BCL6 programs lymphoma cells for survival and differentiation through distinct biochemical mechanisms
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The BCL6 transcriptional repressor is the most commonly involved oncogene in diffuse large B-cell lymphomas (DLBCLs). Constitutive expression of BCL6 mediates lymphomagenesis through aberrant proliferation, survival, and differentiation blockade. Binding of BCL6 to the SMRT/N-CoR corepressors mediates the BCL6 survival effect in DLBCL. Although the basis for differentiation blockade is unknown in DLBCL, recent data suggest that BCL6 binding to the MTA3 corepressor might be involved. We report that BCL6 and MTA3 are coexpressed in normal germinal center B cells and DLBCL. Depletion of MTA3 in DLBCL cells induced a differentiation-related BCL6 target gene (PRDM1), but not target genes involved in survival. Accordingly, MTA3 and PRDM1 expression are mutually exclusive in germinal center B cells. We performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)–on-chip mapping of the PRDM1 locus, identifying a novel BCL6 binding site on intron 3 of the PRDM1 gene, and show that BCL6 recruits MTA3 to this site. In DLBCL cells, MTA3 depletion induced plasmacytic differentiation but did not decrease viability of DLBCL cells. However, MTA3 depletion synergized with a specific BCL6 inhibitor that blocks SMRT/N-CoR binding to decrease DLBCL viability. Taken together, these results show that BCL6 regulates distinct transcriptional programs through the SMRT/N-CoR and MTA3 corepressors, respectively, and provides a basis for combinatorial therapeutic targeting of BCL6.

(Blood. 2007;110:2067-2074)

Introduction

The BCL6 (B-cell lymphoma-6) transcriptional repressor is tightly linked to the pathogenesis of diffuse large B-cell lymphomas (DLBCLs). BCL6 is often constitutively expressed in DLBCL due to promoter translocations or point mutations of negative regulatory elements.1,2 In normal B-cell development, BCL6 is required for formation of germinal centers (GCs), from which many B-cell lymphomas are apparently derived. In GCs, B cells proliferate rapidly while undergoing immunoglobulin (Ig) affinity maturation through class-switch recombination and somatic hypermutation.3,4 BCL6 facilitates Ig affinity maturation by repressing target genes involved in DNA damage responses, cell cycle, and other processes (such as ATR, TP53, and CDKN1A).5,8 BCL6 can also block the further differentiation of GC B cells, in part by directly or indirectly repressing the PRDM1 (Pr-domain-containing protein 1) master regulator of plasma cell differentiation.9-12 Both of these effects might be important in imposing the phenotype of DLBCL.

BCL6 represses its target genes by recruiting corepressor proteins though its 3 different protein domains. Among these, the N-terminal BTB/POZ (bric a brac, tramtrack, broad complex) domain binds to SMRT (silencing mediator for retinoid and thyroid receptor), N-CoR (nuclear hormone receptor corepressor), and BCoR (BCL6 corepressor).13,14 BCL6 specifically recruits SMRT/N-CoR/BCoR through a lateral groove motif that is formed upon dimerization of its BTB domain.15 Blockade of the BCL6 lateral groove by a specific peptide inhibitor (BPI, BCL6 peptide inhibitor) derepressed certain BCL6 target genes, blocked proliferation and survival of human DLBCL cells, and abrogated GC formation in mice.16 However, BPI did not induce PRDM1 expression or further differentiation of DLBCL cells, suggesting that these effects might be mediated through an alternative mechanism.

BCL6 also recruits the NuRD (nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase) complex by directly binding to its MTA3 (metastasis-associated 3) subunit.17 The interaction involves the middle region of BCL6 (or RD2 [repression domain 2]) and the C-terminal region of MTA3. MTA3 depletion from Burkitt lymphoma cells induced the expression of plasmacytic features and forced expression of MTA3 and BCL6 in myeloma cells caused cells to at least partial reversion to a GC/mature B cell phenotype.17 Among genes repressed (directly or indirectly) by MTA3 was PRDM1. Taking together these 2 lines of evidence, we hypothesized that BCL6 mediates DLBCL survival and differentiation through distinct biochemical mechanisms: the former through BTB domain recruitment of SMRT/N-CoR/BCoR, and the latter through RD2 recruitment of MTA3.

Herein, we examine define the expression pattern of BCL6 and MTA3 in normal human lymph nodes and primary DLBCLs and show that BCL6 and MTA3 are coexpressed in normal GC B cells (GCB) and DLBCLs of the GCB- and the BCL6-dependent B-cell
receptor (BCR) subtypes. In BCL6-dependent DLBCL cell lines, depletion of MTA3 induced PRDM1 expression and phenotypic changes typical of plasma cell differentiation, but had no effect on survival. BCL6 recruited MTA3 to a site that we mapped to intron 3 of PRDM1 by genomic tiling chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) on chip. The combination of lateral groove inhibition and MTA3 depletion resulted in greater killing of lymphoma cells, suggesting that combinatorial targeting of the BCL6 transcriptional program might have greater antilymphoma therapeutic effects. These results demonstrate that BCL6 mediates its biological effects through 2 distinct and separate biochemical mechanisms.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and cell culture

Ramos, Pfeiffer, SUDHL4, and Toledo cells were grown in RPMI 1640 media containing 2 mM l-glutamine and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gemini Bio-Products, Woodland, CA). LY1, LY4, and LY7 cells were grown in Iscove medium supplemented with 10% FBS.

Cell lysis and Western blot

Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM EDTA, 10% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% SDS. Protein extracts were resolved by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with BCL6 antibody (N-3 antibody, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA), MTA3 (EMD Biosciences, San Diego, CA), PRDM1 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA), and actin antibody (AC15; Sigma, St Louis, MO), and detection by electrochemiluminescence (ECL; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Isolation of primary B-cell populations

Routine human tonsillectomy specimens were obtained from the Montefiore Children’s Hospital with approval of the Albert Einstein College of Medicine and Montefiore Hospital Institutional Review Boards and in accordance with the Helsinki protocols. After mincing, tonsillar monoclonal cells were isolated by HISTOPAQUE-1077 (Sigma) density centrifugation. Naive B cells (NBCs), centroblasts (CBs), and centrocytes (CCs) were separated by magnetic cell separation using the MidiMACS centrifugation. Naive B cells (NBCs), centroblasts (CBs), and centrocytes (CCs) were isolated by HISTOPAQUE-1077 (Sigma) density centrifugation. Naive B cells (NBCs), centroblasts (CBs), and centrocytes (CCs) were isolated by HISTOPAQUE-1077 (Sigma). The purity of the isolated B-cell populations was determined by FACScan (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lake, NJ) analysis. NBCs were IgD+, CD38−, and CD27−; CBs were CD77+ and CD38hi; and CCs were CD77− and CD38lo (detected by fluorescence-activated cell sorter [FACS] analysis). Antibodies used for FACS analysis were: anti-IgD–FITC, CD27–FITC, CD38–PE (BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), and anti-CD77 plus anti-MUM1–FITC (Immunootech, Warren, PA).

Microarray expression analysis in primary cells

To analyze MTA3 and BCL6 gene expression in normal B-cell subpopulations, we used primary expression data from the purified naive CBs, CCs, and memory B cells. The dataset was filtered to select the genes that meet threshold and variation index criterai, and expression of MTA3 (223311 s at) and BCL6 (203140 s at: Affymetrix probe set; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) was analyzed and visualized as a heat map using dChip 2006 software. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for categorical data, and the Spearman rank correlation was used for continuous variables.

Real-time PCR

Microarray expression analysis in primary cells

To analyze MTA3 and BCL6 expression in primary DLBCLs of the BCR, OxPhos (oxidative phosphorylation), and host response (HR) subtypes as well as the GCB, activated B-cell (ABC), and type 3 subtypes were assessed using a previously published dataset. Since the signature of HR tumors is largely defined by normal tumor-infiltrating host inflammatory and immune cells, the analysis was focused on BCR and OxPhos DLBCLs. Expression of MTA3 and BCL6 was assessed using signals extracted from representative probe sets (223311 s at, 203140 s at, and 235668 s at, respectively, Affymetrix) and visualized using the dChip 2006 software. Statistical analyses were performed with Statistica 6.0 program (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK). The Mann–Whitney U test was used for categorical data, and the Spearman rank correlation was used for continuous variables.

SiRNA gene knockdown

Two MTA3-specific siRNAs and 1 control nontargeting siRNA (Table S1) were purchased from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO). siRNA (500 nm) was suspended in 100 mL of solution “T” and introduced into cells using the Amaxa nucleofection G16 program (Amaxa Biosystems, Cologne, Germany).

ChiP

Triplicate ChiP on chip was performed as previously described in Ramos cells using the above-mentioned BCL6 and actin antibodies. Enrichment of the known BCL6 target gene CCL3 was validated before and after ligation-mediated PCR amplification of genomic fragments, which were then labeled and cohybridized with their respective input samples to a custom genomic array representing the genomic loci of PRDM1 and CD20 with overlapping 50-mer oligonucleotides (Nimblegen Systems, Madison, WI). For array design and raw results, see Table S2. Specific BCL6 binding to genomic regions was detected by determining the fold enrichment of a 5-oligonucleotide sliding window over input. Novel binding sites for BCL6 and MTA3 were confirmed by quantitative real-time PCR single-locus ChiP (QChiP) as previously described. For primers, see Table S1.

Tissue microarray

Paraffin tissue was obtained from the Department of Pathology, New York Presbyterian Hospital, after necessary informed consent or exemption was obtained in accordance with Helsinki protocols. The cases were fully anonymized, according to Columbia University and federal provisions. All lesions were classified in accordance with the World Health Organization (WHO) classification system. Tissue microarrays were generated using a Beecher Instruments microarrayer (Silver Spring, MD) as previously published.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunostaining with PRDM1 and other antibodies was done essentially as previously published. The full list of antibodies are listed in Table S3. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) stain was applied with noncrossreacting reagent, and the counterstaining was performed with either alkaline phosphatase (AP) or biotinylated secondary antibodies, followed by avidin–horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and NBT/BCIP or AEC color development, respectively. Thereafter, the slides were stained with 1 mM EDTA antigen retrieval solution (pH 8), a second indirect immunohistochemistry (IHC) stain was applied with noncrossreacting reagent, and the slides were counterstained with a contrasting color. For PRDM1 antibody, sections were first antigen-retrieved, boiled, incubated for 30 minutes with deoxribonuclease I (Sigma) at 5 U/mL in TBS (pH 7.5), blocked with 10% egg-white (All White; Papetti Foods, Elizabeth, NJ) in PBS for 30 minutes, washed in TBS–Triton X100 (TBS-T), then blocked for 30 minutes with 5% defatted powdered milk in TBS-T and, after several washes in TBS-T, incubated overnight at 1:50 dilution of the antibody in TBS-BSA-Na3. After 2 washes in TBS-T for 15 minutes each, sections
were incubated with rat antirat mouse IgG1-AP for 45 minutes, washed for 60 minutes in 0.5 M NaCl and 0.005 M TBS, and developed in NBT-BCIP (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) for up to 24 hours. Single staining for immunoglobulin light chains κ and λ was performed using Envision+ staining kit (DakoCytomation, Carpinteria, CA) with antigen retrieval as described. Slides were independently analyzed in a blinded fashion by 2 pathologists and scored as previously published.25

Image acquisition and analysis

Images were taken using a Nikon E600 microscope (Nikon USA, Melville, NY) fitted with Planachromat 4×/0.10, 10×/0.25, 20×/0.40 or PlanApo 40×/0.95 objectives for light microscopy; or PlanFluor 10×/0.30, 40×/0.75 or 60×/0.80 objectives for immunofluorescence and SPOT-2 CCD camera and software (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI). Images were analyzed and assembled with Adobe Photoshop 7 and Adobe Illustrator (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA).

Cell viability

A total of 2 × 106 SUDHL4 cells in 2 mL media were treated with 10 μM BPI, 10 μg MTA3 siRNA (electroporated), MTA3 siRNA plus BPI, and appropriate controls (negative control peptide and siControl siRNA) in 6-well plates. After 48 hours, 100 μl cells were plated in 96-well plates (8 replicates per condition), and 20 μL CellTitre Blue Reagent (Promega, WI) was added per well. After 1 hour, fluorescence was measured at 590 nm in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate reader. Cell viability was measured using a standard curve prepared similarly.

Results

MTA3 and BCL6 proteins are coexpressed in primary human centroblasts

Although MTA3 and BCL6 were reported to be coexpressed in reactive lymph nodes,17 these are heterogeneous structures. We wished to specifically define the normal distribution of MTA3 in lymphoid populations and the extent of its endogenous coexpression with BCL6. We first performed MTA3 (blue)/CD20 (brown) immunohistochemistry in tonsil reactive lymphoid follicles, in which MTA3 expression appeared limited to CD20+ B cells (Figure 1A). More specifically, MTA3 was expressed in the nuclei of GC B cells and was most abundant in the highly proliferative GC dark-zone centroblasts, a BCL6-dependent cell type (Figure 1A).3,4,16 Dual-color immunofluorescence confirmed that BCL6 and MTA3 were coexpressed in the same GC cells (Figure 1B). Closer examination of GC cells shows that MTA3 (red) and BCL6 (green) overlap in most B cells, as indicated by the varying degrees of yellow signal, although a few cells seem to preferentially express one or the other (circles in Figure 1B). Moreover, MTA3 was not present in more differentiated B cells, T cells, or histiocyte cells, as shown in co staining experiments with CD138, CD3, and CD68 antibodies, respectively (Figure 1C). To verify that MTA3 and BCL6 are coexpressed in GC B cells, we purified CBs and NBCs from human tonsils and measured the respective mRNA and protein levels (Figure 1D,E). MTA3 and BCL6 were expressed in CBs but not in NBCs (Figure 1D,E).

Analysis of an expression microarray dataset from tonsillar B-cell populations confirmed and extended these results, revealing coordinate expression of BCL6 and MTA3 in GC CBs and CCs but not pre (naive)– or post (memory)–GC B cells (Figure 1F). Taken together, these studies define the physiologic compartment of MTA3 expression in active lymphoid tissue and demonstrate its coexpression with BCL6 within GC B cells.

MTA3 and BCL6 are coordinately expressed in DLBCL

We next asked whether BCL6 and MTA3 were coordinately regulated in primary DLBCLs. The expression profiles of 176 patients with DLBCL were explored for mRNA abundance of BCL6 and MTA3 (Figure 2A). This analysis revealed that the MTA3 and BCL6 mRNA expression is significantly correlated in DLBCL (R = 0.57; P < .001; Figure 2B). DLBCLs have been classified on the basis of gene expression signature similarities to normal B-cell subsets: GCB, ABC, and an undefined group (type 3)26,27; or, on the basis of reproducible gene signature differences between tumors as determined by comprehensive clustering (BCR, OxPhos, and HR).28 Median MTA3 expression was higher in the GCB category (P < .001) of the former or in the BCR category (P < .001) of the latter classification scheme by Mann-Whitney U test. However, expression of MTA3 and BCL6 was highly correlated in all patients regardless of their subgrouping, indicating that expression of these genes is tightly linked from the biological standpoint.

To determine whether similar correlation occurred at the protein level, we examined the tumor biopsies from 74 patients with DLBCL by immunohistochemical analysis for MTA3 and BCL6 (Figure 2C). The number of cells (frequency) and intensity of staining were graded by 2 independent pathologists, and the
and SUDHL4 (Figure 3A). Exposure to BPI rapidly induces MTA3 mediates differentiation blockade in DLBCL cells.

Of these patients, 54 (72.9%) scored positive for BCL6 and 53 (71.6%) scored positive for MTA3; there was a statistically significant linear correlation (R = 0.57; P < .001) between the protein levels of MTA3 and BCL6 in these tumors (Figure 2D). These results indicate that MTA3 is coexpressed with BCL6 in DLBCL and therefore might contribute to its lymphomagenic effects.

MTA3 mediates differentiation blockade in DLBCL cells

To determine whether PRDM1 and differentiation was dependent on MTA3 in DLBCL cells, we transfected Ly1 cells with 2 different MTA3 siRNA duplexes or a scrambled negative control. MTA3 depletion was achieved in more than 95% of cells. In contrast to BPI, MTA3 depletion induced PRDM1 mRNA, as well as the plasma cell marker syndecan (the latter presumably as a secondary effect since syndecan is not a BCL6 target gene), but had no effect on ATR or TP53 (Figures 3B, S1). Depletion of MTA3 and induction of PRDM1 by siRNA was confirmed by immunoblotting and densitometry (Figures 3C,D and S1). In accordance with these results, MTA3 expression was mutually exclusive with PRDM1 in human tonsillar germinal centers (Figure 3E; low-power [×10] view in inset). Finally, depletion of MTA3 induced phenotypic plasma cell differentiation in Ly1 cells, as shown by immunohistochemistry for PRDM1 and intracytoplasmatic light chains (Figures 3F, S1). Similar results were obtained in SUDHL4 cells (Figure S2). These results suggest that BCL6 might be able to recruit MTA3 to the PRDM1 locus (and possibly other differentiation-related loci) to mediate differentiation blockade in DLBCL cells.

BCL6 and MTA3 form a complex on the PRDM1 locus

We next mapped the PRDM1 locus to identify the site(s) of endogenous BCL6 binding. Although a previous study mapped a BCL6 binding site in PRDM1 intron 5 in a lymphoblastoid cell line engineered to overexpress BCL6,20 endogenous binding of PRDM1 by BCL6 has not been shown. In previous reporter assays, BCL6 was shown to act as a corepressor for AP-1, which binds to the PRDM1 promoter.11

We performed BCL6 ChIP-on-chip assay using a custom tiling array spanning from approximately 5000 bp upstream of the PRDM1 transcriptional start site to approximately 3000 bp downstream of its stop codon. The array consisted of 50-mer oligonucleotides with 25-bp overlap in which repeat-masking was used to avoid repetitive elements. The GAPDH locus was represented in a similar manner as a negative control. ChIP on chip was performed in triplicate in Ramos B-cell lymphoma cells (a robust cell line for ChIP-on-chip studies8) using BCL6 or actin (negative control) antibodies. In all 3 replicates, BCL6 (but not actin antibodies) strongly enriched a set of 23 probes covering approximately 600 bp within PRDM1 intron 3 (Figure 4A; Table S1). This region corresponds to chr6:106,652,023–106,652,639 and contains at least 1 canonical BCL6 binding site (Figure 4A). In contrast, BCL6 did not bind the GAPDH locus (Table S1). To determine whether BCL6 and MTA3 could form a complex at this site, single-locus QChIP was performed in triplicate in both Ramos and Ly1 cells using antibodies for both proteins and primers surrounding the PRDM1 intron 3 BCL6 binding site. MTA3 and BCL6 were both present at this site but not on the CD20 promoter, which was used as a negative control (Figure 4B,C). MTA3 binding was dependent on BCL6 since MTA3 could not bind to PRDM1 in Mutu III B cells, which express MTA3 but not BCL6 (Figure 4D). Taken together, these data show that in DLBCL cells, BCL6 represses PRDM1 through an intron 3 binding site and blocks differentiation through recruitment of MTA3: as previously reported, BCL6 also mediates growth and survival preferentially through SMRT binding to TP53 and other genes such as ATR.5,6,28

MTA3 depletion does not kill DLBCL cells alone, but can enhance the antilymphoma effects of BPI

Targeting transcription factors has been shown to be an effective therapeutic strategy for several cancers.29 To determine whether MTA3 targeting has antilymphoma effects, we measured cell
Figure 3. MTA3 depletion is associated with differentiation of DLBCL cells. (A) MTA3 Immunoblots were performed in LY1 and SUDHL4 DLBCL cell lines—actin is shown as loading control. (B) Immunoblots for MTA3, PRDM1, and actin performed in Ly1 cells (left) and SUDHL4 cells (right) transfected with MTA3 siRNA or ctrl siRNA. (C) MTA3 siRNA knockdown was performed in Ly1 (left panel) and SUDHL4 cells (right panel), followed in 24 hours by QPCR for the MTA3, PRDM1, SDC1, TP53, and ATR transcripts. The y-axis represents the fold change in mRNA abundance relative to the levels in wild-type and control siRNA–transfected cells. Error bars are SD. (D) Dual immunofluorescence of a human tonsil GC with MTA3 (red) and PRDM1 (green) antibodies. The inset is the same GC at low-power magnification. (E) Ly1 cells were transfected with MTA3 or control siRNA and examined by immunohistochemistry for expression of MTA3, PRDM1, and κ light chains with corresponding antibodies.

Figure 4. BCL6 recruits MTA3 to a binding site located within intron 3 of the human PRDM1 locus. (A) Graphic representation of tiling ChIP on chip performed on the PRDM1 genomic locus in Ramos cells. The top portion of the graph shows fold enrichment of sequences within intron 3 by BCL6 (f) or actin (u) antibodies versus input. The corresponding graphic representation of the PRDM1 locus (bottom) indicates the location of BCL6 binding, and the amplicon containing the BCL6 binding site that was used for QChIP in panels B-D. (B) QChIP was performed with BCL6, MTA3, and actin antibodies in Ramos cells for the site shown in panel A as well as a negative control promoter (CD20). The y-axis represents fold enrichment of the site relative to control (actin) antibody. Inset shows immunoblot of BCL6, MTA3, and actin in Ramos B-cell lymphoma cells. (C-D) Similar QChIP was performed in Ly1 DLBCL cells and in the BCL6–MUTU III B-cell lymphoma cells, respectively. Error bars are SD.
viability in DLBCL cells after siRNA depletion. In these experiments we used SUDHL4 cells, which are highly BCL6 dependent and most sensitive to BCL6 blockade by BPI.8 MTA3 depletion had no effect on viability in these cells (Figure 5) or Ly1 cells (not shown). This result is in contrast with BPI, which mediates cell death and cell-cycle arrest, but has no effect on differentiation (Polo et al16; Figure 5). Although MTA3 knockdown alone did not kill lymphoma cells, we asked whether blocking the functions of both the BCL6 BTB domain (with BPI) and the BCL6 RD2 (by depleting MTA3) enhanced antilymphoma effects. The combination of MTA3 depletion plus BPI had a synergistic antilymphoma effect (Figures 5, S1), suggesting that more complete inhibition of BCL6, hitting 2 rather than 1 of its biochemical functions, could be a more potent form of antilymphoma therapy for DLBCL.

Discussion

This report shows that BCL6 uses 2 sets of corepressors to repress genes involved in 2 distinct biologic pathways. Whereas SMRT/N-CoR corepressor binding to the lateral groove motif of the BCL6 BTB domain modulates survival, MTA3 binding to its second repression domain blocks cellular differentiation (Figure 6). The former effects are mediated mainly by repression of genes such as ATR and TP53, while the latter involves repression of PRDM1. This result was surprising to us, since the prevailing view is that within a given cell, transcription factors like BCL6 recruit a constellation of cofactors that are collectively required to exert full silencing of their different target genes. There is a rationale for transcriptional regulation to be organized in such a manner, since by signaling to certain corepressors, B cells could modulate specific BCL6-dependent pathways while leaving others intact—thus allowing finer tuning of the cellular phenotype. In accordance with this scenario, we have shown that CD40 signaling can rapidly disrupt the interaction of BCL6 with SMRT and N-CoR, but has no effect on MTA33 so that BCL6 can no longer protect cells from DNA damage but still blocks differentiation.

BCL6 interacts with multiple other corepressors, which raises the possibility that additional pathways might be regulated through still more biochemical mechanisms. For example, BCL6 also interacts with the ETO corepressor through its C-terminal zinc fingers30 (Figure 6). ETO was shown to enhance repressor activity of BCL6 and to form a complex with BCL6 on the cyclin D2 promoter.30 The biological impact of ETO on BCL6 function is not yet known, but might reveal additional complexity in the BCL6 transcriptional program. There is room for such complexity, since the BCL6 transcriptional program includes more pathways than survival and differentiation. Our ChiP-on-chip genomic localization studies indicated that BCL6 could bind to approximately 500 targets, corresponding to genes involved in protein ubiquitylation, metabolism, cell cycle, DNA damage response, chromatin modifications, and others.8 Moreover, BCL6 interacts with a number of additional cofactors,31 suggesting that further functional diversification could exist. The current results suggest that these pathways can be functionally compartmentalized and manipulated for therapeutic benefit in DLBCLs.

PRDM1 is a critical target gene of BCL6 in GC differentiation since it is a master regulator required for B cells to adopt the plasma cell phenotype.12 Regulation of PRDM1 by BCL6 was first suggested by microarray studies in cells expressing dominant-negative BCL6 constructs.9 Both direct and indirect BCL6 regulation of PRDM1 have since been described.10,11 Fujita et al showed that PRDM1 levels (1) increased upon depletion of MTA3 in Burkitt lymphoma cells and (2) decreased in myeloma cells in which MTA3 and BCL6 were ectopically expressed.12 In order to study the action of BCL6 on PRDM1, we rigorously mapped the PRDM1 locus for BCL6 binding. We chose ChIP-on-chip, since it allows in vivo binding to be detected in cells with endogenous BCL6. In these experiments, we did not observe binding at the intron 5 element reported by Tunyaplin et al.10 In the report by Tunyaplin et al, BCL6 was ectopically expressed in WI-L2 lymphoblastoid cells, in contrast to the current experiments with endogenous BCL6 in lymphoma cells. It is possible that the intron 5 binding was due to BCL6 overexpression, or was specific to lymphoblastoid cells but not lymphoma cells. We also did not see
binding of BCL6 in the PRDM1 promoter region near AP-1 binding sites as suggested by Vasanwala et al.11 Reasons for this difference could be that BCL6 does not bind to the PRDM1 promoter through AP1 in the Ramos or Ly1 lymphoma cells used in this study. Alternatively, indirect binding of BCL6 may not be captured by ChIP assays, which occasionally occurs in the case of certain cofactors that associated with genes through other factors (such as the case of BCL6 when it interacts with AP-1). In contrast, we found that endogenous BCL6 powerfully enriched a 600-bp region in intron 3; 23 consecutive probes were reproducibly and specifically enriched. Moreover, we showed that MTA3 binds to this site in a BCL6-dependent manner, suggestive of a BCL6-dependent repression complex. MTA3 ChIP enrichment of the PRDM1 locus was less than that of BCL6. This is a common finding in ChIP assays because corepressor antibodies, which associate indirectly with DNA, are less efficient at enriching chromatin fragments than DNA-binding transcription factor antibodies.

Consistent with the importance of its partnership with BCL6, MTA3 expression was found exclusively within the CBs and CCs of the GC, and not in any other cell type present within lymphoid follicles. Moreover, MTA3 and BCL6 expression was tightly correlated in primary DLBCLs, many of which presumably arise from GC cells. Taken together with the rest of the data, our results suggest that BCL6 mediates differentiation blockade in DLBCL by recruiting MTA3 to the intron 3 of PRDM1. Differentiation therapy using all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) in acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) ameliorates the leukemic phenotype without causing apoptosis;32 this approach has proven to be successful in patients.33 From a therapeutic standpoint, MTA3 depletion caused differentiation but did not kill cells in vitro, but similar to the ATRA/AP paradigm, it is possible that differentiation might still be an effective therapeutic goal in DLBCL. Our combination studies using both BPI and MTA3 depletion more effectively inhibited lymphoma cell viability than either modality alone. These data highlight the importance of targeting several functional domains to maximally inhibit transcription factors involved in oncogenesis. We propose that the targeting of MTA3/BCL6 interaction with peptide or small molecules in combination with BPI might be useful in this context.

Taken together, we conclude that BCL6 mediates differentiation blockade in DLBCLs via its interaction with MTA3: this supports a model of lymphomagenesis where BCL6 controls the cell survival program via the interaction of its BTB domain with SMRT and simultaneously causes differentiation blockade via the interaction of the RD2 domain with MTA3 (Figure 6). Combination therapy for both pathways controlled by BCL6 in DLBCL pathogenesis is possible by targeting dual repression domain function simultaneously.
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