration of 3.2%-8.6%). But significantly, dasatinib pharmacokinetic analysis demonstrated that it readily achieved brain concentrations required to achieve 50% inhibition of cellular proliferation of K562 cells in vitro (0.5 nM). For example, a daily dose of dasatinib 50 mg/kg was associated with brain concentrations of 10 to 100 nM for nearly 24 hours (Figure 1C). This level of CNS penetration, based on this calculation, was sufficient to produce the antitumor activity observed in this study.

#### Clinical effects of dasatinib in CNS manifestations of Ph\textsuperscript{+} leukemia

To investigate the potential clinical effects of dasatinib on CNS leukemia, we collected data from 14 patients with imatinib-resistant or -intolerant BC-CML or Ph\textsuperscript{+} ALL and CNS involvement. Ten patients were enrolled in dasatinib clinical studies, whereas 4 patients received dasatinib for compassionate use. Of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor (study no.), compound</th>
<th>Dose, mg/kg</th>
<th>Route; schedule; day treatment started</th>
<th>Efficacy (n = 10)</th>
<th>Tolerability, AWC, g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K562 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasatinib</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Orally; BID × 40; 5</td>
<td>49.5\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>460\textsuperscript{†}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasatinib</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Orally; BID × 26; 5</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>268\textsuperscript{†}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Orally; BID × 10; 5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K562-pLUC#2 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasatinib</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Orally; BID × 14; 6</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>267\textsuperscript{†}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Orally; BID × 14; 6</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K562-pLUC#2 (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasatinib</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Orally; QD × 30; 9</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>256\textsuperscript{§}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasatinib</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Orally; QD × 30; 9</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>229\textsuperscript{§}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MST indicates median survival time; %T/C, (MST treated/MST control) × 100; AWC, average weight change; BID, twice daily; QD, once daily; ND, not determined; and —, not applicable.

\textsuperscript{*}Two of 10 animals survived with no evidence of disease at the end of study (day 109).

\textsuperscript{†}P < .01 versus untreated control.

\textsuperscript{§}P < .05 versus untreated control.

\textsuperscript{‡}P < .01; Table 1. In contrast, imatinib completely failed to increase the MST.
these, 11 were treated with dasatinib as a first-line therapy for CNS leukemia, whereas 3 patients experienced a CNS relapse while on dasatinib therapy administered for other clinical reasons. Patient characteristics are described in Table 2, and treatment regimens are described in Table 3. Five patients received concomitant intrathecal therapy to treat CNS disease. The clinical course of an index patient with massive CNS involvement and dasatinib monotherapy is shown in Figure 3.

All of the 11 clinically evaluable patients responded to dasatinib (Table 3). Complete responses, defined as either disappearance of leukemic blasts from CSF or radiologic findings in magnetic resonance imaging, were observed in 7 patients. Four of these were achieved with dasatinib monotherapy. Of the 3 patients achieving a partial response, one had a very good partial response and 2 patients had long-lasting, clinically meaningful responses to dasatinib. One patient (patient 6) was only evaluated clinically for CNS disease and was considered to be stable at 22 months.

CNS responses to dasatinib were generally durable and were maintained for at least 6 months in 5 patients and for at least 12 months in 2 patients. Responses were maintained for at least 3 months in 9 evaluable patients.

To assess mechanisms of dasatinib resistance for CNS leukemia, we collected data from 3 additional patients who experienced a CNS relapse while on dasatinib. BCR-ABL kinase domain sequencing from leukemic blast cells in CSF showed point mutations (T315I and V299L) in 2 patients conferring absolute resistance to dasatinib (no sequencing data available from one patient). Thus, CSF relapse was most likely attributed to selection of a dasatinib-resistant clone, not because of poor penetration of dasatinib into the CSF.

Figure 1. Comparative in vivo antitumor activity of dasatinib and imatinib in the intracranial K562-pLUC#2 CML model and plasma/brain pharmacokinetic profile of dasatinib. (A) Tumor growth inhibition by dasatinib or imatinib in the K562-pLUC#2 intracranial model as determined by BLI. Treatment was initiated on day 6 after tumor cell implantation. X indicates days of dasatinib administration. Mean photon emission plus or minus SE for each treatment set is shown (n = 8-9 mice per set). (B) Regression of large, well-established intracranial K562-pLUC#2 tumors by dasatinib treatment as determined by BLI (study 3). Treatment was initiated on day 9 after tumor cell implantation. X indicates days of drug treatment. Mean photon emission plus or minus SE for each treatment set is shown (n = 8 mice per set). (C) Plasma and brain pharmacokinetic profile for dasatinib. Each data point on the graph represents the average of 3 mice. Mice were killed at the indicated time, and plasma was prepared from whole blood obtained by cardiac puncture; brain biopsies were obtained from the same animals matching the plasma samples.

Discussion

We have shown here that dasatinib has antitumor effects in a mouse model of intracranial CML and that these benefits can be transferred to the clinic with dasatinib inducing substantial responses in patients with CNS Ph⁺ leukemia. In the mouse model, well-established intracranial CML tumors regressed with dasatinib treatment, significantly increasing animal survival. Moreover, complete disease stabilization was achieved for as long as animals were on dasatinib treatment. Hence, prolonged and continuous administration of this agent may be necessary to effectively control intracranial CML disease. Imatinib failed to show any activity in these tumor models, correlating with several clinical and preclinical findings. In addition to BCR-ABL, other mechanisms may be responsible for extramedullary disease expansion, including activation of Src family kinases. As well as its potent inhibitory effects on BCR-ABL, dasatinib also inhibits Src family
proteins,27 which may underlie the preclinical and clinical activity of dasatinib observed in our report.

During the absorptive phase (1-4 hours after dose), dasatinib concentrations in the brain were on average 5.5% of those found in the plasma (range, 3.2%-8.6%) in mice. In the 3 patients for whom plasma and CSF concentrations were available, the brain penetration was 5%, 8%, and 28%, respectively. This CNS penetration is considerably higher than that achieved by imatinib in several preclinical and clinical reports (brain penetration ranging from 0.5% to 2%).1,2,5,6,8 It should be noted that the CNS penetration of dasatinib is still low compared with other agents known to have good penetration of the blood-brain barrier, such as cytarabine.28

The extent to which increased blood-brain barrier penetration by dasatinib versus imatinib is responsible for the apparent superior CNS antitumor effects of dasatinib is unclear. However, it is probable that other factors may also be important. For example, the much greater potency (325-fold) of dasatinib over imatinib15 may allow the achievement of a therapeutically effective concentration of dasatinib because it has been shown to be active at low or subnanomolar concentrations.15,16 This increased potency, along with the fact that the CSF is a low-protein environment where dasatinib is likely to exist as a free drug, suggests that even if dasatinib levels in the CNS are relatively low, the dasatinib concentrations achieved are sufficient for antitumor activity. The concentrations of dasatinib found in the CSF of patients (range, 1.4-20.1 nM) are consistent with the observed antitumor activity in the CNS. However, further studies are needed to determine the relationship of dasatinib CNS pharmacokinetics and clinical responses in more detail.

In these patients with CNS Ph+ leukemia, dasatinib was associated with substantial clinical responses. Our results confirm those of a single case report concerning the effects of dasatinib in CNS Ph+ leukemia.18 In the current study, clinically meaningful responses were achieved with dasatinib even as monotherapy and were maintained for more than or equal to 6 months in 45% of patients. Our data compare favorably with results from high-dose

Figure 2. Dasatinib induced regression of well-established intracranial K562-pLUCv2 tumors in vivo. On day 9 after tumor implantation, animals with similarly well-established intracranial tumors were randomized into treatment and control sets (n = 8 mice per set) and baseline images were taken (A,C,E). Mice were then treated orally with either 50 or 25 mg/kg of dasatinib daily for 30 consecutive days. On day 20 after tumor implantation, a second set of bioluminescence images were taken (B,D,F). (A,B) Dasatinib treatment, 50 mg/kg. (C,D) Dasatinib treatment, 25 mg/kg. (E,F) Untreated control. Images were set at the same pseudo-color scale to show relative bioluminescent changes over time and signal intensity differences between treatment groups. Dasatinib treatment resulted in complete regression of intracranial tumors to near nondetectable levels, whereas untreated control showed progressive tumor growth with 2 of 8 animals dead on day 20 after tumor implant.
In bone marrow Ph⁺ leukemia, the most common mechanisms for dasatinib resistance are acquired BCR-ABL point domain mutations in the gatekeeper position of the kinase (V299L, T315I, F317L). Of the 3 patients who experienced a CNS relapse on dasatinib, BCR-ABL kinase domain mutation data from leukemic blasts in CSF was obtained for 2 patients. Both these patients showed selection of a dasatinib-resistant leukemic clone in the CSF (V299L, T315I), which had caused disease relapse. This suggests a strong selection pressure exerted by the resistant leukemic clone in the CSF (V299L, T315I), which had caused disease relapse. This suggests a strong selection pressure exerted by the resistant leukemic clone in the CSF.

Table 2. Patient and disease characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Prior SCT</th>
<th>CNS relapse site</th>
<th>Isolated CNS relapse (systemic disease status)</th>
<th>Dasatinib as first-line therapy for CNS disease</th>
<th>Enrolled into dasatinib clinical trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Ly-BC-CML</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Yes (stable MRD)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Yes (stable MRD)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>Yes (×2)</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>BC-CML</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Brain tumor (MRI)</td>
<td>Yes (CP)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>My-BC-CML</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Brain tumors (MRI)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Yes (stable MRD)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CSF + brain tumors (MRI)</td>
<td>Yes (stable MRD)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>My-BC-CML</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Yes (stable MRD)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>Yes (×2)</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Yes (stable MRD)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>My-BC-CML</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Brain tumors (MRI)</td>
<td>Yes (stable MRD)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ph⁻ ALL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Yes (stable MRD)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCT indicates stem cell transplantation; CNS, central nervous system; Ph⁺ ALL, Philadelphia chromosome–positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia; Ly-BC-CML, lymphoid blast crisis of CML; My-BC-CML, myeloid blast crisis of chronic myeloid leukemia; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MRD, minimal residual disease; and CP, chronic phase.

*Enrolled in compassionate use programs.

Although chronic phase CML is derived from a malignant stem cell, the leukemia-initiating mutations in Ph⁺ ALL,30 and CML in blastic phase31 arise from a more committed progenitor. During dasatinib therapy, CNS relapse and expansion of a mutated clone in the CSF, but not in bone marrow, suggest that the origin of CNS leukemia is from a leukemic stem cell homing to CNS at the time of diagnosis. Theoretically, the CNS-specific homing of this leukemic stem cell prevents it from expanding outside of CNS. Interestingly, the CNS clone may be in a dormant state for many years as the time from diagnosis to CNS relapse in some of our patients was up to 8 years. Recent data indicate that cell surface receptors on leukemic

cytarabine.29 Furthermore, the toxicity profile of oral dasatinib is superior to intensive intravenous chemotherapy.

Table 3. Dasatinib treatment and response in evaluable patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Dasatinib dose</th>
<th>Dose regimen</th>
<th>Dasatinib treatment duration, mo</th>
<th>Concurrent intrathecal therapy</th>
<th>Best response</th>
<th>Response duration, mo</th>
<th>Therapy details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140 mg/day, reduced due to toxicity to 50 mg × 5 days/week at 8 months</td>
<td>BID 13</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>DXM at the beginning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>140 mg/day</td>
<td>BID 5+</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>5+</td>
<td>IT therapy prior to start of dasatinib with poor results, received three IT therapies* after dasatinib start</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60 mg/m² (25 days) then 80 mg/m² per day</td>
<td>QD 10+</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>IT therapy initiated at 6 months for relapse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60 mg/m² (29 days) then 80 mg/m² per day</td>
<td>QD 4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>IT therapy initiated at 3 months due to systemic relapse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>140 mg/day</td>
<td>BID 3+</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Radiologic CR</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>140 mg/day</td>
<td>QD 22-</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Clinical CR</td>
<td>22-</td>
<td>DXM at the beginning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>140 mg/day then 200 mg/day at 4 months</td>
<td>BID 21</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>IT therapy and later cranial radiation when treatment was intensified after 6 months due to relapse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>140 mg/day for 3 months, varying dosing with long interruptions, currently 50 mg every other day</td>
<td>QD 26-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>26-</td>
<td>DXM, IT therapy**†‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>140 mg/day</td>
<td>BID 3+</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>VGPR</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>IT therapy**†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60 mg/m² per day</td>
<td>QD 1.8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Systemic steroids, IT therapy**‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>80 (39 days), 120 (20 days) then 160 mg/m² per day</td>
<td>BID 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>IT therapy*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BID indicates twice daily; QD, once daily; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; VGPR, very good partial response; DXM, dexamethasone; and IT therapy, intrathecal therapy.

*Cytarabine.
†Methotrexate.
‡Prednisolone.
biodistribution of dasatinib in patients with relapsed Ph+ ALL. CSF blast count was performed from May-Grünwald-Giemsa–stained cytospin slides by counting 100 to 300 cells. Microscopy slides were viewed with a Zeiss Axioskop 40 light microscope (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Jena, Germany) using Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 oil and Plan-Neofluor 100×/1.3 oil objective lenses. Images were acquired using AxioCam HRC digital camera (Carl Zeiss Microimaging) and were processed with Axiovision AC 4.2 software (Carl Zeiss Microimaging).
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