**PHAGOCYTES**

**MRP8 and MRP14 control microtubule reorganization during transendothelial migration of phagocytes**
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**Introduction**

Although the initial steps of leukocyte adhesion to endothelial cells during inflammatory reactions have been well characterized in recent years, mechanisms of transmigration remain far less well understood.1,12 During transendothelial migration leukocytes extensively remodel their cytoskeletal structures in an orchestrated interplay of intracellular signaling pathways involving activation of specific protein kinases and transient elevation of intracellular calcium concentrations.3-5 Recent reports have focused on the actin filament system and its regulation by the small guanosine triphosphate (GTP)–binding proteins RhoA, Cdc42, and Rac1. Less is understood about regulation of the other 2 major cytoskeletal components, intermediate filaments and microtubules (MTs).6-9 Phagocytes are characterized by a highly dynamic turnover of MTs during transmigration, but the specific proteins that regulate these events have not yet been identified.10,11 Reorganization of MTs is controlled by modulation of intracellular calcium levels and specific protein phosphorylation.3,5,12,13 Elevation of intracellular calcium concentrations induces conformational changes of calcium-binding proteins allowing interaction with distinct intracellular targets. The major calcium-binding molecules expressed in neutrophils and monocytes are myeloid-related protein 8 (MRP8 [S100A8]) and MRP14 (S100A9), 2 members of the S100 protein family.14,15 S100 proteins exhibit functions during various cellular processes such as cell cycle progression and modulation of cytoskeletal-membrane interactions. However, none of the numerous effects of S100 proteins observed in vitro has so far been convincingly confirmed in vivo.16 Targeted disruption of the MRP8 gene resulted in a lethal phenotype not allowing further functional analysis.17 On the other hand, MRP14−/− mice are viable but, at the first glance, do not exhibit an obvious phenotype.18,19 Calcium-induced complexes of MRP8 and MRP14 colocalize with intermediate filaments and MTs on activation of isolated monocytes.20-23 Indirect evidence suggests that interaction of MRP8/MRP14 complexes with these cytoskeletal components is modulated by phosphorylation of MRP14 (phospho-MRP14) at Thr113,23,24 but neither the specific targets within the MT system nor the molecular mechanisms of MRP8/MRP14 action have been identified.

In the present study, we demonstrate that the MRP8/MRP14 complex promotes polymerization of MTs via direct interaction with tubulin. MRP14 acts as a regulatory subunit in the MRP8/MRP14 complex and integrates inputs from 2 major signaling pathways, the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade and calcium-dependent signal transduction. Targeted gene disruption of MRP14 reveals that MRP8/MRP14 complexes play an essential role during the process of transendothelial migration of phagocytes. The underlying molecular mechanism includes major alterations in tubulin metabolism and in activation pathways of the small GTPases Rac1 and Cdc42.
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**Materials and methods**

**Cells, cell culture, and mice**

Monocytes were isolated from human buffy coats and cultured in McCoy 5a medium supplemented with fetal calf serum (FCS; Gibco Life Technologies, Eggenstein, Germany) in Teflon bags as described earlier. In metabolic labeling experiments, cells were cultivated in macrophage serum-free medium (M-SFM, Gibco Life Technologies) supplemented with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; Bachem, Braunschweig, Germany). In transendothelial migration assays, purified granulocytes obtained from bone marrow (BM) of MRP14−/− or MRP14+/+ mice and endothelial bEND5 cells were used. MRP14−/− mice were generated by targeted gene disruption as described.  

**Metabolic labeling**

Phosphorylation of MRP14 was investigated by metabolic labeling of monocytes with inorganic [32P]-phosphate (Hartmann Analytics, Braunschweig, Germany). Monocytes (3.4 × 10⁶ cells) were incubated in phosphate-free buffer (20 mM HEPES [N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’-2-ethanesulfonic acid], pH 7.2, 5.5 mM glucose, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 5.4 mM KCl, phosphate-free buffer (20 mM HEPES), pH 7.2, 5.5 mM glucose, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 5.4 mM KCl, supplemented with 100 mM NaCl and then in kinase buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, including 1 mM DTT. The excitation wavelength was 280 nm (bandpass 1 nm) and the emission scans were recorded between 300 and 400 nm (bandpass 4 nm, integration time 0.5 seconds). Both MRP8/MPR14 and MRP8/phospho-MRP14 complexes were titrated in the presence of calcium concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 μM. The change of the fluorescence emission maximum was plotted as a function of the calcium concentration.

**Fluorescence measurements**

Tubulin (final concentration 1.4 mg/mL; Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO) was preassembled for 60 minutes at 37°C to MTs. In transendothelial migration assays, purified MRP14 complexes were titrated in the presence of calcium concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 μM. The change of the fluorescence emission maximum was plotted as a function of the calcium concentration.

**MT spin-down binding assay**

In transendothelial migration assays, purified MRP14 complexes were titrated in the presence of calcium concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 μM. The change of the fluorescence emission maximum was plotted as a function of the calcium concentration.

**Immunoprecipitation and immune complex kinase assays**

Monocytes (1 × 10⁷ cells) were stimulated as indicated and lysed in Triton lysis buffer (TLB: 20 mM Tris [tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane]-HCl, pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA [ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 50 mM sodium β-glycerophosphate, 20 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM Pefabloc [Merck, Darmstadt, Germany], 5 μg/mL aprotinin, 5 μg/mL leupeptin, 5 mM benzamidine, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate) at 4°C for 30 minutes. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation and supernatants were incubated with a polyclonal antiserum against MRP8 (anti-MRP8) or MRP14 (anti-MRP14) or a monoclonal antibody (mAb; MAC387; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) against MRP14 as described earlier. In transendothelial migration assays, purified monocytes and endothelial bEND5 cells were used. MRP14−/− mice were generated by targeted gene disruption as described.

**Immunoprecipitation and immune complex kinase assays**

Monocytes (1 × 10⁷ cells) were stimulated as indicated and lysed in Triton lysis buffer (TLB: 20 mM Tris [tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane]-HCl, pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA [ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 50 mM sodium β-glycerophosphate, 20 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM Pefabloc [Merck, Darmstadt, Germany], 5 μg/mL aprotinin, 5 μg/mL leupeptin, 5 mM benzamidine, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate) at 4°C for 30 minutes. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation and supernatants were incubated with a polyclonal antiserum against MRP8 (anti-MRP8) or MRP14 (anti-MRP14) or a monoclonal antibody (mAb; MAC387; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) against MRP14 as described earlier.  

**Immunoprecipitation and immune complex kinase assays**

Monocytes (1 × 10⁷ cells) were stimulated as indicated and lysed in Triton lysis buffer (TLB: 20 mM Tris [tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane]-HCl, pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA [ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 50 mM sodium β-glycerophosphate, 20 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM Pefabloc [Merck, Darmstadt, Germany], 5 μg/mL aprotinin, 5 μg/mL leupeptin, 5 mM benzamidine, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate) at 4°C for 30 minutes. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation and supernatants were incubated with a polyclonal antiserum against MRP8 (anti-MRP8) or MRP14 (anti-MRP14) or a monoclonal antibody (mAb; MAC387; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) against MRP14 as described earlier.  

**Immunoprecipitation and immune complex kinase assays**

Monocytes (1 × 10⁷ cells) were stimulated as indicated and lysed in Triton lysis buffer (TLB: 20 mM Tris [tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane]-HCl, pH 7.4, 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA [ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 50 mM sodium β-glycerophosphate, 20 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM Pefabloc [Merck, Darmstadt, Germany], 5 μg/mL aprotinin, 5 μg/mL leupeptin, 5 mM benzamidine, and 1 mM sodium orthovanadate) at 4°C for 30 minutes. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation and supernatants were incubated with a polyclonal antiserum against MRP8 (anti-MRP8) or MRP14 (anti-MRP14) or a monoclonal antibody (mAb; MAC387; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) against MRP14 as described earlier.
Denver, CO). Briefly, 4 × 10^6 cells/sample of BM-derived granulocytes of MRPI4^-/- and MRPI4^+/+ mice were homogenized in MT-stabilization buffer (100 mM piperazone diethansulfonic acid [PIPES], pH 6.9, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA [ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid], 30% [vol/vol] glycerol, 0.1% Nonidet P40, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Tween 20, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.001% Antifoam, supplemented with 1 μg/mL pepstatin, 1 μg/mL leupeptin, 10 μg/mL benzamidine, 500 μg/mL tosyl arginine methyl ester, 0.1 mM GTP, 1 mM ATP) followed by centrifugation (100 000g, 30 minutes) to separate the MTs from free tubulin pool. All steps were done at 37°C. Subsequently, protein concentrations were determined using the advanced protein assay reagent (ADV01) from Cytoskeleton. Equal amounts of protein were separated on SDS-PAGE (8%) and tubulin bands were identified by Western blot using mAb against α-tubulin (ICN, Meckenheim, Germany). Bands were quantified using the software Lumi-Analyst 3.0 of the Lumi-Imager F1 from Boehringer (Mannheim, Germany).

**Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA activation assays**

An affinity precipitation or pull-down assay for activated GTPases using GST-tagged PAK-PBD (p21-activated kinase 1–p21-binding domain) for Rac1 (BK035, Cytoskeleton) and Cdc42 (BK034) or GST-tagged Rhotekin-RBD for RhoA (BK036) was performed to determine the activated fractions (affinity precipitation). In parallel, total content of GTPases was determined by Western blots of cell lysates. Equal numbers of resting BM-derived granulocytes were stimulated for various times (1-5 minutes) with 0.5 mM arsenite (Fluka, Seelze, Germany) in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by addition of ice-cold 2 × lysis buffer (RhoA: 50 mM Tris, pH 7.50, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, and protease inhibitor cocktail [PIC]: 10 μg/mL leupeptin, 10 μg/mL aprotinin, 500 μg/mL tosyl arginine methyl ester; Rac1: 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.3 M NaCl, 2% IGEPA, 10% sucrose, PIC; Cdc42: same as for Rac1 but without 10% sucrose) and the lysate was immediately centrifuged for 5 minutes at 6000g at 4°C. After extraction there were no GTPases detectable in the unsoluble fraction in both MRPI4^-/- and MRPI4^+/+ granulocytes. The supernatants were added to 25 μg PBD-agarose conjugates or to 33 μg RBD-agarose conjugates (Cytoskeleton), rotated for 60 minutes at 4°C, and followed by 2 washes of the protein complexes with 1 × lysis buffer and wash buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 30 mM MgCl2, 40 mM NaCl). Bound proteins were dissociated and denatured by heating in sample buffer at 95°C for 5 minutes and subjected to SDS-PAGE (12%) and Western blot using nitrocellulose membranes. Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA proteins were visualized using antibodies against Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA proteins were visualized using antibodies against Rac1, Cdc2, and Cdc42, all from Cytoskeleton) and chemiluminescence techniques.

**Wounding and preparation of wound tissues**

Mice were anesthetized and 4 full-thickness excisional wounds 5 mm in diameter were generated on the back of 8 wild-type mice and 8 MRPI4^-/- mice (all females, 9 weeks of age) by excising skin and panniculus carnosus as described. Wounds were harvested after 5 days. For histologic analysis, 2 female, 9 weeks of age) by excising skin and panniculus carnosus as described. Wounds were harvested after 5 days. For histologic analysis, 2

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

BM-derived murine MRPI4^-/- and MRPI4^+/+ granulocytes were cultured on fibronectin-coated (Becton Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany) LabTec chamber slides (Nunc, Wiesbaden, Germany) for 2 hours. Cells were fixed with methanol (−20°C, 4 minutes) and acetone (−20°C, 4 minutes) and processed for single-labeling immunofluorescence as described earlier using mAb against α-tubulin from ICN.

Sections from the middle of 5-day wounds were incubated overnight at 4°C with a rabbit polyclonal antibody directed against keratin 6 (BabCo, Richmond, CA), a mAb directed against keratin 10 (Dako, Hamburg, Germany), and a rat mAb directed against the neutrophil marker Ly-6G (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany). Incubation with the primary antibodies was followed by a 1-hour incubation at room temperature with cyanine 3 (Cy3)-conjugated anti–rabbit IgG, Cy2-conjugated anti–rat IgG, and Cy5-conjugated anti–mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs, West Grove, PA). Subsequently, slides were washed in PBS, prior to mounting in Tris/glycerol-containing n-propyl-gallate. Specimens were analyzed using confocal microscopy on an inverted DM IRB/E microscope equipped with a true confocal scanner (TCS SP1) and a PL/ALP 63/1.32 oil immersion objective (Leica), as well as argon and helium-neon lasers. Image processing was done on a Silicon Graphics workstation using Imaris (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland), a 3-dimensional multichannel image processing software specialized for confocal microscopy data sets. The numbers of Ly-6G cells were quantified in MRPI4^-/- and MRPI4^+/+ mice.

**Detection of calcium-binding proteins**

 Calcium binding of proteins was determined by a calcium overlay assay. Lysates of granulocytes obtained from MRPI4^-/- or MRPI4^+/+ mice were separated by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. Binding of [45Ca]CaCl2 was detected by autoradiography.

**Transmigration assay**

Transmigration assays were performed using murine endothelial cells (bEND5) that were grown as monolayers for 3 days on fibronectin-coated 5-μm pore size Transwell filters (Costar, Bodenstein, Germany) as described. Murine BM-derived granulocytes of MRPI4^-/- and MRPI4^+/+ mice were added to the upper chamber and allowed to transmigrate for 4 hours at 37°C. The number of migrated granulocytes was counted in a Couter Coupler Z2 (Coulter, Krefeld, Germany). Experiments were done in quadruplicate. Integrity of endothelial monolayers was verified morphologically and by measuring transendothelial resistance using an EVOMTM epithelial volt-ohmmeter (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistically significant differences were calculated by the U test according to Mann and Whitney (for values with nonparametric distribution). Values of P greater than .05 were considered to be not significant. All results shown are mean plus or minus SEM.

**Results**

**MRP14 is specifically phosphorylated by MAPK p38**

Transendothelial migration of phagocytes requires rearrangement of their cytoskeleton. Complexes of MRP8 and MRP14 have been proposed to modulate membrane-cytoskeletal interactions in a calcium-dependent manner involving MRPI4 phosphorylation. We now demonstrate that stimulation of monocytes with arsenite, an activator of the p38 MAPK pathway, led to a strong incorporation of [32P]-phosphate into MRPI4 (Figure 1A). Exposure to phorbol myristate acetate (PMA), an activator of extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 (ERK1/ERK2) and protein kinase C, had only minor effects, whereas anisomycin, an activator of both JNK/SAPK and p38 MAPK cascades, strongly increased MRPI4 phosphorylation as well (Figure 1B). SB202190 (Calbiochem, Bad Soden, Germany), a specific inhibitor of p38, efficiently blocked both arsenite- and anisomycin-induced phosphorylation of MRPI4, whereas PD98059 (Calbiochem), an inhibitor of MEK (MAPK kinase), the upstream kinase of ERK, had no such effect (Figure 1A-B). We further observed a significant basal phosphorylation of MRPI4, which was blocked by incubation with SB202190 for 30 minutes (Figure 1B). Identical results were obtained using another
p38-specific inhibitor (SB203580, data not shown). Densitometric quantification of basal MRP14 phosphorylation revealed that about 35% of the signals can be attributed to MRP14. This fraction increased further up to 50% after activation of p38. This indicates that MRP14 is the major phosphorylated protein in both nonstimulated and arsenite-activated monocytes. The effects of arsenite and anisomycin on MRP14 phosphorylation in monocytes were perfectly parallel by changes in p38 activity, as assayed by the activation profile of its downstream kinase MAPKAP-K2/3 (Figure 1C).

We next investigated the mechanism of MRP14 phosphorylation using an in vitro assay. When active recombinant p38 MAPK was incubated with MRP14 in the presence of \( \gamma^\text{32P}-\text{ATP} \), a strong phosphorylation of MRP14 was seen (Figure 1D). Because MRP14 forms complexes with MRP8, we added MRP8 to the MRP14 phosphorylation assay. Surprisingly, increasing concentrations of MRP8 significantly inhibited phosphorylation of MRP14 by p38. This effect was specific for MRP14, because phosphorylation of another p38 substrate, 3pK (K > M), was not influenced by MRP8. However, in the presence of calcium the inhibitory effect of MRP8 on p38-induced MRP14 phosphorylation was completely antagonized (Figure 1D). Calcium itself had no direct effect on the phosphorylation of MRP14 or other p38 substrates (Figure 1E).

Our data suggest that calcium was inducing conformational changes in the MRP8/MRP14 complex, which allowed phosphorylation of MRP14 by p38. In contrast to purified nonphosphorylated MRP14, in vivo prephosphorylated MRP14 did not incorporate labeled phosphate on incubation with active p38, confirming the physiologic significance of the phosphorylation site targeted by p38 in vitro. To further confirm the identity of the phosphorylation site we constructed a mutant of MRP14 (MRP14-T113A) where Thr113 was substituted by alanine. Indeed we could not observe phosphorylation of MRP14-T113A, confirming that p38 kinase phosphorylates exclusively Thr113 of MRP14 (Figure 1F).

Figure 1. Phosphorylation of MRP14 by p38 MAPK in monocytes. (A) \( \gamma^\text{32P} \)-Phosphate-labeled monocytes were stimulated with arsenite (ARS, 0.5 mM) for 15 minutes with or without preincubation with pharmacologic p38 inhibitor SB202190 (ARS + SB, 7 \( \mu \)M) for 30 minutes. MRP14 was immunoprecipitated and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography (upper panel). All experiments presented in this figure were performed at least 3 times. Equal amounts of immunoprecipitated MRP14 were confirmed by Western blotting using a mAb to MRP14 (lower panel). (B) \( \gamma^\text{32P} \)Phosphate-labeled monocytes were incubated with medium as control (CON), 100 nM PMA, 0.5 mM arsenite (ARS), or 5 \( \mu \)M anisomycin (ANI) for 15 minutes as indicated. In some experiments, monocytes were preincubated with SB202190 (SB, 7 \( \mu \)M) or PD98059 (PD, 20 \( \mu \)M) for 30 minutes. Data are shown as percent change of \( \gamma^\text{32P} \)-phosphate incorporation into MRP14 as compared to nonstimulated cells (CON). Phosphorylation was analyzed by phosphorimaging of MRP14 bands after SDS-PAGE. Protein loads were confirmed by Western blotting using a mAb against MRP14 (lower panel). (C) Monocytes were stimulated as described in panel B. Activation of p38 MAPK was studied indirectly by determining the activity of the downstream kinase MAPKAP-K2/3 using Hsp27 as substrate (upper panel). Protein loads were confirmed by Western blotting using an antiserum to MAPKAP-K2/3 (lower panel). (D) Purified MRP14 (5 \( \mu \)g) was incubated with active recombinant p38 MAPK and 5 \( \mu \)Ci (0.185 MBq) \( \gamma^\text{32P} \)-ATP for 15 minutes in the presence of increasing amounts of MRP8 (0-10 \( \mu \)g) in the absence or presence of 60 \( \mu \)M calcium as indicated. When MRP14 was replaced by 3pK (K > M) as substrate, no inhibitory effect of MRP8 was observed. Incorporation of \( \gamma^\text{32P} \)-phosphate into MRP14 bands after SDS-PAGE and autoradiography (upper panel). Similar results were obtained when immunoprecipitating preactivated p38 from transfected embryonic kidney 293 cells (data not shown). (E) Purified MRP14 or 3pK (K > M) was incubated with preactivated p38 and 5 \( \mu \)Ci (0.185 MBq) \( \gamma^\text{32P} \)-ATP in the absence or presence of 60 \( \mu \)M calcium and studied as described. Addition of calcium had no influence on p38 activity, as indicated by the lack of effects on phosphorylation of MRP14 or 3pK (K > M). (F) MRP14 and phospho-MRP14 were purified from human granulocytes and incubated with active recombinant p38 and \( \gamma^\text{32P} \)-ATP and processed as described in panel A. Only the nonphosphorylated isoform of MRP14 shows incorporation of \( \gamma^\text{32P} \)-phosphate in the presence of preactivated p38. Data shown are representative for at least 3 independent experiments. (G) Purified MRP8/MRP14 (\( \bullet \)) and MRP8/phospho-MRP14 (\( \circ \)) were exposed to increasing concentrations of calcium, and conformational changes of the protein complex were detected by changes of the intrinsic fluorescence maximum (protein concentration, 5 \( \mu \)g/mL). Phosphorylation of MRP14 shifted conformational changes to higher calcium concentrations. Data represent means of 4 independent experiments (maximal deviation between individual experiments < 0.7 nm).

Figure 2. Binding of MRP8 or MRP14 to tubulin. (A) Prepolymerized and Taxol-stabilized MTs were incubated with MRP8/MRP14 or MRP8/phospho-MRP14 complexes at an equimolar ratio (tubulin dimer to MRP8/MRP14 1:1) in the absence or presence of 60 \( \mu \)M calcium. Binding to tubulin filaments was then analyzed by spin-down binding assays and Coomassie blue stainings after SDS-PAGE. (B) Binding to tubulin was analyzed as described in panel A using isolated subunits of MRP8, MRP14, or phospho-MRP14. The interaction of MRP8/MRP14 complexes with tubulin was not affected by addition of up to 300 mM NaCl (data not shown). All experiments presented in this figure were performed at least 3 times.
To analyze the effects of MRP14 phosphorylation on the calcium-binding properties of MRP8/MRP14 complexes we determined conformational changes by examination of the fluorescence emission maximum of MRP8/MRP14 and MRP8/phospho-MRP14 in the presence of increasing calcium concentrations. MRP8/MRP14 complexes significantly changed their conformation at a calcium concentration between 0 and 10 \( \mu M \), as indicated by a blue shift in the emission maximum (Figure 1G). Interestingly, phosphorylation of MRP14 led to a strong shift of the dose-response graph to higher calcium concentrations, indicating that after phosphorylation the induction of conformational changes required significantly higher calcium concentrations.

**Complexes of MRP8 and MRP14 promote polymerization of tubulin filaments**

MRP8/MRP14 complexes colocalize with MTs on activation of monocytes.\(^{20}\) We therefore analyzed direct interactions of MRP8 and MRP14 with tubulin in the absence or presence of calcium. Binding was investigated in a spin-down binding assay using prepolymerized and Taxol-stabilized tubulin filaments (Figure 2). MRP8/MRP14 complexes bound to tubulin filaments in a calcium-dependent manner unaffected by phosphorylation of MRP14 (Figure 2A). Individual analysis of the 2 MRP subunits revealed that MRP8 was primarily responsible for the interaction with tubulin filaments (Figure 2B). The \( K_d \) of MRP8/MRP14 for binding of tubulin is 0.14 ± 0.05 \( \mu M \), affirming specificity of this interaction (data not shown).

We then analyzed the functional effects of MRP8 and MRP14 on MT formation using an in vitro polymerization assay. Under conditions that allow spontaneous polymerization of tubulin (37°C, 3.4 M glycerol),\(^{29}\) we found that addition of nonphosphorylated MRP8/MRP14 complexes significantly accelerated and potentiated polymerization of tubulin (molar ratio of tubulin dimer to MRP8/MRP14 = 1:1). Similar results were observed even with a 10-fold molar excess of tubulin compared to MRP8/MRP14 (data not shown). In contrast, addition of MRP8/phospho-MRP14 only showed minor effects (Figure 3A). With a buffer system containing 1.1 M glycerol no spontaneous polymerization of tubulin was observed,\(^{29}\) but polymerization of tubulin could be induced on addition of nonphosphorylated MRP8/MRP14 complexes. MRP8/phospho-MRP14 complexes or lower concentrations of MRP8/MRP14 yielded only minor effects (Figure 3B). In contrast to its...
known inhibitory properties on tubulin polymerization, calcium increased the promoting effect of MRP8/MRP14 on MT formation. This cannot be explained by simply chelating free calcium ions by MRP8/MRP14 because this effect is also observed in the presence of excess calcium (up to 1 mM) and at molar ratios of tubulin dimer to MRP8/MRP14 = 10:1 (data not shown). In accordance with the binding assays, addition of purified MRP8 enhanced tubulin polymerization (Figure 3C), whereas isolated MRP14 as well as other S100 proteins such as S100A1, S100C, or S100P had no significant effect (Figure 3C and data not shown). However, complexes of MRP8/MRP14 were more effective promoters of tubulin polymerization than isolated MRP8 subunits. These observations indicate an important regulatory role of MRP14 in the MRP8/MRP14 complex.

In addition to these functional assays we analyzed morphologic correlates of MRP8/MRP14–promoted MT formation by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In the presence of MRP8/MRP14 complexes significantly increased numbers of tubulin filaments were observed, and these were more elongated and threadlike as compared to controls. On adding MRP8/phospho-MRP14 complexes, the situation resembled that observed with tubulin alone (Figure 3D-F). Immunogold labeling with anti-MRP14 (Figure 3G-H) or anti-MRP8 (not shown) revealed that complexes of these S100 proteins were exclusively localized at bundles of MTs rather than at single-stranded tubulin filaments indicating a role of MRP8/MRP14 in cross-linking of MTs.

**Cytoskeletal alterations in MRP14−/− mice**

To investigate the relevance of our in vitro studies we analyzed MRP14−/− mice generated by targeted gene disruption. As expected, granulocytes obtained from MRP14−/− mice completely lack the MRP14 molecule (Figure 4A). MRP8 protein is accumulated at a significantly reduced level (Figure 4A), whereas MRP8 mRNA expression is not altered (data not shown). This may indicate an accelerated catabolism of MRP8 in the absence of MRP14. As demonstrated by 45Ca2+ overlay, MRP8 and MRP14 are the major calcium-binding EF-hand proteins in wild-type murine granulocytes. In contrast, MRP14−/− granulocytes did not show a calcium-binding band at 14 kDa, the presumed mass of MRP14, and only minor binding by MRP8 (Figure 4A). Up-regulation of other calcium-binding proteins was not observed, indicating that the overall calcium-binding capacity is reduced in granulocytes of MRP14−/− mice.

Because MRP8/MRP14 complexes regulate MT formation, we analyzed whether deletion of the MRP14 gene has any effect on the expression of tubulin. Comparing the content of tubulin in MRP14+/+ and MRP14−/− granulocytes, we found significantly less tubulin in MRP14−/− cells both as polymerized MTs and as free dimers in the cytoplasm (Figure 4B-C). There are no quantitative differences regarding expression of actin between these cells (Figure 4D).

The small GTPases, Rac1, RhoA, and Cdc42, which regulate cellular migration processes, bind to MTs in their inactive state and are activated during remodeling of MTs. Consistent with the low amounts of tubulin, MRP14−/− granulocytes additionally presented with decreased total levels of GTPases Rac1 and Cdc42 in the resting state (Figure 4D). Furthermore, there was an impressive reduction of activation of Rac1 and Cdc42 after stimulation of p38 MAPK by arsenite in MRP14−/− granulocytes. Total levels as well as activation of Rho GTPases were unchanged in MRP14−/− mice (Figure 4D-E). These data suggest that the regulatory effect of MRP8/MRP14 on tubulin polymerization subsequently modulates other signaling molecules such as GTPases that are involved in actin metabolism and migration events.

![Figure 4. Cytoskeletal alterations in granulocytes of MRP14−/− mice.](image)

MRP14 plays an important role during migration of phagocytes

To elucidate the physiologic relevance of diminished Rac1 and Cdc42 activation in MRP14−/− granulocytes, we analyzed transendothelial migration in a 2-chamber assay in vitro. Using granulocytes obtained from MRP14−/− mice we observed similar results regarding phosphorylation of murine MRP14 after stimulation of p38 with arsenite as shown (Figure 1) for human monocytes (data not shown). Activation of p38 MAPK by arsenite increased transmigration rates of MRP14−/− granulocytes (4.0 ± 1.2-fold), whereas MRP14−/− cells showed no acceleration of their migratory properties (1.3 ± 0.2-fold; Figure 5A). These data indicate that...
Comparison with MRP14 protein. Making use of targeted disruption of the vitro experiments had ever been confirmed in vivo for any S100 proteins characterized by a tissue- and differentiation-specific activation of p38 MAPK. We generated full-thickness excisional wounds on the back of animals compared with MRP14 gene we

MRP14 also impairs granulocyte migration in vivo, a model of mouse wound healing was applied. We generated full-thickness excisional wounds on the back of MRP14+/− mice and age- and sex-matched wild-type animals. Compared with MRP14+/+ mice (660 ± 340 cells/visual field, n = 5), MRP14−/− (170 ± 100 cells/visual field, n = 6) animals showed an about 4-fold reduction of infiltrating granulocytes in 5-day wounds. This indicates that the influx of these cells into skin wounds is impaired in the absence of MRP14 (Figure 5C-F). The lower number of granulocytes in the wound tissue was associated with a significantly accelerated wound closure in MRP14−/− animals compared with MRP14+/+ mice (Figure 5B).

**Discussion**

The family of S100 proteins is the largest group of calcium-binding proteins characterized by a tissue- and differentiation-specific expression pattern. None of the many functions assumed from in vitro experiments had ever been confirmed in vivo for any S100 protein. Making use of targeted disruption of the MRP14 gene we here define the first physiologically relevant phenotype of a S100 family member. We show that MRP8 and MRP14 are essential for regulation of phagocyte migration. MRP8/MRP14 complexes integrate signals from 2 different signal transduction pathways, namely, calcium and the p38 MAPK cascade (Figure 1), thereby controlling reorganization of the MT system. We demonstrate that MRP8/MRP14 complexes promote formation of MTs in an MT-associated proteinlike fashion. Morphologic evidence indicates that MRP8/MRP14 mediates cross-linking of tubulin filaments (Figure 3), thereby decreasing the flexibility of the MT system. In contrast to MRP14, MRP8 directly binds to tubulin and promotes its polymerization (Figures 2-3). This observation indicates that MRP8 is the active component of the MRP8/MRP14 complex and that MRP14 predominantly functions as a regulatory subunit. This is supported by the observation that MRP8−/− mice exhibit a lethal phenotype, most likely due to a defect in cell migration during early embryonic development. The regulatory activity of MRP14 apparently involves at least 2 distinct mechanisms: (1) MRP14 stabilizes MRP8 and prevents its early degradation, as shown by significantly lower amounts of MRP8 protein in granulocytes from MRP14−/− mice despite normal mRNA levels. This interference is supported by the fact that in vivo almost all MRP8 is complexed to MRP14,21,22,35,36 Furthermore, MRP8/MRP14 complexes are more effective in promoting tubulin polymerization in vitro than MRP8 monomers (Figure 3). (2) Activation of the p38 MAPK pathway in phagocytes results in phosphorylation of MRP14, which then antagonizes MT formation and stabilization promoted by MRP8/MRP14 complexes (Figures 1 and 3). In this context it is noteworthy that MRP14 is the major phosphate acceptor in phagocytes representing about 50% of phosphorylated protein after activation of p38 in monocytes.

Both p38 MAPK signaling cascades and calcium-dependent signal transduction pathways have previously been shown to be involved in the regulation of leukocyte movement through the endothelium. Various proinflammatory mediators are capable of activating the p38 pathway, for example, cytokines, chemokines, and bacterial products. In addition, cross-linking of adhesion receptors during leukocyte-endothelial cell interaction results in activation of p38- and calcium-dependent pathways. Activation of p38, in turn, modulates cytoskeletal dynamics during adhesion by an unknown mechanism,33,39 whereas inhibition of p38 blocks adherence and chemotaxis of neutrophils. Accordingly, we observed that MRP14 phosphorylation induced by the p38 pathway is associated with increased transmigration rates of MRP14−/− phagocytes. The functional relevance of MRP14 phosphorylation by p38 for transmigration is shown by the fact that MRP14−/− granulocytes, in contrast to MRP14+/+ cells, failed to respond with
increased transmigration rates after activation of the p38 pathway (Figure 5A). Interestingly, interleukin 8 (IL-8), a potent activator of p38, also fails to increase migratory properties of MRP14−/− granulocytes.19

Compared to the MT network the actin cytoskeleton, which is not quantitatively altered in MRP14−/− mice, is assumed to be mainly responsible for transmigration events. However, modulation of MT dynamic instability by MRp8/Mrp14 complexes may be functionally linked to the actin network, because enhanced MT turnover activates Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA, which in turn control actin dynamics.42,43 In phagocytes, RhoA regulates cell contraction, whereas Rac1 and Cdc42 control the formation of lamellipodia and filopodia, Cdc42 especially in response to a chemotactic gradient.44 Activation of small GTPases is dysregulated in MRP14−/− mice. Absence of the MRp8/Mrp14 complex is associated with a substantially decreased expression of Rac1 and Cdc42. This is not due to changes at the transcriptional level (data not shown). It rather refers to the fact that binding of Rac1 and Cdc42 to MTs, which is diminished in MRP14−/− cells, probably delays metabolic turnover. More important, however, is the fact that activation of Rac1 and Cdc42 via the p38 signaling pathway is almost absent in MRP14−/− granulocytes, which is reflected by insufficient migration in the transendothelial migration assay in vitro and in the wound healing model in vivo. MRP14−/− phagocytes do not have a general defect of migration but rather a disturbance of a specific intracellular signaling pathway because recruitment of granulocytes to, for example, experimental peritonitis, is not altered.19 The combinations of stimuli responsible for these differences in distinct forms of inflammation are not identified so far.

The results presented here provide a novel regulatory mechanism of phagocyte transmigration involving control by both MAPK- and calcium-dependent signaling in a cooperative fashion. In resting phagocytes high amounts of MRp8/Mrp14 complexes stabilize MTs. Complex formation of MRp14 with MRp8 prevents phosphorylation of MRp14 in the case of isolated activation of the p38 MAPK (signal 1 in Figure 6; also Figure 1). To allow phosphorylation, concomitant generation of an independent second signal is required; elevation of intracellular calcium levels (signal 2 in Figure 6) induces conformational changes of the MRp8/Mrp14 complex that are a prerequisite for subsequent phosphorylation of MRp14 by p38. Phosphorylation of MRp14 antagonizes the stabilizing effect of MRp8/Mrp14 on MTs, which subsequently induces migration via activation of Rac1 and Cdc42.42,43 Thus, 2 major activation pathways converge on the phagocyte-specific target molecules MRp8 and MRp14, which are of central importance for control of the migratory capacities of these cells. These findings may explain why MRP14−/− granulocytes exhibit a migratory phenotype that is dependent on a combination of distinct extracellular stimuli and thus is restricted to distinct kinds of inflammatory reactions. Pharmacological interference with MRp14 phosphorylation may thus represent an interesting approach to modulate different inflammatory properties of phagocytes. Due to the restricted expression pattern of MRp8/Mrp14, adverse effects on other cell types should be minimized. Such a strategy may be useful in the management of inflammatory diseases where monocytes and granulocytes expressing MRp8 and Mrp14 play a prominent role.55-57 Pharmacologic p38 inhibitors have already been shown to exhibit potent anti-inflammatory properties in various experimental models of inflammation.53-56 Our results indicate that inhibition of p38-mediated phosphorylation of MRp14 may be a major mechanism responsible for these anti-inflammatory effects on phagocytes.
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