Beneficial effects of combining nilotinib and imatinib in preclinical models of BCR-ABL+ leukemias
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Drug resistance resulting from emergence of imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL point mutations is a significant problem in advanced-stage chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML). The BCR-ABL inhibitor, nilotinib (AMN107), is significantly more potent against BCR-ABL than imatinib, and is active against many imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL mutants. Phase 1/2 clinical trials show that nilotinib can induce remissions in patients who have previously failed imatinib, indicating that sequential therapy with these 2 agents has clinical value. However, simultaneous, rather than sequential, administration of 2 BCR-ABL kinase inhibitors is attractive for many reasons, including the theoretical possibility that this could reduce emergence of drug-resistant clones. Here, we show that exposure of a variety of BCR-ABL+ cell lines to imatinib and nilotinib results in additive or synergistic cytotoxicity, including testing of a large panel of cells expressing BCR-ABL point mutations causing resistance to imatinib in patients. Further, using a highly quantifiable bioluminescent in vivo model, drug combinations were at least additive in antileukemic activity, compared with each drug alone. These results suggest that despite binding to the same site in the same target kinase, the combination of imatinib and nilotinib is highly efficacious in these models, indicating that clinical testing of combinations of BCR-ABL kinase inhibitors is warranted. (Blood. 2007; 109:2112-2120)
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Introduction

The BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase oncogene, which results from a reciprocal t(9;22) chromosome translocation in a hematopoietic stem cell,1 causes chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) and Philadelphia chromosome–positive (Ph+) acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). The 210-kDa BCR-ABL protein is expressed in CML patients, and the p190-kDa BCR-ABL protein occurs in Ph+ ALL patients and stems from a different breakpoint in the BCR gene.2,3

CML, which occurs with a frequency of about 1 in 100 000 people per year, when left untreated progresses in 3 phases: The initial chronic phase is a clonal myeloproliferative disorder that is marked by excessive production of mature granulocytes and immature myeloid cells in tissues including bone marrow, spleen, and peripheral blood. An intermediate accelerated phase, characterized by the appearance of undifferentiated blast cells in blood and bone marrow, is followed by a terminal blast-crisis phase, in which median survival is 18 weeks, and more than 30% of the blood and bone marrow cells are blasts.4,5

Imatinib mesylate (Gleevec, STI571; Novartis Pharma, Basel, Switzerland) is an effective, frontline therapy for early, chronic-phase CML that acts by targeting the tyrosine kinase activity of BCR-ABL.6,7 Newly diagnosed patients treated for a median of 19 months show an estimated 76% cytogenetic response (CCR) and 97% complete hematologic response (CHR).8 However, after initially responding to treatment most ALL patients and many CML patients in the accelerated or blastic phases relapse under treatment within one year.9,10 Resistance to imatinib also occurs in a small subset of early, chronic-phase CML patients, with relapse occurring following months or years of treatment. Relapse is frequently due to point mutations in BCR-ABL that reduce the binding affinity of imatinib to the protein, or occasionally with amplification of the BCR-ABL gene.11-18

The novel, selective Abl inhibitor, nilotinib (AMN107), was designed to interact with the ATP-binding site of BCR-ABL with a higher affinity than imatinib. In addition to being significantly more potent compared with imatinib (IC50 < 30 nM), nilotinib also maintains activity against most of the BCR-ABL point mutants that confer imatinib resistance.19,20 The in vitro cellular efficacy of nilotinib translates into activity in vivo, as demonstrated by activity against a variety of imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL point mutants in animal models of myeloproliferative disease.20 In phase 1/2 clinical trials, nilotinib is producing cytogenetic and hematologic responses in imatinib-refractory CML patients.21

If nilotinib continues to show promise in further clinical trials, it could either be used as a single agent in patients who are refractory to imatinib, or it could be used in conjunction with imatinib to achieve a higher degree of patient responsiveness by suppressing the emergence of drug-resistant BCR-ABL mutations. We have previously observed a synergistic interaction between nilotinib and imatinib in mouse hematopoietic cells expressing p210BCR-ABL or p190BCR-ABL.20 Here, we show positive cooperative effects of combinations of nilotinib with imatinib in a panel of imatinib-sensitive and imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL–expressing cells in vitro, which translate into additive effects in a mouse leukemia model.
Materials and methods

Chemical compounds and biologic reagents

Nilotinib free-base (AMN107; NVP-AMN107-NX; 4-methyl-N-[3-(4-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl)-5-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl]-3-[4-(3-pyridyl)-2-pyrimidinyl]amino]-benzamide) and imatinib (Novartis Pharma AG) were dissolved in DMSO to make 10-mM stock solutions. Serial dilutions were made in DMSO to obtain final dilutions for cellular assays.

Antibodies

Anti-pTyr (clone 4G10; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY) was used at a dilution of 1:1000 for immunoblotting. The Abl antibody (C19; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was used at a dilution of 1:500 for immunoblotting. Monoclonal anti–beta-actin (Clone AC-15) was purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO) and used at a dilution of 1:1000 for immunoblotting.

Cell lines and cell culture

The erythroleukemia cell line K562, derived from a patient in blast-crisis CML, and the human CML cell line KU812 were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). Murine hematopoietic 32D cells were transduced with retrovirus to express p210 BCR-ABL (32D.p210 cells);22 this cell line is rapidly lethal in syngeneic, nonimmunosuppressed C3H mice. Imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL constructs (pCI-neo Mammalian Expression Vector [no. E1841]; Promega, Madison, WI) harboring the point mutations F317L, T315I, and F486S were stably transfected into Ba/F3 cells by electroporation. Similarly, 32D cells were transfected to express E255V BCR-ABL. Cells were selected for neomycin resistance and IL3-independent growth. The E255K-Ba/F3 and Y253H-Ba/F3 cell lines were developed as previously described.23

All cells were cultured in the presence of 5% CO2 at 37°C, at a concentration of 5 × 105 cells/ml, in cellgro RPMI 1640 medium (Mediatech, Herndon, VA), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Harlan Bioproducts, Indianapolis, IN), 1% glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin. Media for cells expressing BCR-ABL point mutants were supplemented with 1 mg/mL G418.

Cell viability, cell cycle, and apoptosis analysis

The trypan blue exclusion assay has been previously described, and was used to determine proliferation of cells cultured in the presence and absence of nilotinib, imatinib, or a combination of the 2 agents. Cell viability is reported as percentage of control (untreated) cells. Apoptosis of drug-treated cells was measured using the Annexin-V-Fluo Staining Kit (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN), as previously described.24

Synergy studies

For synergy studies, imatinib-sensitive and nilotinib were added simultaneously at fixed ratios to imatinib-sensitive and imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL–expressing cells according to the method of Chou and Talalay.25 Cell viability was determined using the trypan blue exclusion assay. EDS0 values were determined from the dose-response curves using graphic extrapolation. Specifically, (Y2 - Y1)/(X2 - X1) = (50 - Y1)/(X0 - X1), where X0 = X1 + [(50 - Y1) * (X2 - X1)/(Y2 - Y1)] for linear x-axes and X0 = 10 (LOG10[C1] + (X - E1) * LOG10[C2] - LOG10[C1]/[E2 - E1]) for logarithmic x-axes. For calculation of the combination index, the following formula was used: (ICXa in mix/ICXa alone) + (ICXb in mix/ICXb alone). For the ICX value (nM), X is set to 25, 50, 75, or 90.

Immunoprecipitation

Protein lysis preparation and immunoprecipitation were carried out as previously described.24

Bone marrow colony assay and hematology profile assessment

Normal murine bone marrow cells were flushed from the femur of a male NCR nude mouse. Normal human bone marrow cells were obtained from to-be-discarded bone marrow harvest collection bags, under a protocol approved by the Dana Farber Cancer Institute institutional review board. Cells were lysed in ammonium chloride buffer to remove erythrocytes and washed. Plates were prepared containing 60,000 mouse bone marrow cells each in mouse MethoCult (GF M3434, methylcellulose medium with recombinant cytokines, cat no. 03434; StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, BC). Similarly, plates were prepared containing 60,000 CD34+ selected human bone marrow cells each in human MethoCult (GF H4434, “complete” methylcellulose medium containing recombinant cytokines, cat no. 04434; StemCell Technologies). These plates also contained graded concentrations of imatinib alone, nilotinib alone, and a combination of the two compared with vehicle (DMSO) control. The plates containing murine bone marrow cells alone and human bone marrow cells alone, with or without the drug combinations, were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for up to 12 days, at which time myeloid and erythroid colonies were counted on an inverted microscope.

The peripheral blood of 5 male NCR nude mice (2 NMP-PEG300 vehicle–treated mice, and 3 imatinib [75 mg/kg] + nilotinib [20 mg/kg]–treated mice) was obtained through tail bleeds. Blood samples were analyzed by the DF/HCC Research Pathology Core Facility (Boston, MA).

Bioluminescent BCR-ABL model of CML

Cells were transduced with a retrovirus encoding firefly luciferase (MSCV-Luc), and selected with G418 at a concentration of 1 mg/mL to produce the 32D.p210-luciferase (luc+) cell line. 32D.p210-luc+ cells free of Mycoplasma and viral contamination were washed once with Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS; Mediatech) and resuspended in HBSS prior to administration to mice. Solutions of nilotinib were prepared just prior to administration, by dissolving 100 mg in 1.0 mL NMP to give a clear solution and diluting with 9.0 mL PEG300.

Male NCR-nude mice (5-6 weeks of age; Taconic, Germantown, NY) were sublethally irradiated with a single fraction of 3 Gy, and approximately 3 hours later, a total of 800,000 cells was administered by tail-vein injection. Anesthetized mice were imaged and total body luminescence was measured as previously described.26 Baseline imaging 2 days after tumor cell inoculation was used to establish treatment cohorts with matched tumor burden. Cohorts of mice were treated with oral administration of vehicle (10% NMP, 90% PEG300), osmotic pump administration of 75 mg/kg imatinib, oral administration of 20 mg/kg per day nilotinib (diluted in 10% NMP, 90% PEG300), or a combination of imatinib (75 mg/kg; osmotic pump) and nilotinib (20 mg/kg; oral gavage). Due to the significantly shorter half-life of imatinib in mice compared with humans, an alternative continued drug administration via the osmotic pump would entail twice daily intraperitoneal administration of imatinib, which has proved in our hands to be inefficient in terms of achieving maximum efficacy in mice. Treatment with vehicle and nilotinib was carried out for a total of 8 days; osmotic pumps were loaded with enough imatinib to allow up to 8 full days of treatment. Images were taken on days 2, 4, 5, and 7 after intravenous injection of 32D.p210-luc− cells. On day 7 after intravenous injection, mice had received a total of 5 days of treatment with vehicle, nilotinib alone, imatinib alone, or the combination of nilotinib and imatinib. At the planned end of this study (9 days following the final imaging day), any remaining mice were killed, body and spleen weights were recorded, and tissues were preserved in 10% formalin for histopathologic analysis.

Additional in vivo imaging studies were performed that included a variety of combinations of doses of nilotinib and imatinib, each administered alone and in combination to male NCR-nude mice (5-6 weeks of age; Taconic). Drug formulations, treatments, and imaging were carried out as described above with some variations in experimental design (described in figure legends for Figures 6-7). Mice were administered the doses of nilotinib and imatinib, alone or in combination, at 20 mg/kg ± 50 mg/kg, 15 mg/kg ± 50 mg/kg, and then 15 mg/kg ± 75 mg/kg. Histopathologic analysis was then carried out.

For all in vivo imaging studies, we estimated doses of first nilotinib and then imatinib that would result in subcurative, partial suppression of tumor burden in mice with each agent used alone. The ideal subcurative doses in vivo were determined to be 15 to 20 mg/kg nilotinib and 50 to 75 mg/kg imatinib. The partial inhibition of tumor burden by each agent observed in vivo is analogous to the partial inhibition of BCR-ABL+ cell proliferation by each agent observed in vitro.
Results

Drug combination studies: imatinib and nilotinib against imatinib-sensitive, BCR-ABL–expressing cell lines

Nilotinib was tested in combination with imatinib across a range of doses and against a panel of imatinib-sensitive BCR-ABL–expressing cells. Combination indices calculated for K562, 32D.p210, and KU812 cell lines treated with nilotinib alone, imatinib alone, and nilotinib combined with imatinib suggest overall additive to slightly synergistic effects between the 2 BCR-ABL inhibitors when combined, with no evidence for antagonism across a range of doses (Figure 1; Table 1).

To determine if the combination of nilotinib plus imatinib is more toxic against normal bone marrow than individual drugs, we performed a mouse bone marrow colony assay, measuring granulocyte-macrophage–colony-forming units (CFU-GMs) and erythroid-burst-forming units (BFU-Es), using the same concentrations of imatinib and nilotinib as were used in combination in proliferation studies, and bone marrow cells obtained from the flushed femur of an untreated male NCR nude mouse. The highest concentration of imatinib (400 nM) combined with nilotinib (30 nM) appeared to lead to a delay in colony formation, since after 7 days the overall number of colonies was lower for this treatment group (Figure S7, available on the Blood website; see the Supplemental Figures link at the top of the online article). However, by the 12th day of observation, colony numbers for the highest dose group were more similar to the other treatments, suggesting minimal toxicity of the combination of imatinib and nilotinib against mouse bone marrow (Figure 1D upper panel). Similarly, a colony assay using CD34+ human bone marrow cells showed no decline in the number of colonies formed on imatinib + nilotinib–treated plates compared with vehicle (DMSO)–treated plates (Figure 1 lower panel).

Drug combination studies: imatinib and nilotinib against imatinib-resistant, BCR-ABL–expressing cell lines

A panel of imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL–expressing cell lines was tested with either BCR-ABL inhibitor alone, or both combined. For the imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL point mutants, F317L and M351T, results ranged from synergistic to additive effects across a range of doses (Figure 2; Table 1). For the imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL point mutant, F486S, results ranged from nearly additive effects to slight to moderate antagonism (Figure 2; Table 1). Overall, results observed for both imatinib-sensitive and imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL–expressing cell lines suggest positive enhancement of the activity of nilotinib and imatinib when the 2 inhibitors are combined.

Nilotinib + imatinib combination experiments were performed using Ba/F3 cells expressing the E255K and Y253H BCR-ABL point mutants, both of which were identified as conferring resistance to nilotinib in a mutagenesis assay.23 A 2-day treatment of E255K-Ba/F3 cells with nilotinib, imatinib, or a combination of nilotinib and imatinib is shown in Figure 2D; Table 1). This experiment suggests positive drug enhancement (effects that range from slight-to-moderate synergy to nearly additive) when nilotinib is combined with imatinib in the treatment of E255K-expressing cells, compared with each drug alone. In contrast, for the Y253H mutant, it did not appear that the addition of nilotinib enhanced the effects of imatinib against this mutant (Figure S6).

The highly imatinib-resistant T315I-BCR-ABL point mutant was investigated for responsiveness to the combination of nilotinib and imatinib (Figure S1). The addition of 10 μM imatinib, which does not significantly inhibit the growth of T315I-BCR-ABL–expressing cells, to a range of concentrations of nilotinib, resulted in only a modest increase
Figure 2. Drug combination studies: imatinib and nilotinib against imatinib-resistant, BCR-ABL-expressing cell lines. Proliferation studies showing 3-day treatments of (A) F317L-Ba/F3 cells, (B) M351T-Ba/F3 cells, and (C) F486S-Ba/F3 cells with nilotinib, imatinib, or a combination of nilotinib and imatinib. (D) Proliferation study showing a 2-day treatment of E255K-Ba/F3 cells with nilotinib, imatinib, or a combination of nilotinib and imatinib.

Figure 3. Induction of apoptosis and inhibition of proliferation of nonmutated and imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL-expressing cells by nilotinib and imatinib

Nilotinib was tested in combination with imatinib for induction of apoptosis at select concentrations. In imatinib-sensitive and imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL-expressing cell lines, the combination of nilotinib and imatinib resulted in a higher degree of induction of apoptosis and inhibition of cellular proliferation compared with each inhibitor alone (Figures 3-4). A modest increase in induction of apoptosis was observed for the nilotinib and imatinib combination in the K562 cell line (Figure S2).

Inhibition of cellular tyrosine phosphorylation in BCR-ABL–expressing cells by imatinib and nilotinib, combined

Treatment of 32D.p210-luc cells for 2 hours with a combination of both nilotinib (0.005 μM) and imatinib (0.1 μM) resulted in more pronounced inhibition of cellular tyrosine phosphorylation in these cells, compared with either 0.005 μM nilotinib or 0.1 μM imatinib alone (Figure 5). In contrast, cells treated with either compound alone or in combination led to an apparent increase in BCR-ABL expression (Figure 5). This is a previously observed phenomenon that may be related to BCR-ABL protein stabilization due to drug-protein complex formation.

Figure 3. Induction of apoptosis and inhibition of proliferation of nonmutated BCR-ABL–expressing cells following 2 days of treatment. (A) Corresponding effects of nilotinib and imatinib, alone and combined, on proliferation of nonmutated BCR-ABL–expressing cells following 2 days of treatment. (B) Corresponding effects of nilotinib and imatinib, alone and combined, on proliferation of nonmutated BCR-ABL–expressing cells following 2 days of treatment (n = 2 for 32D.p210; n = 1 for KU812). Error bars indicate SEM.
In vivo effects of the combination of nilotinib and imatinib on BCR-ABL–expressing cells in a murine leukemia model

To directly assess the in vivo antitumor efficacy of nilotinib alone, imatinib alone, and the combination of nilotinib and imatinib, we used a mouse model of CML in which tumor burden was quantified by noninvasive imaging of the luminescent tumor cells (Figures 6-7). Murine 32D.p210 cells were engineered to stably express firefly luciferase, and NCR nude mice were then inoculated with these cells. Noninvasive imaging was used to serially assess tumor burden, and mice with established leukemia were divided into cohorts with similar tumor burden. Nilotinib was then administered via oral gavage, as was vehicle. Osmotic pumps were surgically implanted into mice receiving imatinib.

In the first series of studies, nilotinib was tested at doses of 15 and 20 mg/kg, alone or in combination with 50 mg/kg imatinib. In the first study, mice were administered vehicle, nilotinib (15 mg/kg), imatinib (50 mg/kg), or a combination of both nilotinib and imatinib at their respective doses (Figure 6). The lowest tumor burden as assessed by bioluminescence was observed to be in the drug combination group on day 8 after intravenous injection of 32D.p210-luc/H11001 cells (Figure 6A-B). The Student t test was used for statistical evaluation of this experiment and yielded \( P = 0.007 \) (vehicle versus drug combination on day 8 after intravenous injection); \( P = 0.01 \) (vehicle versus nilotinib on day 8 after intravenous injection); and \( P = 0.319 \) (vehicle versus imatinib on day 8 after intravenous injection). Lowest percent spleen weights were observed in mice treated with either nilotinib alone or both BCR-ABL inhibitors together, compared with vehicle and imatinib alone, following death 7 days after the last imaging day (Figure 6C). The Student t test (type 2 analysis, 2 tails) was performed for statistical evaluation of these results and yielded \( P = 0.002 \) (vehicle versus nilotinib); \( P = 0.001 \) (vehicle versus drug combination); and
P ≤ .068 (drug combination versus imatinib). Differences between percent spleen weights for vehicle versus imatinib, and drug combination versus nilotinib, were not statistically significant (P ≤ .214 and P ≤ .631, respectively).

In the second study, 20 mg/kg nilotinib was tested alone and in combination with 50 mg/kg imatinib (Figure 6). In mice treated for a total of 8 days with vehicle, nilotinib (20 mg/kg), imatinib (50 mg/kg), or a combination of nilotinib and imatinib, lowest tumor burden as measured by bioluminescence was observed to be in the drug combination group (Figure 6D-E). Imatinib as a single agent at 50 mg/kg did not display notable efficacy, whereas nilotinib treatment alone at 20 mg/kg resulted in substantial tumor suppression in this study (Figure 6D-E).

Additional in vivo imaging experiments were carried out in which mice were first sublethally irradiated with 3 Gy prior to tail-vein injection of 32D.p210-luc+ cells in an attempt to increase engraftment and aggressiveness of tumor burden. In the first of these studies, following 5 days of daily treatment, tumor burden remained lower in mice treated with the combination of imatinib (75 mg/kg) and nilotinib (20 mg/kg), compared with mice treated with either vehicle, imatinib alone, or nilotinib alone (Figure 7A-B). Similarly, lower percent spleen weights were observed in mice treated with both BCR-ABL inhibitors, compared with vehicle and either agent alone, following death 10 days after the last imaging day (Figure 7C). The Student t test (type 2 analysis, 2 tails) was performed for statistical evaluation of these results and yielded P ≤ .079 (vehicle versus nilotinib); P ≤ .074 (vehicle versus imatinib); P ≤ .001 (vehicle versus drug combination); P ≤ .095 (drug combination versus imatinib); and P ≤ .001 (drug combination versus nilotinib). Histopathologic analysis of mice used in this experiment showed an apparent absence of leukemic cells in the spleens of several mice treated with both nilotinib and imatinib. These results reflect the positive drug combination effects between nilotinib and imatinib observed in BCR-ABL–expressing cell lines tested in vitro. The Student t test was used for statistical evaluation of this experiment and yielded P ≤ .026 (vehicle versus drug combination on day 7 after intravenous injection); P ≤ .028 (vehicle versus imatinib only on day 7 after intravenous injection); P ≤ .057 (vehicle versus drug combination on day 5 after intravenous injection); and P ≤ .042 (vehicle versus imatinib only on day 5 after intravenous injection).

In another study involving sublethal irradiation of mice prior to cell injection, mice were treated for a total of 5 days with vehicle, nilotinib (15 mg/kg), imatinib (75 mg/kg), or nilotinib combined with imatinib at their respective doses (Figure S4). Again, the lowest tumor burden as assessed by bioluminescence was observed to be in the drug combination group (Figure S4).

The apparent lack of effect of nilotinib observed alone at 15 to 20 mg/kg in experiments involving preirradiation may be due to the influence of sublethal irradiation of mice prior to cell injection, as a correlation was observed in all experiments between the potency of

Figure 6. In vivo effects of the combination of nilotinib (15-20 mg/kg) and imatinib (50 mg/kg) on BCR-ABL–expressing cells in a murine leukemia model. (A) Effects of vehicle, nilotinib alone (15 mg/kg), imatinib alone (50 mg/kg), or a combination of nilotinib and imatinib on growth of 32D.p210-luc+ cells in NCR nude mice. Mice were intravenously injected via tail vein with 800 000 cells/mouse (with no prior sublethal irradiation). Images were taken on day 1 and day 8 after intravenous injection. On day 8 after intravenous injection, mice had received a total of 7 days of treatment with vehicle or drug. Mice were killed, weighed, and preserved for histopathologic analysis approximately 7 days after the last imaging day. Photo images show bioluminescence as seen on the last imaging day. (B) Bioluminescence values plotted as percent of baseline for mice treated with vehicle, nilotinib alone (15 mg/kg), imatinib alone (50 mg/kg), or a combination of nilotinib and imatinib. Vehicle (n = 3), nilotinib alone (n = 3), imatinib alone (n = 3), nilotinib + imatinib (n = 3). (C) Percent spleen weights for 32D.p210-luciferase–injected NCR nude mice treated with nilotinib alone (15 mg/kg), imatinib alone (50 mg/kg), or a combination of nilotinib and imatinib. (D) Effects of nilotinib (20 mg/kg) and imatinib (50 mg/kg), alone and combined, on growth of 32D.p210-luc+ cells in NCR nude mice. Mice for this experiment were injected with 32D.p210-luc+ cells via tail-vein injection (with no prior sublethal irradiation). Images were taken on day 1, day 6, day 8, and day 9 after intravenous injection. By day 9 after intravenous injection, mice had received a total of 8 days of treatment with vehicle or drug. Mice were killed and preserved for histopathologic analysis on day 9 after intravenous injection. Photo images show bioluminescence as seen on the last imaging day. (E) Bioluminescence values are plotted as percent of baseline. Vehicle (n = 2), nilotinib only (n = 2), imatinib only (n = 2), nilotinib + imatinib (n = 2). Where shown, error bars indicate SEM.
nilotinib as a single agent and the inclusion/exclusion of preirradiation. To investigate the effects of gamma irradiation prior to intravenous injection of 32D.p210-luc⁺ cells on leukemia growth and nilotinib responsiveness, we sublethally irradiated a group of 4 mice with a single fraction of 3 Gy prior to being intravenously injected with 600,000 32D.p210-luc⁺ cells. Another group of 4 mice was not irradiated prior to cell injection. Both groups were then treated for a total of 6 days with either vehicle or nilotinib (20 mg/kg); final imaging was performed on postinjection day 7. As shown in Figure 7D-E, there was greater nilotinib responsiveness and less variable final tumor burden within the treatment groups for mice that were not sublethally irradiated prior to cell injections, compared with mice that were sublethally irradiated. Raw bioluminescence values shown in Figure 7F suggest higher tumor engraftment and aggressive tumor growth in mice that were sublethally irradiated prior to cell injection, compared with mice that were not irradiated. These results suggest that the inclusion of irradiation may influence the aggressiveness and pattern of leukemia growth in mice, which may consequently influence measurement of antitumor effects of nilotinib as a single agent.

Histopathologic study of vital organs did not suggest any evidence of gross organ toxicity in any of the imatinib + nilotinib combination studies performed in vivo. We performed additional assays, including investigation of 5 athymic nude mice that were injected with 32D.p210-luc⁺ cells and treated for a total of 1 week with either vehicle (NMP-PEG300) or a combination of imatinib (75 mg/kg) + nilotinib (20 mg/kg). Two mice received vehicle via oral gavage, and 3 mice received imatinib administered via osmotic pump + nilotinib administered via oral gavage. The hematologic profiles between the 2 groups of mice were similar (Figure S5).

Discussion

Imatinib remains a highly effective, frontline therapy for CML. However, the discovery of BCR-ABL point mutations that impede imatinib from effectively inhibiting the activity of BCR-ABL led to the development of second-generation BCR-ABL inhibitors, including nilotinib and the dual Src/Abl inhibitor, dasatinib (BMS-354825).27 Nilotinib, a novel aminopyrimidine ATP-competitive inhibitor of BCR-ABL, has been shown to be at least 20-fold more potent than imatinib in the killing of nonmutated BCR-ABL-expressing cells, and has been demonstrated to inhibit the activity of more than 30 mutant forms of BCR-ABL occurring in imatinib-resistant patients.20,28 Nilotinib is currently in phase 2 clinical trials for imatinib-resistant CML. In recent phase 1 studies performed in parallel at 3 American and European centers that involved nilotinib treatment of mostly advanced-stage, imatinib-resistant CML patients, significant activity was observed.21
Since it is expected that, similar to imatinib, resistance to the second-generation inhibitors will also present a challenge in the treatment of CML and Ph+ ALL, mutagenesis screens designed to identify drug-resistant \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) point mutations have been carried out.\(^{23,29-31} \) Such assays have been performed in an attempt to predict resistance mechanisms for nilotinib and dasatinib, as well as to establish the resistance profiles of the available \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) inhibitors.\(^{23,29-31} \) Although these studies did not consistently identify the same drug-resistant \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) point mutations for imatinib, nilotinib, and dasatinib, it was clear from the results of these studies that all 3 compounds display different mutagenicity profiles.

A combination between any 2 of the 3 inhibitors might be expected to impart significant clinical benefit, as each agent could be effective in suppressing the emergence of \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) point mutants conferring resistance to the other \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) inhibitors. In the case of imatinib-resistant leukemia, because of the nature of resistance mechanisms, such as emergence of drug-resistant clones, it will likely be of significant clinical benefit to simultaneously administer more than one \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) inhibitor to patients as a way to suppress the development of these drug-resistant mutants.

The distinct binding properties of imatinib and the second-generation \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) inhibitors add to the potential of combination therapy. Crystallographic structural studies show that one molecule of \( \text{BCR/ABL} \) could bind only one molecule of imatinib, nilotinib, or dasatinib at a time. Imatinib and nilotinib preferentially bind the kinase in its inactive conformation, while dasatinib can bind to either the active or inactive conformation.

Combinations of different \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) inhibitors, including dasatinib and imatinib, have been effective in reducing the occurrence of drug-resistant mutants.\(^{22,23} \) Similarly, the combination of imatinib with the dual Src/Abl inhibitors AP23848 and dasatinib, respectively, has shown promise in preclinical in vitro studies involving treatment of nonmutated \( \text{BCR-ABL} \)--expressing cells, as well as imatinib-resistant \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) point mutant--expressing cells.\(^{34} \) Imatinib combined with each Src/Abl inhibitor led to enhanced drug effects against nonmutated \( \text{BCR-ABL} \)--expressing cells and the imatinib-resistant \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) point mutant, M351T, which has been found in patients and is located in the SH2 contact region of \( \text{BCR-ABL} \);\(^{35} \) however only slight enhancement of drug effects was observed against more highly imatinib-resistant \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) point mutants such as Y253H and E255K.\(^{34} \) The apparent lack of antagonism observed between imatinib and the 2 Src/Abl inhibitors against these \( \text{BCR-ABL} \)--expressing cell lines was similar to the overall positive cooperation observed between imatinib and nilotinib against many of the imatinib-sensitive and -resistant cell lines that we investigated in the present study. Here, the combination of nilotinib and imatinib yielded additive to synergistic effects against the F317L and M351T mutants across a wide range of concentrations, whereas the F486S mutant was inhibited in a nearly additive fashion by the 2 inhibitors across a more limited range of concentrations. Some enhancement of activity was also observed between nilotinib and imatinib against the highly imatinib-resistant point mutant, E255V.

\( \text{BCR-ABL} \) point mutations occurring in the nucleotide-binding (P) loop of the Abl kinase domain, such as Y253H and E255K, confer imatinib resistance by disrupting the induced-fit binding of imatinib to Abl.\(^{36} \) We examined the combined effects of nilotinib and imatinib against the E255K and Y253H mutants, which in addition to being resistant to imatinib, were also uncovered in a random mutagenesis screen designed to identify nilotinib-resistant mutants.\(^{23} \) Whereas the combination of both Abl inhibitors was nearly additive to synergistic against the E255K mutant using concentrations of imatinib lower than or equal to 10 \( \mu \text{M} \), the combination of the 2 agents using the same therapeutically relevant range of concentrations of imatinib did not display additive-to-synergistic effects against the Y253H mutant.

The T315I mutation, which is believed to cause resistance by sterically inhibiting imatinib, is located within the Abl kinase domain of \( \text{BCR-ABL} \), and is positioned at the periphery of the Abl nucleotide binding site where it forms a direct and critical contact point between \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) and imatinib.\(^{37} \) As has been observed in other studies investigating combination effects of different \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) inhibitors,\(^{32,34} \) the combination of nilotinib and imatinib in the present study was ineffective against the highly imatinib-resistant T315I mutant. These results suggest that combination of nilotinib and imatinib may be most effective against \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) point mutants that respond to physiologically achievable levels of imatinib, and less effective against mutants such as T315I and Y253H that confer high imatinib resistance.

The observed positive cooperativity between imatinib and dasatinib against \( \text{BCR-ABL} \)--expressing cells could be explained in part because dasatinib adds the capability of targeting both the active and inactive conformations of \( \text{BCR-ABL} \), but since this is not true for nilotinib, other mechanisms are likely to apply. For example, recent studies suggest that synergy between nilotinib and imatinib might result from interactions with cell transporters, such as the multidrug efflux transporter ABCG2, which confers resistance to certain anticancer drugs,\(^{38} \) or the organic cation transporter Oct-1, which is important for imatinib influx but not for the uptake of nilotinib.\(^{39} \) Such differential cell transport mechanisms between nilotinib and imatinib could account for synergistic interactions between the 2 agents. It will be important to determine if one drug influences the intracellular concentration, the metabolism, or the affinity of the other drug in order to better understand these results.

While nilotinib could potentially be used as a single agent in selected patients intolerant or resistant to imatinib, alternatively, nilotinib and imatinib could be administered together to achieve higher patient responsiveness and potentially reduce the likelihood of emergence of some \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) mutations conferring resistance to imatinib. Combinations of \( \text{BCR-ABL} \) kinase inhibitors could theoretically be administered on either a sequential, rotating schedule, or administered simultaneously, provided the drugs did not interfere with each other.
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