p52Shc is required for CXCR4-dependent signaling and chemotaxis in T cells
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Introduction

By controlling leukocyte trafficking and homing to specific microenvironments, chemokines and their receptors represent a strategic element in the regulation of immune responses.1 Initially implicated in directing transmigration of neutrophils and other cellular components of the innate immune system to inflamed tissues, chemokines have been found to coordinate temporally and topologically the directional migration and positioning of leukocytes within lymphoid organs and tissues not only in inflammation, but also in homeostatic conditions, thereby participating both in hematopoietic cell differentiation in central lymphoid organs and in the initiation of adaptive immune responses in peripheral lymphoid organs. Although the distinction has become less clear-cut, chemokines have been classified on the basis of their primary function as inflammatory and homeostatic. Among the latter is SDF-1, which interacts with CXCR4. In the hematopoietic system, CXCR4 controls stem-cell migration to the bone marrow and retention of B-cell and granulocytic precursors within this microenvironment, thereby permitting their differentiation. Furthermore, SDF-1 is a potent chemotactic stimulus for mature T cells, monocytes, and neutrophils.2 CXCR4 has also been implicated in brain and heart development and angiogenesis, as well as in some pathological conditions, including tumor metastasis and joint infiltration by inflammatory CD4+ cells in rheumatoid arthritis.3

Like other chemokine receptors, CXCR4 is a 7-spanning transmembrane receptor coupled to a pertussis toxin (PTX)–sensitive heterotrimeric Gi protein, which, by inhibiting the activity of adenylate cyclase, modulates the levels of intracellular cAMP.4 This second messenger represents the link to PI-3 kinase,5,6 which not only is implicated in cell motility by promoting reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton, but also regulates cell survival by controlling the activity of the serine/threonine kinase Akt.7 Interestingly, CXCR4 has been shown to also activate 2 protein tyrosine kinase (PTK)–dependent pathways. The first is initiated by a Src kinase that interacts with a specific cytosolic loop of CXCR4,8 and involves additional PTKs of the Syk, Tec, and FAK families, which promote the formation of a multimolecular complex leading both to changes in the transcriptional profile of the cell through activation of MAP kinases and to actin remodeling through the activation of Ras-related GTPases, including RhoA, Rac, and Rap1.9,10,11 The second, Gi-independent, pathway is mediated by Jak2/STAT3.12,13 While their interrelationships are as yet poorly defined, both of these pathways are required for chemotaxis. Signaling by CXCR4 occurs in lipid rafts, where the receptor is recruited in response to ligand binding,16 an event that also results in receptor homodimerization.14 Transactivation of receptor PTKs by CXCR4 has been demonstrated,17 suggesting that an indirect mechanism of activation of the PTK-dependent pathways may also be operational.

The Shc adaptor is an important mediator of PTK-regulated pathways triggered by surface receptors. Shc is expressed as 3 isoforms encoded by the same gene locus, of which the largest, of 66 kDa, has been implicated in apoptotic responses to oxidative stress,18 while the other 2, of 52 and 46 kDa, act as molecular adaptors that couple surface receptors to Ras activation.19 p52Shc has been identified as a component of signaling pathways initiated by a number of surface receptors in T cells, including the T-cell
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antigen receptor, the CD4 coreceptor, the IL-2 and IL-4 receptors, and integrins.\textsuperscript{19} Besides activating the Ras/MAPK pathway, p52Shc contributes to the activation of other small Ras-related GTPas. Of particular note is its capacity to promote remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton through activation of Rho GTPas.\textsuperscript{20} Here we have addressed the potential involvement of p52Shc in T-cell chemotaxis. Our data identify p52Shc as an early and essential component in the CXCR4 PTK-dependent signaling cascade that controls T-cell chemotaxis.

### Materials and methods

#### Cells, antibodies, GST fusion proteins, and reagents

Cell lines included the T-lymphoma Jurkat line as well as stable Jurkat transfectants expressing either HA-tagged wild-type p52Shc or mutants thereof carrying Tyr→Phe substitutions either at positions 239/240 (Shc2F), which have been previously described.\textsuperscript{20} Cells thereof carrying Tyr→Phe substitutions either at positions 239/240 (Shc2F), 242/243 (Shc3F), and 317 (Shc1F), which have been previously described.\textsuperscript{20} Cells were obtained by site-directed mutagenesis of ATG1 and ATG1 encoding either HA-tagged p52Shc, or p52/p46Shc, or p46Shc. The latter 2 were obtained by site-directed mutagenesis of ATG1 and ATG1 + ATG2 (to TTG), respectively, of a construct containing an approximately 8-kb EcoRI-BamHI fragment of the genomic Shc locus spanning promoter 1, exon 1, promoter 2, and exons 1 to 6, fused in frame with the portion of Shc cDNA containing all remaining exons (L.L., P.G.P., unpublished results, 2002). Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells were purified from whole blood by density gradient centrifugation on Ficoll-Paque (Amersham Biosciences, Arlington Heights, IL) and subsequently depleted of monocytes by adherence. Peripheral blood T cells were transently transfected using the Amaxa nucleofector device (Amaxa Biosystems, Cologne, Germany) and the conditions for T-cell transfection recommended by the manufacturer. Endotoxin-free plasmid DNA was purified using a kit from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany).

Phosphospecific antibodies recognizing the phosphorylated active forms of Shc, ZAP-70, and Erk1/2 were from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA); anti-phospho-CD3ε and anti-phospho-Jak2 were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA) and anti-phospho-Vav, from Biosource (Camarillo, CA). Anti-Erk2, anti-HA, anti-CD3 ε, and anti-Shc mAbs were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; anti-Grb2 and anti-Lck mAbs, from BD Biosciences (Santa Cruz, CA) and anti–phospho-Vav, from Biosource (Camarillo, CA). Anti-Erk2, anti-HA, anti-CD3 ε, and anti-Shc mAbs were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; anti-Grb2 and anti-Lck mAbs, from BD Biosciences (Santa Cruz, CA) and anti–phospho-Vav, from Biosource (Camarillo, CA). Anti-Erk2, anti-HA, anti-CD3 ε, and anti-Shc mAbs were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; anti-Grb2 and anti-Lck mAbs, from BD Biosciences (Santa Cruz, CA) and anti–phospho-Vav, from Biosource (Camarillo, CA). The specificity of these antibodies was determined by Western blotting with the respective recombinant proteins or with the corresponding cell lines.

Flow cytometry, chemotaxis assays, and calcium flux analysis

Flow cytometry was carried out using a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA). F-actin was analyzed by flow cytometry on cells permeabilized and stained with TRITC-labeled phalloidin as described.\textsuperscript{26} Chemotaxis assays were carried out using 24-well Transwell chambers with 5-μm pore size polycarbonate membranes (Corning Life Sciences, Siphol-Rijk, the Netherlands) essentially as described.\textsuperscript{15} Filters were soaked overnight in 0.2% BSA in HBBS w/o Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/Mg\textsuperscript{2+}. The chemotaxis medium (500 μL RPMI containing 1% BSA) with or without SDF-1α (10 ng/mL) was placed in the lower chamber, and 100 μL cell suspension (5 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells/sample) in chemotaxis medium was placed in the upper chamber. After 2-hour incubation at 37°C in humidified air with 5% CO\textsubscript{2}, the upper chamber was emptied, filters were removed, and the contents of the lower chamber were recovered. After 2 washes in PBS, cells in the lower chamber were counted by flow cytometry. The migration index was calculated by determining the ratio of migrated cells in treated versus untreated samples. The average number of cells that had migrated to the lower chamber in the SDFF1α-stimulated samples was approximately 30%. Spontaneous migration was consistently approximately 0.5%. Analysis of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in Fura-2-loaded cells was carried out as described\textsuperscript{27} in 140 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.2 mM EGTA, 15 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, in the absence of added Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. Fluorescence was measured with a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer (Palo Alto, CA) (excitation wavelengths: 340 nm and 380 nm; emission: 510 nm) equipped with magnetic stirring and temperature control. At the end of each incubation, digitonin (50 μg/mL) and EGTA (20 mM) were added in order to measure maximal (R\textsubscript{max}) and minimal (R\textsubscript{min}) ratio (340/380) fluorescence values, respectively.
CXCR4 internalization

Cells were incubated on ice with 100 ng/mL SDF-1α for 45 minutes (time 0) in RPMI containing 1% BSA and subsequently washed and transferred to 37°C. After incubation for the indicated times, cells were washed, and CXCR4 surface expression was analyzed by flow cytometry after indirect labeling with anti-CXCR4 antibody followed by FITC-labeled secondary antibodies. The levels of surface binding at time 0 were determined on a sample taken before transfer to 37°C.

Results

p52Shc is phosphorylated in response to CXCR4 engagement by SDF-1α and forms a complex with Lck, ZAP-70, and Vav

The adaptor function of Shc requires its phosphorylation on 3 specific tyrosine residues, YY239/240 and Y317 in human p52Shc, localized within the central collagen homology (CH1) domain. Following phosphorylation, these tyrosines become docking sites for Grb2/Sos, thereby promoting guanine nucleotide exchange on Ras.19 The capacity of CXCR4 to induce Shc phosphorylation was analyzed in Jurkat T cells treated with SDF-1α. As shown in Figure 1A, SDF-1α induced the rapid and robust phosphorylation of p52Shc, which reached a plateau at 1 minute. Similar results were obtained on human peripheral blood lymphocytes (Figure 1A). In agreement with a recent report,28 coimmunoprecipitation experiments revealed a constitutive interaction of p52Shc with the protein tyrosine kinase (PTK) Lck, which in some experiments was slightly enhanced in response to stimulation with SDF-1α (Figure 1B). p52Shc phosphorylation was accompanied by its transient association with the PTK ZAP-70, as well as with the Vav guanine nucleotide exchanger (Figure 1B).

To further define the interactions involving YY239/240 or Y317 of p52Shc in CXCR4 signal transduction, in vitro binding assays were carried out using the isolated CH1 domain, expressed as recombinant GST fusion protein, and used either as such or after in vitro tyrosine phosphorylation. As shown in Figure 1C, both Vav and ZAP-70 were found to preferentially interact with tyrosine-phosphorylated CH1. Grb2, which is recruited to phosphorylated YY239/240 and Y317, was used as a positive control. Hence, p52Shc interacts with Lck and, following phosphorylation in response to CXCR4 engagement, forms a complex with ZAP-70 and Vav.

To determine the outcome of YY239/240 and Y317 mutation on p52Shc interaction with Lck, ZAP-70, and Vav, we used Jurkat T-cell transfectants stably expressing HA-tagged p52Shc point mutants lacking either tyrosines 239/240 (Shc2F) or tyrosine 317 (Shc1F). A line expressing HA-tagged wild-type Shc as similar levels as the Shc mutants was used as control (Figure 1D). All lines had similar levels of surface CXCR4 (Figure 1D). Recombinant wild-type or mutated p52Shc was immunoprecipitated from lysates of SDF-1α-stimulated cells using anti-HA antibodies. In agreement with the constitutive interaction of Shc with Lck, immunoblot analysis showed that the association of p52Shc with Lck was not affected by the tyrosine mutations (Figure 1E). On the other hand, both Shc1F and Shc2F failed to interact with ZAP-70 and Vav in SDF-1α–treated cells (Figure 1F), indicating that YY239/240 and Y317 are responsible for these interactions.

p52Shc phosphorylation requires Lck and is Gi-dependent

Tyrosine kinases of the Src, Syk, and Tec families have been implicated in signaling by chemokine receptors.8-11 While Lck, ZAP-70, and Itk are all required for CXCR4-dependent signaling, the current evidence points to Lck as the initiator PTK in this pathway.8 The role of Lck in p52Shc phosphorylation was assessed using JCaM1 cells, an Lck-defective Jurkat T-cell variant.21 Notwithstanding the high levels of surface CXCR4 (Figure 2A), p52Shc phosphorylation in response to SDF-1α was completely abrogated in these cells (Figure 2B). Furthermore, Jurkat T-cell treatment with the Src kinase inhibitor, PP2, resulted in impaired SDF-1α–dependent p52Shc phosphorylation (Figure 2C). Of note, no increase in basal p52Shc phosphorylation was observed in Jurkat cells expressing a constitutively active Fyn mutant (96% ± 3.7%), while phosphorylation was significantly enhanced (> 180%) in cells expressing a constitutively active Lck mutant
Figure 2. p52Shc phosphorylation is Lck- and Gi-dependent. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of CXCR4 surface expression on Jurkat cells and on the Lck-deficient Jurkat T-cell variant (JCaM1). (B, left) Immunoblot analysis with anti–phospho-Shc antibodies of Shc-specific immunoprecipitates from lysates of Jurkat or JCaM1 cells, either untreated or treated for the indicated times with SDF-1\textsubscript{α}. (B, right) Immunoblot analysis with anti–ZAP-70 (top) and anti-Vav (middle) antibodies of Shc-specific immunoprecipitates from lysates of Jurkat or JCaM1 cells treated as above. Control anti-Shc blots are shown at the bottom. (C) Immunoblot analysis with anti–phospho-Shc antibodies of lysates of Jurkat cells treated as above in the presence or the absence of 50 μM YY239/240. A control anti-Shctiblot is shown at the bottom. (D) Immunoblot analysis with anti–phospho-Shc (left) or anti–phospho-Erk (right) antibodies of lysates of Jurkat cells treated as above in the presence or the absence of 100 μM Jak2 inhibitor, AG490, or after Jak2 knockdown by siRNA (> 85% reduction in Jak2-specific mRNA as assessed by laser densitometric analysis of semiquantitative RT-PCR). A representative experiment is shown in the box. Cells transfected with nonspecific siRNA (ctr siRNA) are used as Jak2 controls (Jak2 siRNA, indicated as kDa in the RT-PCR). Control blots are shown at the bottom. The migration of molecular mass markers is indicated.

Together with the fact that JCaM1 cells express Fyn, this result supports a selective role for Lck in p52Shc phosphorylation. In agreement with the data presented in Figure 1, the failure of p52Shc to become phosphorylated following CXCR4 engagement in JCaM1 cells resulted in the loss of its ability to form a complex with ZAP-70 and Vav (Figure 2B).

As the activator of protein kinase A (PKA), cAMP is a negative regulator of T-cell activation.\(^{20}\) One of its most upstream molecular targets in the TCR signaling pathway is Csk, the PTK that inhibits Src kinases by phosphorylating their C-terminal tyrosine residue.\(^{30}\) Csk activity is enhanced by PKA phosphorylation of Ser364.\(^{30}\) Src family PTK activation in response to chemokines may therefore be causally related to Gi activation. To understand whether p52Shc phosphorylation relies on the capacity of CXCR4 to decrease intracellular cAMP concentrations, cells were treated with PTX, which irreversibly inhibits the Gi subunits of heterotrimeric G-proteins by ADP ribosylation.\(^{31}\) This treatment resulted in inhibition of p52Shc phosphorylation in response to SDF-1\textsubscript{α}, as well as in inhibition of the Ras/MAPK pathway, as assessed by the state of Erk1/2 phosphorylation (Figure 2D). Hence p52Shc phosphorylation is Gi-dependent.

Although the involvement of the Jak2/STAT3 pathway in CXCR4 signaling is controversial,\(^{14,15,32}\) the potential cross-talk of the Lck-dependent pathway with the Jak2/STAT3 pathway in p52Shc phosphorylation was also addressed. SDF-1\textsubscript{α} stimulation did not significantly enhance Jak2 phosphorylation in Jurkat cells (data not shown). Accordingly, cell treatment with AG490, a specific pharmacological inhibitor of Jak2, as well as Jak2 knockdown by siRNA, did not affect CXCR4-dependent p52Shc phosphorylation, ruling out the Jak2/STAT3 pathway in this process (Figure 2E).

p52Shc is an early component in CXCR4-dependent signaling

The failure of the tyrosine mutants of p52Shc to associate with ZAP-70 and Vav suggests that they may function as dominant-negative inhibitors of the endogenous protein. To identify which step in the CXCR4 signaling cascade is perturbed in cells expressing Shc1F and Shc2F, the capacity of SDF-1\textsubscript{α} to promote activation/phosphorylation of the principal components of the Lck-dependent pathway was assessed. Lck was activated in response to SDF-1\textsubscript{α} to a similar extent in all transfectants, as determined by in vitro kinase assays (Figure 3A). On the other hand, activation of ZAP-70 by CXCR4 was impaired in cells expressing Shc1F or Shc2F, as shown by the significant reduction of ZAP-70 phosphorylation on Y493, which positively controls its activity\(^{33}\) (Figure 3B). A dramatic reduction in inducible tyrosine phosphorylation was also observed for Vav and LAT, both of which are ZAP-70 substrates (Figure 3C,E). The impairment in Vav phosphorylation was paralleled by the failure of cells expressing either Shc1F or Shc2F to reorganize F-actin, as shown by flow cytometric analysis of phalloidin-stained cells (Figure 3D). SDF-1\textsubscript{α}–dependent phosphorylation of Itk, which is recruited to phospho-LAT through SLP-76 in TCR signaling,\(^{34}\) was also impaired in cells expressing Shc1F or Shc2F (Figure 3F). Furthermore, PLC\textsubscript{γ} activation was impaired, as shown by the defective \([Ca^{2+}]_c\) mobilization from intracellular stores, which is triggered by IP\(_3\) (Figure 3H). Of note, this defect was observed only in cells expressing Shc1F, indicating a differential requirement of the 2 phosphorylation sites on p52Shc for CXCR4-dependent PLC\textsubscript{γ} activation. In agreement with the key role of both Grb2 binding sites of p52Shc in the positive control of the Ras/MAPK pathway,\(^{20}\) Erk1/2 phosphorylation was enhanced by wild-type Shc, and this activity was completely abrogated by YY239/240 or Y317 mutation (Figure 3G). Furthermore, Shc1F or Shc2F expression in transfected human peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) resulted in a reduction of approximately 40% in Erk phosphorylation. A profound deficiency in CXCR4 signaling was also observed in the Jurkat T-cell variant, JSL1, which lacks Shc expression,\(^{22}\) but expresses similar levels of surface CXCR4 (Figure 3I-K). Hence, by promoting intermolecular interactions downstream of Lck, p52Shc acts as an early component in the CXCR4 signaling pathway, contributing both to remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton through Vav and to modifying gene expression through pathways involving MAP kinases and \([Ca^{2+}]_c\).
*p52Shc is essential for CXCR4-dependent chemotaxis*

*p52Shc has been implicated in TCR-dependent Rac activation.*

The interaction of tyrosine-phosphorylated p52Shc with Vav, the principal guanine nucleotide exchanger for Rho GTPases, that was observed in SDF-1α-treated cells suggests that p52Shc may contribute to the cytoskeletal reorganization required for T-cell migration toward the chemokine. To address this issue, we used the Jurkat T-cell transfectants stably expressing either HA-tagged p52Shc or the Shc1F/Shc2F mutants. A line stably transfected with empty vector was used as control (Figure 1D). As shown in Figure 4A (left), HA-Shc enhanced to a moderate extent SDF-1α–dependent cell chemotaxis. Expression of either Shc1F or Shc2F resulted in a dramatic reduction in the chemotactic response, to levels comparable with the ones observed in Lck-deficient JCaM1 cells (Figure 4C). Similar results were obtained on freshly isolated peripheral T cells transiently transfected with empty vector or with the same expression vector encoding HA-Shc or the 2 mutated isoforms (Figure 4A right). CXCR4-dependent migration was also profoundly impaired in Shc-deficient JSL1 cells (Figure 4B). Interestingly, migration was fully rescued in these cells by p52/p46Shc, and partially rescued by p52Shc (notwithstanding the low levels of p52Shc expression in the stable transfectant), but not by p46Shc (Figure 1B). A similar differential rescue by p52Shc was observed in these cells when SDF-1α–dependent Ca2+ mobilization was analyzed (data not shown). Hence p52Shc is a key component of the CXCR4 signaling pathway controlling T-cell chemotaxis, and this function is regulated by phosphorylation of both YY239/240 and Y317. Of note, SDF-1α–dependent cell chemotaxis was inhibited by PTX not only in control cells, as expected (Figure 4D), but also in HA-Shc expressing cells, which have approximately twice the level of total p52Shc (Figure 1A; data not shown), further underlining the Gi-dependence of p52Shc in the CXCR4 pathway. In agreement with the lack of inhibition of p52Shc phosphorylation by Jak2 inhibitors (Figure 2E), neither AG490 nor Jak2 knockdown by siRNA affected SDF-1α–dependent chemotaxis (Figure 4D), further ruling out a requirement of the Jak2/STAT3 pathway in CXCR4 signaling in these cells.

**SDF-1α–dependent CXCR4 internalization does not require p52Shc phosphorylation**

Ligand-dependent internalization of surface receptors is an essential step in signal termination. This process is controlled by the coordinated activity of Rab and Rho GTPases and is initiated by the AP2 adaptor complex that promotes the formation of clathrin-coated pits.

p52Shc has been proposed to participate in receptor-mediated endocytosis. Indeed, an interaction of AP2 with the CH1 domain, which does not involve the phosphorylatable tyrosine residues, has been reported.

Furthermore, the large GTPase dynamin has been shown to bind to p52Shc through Grb2. To address the potential role of YY239/240 and Y317, which mediate Grb2 recruitment, in SDF-1α–dependent receptor endocytosis, a time course analysis of CXCR4 internalization was carried out. As shown in Figure 5, neither Shc1F nor Shc2F

---

**Figure 3. CXCR4 signaling is inhibited by p52Shc mutants lacking YY239/240 or Y317.**

(A) Quantification of Lck autophosphorylation, as determined by in vitro kinase assays of Lck-specific immunoprecipitates from lysates of the Jurkat T-cell transfectants expressing HA-tagged p52Shc or the Shc1F/Shc2F mutants, activated for 5 minutes with SDF-1α. The results are presented for each transfectant as fold activation of SDF-1α–treated versus untreated cells (n = 2). Error bars indicate SD. (B) Immunoblot analysis with a ZAP-70 phosphospecific antibody of postnuclear supernatants of the Jurkat T-cell transfectants activated for 1 minute with 10 ng/mL SDF-1α. (C) Immunoblot analysis with antiphosphotyrosine antibodies of Vav-specific immunoprecipitates from lysates of Jurkat cells activated for 1 minute with SDF-1α. (D) Flow cytometric analysis of F-actin polymerization in response to SDF-1α treatment for 30 seconds or 1 minute in Jurkat T cells transfected with empty vector or stably expressing HA-tagged p52Shc or the Shc1F/Shc2F mutants. The data are expressed as fold increase in F-actin (detected as fluorochrome-conjugated phalloidin staining) in stimulated versus unstimulated cells (n = 3). (E-F) Immunoblot analysis with antiphosphotyrosine antibodies of LAT-specific (E) or Erk-specific (F) immunoprecipitates from lysates of the Jurkat transfectants activated for 1 minute (E) or 5 minutes (F) with SDF-1α. Control blots with the indicated antibodies are shown at the bottom. (G) Immunoblot analysis with an Erk1/2 phosphospecific antibody of postnuclear supernatants of the Jurkat T-cell transfectants activated for 5 minutes with SDF-1α. A control anti-Erk immunoblot is shown below. Vertical lines have been inserted to indicate where a gel lane was cut. These gels came from 2 different experiments. (H) Fluorimetric analysis of [Ca2+]c in Jurkat cells, JSL1 cells, and JSL1 cells stably transfected with a vector encoding p52/46Shc (for expression, see Figure 4A). Experimental setting and data analysis were as in panel H. Representative experiments are shown (n = 3). (I) Flow cytometric analysis of CXCR4 surface expression on Jurkat cells and the Jurkat Shc-deficient JSL1 variant. An anti-Shc immunoblot of the respective cell lysates, together with a control anti-actin blot, is shown above. (J) Immunoblot analysis with ZAP-70 (left), Vav (middle), and Erk1/2 (right) phosphospecific antibodies of postnuclear supernatants of Jurkat and JSL1 cells activated for 1 minute (ZAP-70, Vav) or 5 minutes (Erk1/2) with SDF-1α. The immunoblots shown in the figure are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. (K) Fluorimetric analysis of [Ca2+]c in Jurkat cells, JSL1 cells, and JSL1 cells stably transfected with a vector encoding p52/46Shc (for expression, see Figure 4). Experimental setting and data analysis were as in panel H. Representative experiments are shown (n = 2).
affect CXCR4 endocytosis. On the other hand, CXCR4 internalization was impaired in the Shc-deficient JSL1 cells (Figure 5). Hence, while essential for CXCR4 signal transduction, p52Shc phosphorylation does not appear to be required for signal termination through receptor down-regulation, however p52Shc is implicated in this process in a phosphotyrosine-independent manner.

**CXCR4 promotes p52Shc phosphorylation by transactivating the TCR**

Chemokine receptors have been reported to transactivate receptor PTKs, such as the EGFR. 17,39 CXCR4 has been recently shown to physically associate with the TCR in response to ligand binding, and this interaction appears essential for activation of the Ras/MAPK pathway as well as for calcium signaling, suggesting that a similar mechanism may be operational.40 To address the role of the TCR in CXCR4 signaling to p52Shc, we capitalized on a Jurkat T-cell line (E6.157) lacking surface TCR/CD3 complex due to defective expression of the TCRβ.23 These cells express levels of surface CXCR4 comparable with the parental line (Figure 6A). The outcome of CXCR4 engagement on the TCR activation state was investigated, using as a readout CD3ζ phosphorylation. Immunoblot analysis of cell lysates with an antibody that recognizes phospho-CD3ζ showed that SDF-1α promotes CD3ζ phosphorylation in Jurkat T cells but not in the TCR-deficient variant (Figure 6B,C), indicating that CXCR4 transactivates surface TCR/CD3ζ, resulting in its switch to a signaling-competent state. TCR transactivation was abrogated both by PP2 and by PTX treatment, but not by AG490 or Jak2-specific siRNA (Figure 6B), indicating that this process specifically involves the Lck- and Gi-dependent pathways initiated by CXCR4. Interestingly, phosphorylated p52Shc was found to interact with CD3ζ following CXCR4 engagement (Figure 6D left), while no significant interaction with ZAP-70 was detected in these conditions (data not shown).

The relevance of TCR transactivation to CXCR4 signaling was addressed using the TCR-deficient Jurkat line. Both SDF-1α-dependent p52Shc phosphorylation and Erk1/2 activation were severely impaired in TCR-deficient cells (Figure 6D right; Figure 6E). Consistent with the requirement for p52Shc phosphorylation in CXCR4-dependent ZAP-70 and Vav activation,
SDF-1α stimulation did not induce activation of these molecules in the TCR-deficient variant (Figure 6E). CXCR4-dependent chemotaxis was also impaired in these cells (Figure 6F). Furthermore, lack of surface TCR expression resulted in impaired ligand-dependent CXCR4 internalization (Figure 6G). Collectively, these results strongly support a mechanism of CXCR4-mediated T-cell migration, the mechanistic basis of Vav and Itk participation in chemokine receptor signaling awaits as-yet-complete elucidation. The suppressive effects of the Shc1F/Shc2F mutants on Vav/Itk phosphorylation highlight p52Shc as the link between CXCR4 and the Vav–Itk-dependent pathway of actin reorganization that controls T-cell chemotaxis. While the mechanism of Itk recruitment remains to be clarified, the finding that SDF-1α promotes the assembly of a p52Shc/ZAP-70/Vav complex suggests that p52Shc may control Vav activation by promoting the juxtaposition of Vav close to its activating kinase, ZAP-70, either directly or indirectly through Grb2.45 It is noteworthy that expression of the p52Shc tyrosine mutants in the human monocytic cell line, U937, results in inhibition of MIP-1α-dependent cell migration, suggesting a general role for p52Shc in chemotaxis.

One possible scenario of how p52Shc participates in the CXCR4 signaling cascade is presented in Figure 7. Lck has been shown to associate with CXCR4 following cell stimulation with SDF-1α, which results in its activation. The reduction in intracellular cAMP resulting from activation of the heterotrimeric Gi

Discussion

Since its initial identification as an adaptor responsible for coupling receptor tyrosine kinases to Ras, Shc has been implicated in a variety of processes controlling cell growth, differentiation, and death.18,19 By providing evidence that p52Shc is an essential component of a signaling pathway that controls T-cell chemotaxis, the data presented above add a novel twist to this multifunctional molecule. The observed inhibition of Vav and Itk phosphorylation by Shc1F and Shc2F is the most likely explanation of the suppressive effect of these mutants on T-cell chemotaxis. Vav is indeed a guanine nucleotide exchanger expressed in the hematopoietic cell lineage and specific for Rho family GTPases that, by directly or indirectly through Grb2.45 It is noteworthy that expression of the p52Shc tyrosine mutants in the human monocytic line, U937, results in inhibition of MIP-1α-dependent cell migration, suggesting a general role for p52Shc in chemotaxis.

One possible scenario of how p52Shc participates in the CXCR4 signaling cascade is presented in Figure 7. Lck has been shown to associate with CXCR4 following cell stimulation with SDF-1α, which results in its activation. The reduction in intracellular cAMP resulting from activation of the heterotrimeric Gi
protein coupled to CXCR4 may be causal to the SDF-1α-dependent enhancement in Lck activity, as the negative Src kinase regulator, Csk, is positively controlled by PKA.30 The crucial role of Lck in CXCR4 signaling is supported both by genetic and pharmacological evidence.8,8 The early role of p52Shc in CXCR4 signaling, and the resulting impairment of ZAP-70 activation when its recruitment to p52Shc is prevented, could fully account for pleiotropic inhibitory effects of Shc1F and Shc2F on the CXCR4 cascade. Interestingly, while expression of these mutants has no effect on CXCR4 down-regulation, this process is impaired in Shc-deficient cells, suggesting that the tyrosine-independent interaction of p52Shc with the AP2 clathrin adaptor complex, which has been mapped to a short sequence within the CH1 domain,37 may be required for ligand-dependent receptor endocytosis in T cells. Furthermore, this result shows that p52Shc plays a distinct role in CXCR4 signaling to the actin cytoskeleton and to the molecular endocytic machinery. CXCR4 has been recently reported to physically interact with the TCR in response to SDF-1α. This interaction appears functionally relevant, as CXCR4 would use the low levels of ZAP-70 constitutively bound to the TCR ITAMs to signal.40 Our finding of a requirement of surface TCR both for CXCR4 signaling and for ligand-dependent CXCR4 endocytosis is in full agreement with the concept of a vicarious use of the TCR signaling machinery by CXCR4, from signal initiation to signal termination. Our data are, however, at variance with the data reported by Kumar et al40 on a key issue—CD3ζ phosphorylation and ZAP-70 activation. These authors find, indeed, ITAM-dependent activation of the Ras/MAPK pathway and downstream events by CXCR4 in the absence of ITAM and ZAP-70 phosphorylation, and suggest that “tonic” signaling by the TCR may account for these effects of CXCR4. Conversely, our data show that CXCR4 engagement results in actual transactivation of the TCR beginning from the earliest step, CD3ζ phosphorylation. The time course analysis presented in Figure S1 shows that ZAP-70 activation by CXCR4 is very transient. As Kumar et al40 measured ZAP-70 phosphorylation at a time point when it has already returned to baseline in our experiments, they may have been unable to observe the transactivation reported here. Their finding of an association of CXCR4 with the TCR provides, however, an important contribution to our understanding of how chemokine receptors may transactivate surface receptors, a phenomenon previously described for the EGFR and, with the data presented in this report, for the TCR. The physical proximity of CXCR4-bound and active Lck to the TCR/CD3 ITAMs is likely to promote their phosphorylation, thereby initiating the assembly of a multimolecular signaling complex. It is noteworthy that p52Shc is required for ZAP-70 activation, which places this adaptor upstream of ZAP-70. Based on the observation that CD3ζ associates with p52Shc in response to SDF-1α in the absence of simultaneous enhancement in ZAP-70 recruitment (the latter also reported by Kumar et al40), we propose that, at variance with the canonical TCR signaling pathway, it is p52Shc, and not ZAP-70, that initiates signaling by interacting with CD3ζ in the TCR transactivation pathway triggered by CXCR4 (Figure 7).
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