Defective DNA mismatch repair in acute myeloid leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome after organ transplantation
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Immunosuppression after organ transplantation is an acknowledged risk factor for skin cancer and lymphoma. We examined whether there was also an excess of leukemia in patients after transplantation and whether this might be related to a particular immunosuppressive treatment. Data from more than 170,000 patients indicated that organ transplantation is associated with a significantly increased risk for acute myeloid leukemia (AML). AML was more frequent after heart transplantation and lung transplantation than after kidney transplantation and was associated with immunosuppression by azathioprine, a thiopurine prodrug. Cellular resistance to thiopurines is associated with DNA mismatch repair (MMR) deficiency. We demonstrate that thiopurine treatment of human cells in vitro selects variants with defective MMR. Consistent with a similar selection in patient bone marrow, in 7 of 7 patients, transplant-related AML/myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) exhibited the microsatellite instability (MSI) that is diagnostic for defective MMR. Because MSI occurs infrequently in de novo AML, we conclude that the selective proliferation of MMR-defective, azathioprine-resistant myeloid cells may contribute significantly to the development of AML/MDS in patients who have received organ transplants. Identifying azathioprine as a risk factor for AML/MDS suggests that discontinuing the use of azathioprine as an immunosuppressant might reduce the incidence of posttransplantation AML/MDS. (Blood. 2004;104: 822-828)
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Introduction

The immunosuppression required to prevent the rejection of a transplanted organ is associated with an increased risk for cancer. Skin carcinoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and other cancers are more frequent among transplant recipients. Part of the increased cancer risk reflects immunosuppression and is paralleled by a high incidence of malignancies in HIV-immunocompromised patients (for reviews see Penn and Mueller). Long-term treatment with drugs may also be a risk factor for cancer. The ability of therapeutic drugs to inflict DNA damage may contribute to the increasing incidence of therapy-related malignancy, particularly therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia/myelodysplastic syndrome (tAML/MDS) (for a review, see Leone et al).

Azathioprine is a thiopurine prodrug that is related to 6-mercaptopurine and 6-thioguanine (6-TG). Azathioprine is widely used as an immunosuppressant in recipients of organ transplant, often in conjunction with cyclosporin A and steroids. Although the mechanism of action of the thiopurines is not completely understood despite more than 3 decades of clinical use, the cell’s DNA mismatch repair (MMR) system is known to be an important cofactor. It is widely accepted that the formation of thiopurine nucleotides and the eventual incorporation of 6-TG into DNA under the cytotoxicity and therapeutic effect of thiopurines (for a review, see McLeod et al). Although substituting DNA with 6-TG is not, in itself, particularly detrimental, nonenzymatic methylation of DNA 6-TG generates DNA lesions. The rare DNA 6-thymine glycosylase (6-meTG) bases are then processed by MMR. MMR-dependent processing is linked to apoptosis, and inactivation of repair provides an escape from thiopurine-induced cell death. Thus, MMR-deficient cells can tolerate 6-meTG in their DNA, and their resistance to killing by 6-TG is well documented. MMR performs similar lethal processing on the structurally related DNA base, 6-meG, that is produced when cells are treated with alkylating agents. Repair defective cells are also tolerant to N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (MNU), N-methyl-N-nitro-N-nitosoguanidine, and their clinical counterparts, such as temozolomide (for a review, see Karran).

The principal function of MMR is to edit replication and to reverse DNA polymerase errors. Most correction events in human cells involve 2 heterodimers: hMutS, comprising the hMSH2 and hMSH6 proteins, and hMutLo (hMLH1 and hPMS2). Both complexes participate in locating and replacing mismatched DNA tracts (for a review, see Buermeyer et al), and both are required for the toxicity of DNA 6-meTG and 6-meG. Inactivation of any of the 4 constituent proteins confers substantial resistance to 6-TG and to alkylating agents.

Inactivation of MMR greatly increases spontaneous mutation rates. This mutator effect is observed as microsatellite instability (MSI+), an accumulation of frameshiftlike mutations that change the lengths of DNA regions comprising extended tracts of mononucleotides or dinucleotides. MSI+ is diagnostic for defective
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MMR and is associated with familial and sporadic malignancy. It is widespread in certain types of cancer—for example, colorectal and endometrial carcinoma—but is rare in many others, including primary leukemia. Increasing evidence indicates, however, that MSI is common in AML/MDS that develops secondary to cancer therapy. In experimental model systems, methylating agents are used to select drug-resistant, MMR-defective variants from sensitive cell populations. Cells with defective hMLH1, hPMS2, hMSH6, or hMSH2 have been selected from human cell cultures using MNU or N'-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitosoguanidine. These variants display the properties of cells derived from MMR-deficient tumors. In particular, they are MMR-stable, resistant to 6-TG, and cannot correct DNA mispairs in biochemical assays. In many cases, they do not express one of the key MMR proteins. Our hypothesis is that selection for drug resistance may be a factor in the development of AML/MDS. Here, we adduce evidence that thiopurine treatment of repair-proficient cultured human cells selects drug-resistant clones that are MMR deficient. Third, we demonstrate that the characteristic MMR phenotype of MMR-defective cells occurs frequently in AML/MDS in recipients of organ transplants.

**Patients, materials, and methods**

**Population studies**

**AML incidence.** Since 1985, information on recipients of organ transplants, including details of treatment, has been collected from more than 300 transplantation centers participating in the Collaborative Transplant Study. Data from clinical follow-up, including cancer development, were obtained at 3, 6, and 12 months after transplantation and at annual intervals thereafter. All cancer incidence data were verified annually by means of a questionnaire. Only data on AML or MDS that arose during the retention of a functional graft have been included. Incidence data for AML were compared using Kaplan-Meier analysis. Data for expected AML incidence were obtained from a cohort of identical size, matched for age and sex, from the Cancer Incidence in Five Continents standard. This cohort was monitored for the same duration as the transplant cohort. The most appropriate regional reference registry was used for each transplant patient.

**Data.** Data collection and processing were approved by the Data Protection Authority in Germany, and all participating centers complied with local ethical and privacy legislation.

**Cell culture studies**

**Cell culture and drug treatment.** MNU and O'-benzylguanine (O'-bzGua) were gifts from Professor Peter Swann (University College London, London, United Kingdom) and Dr Jurgen Thomale (University of Essen, Essen, Germany). DLD-1; SW48, HCT116, A2780 (obtained from Professor Robert Brown; Cancer Research UK Beaston Research Institute, Glasgow, United Kingdom), and its hMLH1-defective variant, A2780MNU1, were cultured as described. A subclone (A2780SCAS5) of A2780 was isolated and grown in medium containing 100 μM hypoxanthine (HPRT). 400 mM aminopterin, and 160 μM thymidine (HAT) for 10 days to remove preexisting 6-TG resistant HPRT mutants. Then, 200 to 500 purged A2780SCAS5 cells were seeded in normal medium into five 25-cm² flasks and treated with 6-TG (100 ng/mL) for 7 days. The 6-TG concentration was then increased to 400 ng/mL and to 1 μg/mL 14 days later. After 1 month of continuous proliferation in 1 μg/mL 6-TG, the contents of each flask were cloned and screened for growth in HAT and MNU resistance. Throughout the culture, cells were maintained at subconfluence.

**Screening for methylation tolerance.** Clones were screened for HAT and MNU resistance. Each clone (200 cells) was plated in duplicate into 6-well plates. One well was supplemented with HAT. To the other, O'-bzGua (25 μM) was added to inactivate the O'-benzylguanine–DNA methyltransferase that confers MNU resistance, followed 2 hours later by MNU (200 μM). Colonies were scored 10 days later. Under these conditions, survival of A2780SCAS5 is less than 1% and survival of MMR-defective A2780MNU1 is greater than 95%. Wells containing more than 40 colonies (more than 50% survival) were designated MNU resistant. Appropriate clones were retrieved from frozen stocks for further investigation. Cloning efficiency and growth rates in normal and HAT medium were similar for parental cells and drug-resistant clones.

**Cell survival.** Sensitivity to 6-TG and MNU was determined by clonal assay. Briefly, exponentially growing cells were seeded into 6-well plates and were treated with MNU in the presence of O'-bzGua (25 μM). For 6-TG the cells were continuously exposed to drug. Colonies were scored after 7 days' growth. Fold resistance was determined from the ratio of D₃₇ (the drug concentration required to reduce survival to 37%) doses.

**Mismatch repair assays.** DNA prepared from resistant clones was analyzed by reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for the expression of 5 MMR genes, and the levels of the hMLH1, hPMS2, hMSH2, and hMSH6 proteins were determined by Western blotting, as previously described.

Cell extracts were assayed for MMR. A 4.47-kilobase (kb) nicked circular DNA substrate containing a single C/T mispair was incubated with cell extract, recovered, and digested with MluI. Digestion products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. Uncorrected DNA contains a single MluI site; restitution of a C/G base pair by MMR creates a second MluI site, and the appearance of a 3.9-kb digestion product is diagnostic for repair.

**Posttransplantation AML/MDS studies**

**Patient material.** Bone marrow aspirates were examined after staining with May-Grünwald-Giemsa and Perl stains. Diagnosis of AML/MDS was based on the French-American-British (FAB) criteria. Explanted organs, bone marrow clots, and trephine biopsy samples were fixed in 10% formal saline and decalcified in EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid). Histologic specimens were embedded in paraffin wax. Sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin for cell morphology. Reticulin content of trephine biopsy samples was assessed by the method of Gordon and Sweets. Marrow clots were stained for iron using Perl Prussian blue. Full local research ethics approval was obtained from Harefield Hospital, and patient material was kept anonymous. Written consent was obtained from the 2 surviving patients.

**Microsatellite analysis.** DNA was recovered from paraffin block sections using the DNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The standard microsatellites BAT26, BAT25, D2S123, D17S250, D5S346, and BAT40 were amplified by PCR using fluorescent primers. Products were analyzed on an ABI Prism 377 Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, United Kingdom).

**Results**

**AML in recipients of transplants**

Organ transplantation was associated with an increased risk for AML (Figure 1). Thirty-seven of 31,691 recipients of heart or lung (or both) transplants and 56 of 153,528 recipients of renal transplants had AML. The relative risks for AML in transplant recipients compared with controls matched for age, sex, and geographic origin were 5.5 (95% confidence interval [CI], 4.0–7.7; P < .0001) for heart/lung patients (Figure 1A) and 2.1 (95% CI, 5.5–11.2; P = 0.0005) for renal patients.
1.6-2.7; $P < .0001$) for kidney patients (Figure 1B). Transplant-related AML was more common in men. After heart/lung transplantation, 89.6% of patients in whom AML developed were male. For kidney transplantation, the figure was 63.7%. It seems likely that this bias simply reflects the predominance of men among transplant recipients (76.4% for heart/lung; 61.6% for kidney) (G.O. and Collaborative Transplant Study, unpublished data, January 2004). These figures refer only to AML and do not include patients with MDS. Because MDS generally precedes AML in this patient population, these are minimum values. (MDS data were excluded from this analysis because expected values cannot be computed from the Cancer Incidence in 5 Continents database. For all other analyses, we used the combined incidence of AML/MDS). It is notable that the excess of AML was less marked during the first 3 to 4 years after transplantation and that the observed incidence diverged sharply from the expected incidence thereafter. This apparent lag period distinguishes AML from the more common transplant-related non-Hodgkin lymphomas in which incidence is highest during the first posttransplantation year, suggesting that these secondary malignancies develop by different mechanisms.

Most (90% of heart/lung and 60% of kidney transplant) patients had received the standard immunosuppression by azathioprine with or without cyclosporin A and steroids. The frequency of AML/MDS was approximately correlated with azathioprine dosage at 1 year after transplantation, when the dose is usually stable. The effect was particularly marked for recipients of heart/lung transplants (Figure 2A).

The incidence of AML/MDS was significantly higher in patients who received 2.0 to 3.0 mg/kg per day compared with the group who received less than 1.0 mg/kg per day ($P = .031$, weighted regression analysis). A similar trend was apparent for recipients of kidney transplants (Figure 2B), though it fell short of statistical significance ($P = .136$).

Mismatch repair defects in thiopurine-resistant cells

We examined the properties of human cells that had been selected for resistance to 6-TG treatment. Given that the myeloid precursor cells that probably represent the true target cell population for tAML/MDS are experimentally intractable, we used the A2780 cell line as a model. These cells are widely used in drug-resistance studies. They are HPRT- and, therefore, are capable of salvaging 6-TG for incorporation into DNA. They are sensitive to methylating agents and to 6-TG. A subclone, A2780SCA5, was used to investigate the development of thiopurine resistance in vitro after exposure to escalating concentrations of 6-TG. After treatment, surviving A2780SCA5 cells grew at normal rates, even in the presence of 6-TG. Approximately 30 clones from each of 5 cultures were screened for HPRT deficiency and for resistance to MNU. All clones grew in HAT medium, indicating functional HPRT; most (140 of 154) were cross resistant to MNU.

MMR gene expression was analyzed in representative clones (Figure 3A). Most expressed detectable hMLH1, hMLH1, hPMS2, hMSH6, and hPMS1 mRNAs. However, hMLH1 mRNA was absent or diminished in several clones. Two examples of independent, nonexpressing clones, JA5 and JA8, are included in Figure 3A.

Independent clones that did not express measurable hMLH1 mRNA were analyzed further. The hMLH1 protein was not detectable in extracts of numerous clones, including JA5 and JA8 (Figure 3B and data not shown) but was present in extracts of parental A2780SCA5 cells. hPMS2, the heterodimer partner of hMLH1, was also undetectable in JA5 and JA8 extracts. hPMS2 is unstable in the absence of hMLH1, and this finding is consistent with a primary defect in hMLH1 gene expression in JA5 and JA8. The hMSH2 and hMSH6 proteins were present at similar levels in parental, JA5, and JA8 cells (Figure 3B).

Biochemical assays and microsatellite analysis confirmed that JA5 and JA8 were MMR defective. Unlike parental A2780SCA5 cell extracts, JA5 and JA8 cell extracts did not correct a C/T mispair in a standard substrate (Figure 3C). Analysis of the recommended panel of 5 mononucleotide and dinucleotide repeat microsatellites in JA5 and JA8 subclones, isolated after continuous growth for approximately 50 generations, confirmed an MSI+ phenotype. Two or more loci were altered in 14 (50%) of 28 JA5 subclones and in 20 (71%) of 28 JA8 subclones (data not shown). JA5 and JA8 exhibited stable resistance to methylating agents and thiopurines. They were, respectively, 39- and 34-fold more resistant to MNU and 6.7- and 5.4-fold more resistant to 6-TG than the parental A2780SCA5 cells (Figure 3D). In this regard, JA5 and JA8 resemble A2780MNU1, a previously characterized MMR-defective A2780 variant. Both clones also exhibited a 6-fold increased resistance to 6-mercaptopurine, but their sensitivity to cyclosporin A or prednisolone was unchanged (less than 2-fold difference; data not shown).
Microsatellite instability in transplant-related AML/MDS

MSI was analyzed in 7 recipients of organ transplants who had AML or MDS. Available treatment histories are presented in Table 1.

Six patients received thoracic organ (3 heart, 1 heart/lung, 2 lung) transplants. The seventh patient had received a cadaver kidney on 2 occasions. All had been treated with azathioprine (Table 1). Cytogenetic analysis at diagnosis revealed 3 examples of loss of all or part of chromosome 5, 7, or both. For patients 1 to 6, a biopsy sample of the explanted tissue was available as a source of matched nontumor DNA. The standard panel of microsatellites was analyzed, together with an additional mononucleotide repeat, BAT40. Examples are shown in Figure 4, and the results are summarized in Table 2. Two or more microsatellites were altered in each of the 6 bone marrow samples that were compared at all loci. These met the criteria for MSI positivity. There was also evidence of instability at BAT26 and BAT25, but not at BAT40, in the AML patient for whom there was no matched tissue. This was also designated MSI+ based on widely accepted criteria. The caspase 5 gene contains an A10 repeat that is frequently mutated in MMR-defective tumors. Two of the 7 AML patients had a heterozygous –1 base deletion in this sequence (A/ΔA10; Table 2), confirming their MSI+ status. Thus, 7 of 7 transplant-related AML/MDS patients were MSI+. This differs significantly from the frequency (0 of 28) of MSI+ we previously reported in patients with de novo leukemia (P < .0001; Fisher exact test). A precise estimate of the frequency of MSI+ in transplant-related AML/MDS must await the analysis of a larger number of cases. Our findings suggest, however, that the incidence is high and that often AML/MDS associated with organ transplantation is likely to be defective in MMR.

Discussion

There are sporadic case reports of AML/MDS in recipients of organ transplants (see, for example, Ferry et al37 and Subar et al38), and Huebner et al19 have described 5 within a single institution. Our analysis of more than 170 000 patients provides unequivocal evidence that organ transplantation and long-term immunosuppression are associated with an increased risk for this disease. AML was less common in recipients of kidneys, though it was still significantly more frequent than in controls who did not undergo transplantation. This is consistent with previous findings of a generally lower incidence of cancer in recipients of kidney transplants. Possible links between immunosuppressive drug dosage and non-Hodgkin lymphoma in the 2 groups have been discussed. Diagnostic and therapeutic radiation is also a potential risk factor for tAML/MDS, and there is evidence that radiotherapy might increase the risk for chemotheraphy-related AML/MDS (discussed in Pagano et al48). Although the Collaborative Transplant Study registry does not provide detailed information about posttransplantation radiation exposure, recipients of thoracic organ transplants generally undergo more diagnostic radiation procedures, such as coronary angiography. In addition, a small fraction of patients receive therapeutic total lymphoid irradiation (TLI) for refractory rejection. The finding that 2 of 5 recipients of thoracic organ transplants who acquired tAML/MDS had undergone TLI is consistent with the increased risk and suggests that a more detailed investigation of the role of radiation as a cofactor in chemotherapy-related tAML/MDS might be warranted.

Some evidence links thiouracil treatment to the development of AML/MDS. Patients with low thiouracil methyltransferase (TPMT) activity cannot catabolize thiouracils efficiently. When they are treated with thiouracils, they accumulate abnormally high levels of the thiouguanine nucleotides that are the precursors of DNA damage. These patients have significantly increased risk for tAML/MDS after thiouracil treatment,41 suggesting that thiouracil-induced DNA damage may contribute to cancer development. tAML/MDS also occurs in patients treated with thiouracils for nonmalignant conditions (see, for example, Krishnan et al42 and Heizer and Peterson43; for a review, see Karran et al44). Immunosuppression by thiouracils might contribute to AML/MDS simply by providing a permissive environment for the clonal expansion of
altered cells, but it does not provide a ready explanation for the high frequency of MSI in our transplant-related cases. To date, apart from de novo AML in elderly patients,45 reports of MSI in AML/MDS have been largely confined to cases secondary to alkylating agent treatment. Thiopurines and alkylating agents differ markedly in their structures and mechanisms of action, but they share the ability to produce DNA damage that interacts with MMR. The cross-resistance of MMR-deficient cells to both types of drug is well established, and this provides a plausible link between transplant- and chemotherapy-related MSI in AML/MDS. In addition to a high frequency of MSI, alkylation- and immunosuppression-related AML/MDS share other features that are consistent with a common cause. For example, loss of all or part of chromosome 5 or 7 is often apparent in AML/MDS secondary to alkylating agents.5,46 Deletions affecting the same chromosomes are frequently noted in case reports of solid organ transplant–related AML/MDS. Interestingly, in 3 of our 6 patients who underwent thoracic transplantation and acquired AML/MDS and for whom cytogenetic data were available, chromosome 5 or 7 was involved. None of these patients had been treated with alkylating agents.

Alkylating agents and thiopurines also share a dose-limiting myelotoxicity.47,48 Treatment with these drugs will, therefore, recapitulate in the bone marrow the conditions that we have shown favor the outgrowth of MMR-deficient cultured cells. Recent evidence indicates that this selection can be effected in situ in myeloid cells. Alkylating agent treatment enriches the fraction of repair-defective cells in mouse bone marrow reconstituted with mixtures of normal and msh2–/– cells.49 Similar experiments have not been carried out with thiopurines, though their attested myelotoxicity suggests that azathioprine treatment is also likely to provide a considerable selective pressure for the emergence of MMR-defective myeloid cell clones. The expansion of an MMR-deficient clone represents an early step in the development of MSI in AML/MDS. Progression to

### Table 1. MSI analysis of patients with AML/MDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at MDS, y</th>
<th>Transplant type (year)</th>
<th>Explant histology</th>
<th>Clinical details</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Bone marrow findings</th>
<th>Cytogenetic analysis</th>
<th>Aza, mo</th>
<th>Total Aza, mg/kg</th>
<th>Other treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>68             OCT (1995)</td>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>Jan 2002 Pancytopenia</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Jan 2002 Trilineage MDS (18% blasts)</td>
<td>del (6q), del (7q)</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>Steroids, FK506, ATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60             OCT (1995)</td>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>1999 Acute gum swelling</td>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>Jun 1999 AML (FAB type M4Eo)</td>
<td>del (16q)</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>CyA, steroids, ATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>72             OCT (1986)</td>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>1994 Pancytopenia</td>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>Aug 1994 Hypoplastic MDS (10% blasts); Apr 1995 MDS (26% blasts)</td>
<td>del (5q), –7, +8</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>3080</td>
<td>CyA, steroids, TLI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50             HLT (1991)</td>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>Unexplained anemia</td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Nov 1995 Acquired sideroblastic anemia (35% ringed sideroblasts); Jan 2003 (70% ringed sideroblasts)</td>
<td>del (17q)</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>CyA, steroids, ATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>59             SLT (1989)</td>
<td>α-1 Antitrypsin deficiency</td>
<td>Pancytopenia</td>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>Hypoplastic trilineage dysplasia (18% blasts)</td>
<td>Complex karyotype with –5, –7</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>2571</td>
<td>CyA, FK506, steroids, TLI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ND            CK</td>
<td>Glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>No details</td>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>AML</td>
<td>No details</td>
<td>No details</td>
<td>50 mg/d</td>
<td>CyA, steroids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Aza indicates azathioprine; OCT, cardiac transplant; SLT, single lung transplant; CK, cadaver kidney transplant; HLT, heart/lung transplant; ATG, antithymocyte globulin; CyA, cyclosporin A; TLI, total lymphoid irradiation; ND, not determined.

Figure 4. Microsatellite instability in AML/MDS from recipients of organ transplants. Examples of MSI in AML/MDS (patients 1, 5, and 6). DNA recovered from paraffin blocks of bone marrow (tumor) or explanted tissue (normal) was amplified at the indicated microsatellites. *Unstable loci.
malignancy requires the acquisition of mutations in genes controlling cell proliferation, death, or both. The absence of MMR in the MSI

Table 2. MSI in MDS/AML

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>BAT26</th>
<th>BAT25</th>
<th>D2S123</th>
<th>D5S346</th>
<th>D17S250</th>
<th>Caspase 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>A10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>A10/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>A10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>A10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ indicates unstable; –, stable; ND, not determined; and —, not applicable.

mechanism by which transplant-related MSI AML/MDS might develop. Our study supplies reasonable grounds to implicate azathioprine in the development of AML. This finding may have implications beyond organ transplantation. In addition to their use as immunosuppressants in patients who have undergone transplantation, thiopurines are widely used to treat common, non-life-threatening inflammatory disorders. Although the incidence of leukemia among these patients has not been examined systematically, there are case reports of AML/MDS, many of which mention chromosome 5 or 7 alterations. We suggest that the ability of azathioprine to promote the clonal expansion of rare MMR-defective myeloid cells is consistent with a role in the development of tAML/MDS. This implies that the incidence of immunosuppression-related AML/MDS might be reduced by replacing azathioprine with a nonthiopurine alternative, such as mycophenolate, sirolimus, or everolimus.
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