Runx genes are direct targets of Scl/Tal1 in the yolk sac and fetal liver
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Transcription factors such as Scl/Tal1, Lmo2, and Runx1 are essential for the development of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs). However, the precise mechanisms by which these factors interact to form transcriptional networks, as well as the identity of the genes downstream of these regulatory cascades, remain largely unknown. To this end, we generated an Scl−/− yolk sac cell line to identify candidate Scl target genes by global expression profiling after reintroduction of a TAT-Scl fusion protein. Bioinformatics analysis resulted in the identification of 9 candidate Scl target transcription factor genes, including Runx1 and Runx3. Chromatin immunoprecipitation confirmed that both Runx genes are direct targets of Scl in the fetal liver and that Runx1 is also occupied by Scl in the yolk sac. Furthermore, binding of an Scl-Lmo2-Gata2 complex was demonstrated to occur on the regions flanking the conserved E-boxes of the Runx1 loci and was shown to transactivate the Runx1 element. Together, our data provide a key component of the transcriptional network of early hematopoiesis by identifying downstream targets of Scl that can explain key aspects of the early Scl−/− phenotype.

Introduction

Hematopoiesis is the process by which precursor cells develop into mature blood cells of all lineages. In mouse, the yolk sac (YS) is the initial site of blood development, where large nucleated erythroblasts first arise between embryonic days 7 and 8 (E7-E8) in the blood islands. This first wave of mainly erythroid primitive hematopoiesis is followed after E9 by a second wave of YS clonal progenitors that are morphologically similar to fetal liver, definitive hematopoietic cells. By approximately E12 to E13, the liver, where definitive hematopoiesis is established by E11, becomes the principal hematopoietic organ.1,2 The hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), which colonize the fetal liver and from which all adult blood cells are derived, are thought to mainly originate from the aorta- gonad-mesonephros (AGM) region3 with possible additional contribution from the YS.4,5

Several transcription factors have been shown to be indispensable for normal YS hematopoiesis. The Scl gene (also known as Tal1), which encodes a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor, is essential for YS and fetal liver hematopoiesis.6,7 Embryos lacking Scl are pale and growth retarded and do not survive beyond E9.5. In addition to the complete absence of hematopoietic cells, Scl null embryos fail to properly remodel the YS vasculature, suggesting a role for Scl in angiogenesis.8 Mice lacking Lmo2, a member of the LIM-only zinc finger protein family and an interacting partner of Scl, have a very similar phenotype9,10 consistent with the notion that early hematopoietic development critically depends on a protein complex containing Scl and Lmo2. Recent work in zebrafish11 indeed suggests that Lmo2 and scl function together at the level of the hemangioblast where they play a key role in the initiation of blood and vascular transcriptional programs. Together with bHLH class I proteins, such as E47, Scl binds DNA as a heterodimer, to the E-box sequence motif CANNNTG. In addition to its bHLH DNA-binding partners, Scl can interact with various proteins, including Lmo2 in multimeric complexes. In erythroid cells, Scl and Lmo2 have been shown to form a complex with E2A, Gata1, and Ldb112 while pairing in a similar aggregate with Gata2 instead of Gata1 in multipotent hematopoietic progenitors.13 As part of such protein complexes, Scl and Lmo2 have been found to regulate a handful of genes, including c-kit14 and α-globin15 in different hematopoietic lineages. However, to date, other than one study in T-ALL cells,16 no systematic approach has been taken to interrogate potential target genes of Scl on a whole genome basis, especially not at early developmental time points where Scl function is critical.

We have now generated a novel Scl−/− YS cell line to identify on a genomic scale by microarray analysis, genes that are up-regulated after the reintroduction of Scl protein. The binding of Scl to these potential target genes was then confirmed using chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Previous work by our group had established that Runx1 is indirectly linked to the Scl transcriptional network in the AGM through their common association with the SMAD signaling pathway.17 Here, we report that Scl directly binds and regulates Runx1 in the YS as well as Runx1 and Runx3 in the fetal liver.

Methods

Establishment of YS cell line

Yolk sacs were isolated from E9.5 Scl−/− embryos6 as well as from wild-type controls. Cells were disaggregated with a 26-gauge needle and
transferred into a 6-well dish where they were cultured in hemangioblast growth medium, composed of Iscove modified Dulbecco medium with 10% fetal calf serum, 10% horse serum, vascular endothelial growth factor 10 ng/mL, stem cell factor (SCF) 20 ng/mL, interleukin-6 10 ng/mL, monothioglycerol, Pen/Strep. To confirm the genotype of the obtained YS cells, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on the remainder of the embryos. Briefly, an amplicon of 596 bp was obtained from the targeted allele using a Pfg poly a sense oligo (gaa ggg tga gaa agt acc tac) within the neo cassette and a reverse primer in Scl (GCATCTCAAGGCCTGTCGACTTGG), whereas a 424 bp amplicon was obtained from wild-type mice using a sense Scl oligo (GTGGT GTC TAG ATT GTG TGC GCC) and the same antisense Scl oligo.

After 1 day of culture, adherent and suspension cells from each well were individually transfected with the plasmid pUCIn-wt, which encodes the entire genome of SV40 except for a deletion at the origin of replication, in the presence of the Effectene reagent (Qiagen, Crawley, United Kingdom). This fragment was cloned into the cells by protein transduction. For that purpose, fusion proteins were formed using CoMoDis, using the following parameters: N0, N1, and N2 numeric aperture objective by an Axiocam MRc5 camera (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a 5×0.15 widefield microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a 5×0.15 numeric aperture objective by an Axioskop 2 widefield microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a 5×0.15 numeric aperture objective by an Axiocam MRC5 camera (Carl Zeiss), and were processed by Axiovision v43 (Carl Zeiss) and Adobe Photoshop v8 (Adobe Systems) software. Mounting/imaging medium was Hydromount (VWR International, Lutterworth, United Kingdom). In situ hybridizations were performed as described.

**Characterization of cell line**

Matrigel (BD Becton Dickinson, Oxford, United Kingdom) was prepared as specified by the manufacturer and allowed to polymerize on 24-well plates at 37°C for 30 minutes. YS1, YS2, and RM26 (murine mesenchymal stem cell line) cells were plated at a density of 2×10⁴ cells/well and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours, at which time the initiation of tube formation could be seen. Total RNA was prepared using QIAGEN RNeasy mini kit. Primers, spanning at least one intron, were designed for 45 mouse genes (primer sequences available on request). RT-PCR was performed with each primer pair on total RNA from YS1 and YS2 with negative water control and whole E11.5 embryo total RNA as positive control. Images in Figure 1 were acquired using a Pixera Pro 150ES digital camera (Pixera, Los Gatos, CA) attached to a Nikon SM8700 microscope (Nikon, Kingston upon Thames, United Kingdom). ImagePro Express version 4.5 (Images Processing Solutions, North Reading, MA) was used for acquisition of images, which were processed using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA). Images in Figure 4 were acquired on an Axioskop 2 widefield microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a 5×0.15 numeric aperture objective by an Axiscam MRC5 camera (Carl Zeiss), and were processed by Axiovision v43 (Carl Zeiss) and Adobe Photoshop v8 (Adobe Systems) software. Mounting/imaging medium was Hydromount (VWR International, Lutterworth, United Kingdom). In situ hybridizations were performed as described.

**Reintroduction of wild-type and ∆bHLH SCL by protein transduction**

Full-length SCL and ∆bHLH SCL proteins were directly delivered into cells by protein transduction. For that purpose, fusion proteins were generated consisting of SCL and the protein transduction peptide TAT. A murine Scl encoding fragment was generated using primers 5’FLATAT (ataaaaggcttaagggagccgagcgatag) and 3’FLATAT (attttctagagcgggcggagccgatag) and 3’4’TAT (attttctagagcgggcgcggagccgatag). This fragment was cloned into the HindIII and XhoI restrictions sites of pTRIEx, resulting in pTRIEx-HT-SCL. To obtain pTRIEx-HT-∆bHLH SCL, a C-terminal truncated version of Scl lacking the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) domain, was amplified using 5’FLATAT and Del_bHLH.rev (atactcagagttactcatctggtgagctcct) and cloned as described for the wild-type construct.

Expression and purification of recombinant fusion proteins were performed as described. Briefly, overexpression was induced by isopropyl-

b-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), and after an additional incubation of 3 to 4 hours at 37°C, cells were harvested, frozen in dry ice-ethanol, and resuspended in lysis buffer [100 mM NaH2PO4, 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole]. Lysates were cleared by incubation with lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, United Kingdom) and benzonase (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) and centrifugation for 25 minutes at 30 000g at 4°C. Ni-NTA slurry (QIAGEN) was used for column affinity purification of the His-tagged recombinant protein. Recombinant protein was eluted [100 mM NaH2PO4, 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0) 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole] and dialyzed against phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for immediate use. Protein concentrations were measured using Bradford reagents (Sigma-Aldrich). For protein transductions, 5×10⁵ YS1 cells were seeded on 6-cm plates. After 24 hours, TAT-SCL protein was added to a final concentration of 2 μM. Western blots were performed using standard protocols.

**Microarray hybridization and computation analysis**

Total RNA was isolated from YS1 cells 7 hours after transduction according to the manufacturer’s protocol (QIAGEN); 20μg of extracted RNA was sent to NimbleGen (Reykjavik, Iceland) to be hybridized unto their mouse 60mer catalog design of mouse build 5 from UCSC (34 062 genes at a minimum of 11 probes per gene). GO and KEGG terms associated with the overexpressed transcripts were identified using IDConverter (http://idconverter/bioinfo.cnio.es/), Bioinformatic searches for E-boxes were performed using CoMoDis, using the following parameters: N0, N1, and N2 degrees of extended TBEs conservation and only considering motifs present in mouse-dog or mouse-opossum alignments, within 20 kb of the genes. The microarray experiments have been deposited in ArrayExpress under the accession number E-TABM-397 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/microarray-as/act/entry).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

ChIP assays were performed as previously described. Briefly, 416B cells were treated with formaldehyde and the cross-linked chromatin was sonicated to obtain fragments of 200 bp averaged size; 75 YSs were dissected from day 8.5 wild-type embryos and treated with collagenase, whereas 60 fetal livers were dissected from day 11.5 embryos and disrupted by pipetting before cross-linking. Immunoprecipitations were performed with an Scl antibody (provided by C. Porcher, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, Oxford, United Kingdom) and anti-Lmo2 (AF2726, R&D Systems, Abingdon, United Kingdom), −Gata2 (SC-9008X, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), −E47 (SC-763X, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) as well as an anti-acetyl histone H3 antibody (06-599, Upstate Biotechnology, Waford, United Kingdom). Enrichment was measured by real-time PCR using Primer 3 designed oligonucleotides flanking the computationally identified E boxes. Dissociation curves were run to detect nonspecific amplification, and it was determined that single products were amplified in each reaction. The fold enrichment was calculated using standard curves, and results were expressed relative to the levels obtained with a rabbit IgG antibody.

**Transactivation assay**

Cos cells were transfected by electroporation with a Runx1 candidate element luciferase construct alone (pGL3P + 2341) or in combination with the after expression constructs: pEFBOS MycT LMO2, pEFBOS MycT Gata2, pEFBOS Flag SCL, pEFBOS Ldb1 and pcDNA3 Myc E47. The Lmo2 and Gata2 expression plasmids were kindly provided by T. H. Rabbits (Leeds Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom), the Scl by C. G. Begley (The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, University of Melbourne, Australia), the E47 by Y. Saga (National Institute of Genetics, Yata, Japan), and the Ldb1 plasmid by C. Porcher. Each transfection was performed on at least 2 different days in triplicate, and an equivalent quantity of DNA was transfected using the empty vectors pcDNA3 and pEFBOS as controls when necessary.

**Results**

**Generation of Scl-deficient YS cell line**

To identify potential Scl target genes, we created a cell line using YSs obtained from embryonic day 9.5 Sclin−/− mice to investigate
differences in gene expression after reintroduction of SCL. As shown in Figure 1A, Scl-null embryos are discernible by eye as they are pale in appearance and growth retarded presumably as a consequence of their anemia and vascular defects. We isolated YSs from homozygous null Scl embryos and transfected disaggregated cells with an SV40 plasmid to generate immortalized cell lines. PCR genotyping was performed on the remainder of the embryo to confirm the genotype (Figure 1B).

After 1 month in culture, cells from 2 independent Scl/H11002/H11002 YSs (9 and 10) had expanded and become immortalized and were single-cell cloned. The 2 resulting cell lines (named YS1 and YS2) exhibited the morphologic characteristics of endothelial cells, growing as a cobblestone-like adherent monolayer with some cytoplasmic interdigitation and overlap (Figure 1C). To confirm the endothelial characteristics, cells were cultured on basement membrane matrix (Matrigel) coated plates as shown in Figure 1D. YS1 grown on plastic displayed a cobblestone morphology, whereas their incubation on Matrigel resulted in cell elongation and the formation of tube-like structures, reminiscent of endothelial cells. Similar results were obtained for YS2 cells (data not shown).

To further characterize the 2 cell lines, we examined the mRNA expression of hematopoietic and endothelial markers by reverse transcription (RT)-PCR (Table S1, available on the Blood website; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article). Both YS1 and YS2 cells expressed mRNA of many endothelial markers, such as fetal liver kinase 1 (Flk1), thrombomodulin, and Cd34. However, neither cell line expressed the hematopoietic markers Pu.1 and Vav nor the mesodermal marker brachyury, consistent with an endothelial phenotype.

Expression profile of Scl/H11002/H11002 YS cell line after reintroduction of Scl

To assess transcriptional differences in gene expression after SCL reintroduction in YS1 cells, we performed gene-expression profiling analysis using cDNA microarrays. Because it was difficult to maintain Scl expression after transfection of an Scl expression plasmid (S.K., B.G., unpublished results, February 2004), we used a TAT fusion protein transduction system to introduce Scl proteins into the cells directly, which has been shown to permit protein transduction into a variety of cell lines and primary cells.21,25-27 We therefore generated expression vectors for the production of His-tagged full-length TAT-Scl fusion protein as well as a C-terminally truncated form lacking the bHLH domain (TAT-Scl/bHLH, Figure 2A). This truncated version of Scl is unable to bind DNA, cannot heterodimerize with E2A proteins, and importantly does not rescue the early hematopoietic phenotype of Scl/H11002/H11002 ES cells.28 Expression and purification of recombinant proteins were performed as described previously,21 with only minor modifications (see “Reintroduction of wild-type and ΔbHLH SCL by protein transduction”).

After IPTG induction and affinity purification of recombinant protein using Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography, soluble full-length and truncated recombinant Scl protein were routinely obtained (Figure
After dialysis against PBS, the recombinant protein was used to transduce YS1 cells and could be detected using a polyclonal antibody against Scl in cells transduced with Scl protein but not control cells incubated with PBS alone (Figure 2C).

Total RNA was then isolated from YS1 cells transduced with wild-type Scl protein or treated with PBS alone and analyzed by microarray expression profiling using the NimbleGen mouse 60mer expression microarray platform, which detects differential gene-expression patterns between a test and control sample (e.g., cell transduced with Scl protein dissolved in PBS vs PBS alone). A total of 2 biologic replicates transduced with wild-type Scl and 2 replicates transduced with the ΔbHLH Scl protein were analyzed in this way (Figure 3A). Differences in gene-expression patterns between cells transduced with the wild-type or truncated SCL were then compared, using the strategy outline in Figure 3B. Genes were defined as up-regulated if they matched the following criteria: (1) their expression varied by more than 1.5-fold in cells transduced with wild-type Scl versus PBS controls, and (2) their expression did not vary (< 1.5) in cells transduced with the mutant ΔbHLH Scl versus PBS controls. By using these cut-offs, our analysis revealed 160 transcripts, corresponding to 124 genes showing up-regulated expression after reintroduction of Scl (Table S2). It is worth noting that the expression of Scl could not increase after transduction as the cells were homozygous null for Scl and no expression plasmid for Scl was transduced, only the TAT-fused protein.

Many of the most highly induced genes were annotated to be part of the interferon response and related immune-response pathways, presumably because the recombinant proteins contained endotoxins and related Escherichia coli contaminants. To account for genes whose differential expression might simply be the result of cellular stress or the consequence of immune response after the use of a bacterially produced recombinant protein, we therefore tabulated a list of lipopolysaccharide-induced genes from the literature. In addition to lipopolysaccharide-induced genes, we also removed from our list genes with a known immune function (gene ontology terms GO:0006955 or KEGG term mmu04650). This parsing reduced our list of candidates whose expression is influenced by Scl to 90 genes. Our own particular interest lies in the delineation of transcriptional networks that control early blood development. We therefore further narrowed our candidate Scl target gene list to those with DNA binding or transcription factor activity (GO:0003677 and GO:0003700) and manually curated the list to remove false-positives. As shown in Figure 3B, this left us with 15 potential Scl target genes that met these stringent criteria.
This list included the Runx1 and Runx3 transcription factors. Runx1 was known to be essential for the development of definitive hematopoietic cells in the murine YS and fetal liver, whereas Runx3 had been shown to be necessary for hematopoietic development in the zebrafish. Given their known function in early hematopoiesis, we were keen to establish whether they might be direct target genes of Scl.

To determine whether computationally identified conserved E-boxes in the Runx1 and Runx3 loci were actually bound by Scl in vivo, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitations using an anti-Scl antibody in the myeloid progenitor 416B cell line. Immunoprecipitated chromatin samples were then analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR with the use of primers specific for the regions containing the conserved motifs. As shown in Figure 4A, there are 7 conserved E boxes in the Runx1 locus (using the strict N2 definition outlined above). The first intronic site present downstream of the distal P1 promoter shows an enrichment level of more than 150-fold in an anti-Scl immunoprecipitate of 416B cells compared with neighboring sites (Figure 4A; Figure S1). Equivalent analysis of the Runx3 gene locus showed that the distal promoter of Runx3 is also occupied by Scl and displays a 16-fold enrichment in material from 416B cells (Figure 4B; Figure S1).

Taken together, the expression profiling and ChiP assays suggested that both Runx1 and Runx3 are direct target genes of Scl. However, to validate this hypothesis, it was important to demonstrate Scl binding to the respective Runx elements in vivo in primary tissues. We therefore dissected 75 YSs from E8.5 embryos and 60 fetal livers from E11.5 embryos and repeated the ChiP assays using an antibody against Scl. Because of the paucity of the material, we only assessed those regions in Runx1 and Runx3 for which the initial screening, using 416B material,
indicated a positive enrichment level. Our results shown in Figure 4A,B demonstrate that Runx1 candidate 2 is enriched more than 25-fold in the YS Scl IP and more than 230-fold in the fetal liver. The proximal promoter of Runx3 displays a marginal enrichment over that obtained with an unspecific IgG antibody in YS and was 8-fold enriched in fetal liver. We also investigated the chromatin profile of the candidate Runx1 and Runx3 elements by analyzing ChIP material obtained with an anti-acetyl histone H3 antibody. Our data, shown in Figure 4C,D, show moderate to high levels of acetylation at all regions tested in the Runx1 locus in both E8.5 YS and 416B myeloid cells with the highest levels of enrichment seen at the intron 1 region also bound by Scl. For the Runx3 gene locus, high levels of histone acetylation were observed in the promoter in 416B cells but much lower levels in the YS, paralleling the Scl binding data shown in Figure 4B.

To further validate Runx1 and Runx3 as direct Scl target genes, high resolution expression studies were performed on E8.5 and E11.5 mouse embryo sections. RNA in situ hybridization demonstrated strong Scl expression in the majority of cells contained within E8.5 YS blood islands as well as E11.5 fetal liver cells. Strong Runx1 expression was seen in a subset of E8.5 blood island and E11.5 fetal liver cells. Runx3 was also detected in a subset of E11.5 fetal liver cells in agreement with previously published immunohistochemistry data, yet no specific staining could be detected in E8.5 blood islands. At E11.5, all 3 genes were also expressed in specific nonhematopoietic tissues, such as the developing spinal cord (Scl), tips of the urogenital ridges (Runx1) and dorsal root ganglia (Runx3). Expression levels were also determined by real-time PCR confirming the very low expression of Runx3 in E8.5 YS (t > 15 times less than Runx1, Figure S3). To investigate coexpression of Scl, Runx1, and Runx3 during adult hematopoiesis, we queried the 79 human tissues profiled by the Genomic Foundation of Novartis GNF SymAtlas (http://symatlas.gnf.org), version 1.2.4 online server. Importantly, all 3 genes were expressed at more than 10-fold over median in human CD34+ cells (Figure S5), which is entirely consistent with our data showing occupancy of both the Runx1 and Runx3 elements in fetal liver and a myeloid progenitor cell line.

To provide further evidence of Runx1 being a target gene of Scl during YS hematopoiesis, mRNA levels for Scl, Runx1, and Runx3 were determined by real-time PCR using RNA samples prepared from E8.5 Scl+/+ , Scl−/− , and Scl−/− YSs (Figure 5C). This analysis demonstrated that Scl−/− YSs, which showed exactly half the wild-type amount of Scl message, also displayed a near 50% drop in Runx1 mRNA levels, whereas Runx3 remained unchanged. Runx1 levels dropped further in Scl−/− YSs, whereas Runx3 increased slightly from its very low basal level of expression in normal YSs (see Figure 5A). However, Scl−/− YSs do not contain any hematopoietic cells, suggesting that the more meaningful comparisons are between wild-type and Scl−/− as they contain similar populations of cells. Importantly, the tissue-specific binding of Scl to Runx1 and Runx3 elements correlates well with Runx1/3 expression patterns, with strong binding seen to both gene loci in fetal liver but only to the Runx1 element in the YS. Taken together, these results identified Runx genes as direct Scl target genes in embryonic tissue.

**Runx1 is regulated by an Scl/Lmo2/Gata2 complex**

To begin to understand how these newly identified Scl-bound Runx1 and Runx3 elements may be regulated, we performed multiple sequence alignments to identify conserved transcription factor binding sites. As shown in Figure 6A, the Runx1 candidate element contains several Ets sites as well as 2 E-boxes, 1 GATA and 1 Runx binding site that are conserved between eutherian mammals and marsupials. Some of these transcription factor binding sites, but not the GATA site, are also present in the P1 promoter of Runx3 (Figure 6B). The presence of conserved E-boxes in the vicinity to a conserved GATA site suggested that the Runx1 element might be controlled by an Scl/Lmo2/Gata multiprotein complex. To investigate this possibility, we performed ChIP for Scl, Lmo2, E47, and Gata2 proteins to determine whether these factors occupied the element in vivo. Real-time PCR analysis demonstrated that, in addition to Scl, the Runx1 element is bound by Lmo2 and Gata2 (Figures 7A, S2). It is worth noting that the differences in the enrichment levels obtained are likely due to variations in the efficiency of the antibodies, which may reflect the accessibility of the proteins or epitopes in the complex.

To assess whether these transcription factors were sufficient to modulate the activity of the Runx1 element, we performed transactivation assays. We transiently cotransfected Cos cells

**Figure 5. Expression of Scl, Runx1, and Runx3 at E8.5 and E9.5 of mouse embryonic development.** Transverse sections of E8.5 (A) and E11.5 (B) mouse embryos hybridized to digoxigenin-labeled antisense RNA probes for Scl, Runx1, and Runx3. (A) E8.5 whole embryo transverse sections (top panels) and close-up views of YS blood islands (bottom panels). Scl and Runx1 were specifically expressed in YS blood islands, whereas no specific expression was detected for Runx3. (B) E11.5 whole embryo transverse sections (top panels) and close-up views of fetal liver (bottom panels). Scl, Runx1, and Runx3 were all specifically expressed in the fetal liver. Scl was also expressed in the dorsal aorta (P) and developing spinal cord (→), Runx1 in the tips of the urogenital ridges (→), and Runx3 in the developing dorsal root ganglia (→). (C) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis for Scl, Runx1, and Runx3 expression in Scl+/+, Scl−/− , and Scl−/− E8.5 YSs. Expression was normalized against β-actin and expression in the Scl+/+ E8.5 yolks sacs was set as 1 for each gene. Error bars represent SD.
with a Runx1 luciferase reporter construct containing the candidate element 2 in conjunction with plasmids encoding Scl, Lmo2, E47, Ldb1, and Gata2. As shown in Figure 7B, the activity of Runx1 reporter plasmid was increased 1.5-fold when cotransfected with a Gata2 expression construct, whereas cotransfection of Scl, Lmo2, Ldb1, and E47 had no effect. Importantly, addition of the 5 transcription factors together increased reporter gene activity further to 4.5-fold, indicating that Scl, E47, Ldb1, and Lmo2 contribute to full transactivation only when complexed with Gata2.

**Discussion**

Transcriptional regulation is a key factor controlling the formation and subsequent behavior of HSCs. Both gain- and loss-of-function studies have demonstrated that transcription factors are some of the most powerful regulators controlling the specification, self-renewal, and differentiation of HSCs (eg, Scl, Gata2, Lmo2, Pu.1). The importance of transcriptional regulation in both normal and leukemic stem cell biology is underlined by the large number of transcription factor genes that play key roles in normal stem cells, yet their disruption forms part of the pathogenesis of hematologic malignancies. However, relatively little is known about the regulation of many of these key regulators and less still about the way they interact with each other in forming the transcriptional networks controlling hematopoiesis.

Scl occupies a central position in HSC regulatory cascades. Activation of Scl expression accompanies the formation of the hemangioblast, whereas ectopic expression of zebrafish Scl in zebrafish embryos results in excessive hemangioblast formation. In addition, Scl is required for the generation of HSCs. Extensive dissection of the murine Scl locus has led to the identification of several cis-regulatory elements that direct Scl expression in vivo to the hemangioblast, hemopoietic progenitors, and differentiated cells and to endothelial progeny. Taken together, this work characterizing the upstream regulation of Scl suggests that Scl functions as a nodal point for the integration of signals responsible for establishing the transcriptional program for HSC development. However, the downstream Scl target genes that transmit this information are not fully understood.
but differ in their expression pattern. In early development, Runx family members, the Runx family consists of 3 known members that recognize and bind the same DNA sequence motif (ACCRCA). In zebrafish has suggested an important role for Runx3 in the posterior lateral plate mesoderm, the fish equivalent of the AGM region. We now report that the distal P1 promoter of Runx3 and an intronic enhancer of Runx1 are bound by scl in vivo in hematopoietic tissues. Moreover, our work implies that Runx1 is regulated by Scl as part of a complex containing Lmo2 and Gata2.

Transcriptional networks often contain a small number of so-called hubs, highly connected key regulatory genes. Scl and Runx1 represent 2 hubs of the regulatory network controlling HSC development, and the current study provides a direct link between these 2 key regulators. We have recently shown how activity of Runx1 can be modulated by the BMP signaling pathway. Future identification of the signaling pathways that control Scl expression will be important to define the regulatory cascade leading from mesoderm commitment to HSC specification. Lastly, given the observation that overexpression of Scl increases HSC long-term repopulating activity, the TAT-Scl fusion protein generated here may be a useful nonretroviral tool to achieve transient in vitro amplification of HSCs in the context of clinical applications, such as cord blood and bone marrow transplantations.
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