Differential roles of microtubule assembly and sliding in proplatelet formation by megakaryocytes


Megakaryocytes are terminally differentiated cells that, in their final hours, convert their cytoplasm into long, branched proplatelets, which remodel into blood platelets. Proplatelets elongate at an average rate of 0.85 μm/min in a microtubule-dependent process. Addition of rhodamine-tubulin to permeabilized proplatelets, immunofluorescence microscopy of the microtubule plus-end marker end-binding protein 3 (EB3), and fluorescence time-lapse microscopy of EB3–green fluorescent protein (GFP)–expressing megakaryocytes reveal that microtubules, organized as bipolar arrays, continuously polymerize throughout the proplatelet. In immature megakaryocytes lacking proplatelets, microtubule plus-ends initiate and grow by centrosomal nucleation at rates of 8.9 to 12.3 μm/min. In contrast, plus-end growth rates of microtubules within proplatelets are highly variable (1.5-23.5 μm/min) and are both slower and faster than those seen in immature cells. Despite the continuous assembly of microtubules, proplatelets continue to elongate when net microtubule assembly is arrested. One alternative mechanism for force generation is microtubule sliding. Triton X-100–permeabilized proplatelets containing dynein and its regulatory complex, dynactin, but not kinesin, elongate with the addition of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) at a rate of 0.65 μm/min. Retroviral expression in megakaryocytes of dynamitin (p50), which disrupts dynactin-dynein function, inhibits proplatelet elongation. We conclude that while continuous polymerization of microtubules is necessary to support the enlarging proplatelet mass, the sliding of overlapping microtubules is a vital component of proplatelet elongation. (Blood. 2005;106:4076-4085)
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Introduction

Blood platelets, tiny cells shed by megakaryocytes, circulate throughout blood vessels and survey the integrity of the vascular system. In response to traumatic injuries in which blood vessel continuity is interrupted, platelets bind to exposed collagen, change shape, secrete granule contents, and aggregate with neutrophils to form a hemostatic plug to seal off the damaged blood vessel. The mechanisms by which blood platelets are formed and released from giant precursor cells, called megakaryocytes, in situ remain to be defined. However, the development of megakaryocyte culture systems that produce platelets has provided a means to study the intermediate structures called “proplatelets,” long (up to several millimeters), thin extensions of the megakaryocyte cytoplasm that contain multiple platelet-sized beads along their length. Based on multiple lines of evidence, we have speculated that platelets are not preassembled in the megakaryocyte cytoplasm but instead are constructed de novo, predominantly at the ends of the proplatelets. As predicted, megakaryocytes go to great lengths to amplify the number of proplatelet ends, taking the shaft of each proplatelet and bending it multiple times. Each bend yields a bifurcation in the shaft, generating a new end.

The formation of proplatelets is highly dependent upon a complex network of protein filaments that traverses the megakaryocyte cytoplasm. Microtubules, which are formed when thousands of tubulin molecules assemble into linear filaments, are a major component of this cytoskeletal network and function as the primary motor for proplatelet elongation. High concentrations of microtubule poisons prevent proplatelet elongation and cause extended proplatelets to retract. Deletion of the β1-tubulin gene, which encodes the major β-tubulin isofrom expressed in mouse megakaryocytes, diminishes the capacity of these cells to assemble microtubules, cripples platelet production and release, and results in aberrant platelet morphologies. We have previously described in detail some of the temporal and spatial rearrangements of microtubules that accompany proplatelet formation, which appear to be unique and used only by megakaryocytes to make platelets. The first observable protrusive event in proplatelet elaboration occurs after the bulk movement of microtubules into the cortex of a mature megakaryocyte. Subsequently, broad pseudopodia form and extend from one pole of the megakaryocyte. As proplatelets continue to elongate and narrow, their microtubule bundles align and narrow in the proplatelet shafts. Surprisingly, the arrays of microtubules do not abruptly terminate at the proplatelet tip but instead loop back toward the cell body and reenter the shaft of the proplatelet. The process of amplifying proplatelet ends by...
repeatedly bending and bifurcating the proplatelet shaft is dependent on actin-based forces and is inhibited by the cytochalasins, actin toxins.7,8 These events are highly interrelated, but one can try to dissect their separate mechanisms experimentally. Despite our detailed knowledge of the microtubule architecture within proplatelets, exactly how this cytoskeletal engine reorganizes to power proplatelet elongation is unclear.

In this study, we have focused on how microtubules interact to generate the required forces for proplatelet elongation. Proplatelet elongation occurs as adjacent microtubules slide relative to one another analogous to the way in which a ladder on a fire engine lengthens by sliding of overlapping sections past each other. Polymerization involves extension through the addition of free tubulin dimers to existing microtubules or the de novo formation of new microtubules. We identify the sites of microtubule assembly and directly visualize microtubule dynamics during platelet production using time-lapse video fluorescence microscopy of megakaryocytes directed to express a fluorescent marker of plus-end microtubule growth. Although microtubule plus-ends distribute throughout proplatelets and normally grow both toward and away from the proplatelet tip, we find that microtubule assembly is not required for proplatelet elongation as application of low concentrations of microtubule assembly inhibitors that arrest plus-end growth fail to diminish the rate at which proplatelets are elongated. To dissect apart the contribution of microtubule assembly from that of microtubule sliding in elaborating the proplatelet microtubule array, we studied permeabilized proplatelets. Triton X-100–permeabilized proplatelet shafts remain capable of elongating, and do so in response to the addition of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) in the absence of microtubule growth. Since dynein and the dynactin complexes are intimately associated with proplatelet microtubules, we show evidence for and favor a mechanism that slides overlapping microtubules relative to one another, within the shafts to lengthen proplatelets.

Materials and methods

Materials

Nocodazole, vinblastine, and paclitaxel were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO) and prepared as stock solutions in dimethyl sulfoxide.

Megakaryocyte cultures

Livers were recovered from mouse fetuses and single-cell suspensions were generated using methods described previously.8

DIC microscopy of proplatelet elongation

Megakaryocytes were cultured in Dulbecco Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) within video chambers as described previously.8 Samples were imaged with a Nikon TE-2000 60 × (NA 1.4) DIC objective and captured with an Orca-II ER cooled CCD camera (Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu City, Japan). Illumination was shuttered between exposures. Electronic shutters and image acquisition were under the control of Metamorph software (Universal Imaging Corporation of Molecular Devices, Westchester, PA). Sample temperature was maintained at 37°C using a bipolar temperature controller (Medical Systems, Miami, FL). A stage micrometer was used for measurement of the rate of proplatelet elongation, and length measurements were made using the Metamorph image analysis program. The average length of the proplatelet was determined from recordings spaced between 1 and 5 minutes apart over a period of 20 to 60 minutes.

Quantitation of microtubule polymer levels

Megakaryocyte polymer fractions in control and nocodazole- and vinblastine-treated megakaryocytes were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and immunoblot. Control and drug-treated megakaryocytes were rinsed in PEM (100 mM piperezine diethanesulfonic acid [PIPES], 10 mM EGTA [ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid], and 2 mM MgCl2, pH 7.0) with different doses of drug, and extracted in PEM containing 0.75% Triton X-100 plus Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) for 5 minutes. Samples were centrifuged for 30 minutes at 100 000 g in a centrifuge (model TL-100; Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). The pellet was resuspended with SDS-PAGE sample buffer to the same volume as the supernatant. All samples were incubated at 37°C overnight. An equal volume of each sample was run on SDS-PAGE, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF), and immunoblotted with anti–α-tubulin antibody (Sigma), and the density of the bound antibody was quantitated as previously described.22

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Rabbit anti–end-binding protein 3 (EB3) polyclonal antibody was described previously.23 Mouse anti-p150Glued monoclonal antibody was obtained from Becton Dickinson Biosciences (Franklin Lakes, NJ). Affinity-purified rabbit anti-dynein p50 (UP1097) and anti–dynein intermediate chain polycyalon antibodies were a gift from E. Holzbauer (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA). Mouse monoclonal antiakinesin heavy chain antibody (MAB1614) was obtained from Chemicon (Temecula, CA). Rabbit anti-β1 antisera was a gift from Nick Cowan (New York University Medical Center, NY). Cells were analyzed and photographed on a Zeiss Axiovert 200 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Heidelberg, Germany), as described under “Live cell imaging of EB3-GFP movements.”

Megakaryocytes were fixed on coverslips as described previously. For anti-EB3 immunofluorescence, coverslips were washed with platelet buffer and fixed in freshly prepared −20°C methanol/1 mM EGTA for 10 minutes at −20°C. Megakaryocytes were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100, then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). In experiments where cells were extracted prior to fixation, coverslips were incubated for 5 minutes in a microtubule-stabilizing buffer (PEM) containing 0.5% Triton X-100, washed with PEM buffer and fixed with formaldehyde. Specimens were blocked overnight in PBS with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), incubated in primary antibody for 2 to 3 hours, washed, treated with appropriate secondary antibody for 1 hour, and then washed extensively. Primary antibodies were used at 1 μg/mL in PBS containing 1% BSA and secondary antibodies at 1:500 dilution in the same buffer. Controls were processed identically except for omission of the primary antibody.

Reactivation of elongation in permeabilized megakaryocytes

Proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes were attached to coverslips as described8 and transferred to the microscope for observation. Cells were treated with microtubule stabilization buffer (PEM buffer plus 30 μm paclitaxel), washed with PEMG buffer (PEM buffer supplemented with 0.1 mM guanosine triphosphate [GTP]), permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PMEG buffer supplemented with 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), washed 3 times with PEM buffer, and then incubated in PEM buffer supplemented with 1 mM DTT. Elongation was initiated by adding 0.1 mM ATP in PEM buffer. All nucleotides were used at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 1 mM.

Rhodamine-tubulin incorporation in permeabilized megakaryocytes

Megakaryocytes were attached to 25-mm coverslips as described.8 Cells were briefly washed with platelet buffer, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PMEG buffer with protease inhibitors, and washed with PMEG buffer. Permeabilized proplatelets were incubated for 4 minutes in 20 μM rhodamine-labeled tubulin (The Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO), 20 μM paclitaxel, 0.1 mM GTP in PMEG solution in the absence of ATP. After incubation, unbound tubulin was removed by washing with PMEG buffer containing 20 μM paclitaxel in PMEG buffer.

Materials and methods

Materials

Nocodazole, vinblastine, and paclitaxel were purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO) and prepared as stock solutions in dimethyl sulfoxide.
Expression of constructs

Semliki Forest virus (SFV)–mediated gene delivery was used to express EB3–green fluorescent protein (GFP) in mouse megakaryocytes.13 Cultured megakaryocytes were infected by the addition of 1 μL SFV infectious replicons to 400 μL of day-2.5 cultures. EB3-GFP movements were visualized by fluorescence microscopy 8 to 48 hours after infection. A p50 cDNA (GenBank accession no. HBBF17), kindly provided by E. Holzbaur (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia), was cloned into pWZL plasmids containing the sequence for enhanced GFP using previously described methods.14

Live cell imaging of EB3-GFP movements

Infected megakaryocytes were pipetted onto video chambers maintained at 37°C. Cells were viewed on a Zeiss Axivert 200 microscope equipped with a x 63 objective (NA 1.4) and 1.6 × optivar, and a 100-W mercury lamp adjusted to an intensity of 50%. Images of EB3-GFP movements in megakaryocytes were acquired every 2 to 5 seconds with an average image capture time of 500 milliseconds using an Orca II CCD camera (Hamamatsu). The velocity of EB3-GFP comets was determined by dividing the distances traveled by the time elapsed. We included only comets that could be followed for a minimum of 15 seconds.

Results

Proplatelets elongate at an average rate of 0.85 μm/min

We obtained details of proplatelet elongation using video-enhanced differential-interference-contrast (DIC) microscopy of cultured mouse megakaryocytes (Figure 1; Movie S1, available on the Blood website; see the Supplemental Materials link at the top of the online article). Proplatelet formation begins with the extension of a thick cytoplasmic process that elongates from one pole of the megakaryocyte body. The formation of the initial pseudopodia does not require the addition of any “stimulus” to the culture medium and occurs spontaneously on days 4 to 5 in culture. Proplatelets become thinner as they elongate and taper in diameter at their ends (Figure 1, arrow at 9 minutes). The rate of proplatelet elongation ranged from 0.30 to 1.59 μm/min (mean, 0.85 ± 0.24 μm/min, n = 77).

Visualization of plus-end microtubule growth in megakaryocytes

Proplatelet morphogenesis depends on the elaboration of a dense and highly organized array of microtubules. Microtubules are polar structures: one end, the plus-end, is capable of rapid growth, while the other end, the minus end, tends to lose subunits if not stabilized. Microtubules first collect in the cortex of a mature megakaryocyte, then loop into an initial broad pseudopod. Linear arrays of microtubules align within the shaft as the pseudopod elongates and transforms into a proplatelet. Rather than ending at the proplatelet tip, microtubules instead loop and reenter the shaft.8 Given the importance of microtubule-based forces involved in proplatelet extension and the structural constraints imposed by microtubule organization within proplatelets, we first determined the location of growing microtubule plus-ends within proplatelets using 2 independent approaches. First, proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes were attached to polylysine-coated coverslips, permeabilized with Triton X-100, and incubated with rhodamine-labeled tubulin. This approach preserves the integrity of the microtubule array in proplatelets. As shown in Figure 2A-B, fluorescently labeled tubulin incorporates into distinct foci along the entire length of proplatelets, indicating that microtubule plus-ends exist along their full length.

Second, the growing ends of microtubules in megakaryocytes were highlighted with antibodies against EB3, a plus-end-tracking protein (Figure 2C-D).13,15,16 Figure 2C (inset) shows that mouse megakaryocytes express EB3, a 36-kDa protein, when cell lysates are separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-EB3 antibodies. Immunofluorescence microscopy of proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes with anti-EB3 antibody revealed labeling in both the cell bodies and proplatelets of megakaryocytes (Figure 2C-D). EB3 stained as fluorescent “comets” with bright “fronts” and diffuse “tails” previously described by plus-end markers in other cell types.13,17,19 Clear staining of cometlike dashes was observed along the total length of the proplatelet and in the cell body, which suggests that plus-ends of microtubules are interspersed across the entire cytoplasm of proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes. We were unable to detect the hallmark “starburst” pattern typical of centrosomal nucleation in the cell bodies of proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes, although it is readily observed in megakaryocytes prior to the proplatelet phase; these results indicate that a centrosomal mode of nucleation is absent during proplatelet formation.

To gain information on microtubule plus-end dynamics in real time, EB3-GFP was virally expressed in mouse megakaryocytes, and movements of the fluorescently tagged protein were visualized 8 to 48 hours after infection (Figure 3 and 4A-D). The distribution of EB3-GFP in living cells was similar to that of endogenous EB3 (compare Figure 2C-D and Figure 3A-B). Expression of EB3-GFP had no effect on the rate of proplatelet elongation (0.74 μm/min in EB3-GFP-expressing cells). EB3-GFP appeared as cometlike dashes along the full length of proplatelets, providing direct evidence that microtubule plus-ends are situated throughout the shafts (Figure 3B) and tips (Figure 4B) of proplatelets. Treatment of megakaryocytes with 10 μM nocodazole resulted in a rapid loss of EB3-GFP comets, which indicates that EB3-GFP associates specifically with growing ends of microtubules (data not shown). As observed in the anti-EB3 immunofluorescence experiments, centrosomal nucleation of microtubules was not observed in proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes.

Because the temporal movement of EB3-GFP provides insight into the polarity of individual microtubules within the bundles that...
line proplatelets, we analyzed the direction and velocities of EB3-GFP comets. Figure 3B and Movie S2 demonstrate that EB3-GFP comets move in both directions along proplatelets, and quantitation of the data shows an equal mix of microtubule polarity (51.72% of the EB3-GFP comets moved toward the tip; 48.28% moved away from the tip, n = 90) in proplatelets. Representative examples of comets moving tipward have been highlighted in green and those moving toward the cell body are highlighted in red (Figure 3B). EB3-GFP comets were also observed to travel around the proplatelet tip and reenter the shaft (Figure 4B; Movie S3). EB3-GFP comets within the microtubule coils at the tips of proplatelets moved bidirectionally (arrowhead, clockwise; arrow, counterclockwise) (Figure 4C-D; Movie S4). Although the average rate of comet movement was 8.9 ± 3.9 μm/min (mean ± SD; data from 133 comets in 12 proplatelet-producing cells), velocity rates were quite broad (Figure 3C, white bars), ranging from 3.75 to 24.77 μm/min. No difference was found in the forward or reverse rate of microtubule growth relative to the proplatelet tips, and the growth rates for individual microtubules were always linear. None of the comets moved at a rate equivalent to proplatelet elongation (0.85 μm/min). Taken together, these results suggest that microtubule assembly is not tightly coupled to proplatelet elongation.

The wide distribution of EB3-GFP comet velocities in proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes could be explained by the superposition of microtubule transport on assembly. To investigate this possibility, we analyzed EB3-GFP comet movements in immature megakaryocytes, which use centrosomal nucleation and where plus-end growth rates can be ascribed primarily to microtubule assembly. Immunofluorescence staining with anti-EB3 antibody of proplatelet-containing megakaryocytes gave a labeling pattern that was consistent with centrosomal nucleation (Figure 4E-F). At this stage, megakaryocytes elaborate a well-developed, radial microtubule network with readily discernible tips extending toward the cell periphery. Centrosomal nucleation was confirmed in preproplatelet megakaryocytes that were virally directed to express EB3-GFP and visualized by fluorescence time-lapse microscopy (Figure 4G; Movie S5). The dynamic behavior of EB3-GFP at microtubule plus-ends was displayed by continuous centrifugal movements of the numerous GFP signals. The average rate of EB3-GFP movement during centrosomal nucleation was similar to that observed in proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes (10.2 μm/min ± 0.77, n = 33), but the range of movement was much narrower (8.92-12.28 μm/min), as is expected for a population of microtubules with similar polymerization kinetics. This evidence further supports the idea that the wide distribution of comet velocities observed along proplatelets reflects the process of microtubule sliding superimposed on assembly.

Proplatelets elongate under conditions that arrest net microtubule assembly

The EB3-GFP expression studies strongly suggest that proplatelet elongation is not coupled directly to microtubule assembly. To further test this hypothesis, we sought methods to tease apart the relative contributions of microtubule sliding and microtubule assembly in elaborating the proplatelet microtubule array. To do so, we studied the outgrowth of proplatelets under conditions that arrest microtubule assembly while maintaining substantial levels of preexisting tubulin polymer available for transport via sliding within proplatelets. We reasoned that if proplatelet elongation is driven entirely by polymerization, inhibition should arrest elongation. However, if microtubule sliding is the predominant mechanism for proplatelet elongation, proplatelets should continue to lengthen even after assembly is inhibited. When microtubule poisons are applied to cells at appropriate concentrations, they can act as kinetic stabilizers of microtubules. To investigate the effects of various concentrations of microtubule assembly inhibitors, proplatelet megakaryocytes were cultured in media containing nocodazole at concentrations of 0, 100, 250, and 1000 nM or vinblastine at concentrations of 0, 16, and 50 nM. Twenty hours after plating, cultures were examined with phase-contrast optics and photographed (Figure 5Ai-xii). Megakaryocytes that were plated overnight extended proplatelets in all but the highest drug
concentrations (1 μM nocodazole and 50 nM vinblastine). However, the number and length of proplatelets seen in cultures containing 250 nM nocodazole (Figure 5Aiv-v) or 16 nM vinblastine (Figure 5Aix-xi) were diminished.

To quantify the effects of these drugs on microtubule levels and establish which concentrations block assembly, we compared the levels of polymer in megakaryocytes cultured overnight in the presence of varying concentrations of nocodazole and vinblastine. Figure 5Bi and 5Biii show the effects of increasing concentrations of nocodazole and vinblastine on the microtubule content of proplatelet-producing megakaryocytes. Analysis of tubulin polymer levels in the cytoskeleton (Triton X-100–insoluble fraction) of megakaryocytes, as determined by quantitative immunoblotting, showed that the tubulin polymer level increased from approximately 55% to 81.3% as megakaryocytes formed proplatelets in culture. Megakaryocytes cultured in 100 nM nocodazole still maintained their capacity to increase microtubule polymer levels. However, when the nocodazole concentration was increased to 250 nM, the polymer level remained at a constant 40.6% of the total. As shown in Figure 5Biii, similar experiments with vinblastine showed 16 nM to prevent the net increase in polymer levels that accompany proplatelet elaboration. The ability of these inhibitor concentrations to block net tubulin assembly was confirmed by the rapid loss of comets in EB3-GFP–expressing cells, and similar effects on microtubule levels in megakaryocytes were found after a brief (5 minutes) treatment with 250 nM and 1 μM nocodazole, which suggests that the effect of the inhibitors rapidly comes to equilibrium (data not shown).

The microtubule drug experiments delineated the concentrations of inhibitors required to block net assembly of tubulin in megakaryocytes while maintaining an equal fraction of polymer. Next, we studied the immediate influence of 250 nM nocodazole or 16 nM vinblastine on proplatelet elongation. Proplatelet elongation was followed for 30 minutes prior to and after drug treatment. Figure 5Bii and 5Biv plot the growth of proplatelets over time intervals before and after treatment with 250 nM nocodazole or 16 nM vinblastine. A representative differential-interference-contrast time-lapse sequence of a proplatelet elongating in the presence of 250 nm nocodazole is shown in Movie S6. In all examples studied (n = 15 for each microtubule assembly inhibitor), the rate of proplatelet elongation did not change in the continuous presence of the microtubule inhibitors. These experiments demonstrate that proplatelet elongation does not require the polymerization of new microtubules.

Reactivation of elongation in permeabilized proplatelets

Given that proplatelet elongation is unaffected by inhibitors that block plus-end microtubule assembly, other microtubule-based mechanisms must exist to power proplatelet elongation. Since microtubule sliding is used to drive the motility and extension in a number of cellular processes, we investigated whether proplatelets possess a mechanism to power sliding. Proplatelet-bearing megakaryocytes were permeabilized using 0.5% Triton X-100 in a microtubule-stabilizing buffer, washed, and reactivated by exposure to ATP. Figure 6 shows representative proplatelets before (Figure 6A) and after (Figure 6B) detergent permeabilization. Soluble tubulin was removed by extensive washing with microtubule stabilizing buffer, thus excluding tubulin polymerization as a factor that could contribute to proplatelet elongation. These permeabilized, washed proplatelets were stable for more than an hour. Figure 6C and Movie S7 show a permeabilized proplatelet that, despite being tethered at both ends, grew rapidly in length following the addition of 1 mM ATP. In this example, the proplatelet increased its length by approximately 2.5 μm in 225 seconds. In all the examples studied, permeabilized and ATP-treated proplatelets achieved average elongation rates of 0.65 ± 0.13 μm/min (n = 8) that were sustained for several
minutes. In other preparations, immediately after adding ATP, microtu-
bules were rapidly extruded from the ends of proplatelets (Figure S1 and
Movie S8). Nonhydrolyzable nucleotide analogues such as adenyl
imidodiphosphate (AMPPNP) or adenosine 5'-O-(3-thiotriphosphate)
(ATP-S), or the addition of tubulin and GTP, did not permit proplatelet
elongation. These findings demonstrate that proplatelet microtubules
can slide past one another to power elongation.

The dynein and dynactin complex localize to
proplatelet microtubules

Having demonstrated that proplatelet microtubules can undergo
sliding, we addressed the nature of the motors that power such
movement. Mouse megakaryocytes at different stages of matura-
tion were stained with antibodies against kinesin, dynein, and
dynactin, the multisubunit complex that is required for most, if not
all, types of cytoplasmic dynein activity (Figure 7). Antikinesin antibody
mainly recognizes a 124-kDa band corresponding to kinesin heavy
chain in rat brain and mice megakaryocytes. Kinesin and dynein localize to different structures
in the megakaryocytes; antibodies to kinesin heavy chain label
punctate structures in both the cell body (Figure 7B) and proplate-
lets (Figure 7D) but do not label proplatelet elongation. These findings demonstrate that proplatelet microtubules
can slide past one another to power elongation.

The dynein and dynactin complex localize to
proplatelet microtubules

Having demonstrated that proplatelet microtubules can undergo
sliding, we addressed the nature of the motors that power such
movement. Mouse megakaryocytes at different stages of matura-
tion were stained with antibodies against kinesin, dynein, and
dynactin, the multisubunit complex that is required for most, if not
all, types of cytoplasmic dynein activity (Figure 7). Antikinesin antibody
mainly recognizes a 124-kDa band corresponding to kinesin heavy
chain in rat brain and mice megakaryocytes. Kinesin and dynein localize to different structures
in the megakaryocytes; antibodies to kinesin heavy chain label
punctate structures in both the cell body (Figure 7B) and proplate-
lets (Figure 7D) but do not label proplatelet microtubule bundles,
while cytoplasmic dynein or dynactin antibodies strongly stain the
microtubule bundles of the proplatelets (Figure 7E,H). The cell
bodies stain with a diffuse, cytoplasmic pattern. At high magnifica-
tion, the staining pattern of antibodies to dynactin p50 (Figure
7C,G) or dynein intermediate chain reveal linear rows of small foci
throughout the proplatelet processes (Figure 7E, inset). Antibodies
to dynactin p50 also robustly stain teardrop-shaped structures at the
tips of proplatelets that we have shown to correspond to microtu-
bules as they grow from the centrosome (Movie S4). Translocations of EB3-GFP in the cell cortex, parallel to
the plasma membrane, are also apparent. Elapsed time
is in seconds.

Figure 4. EB3-GFP comet movements in living
megakaryocytes. (A-D) EB3-GFP comet movements
within the tips of proplatelets. (A) First frame of a
time-lapse sequence shown in Movie S3. (C) First frame
of a time-lapse sequence shown in Movie S4. (B,D)
Kymograph of EB3 around proplatelet tips. In the first
example, EB3-GFP comets enter the proplatelet tip,
circle its periphery, and then reenter the shaft of the
proplatelet. Images are every 5 seconds. In the second
example, EB3-GFP comets move around the periphery
of the tip in both directions (arrowhead highlights clock-
wise movement; arrow highlights counterclockwise move-
ment). Images are every 2 seconds. Comets also move
into the tip on microtubules that end abruptly. The scale
bar represents 5 μm. (E-G) Location and dynamics of
microtubule plus-ends in representative preproplatelet
megakaryocytes. (E-F) Anti-EB3 immunofluorescence of
preproplatelet-megakaryocytes. Cells at this stage of
maturation have a radial array of microtubules that
emanate from the centrosome. EB3-GFP comets con-
centrate near the centrosome and are on the plus-ends
of microtubules that radiate outward. Field width, 20 μm.
(G) Dynamics of EB3-GFP comets in a megakaryocyte
lacking proplatelets. This sequence of images shows
EB3-GFP to concentrate on the plus-ends of microtu-
bules as they grow from the centrosome (Movie S4).
Translocations of EB3-GFP in the cell cortex, parallel to
the plasma membrane, are also apparent. Elapsed time
is in seconds.
along the length of the proplatelet microtubule array. This localization pattern would be consistent with a model in which dynein could be anchored along microtubules and provide the force for sliding. To determine if this is indeed the case, unfixed cultured cells were lysed in a microtubule-stabilizing buffer containing the nonionic detergent Triton X-100 (0.5%), known to dissolve virtually all cytoplasmic, membrane-bounded organelles.25 After exposure to the detergent, the cells were fixed and double-labeled with motor-specific antibody and antitubulin antibody to ensure preservation of the microtubules. Nearly all of the kinesin immunoreactive material detected in unextracted cells (Figure 7D) was removed by the detergent treatment (Figure 7J). This supports their identification as membrane-bounded organelles. In contrast, the anti-dynein intermediate chain immunoreactive structures were still observed in Triton X-100–extracted cells, which implies that they are not localized to membrane-bounded organelles but, instead, intimately associated with the microtubule arrays (Figure 7L–M).

**Disruption of dynein function by expression of the dynamitin (p50) subunit inhibits proplatelet elongation**

To directly test the hypothesis that dynein contributes to proplatelet elongation, we disrupted dynein function in living megakaryocytes and investigated the effect on proplatelet elongation. To disrupt dynein function, we retrovirally directed megakaryocytes to express the dynamitin (p50) subunit of dynactin fused to GFP. Overexpression of dynamitin (p50), which results in the dissociation of the p150 subunit from the dynactin complex, has previously been demonstrated to disrupt dynein function in a number of cellular processes,26-30 including axonal outgrowth in cultured cells.31 When analyzed by fluorescence microscopy, the overexpressed p50-GFP was found diffusely throughout the cytoplasm of infected megakaryocytes (Figure 8E-G). Approximately 54% of the megakaryocytes in culture exhibited detectable fluorescence. In nearly all cases, megakaryocytes expressing dynamitin p50-GFP exhibited a striking phenotype of short pseudopodia extending from the cell body that appeared to be arrested in elongation (Figure 8E-G). Approximately 55% of the megakaryocytes in culture exhibited detectable fluorescence. In nearly all cases, megakaryocytes expressing dynamitin p50-GFP exhibited a striking phenotype of short pseudopodia extending from the cell body that appeared to be arrested in elongation (Figure 8E-G).

**Discussion**

Platelet formation by megakaryocytes is a complex process that appears to be unique in cell biology. Maturation culminates in an exquisite series of events that ends the megakaryocyte’s life as it...
converts its entire cytoplasm first into proplatelets and then into platelets. Proplatelets elongate from the megakaryocyte using bundles of microtubules. The first insights into the cytoskeletal mechanics of platelet formation date from the work of Tablin et al, who showed that proplatelet elongation is dependent on microtubules. We now know that microtubules first consolidate in the cortex of the maturing megakaryocyte and, in a mechanism that is poorly understood, cause the cytoplasm to bulge locally. The resulting pseudopod then elongates as its shaft thins into a uniform cylindrical tube characteristic of proplatelets. At the base of the proplatelet, the microtubule bundle is thicker and contains more filaments than at the tip. Since proplatelets can reach a length of several millimeters, it seems unlikely that individual microtubules can span the full distance from cell body to proplatelet tip. Our current experiments support this notion and further demonstrate that microtubule ends are dispersed throughout these bundles. The precise length of individual microtubules is likely to be heterogeneous and remains to be determined. Near the end of the proplatelet, the bundle contains no more than 10 to 20 microtubules that extend into the bulbous tip before making a U-turn and reentering the proplatelet shaft. Therefore, the proplatelet end contains cross-polarized portions of the same bundle. Since plus-ends were found in the bulbous tips of the proplatelets and grew in opposing directions, the polarity of microtubules in each bundle is mixed.
Our findings suggest that megakaryocytes use and superimpose 2 separate microtubule-based activities to elongate proplatelets. First, as demonstrated by the movement of EB3-GFP comets that mark the growing plus-ends of microtubules, proplatelet microtubules are in a continuous state of growth. This reflects either rapid microtubule turnover or the need to maintain a steady supply of new microtubules to sustain the increasing mass of elongating proplatelets. If the rate of EB3-GFP comet movement solely reflects the rate of microtubule assembly, then we would expect all elongating microtubules to move at a similar rate. In contrast, microtubule transport driven by sliding of bipolar bundles would lead to variable rates of movement of microtubule plus-ends. We find that the rates of microtubule growth in megakaryocytes depend on the maturation state of the cells. Prior to proplatelet morphogenesis, megakaryocytes use centrosomal nucleation to form radiating arrays of microtubules and display microtubule growth rates of approximately 10.2 μm/min, with tight clustering of all rates around this value. Once proplatelet outgrowth begins, however, measured plus-end growth rates become highly variable and range from 2 to 24 μm/min. Moreover, the distribution of plus-end assembly rates in proplatelets is potentially bimodal, in marked contrast to proplatelet megakaryocytes. Hence, proplatelets house distinct populations of microtubules, which appear to grow 4 to 5 μm/min slower and 4 to 5 μm/min faster than those observed in cells that lack proplatelets. These deviations from the norm are best explained by microtubule sliding movements superimposed on plus-end growth.

Indeed, in permeabilized cells, ATP activates a sliding mechanism that elongates proplatelets in the absence of polymer growth. Proplatelet residues in this experimental system have the motor protein dynein and its regulator dynactin associated with them, and sliding of growing microtubules relative to one another fully explains the broad range of plus-end assembly rates we record in proplatelets. Our results imply that adjacent microtubules within a bundle may slide toward either the cell body or the proplatelet tip. Furthermore, since microtubules appear to be arranged with mixed polarity, microtubule growth events could similarly occur toward either the cell body or the proplatelet tip. Thus, as we observe, microtubule growth superimposed on microtubule sliding should result in a wide range of plus-end assembly rates; a microtubule polymerizing toward the proplatelet tip but sliding toward the cell body would appear to grow at a diminished rate compared with one that is both polymerizing and sliding in the same direction. This model also explains why proplatelet growth can be uncoupled temporally from microtubule assembly, using a pharmacologic regime of plus-end growth inhibitors, without affecting the underlying continuum of proplatelet elongation. We propose that the microtubule-based mechanisms that power extension can also explain many aspects of platelet release and the process of mechanical coiling that produces the marginal microtubule band. In particular, sliding of an uncoiled portion of a microtubule relative to the rigid microtubule bundle in the proplatelet tip would provide a simple mechanism to achieve platelet release.

In previous studies using EB3-GFP, as well as our current studies on young megakaryocytes, rates of plus-end microtubule growth appear to be cell-type specific. Growth rates could be controlled by microtubule end–associated proteins that regulate tubulin access and/or by tubulin dimer supply. While this difference could be explained by spatial variations in tubulin supply along the length of the proplatelet, we favor the idea that it is a consequence of plus-end assembly being overlaid on microtubule sliding. There is no relationship between EB3’s position in the proplatelet and growth rate, nor is the plus-end growth rate influenced by the directions of microtubule growth (ie, toward or away from the cell body). Compared with plus-end growth rates during the centrosomal phase, those in proplatelets may slow down or accelerate by approximately 3 to 5 μm/min. This finding indicates that individual microtubules slide relative to one another at approximately 4 μm/min in either direction. The most likely microtubule motor protein candidate to achieve this sliding is dynein, which associates with microtubule bundles in proplatelets, and as supported by our experiments with p50 dynactin expression in megakaryocytes. However, it is possible that other microtubule-based motors contribute to elongation. How might dynein generate outward protrusive movement within the context of microtubule bundles of mixed polarity? The likely explanation is that sliding occurs equally in both directions but translates exclusively into outward protrusive movements because the cell body resists inward sliding forces. Alternatively, positional heterogeneity in microtubule cross-linking could influence the efficiency and direction of net movement if cross-linking between microtubules is greatest near the cell body. However, the sliding of microtubule bundles away from the proplatelet tip is not just an awkward phenomenon that requires explanation. Rather, it may be an integral feature of the process by which proplatelet ends are amplified to enhance the platelet output. Sliding of microtubules away from the cell body may thus fulfill 2 related needs. First, it could create new points of proplatelet bifurcation. Second, sliding in this direction would serve to meet the steady demand for microtubules from the repeated branching that occurs over the entire length of individual proplatelets.
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