Enhanced cytotoxicity of allogeneic NK cells with killer immunoglobulin-like receptor ligand incompatibility against melanoma and renal cell carcinoma cells
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Cellular inactivation through killer immunoglobulin-like receptors (KIRs) may allow neoplastic cells to evade host natural killer (NK) cell–mediated immunity. Recently, alloreactive NK cells were shown to mediate antileukemic effects against acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) after mismatched transplantation, when KIR ligand incompatibility existed in the direction of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). Therefore, we investigated whether solid tumor cells would have similar enhanced susceptibility to allogeneic KIR-incompatible NK cells compared with their KIR-matched autologous or allogeneic counterparts. NK populations enriched and cloned from the blood of cancer patients or healthy donors homozygous for HLA-C alleles in group 1 (C-G1) or group 2 (C-G2) were tested in vitro for cytotoxicity against Epstein-Barr virus–transformed lymphoblastic cell lines (EBV-LCLs), renal cell carcinoma (RCC), and melanoma (MEL) cells with or without a matching KIR-inhibitory HLA-C ligand. Allogeneic NK cells were more cytotoxic to tumor targets mismatched for KIR ligands than their KIR ligand–matched counterparts. Bulk NK populations (CD3+/CD56+) expanded 104-fold from patients homozygous for C-G1 or C-G2 had enhanced cytotoxicity against KIR ligand–mismatched tumor cells but only minimal cytotoxicity against KIR ligand–matched targets. Further, NK cell lines from C-G1 or C-G2 homozygous cancer patients or healthy donors expanded but failed to kill autologous or KIR-matched MEL and RCC cells yet had significant cytotoxicity (more than 50% lysis at 20:1 effector-target [E/T] ratio) against allogeneic KIR-mismatched tumor lines. These data suggest immunotherapeutic strategies that use KIR-incompatible allogeneic NK cells might have superior antineoplastic effects against solid tumors compared with approaches using autologous NK cells. (Blood. 2004;104:170-177)
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Introduction

Natural killer (NK) cells have antigen-independent tumor cytotoxicity and have been shown in murine models to control and prevent tumor growth and dissemination.1,2 However, the exact role that NK cells play in the control of cancer in humans remains a matter of controversy.

NK cells do not rearrange genes coding for specific antigen receptors; rather, their recognition of targets is regulated through a balance of activating or inhibitory signals.3 It is necessary to inactivate NK cells to prevent their destruction of normal host tissues.4 Therefore, even in the presence of an activating ligand, inhibitory ligands expressed on cells may deliver overriding signals that culminate in a net suppression of NK cell function. Recently, a growing number of NK cell inhibitory and activating receptors were characterized.5-8 NK cells can recognize major histocompatibility (MHC) class I and class I-like molecules through killer immunoglobulin–like receptors (KIRs) expressed on their surfaces. MHC class I ligation of KIRs on normal and malignant tissues suppresses NK cell function. MHC class I molecules fall into groups that serve as ligands for specific KIR, resulting in the inhibition of NK cell–mediated cytotoxicity. Polymorphisms in amino acids residing at positions 77 and 80 of HLA-C dictate specificity for its target KIR.9-11 KIR2DL1 recognizes group 2 HLA-C molecules that have an asparagine at position 77 and a lysine at position 80, whereas KIR2DL2 and KIR2DL3 recognize group 1 HLA-C molecules that have a serine at position 77 and an asparagine at position 80.

The inactivation of NK cells by self-HLA molecules might be a mechanism permitting malignant host cells to evade NK cell–mediated immunity. Because tumor KIR ligands are always matched to NK cell KIR, autologous NK cells would be inhibited by MHC class I–expressing tumors, even in the presence of activating ligands. This may in part explain the failure of adoptively transfused autologous NK15,16 and lymphokine-activated killer (LAK) cells to mediate antitumor effects against most metastatic solid tumors.17 Only tumor cells that have lost MHC class I expression or have a dominant-activating ligand are predicted to be susceptible to such populations.18

Ruggeri et al15,18 and others17 have shown allogeneic NK cells can mediate antileukemic effects against AML after allogeneic haploidential and partially mismatched unrelated hematopoietic cell transplantation when KIR/KIR ligand incompatibility exists in the graft-versus-host (GVH) direction (defined as an MHC class I KIR ligand that is absent in the recipient but present in the donor). In this setting, donor NK cells expand that are not inhibited by
ligands expressed on recipient leukemia cells, substantially reducing the risk for disease relapse compared with those who receive KIR-compatible transplants. This beneficial effect does not appear to occur against acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), perhaps because these populations lack the activating ligands required to trigger NK cytolytic activity.

HLA-matched allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation has recently been reported to induce T cell–mediated graft–versus-tumor effects in select solid tumors. However, HLA-mismatched transplantation in this setting has not yet been explored. The aim of this study was to evaluate in vitro the effects of KIR ligand–mismatched NK cell populations against solid tumor cells. We sought to test the hypothesis that allogeneic NK cell populations, which sometimes expand after HLA-mismatched transplantation and which previously had been shown to kill Epstein-Barr virus–transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines (EBV-LCLs) and acute myelogenous leukemia/chronic myelogenous leukemia (AML/CML) cells in vitro through KIR-ligand incompatibility, would have enhanced antitumor cytotoxicity against renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and melanoma (MEL) cells with KIR incompatibility compared with autologous or allogeneic KIR–matched NK cells.

**Materials and methods**

**Generation of cell lines**

Epstein-Barr virus–transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines (EBV-LCLs) were established by culturing peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) in the presence of 100 μg/mL cyclosporin A (CSA; Sandoz Pharmaceuticals, Washington, DC) with EBV supernatant harvested from the cell line B95-8 (American Type Culture Collection [ATCC], Manassas, VA). The human melanoma (MEL) cell lines St-MEL and R-MEL and the human B cell tumor lines Raji, RPMI 8222, JY, and the EBV-LCL line B95-8 (American Type Culture Collection [ATCC], Manassas, VA) were provided by Dr S. Rosenberg, National Cancer Institute [NCI]). The human RCC cell line Si-RCC was established from a nephrectomy sample procured at the NCI and maintained in our laboratory, EBV-LCL, MEL, and RCC lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS).

MHC class I typing was performed at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) MHC laboratory using polymerase chain reaction with sequence-specific primers (PCR-SSPs). Briefly, genomic DNA was first extracted from peripheral blood lymphocytes or tumor samples using primers and PCR conditions described by Bunce et al. The final PCR product was visualized on a 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide, with results determined by the presence or absence of an appropriately sized band. For the purpose of this study, tumor lines were specifically selected to be homozygous for HLA-C alleles that would be predicted to ligate a single HLA-C–reactive KIR (either KIR2DL2/3 or KIR2DL1; Table 1).

**Table 1. HLA data on NK and tumor cells used in experiments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NK cells</th>
<th>RCC</th>
<th>MEL</th>
<th>LCL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Cw</td>
<td>A-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wh</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volle</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR0350</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKEM*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORRC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NK cell media**

Bulk NK cells and NK cells isolated after limiting-dilution cloning were grown in Cellgro SCGM serum-free media (CellGenix, Gaithersburg, MD) containing 10% human AB serum, 50 U /mL penicillin, 50 μg/mL streptomycin, and 500 IU/mL interleukin-2 (IL-2) (unless otherwise specified).

**Flow cytometry**

Cell surface phenotype was determined by flow cytometry using the following antihuman monoclonal antibodies (mAbs): anti–CD16–phycoerythrin (PE) (clone B73.1 mouse immunoglobulin G1 [IgG1]; Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA), anti–CD158α–PE (clone EB6, mouse IgG1; Immunotech, Marseilles, France), anti–CD158β–PE (clone GL183, mouse IgG1; Immunotech), and anti–CD56–Cy-chrome (clone MY31, mouse IgG1; Becton Dickinson), anti–CD3–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (clone SK7, mouse IgG1; Becton Dickinson), anti–CD11a–PE (clone HI111, mouse IgG1; PharMingen, San Diego, CA), and antihuman HLA-A, -B, -C–PE (clone G46-2,6, mouse IgG1; PharMingen). Cells were also stained with their corresponding isotype-matched control mAbs (PharMingen). All samples were analyzed using EPICS XL-MCL (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL). EXPO 32 ADC software (Beckman Coulter) was used for data acquisition and analysis.

**Evaluation of NKG2 ligands on tumor cell lines**

Unconjugated antibodies to NKG2L, MICA (M363), MICB (M364), ULBP1 (M295), ULBP2 (M311) and ULBP3 (M551) were a kind gift from David Cosman (Amgen, Seattle, WA). Secondary antibody staining was accomplished with AlexaFluor488-conjugated goat antimouse immunoglobulin (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). Specific staining was revealed through the use of MAB272, an I-309 reactive IgG1 isotype control antibody (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Staining was evaluated using a FACSscan instrument (Becton Dickinson).

**Isolation of NK cells and expansion of NK cell lines**

PBMCs obtained from healthy donors or cancer patients with HLA-C alleles homozygous in group 1 (C-G1; ligates KIR2DL2/3) or group 2 (C-G2; ligates KIR2DL1) were enriched for NK cell populations by negative depletion using immunomagnetic beads (Dynal NK cell isolation kit; Dynal Biotech, Lake Success, NY) according to the manufacturer’s recommended conditions. Briefly, PBMCs were incubated with an antibody mix containing anti-CD3, anti-CD14, anti-CD36, anti-CDw123, and anti-HLA class 2 DR/DP for 10 minutes at 4°C with gentle titling and rotation. Cells were washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS)/0.1% human AB serum and centrifuged for 8 minutes at 500g. The supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was reuspended in PBS/0.1% human AB serum and incubated at 4°C for 10 minutes after the addition of depletion Dynabeads. The cell suspension was placed in a magnetic particle concentrator (Dynal MPC; Dynal Biotech) for 2 minutes, the supernatant was removed, and the depletion bead step was repeated. NK cell purity was determined by flow cytometry. One portion of the enriched NK cells was used to...
generate relatively homogeneous NK cell lines by limiting dilution at a density of 1 to 10 cells/well in a 96-well U-bottom plate containing 500 IU/mL of IL-2 and 10^4 irradiated (100 Gy) allogeneic EBV-LCLs per well as feeder cells. The culture medium was replaced weekly with new NK cell media containing fresh IL-2. The remaining portion of enriched NK cells was expanded as bulk NK populations in NK cell media containing 500 IU/mL of IL-2 and irradiated (100 Gy) allogeneic EBV-LCL feeder cells (1000:1 EBV-LCL/NK cell ratio). Two weeks after expansion, bulk NK cells were stained with anti-CD158b-FITC and anti-CD56 Cy-chrome and were then sorted using a MoFlo Cytometer (DakoCytomation, Fort Collins, CO) to isolate CD56^+CD158b^+ populations that were reexpanded for 2 weeks using EBV-LCL feeders and NK cell media as described. In most experiments, allogeneic EBV-LCLs with HLA-C alleles homozygous in a group opposite the NK cells were used as feeder cells.

**Optimizing NK cell expansion**

In bulk NK cell expansions, EBV-LCLs heterogenous or homogeneous for C-G1 or C-G2 were used as feeders, with the proportions of expanded CD158a^+ or CD158b^+ NK cells determined by flow cytometry. Fold expansion was measured by staining bulk NK cells with trypan blue, then dividing the total number of viable cells after expansion by the number of viable cells before expansion.

**Cytotoxicity assay**

NK cell cytotoxicity was assessed using standard chromium release assays, as described previously. Briefly, 10^4 target cells were cultured with 100 µCi (3.7 MBq) Na^255CrO_4 (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ) for 1 hour, washed, and resuspended at a concentration of 1 × 10^5 cells/mL. One hundred microliters of each target (in replicates of 2 or 3) were cocultured with varying numbers of effector cells (100 µL) in 96-well round-bottom plates (Nalge Nunc International, Naperville, NY) for a total volume of 200 µL/well. After 4-hour incubation at 37°C, 100 µL supernatant was harvested, and its radioactive content was measured using a gamma counter. The percentage of specific lysis was calculated using the formula: 100 × (cpm spontaneous release)/(cpm maximum release − cpm spontaneous release). All values shown represent the average of duplicates or triplicates ± 1 SD. Some targets were preincubated with 20 µg/mL of the monoclonal antibody W6/32 (anti-pan class 1 MHC monoclonal antibody; DAKO) to assess the impact of MHC class I blockade on NK cell cytotoxicity.

**Results**

**Impact of EBV-LCL feeders and HLA class 1 expression on in vitro NK cell expansion**

Preferential proliferation of NK cells occurs when EBV-LCLs are cultured with PBMCs. Therefore, we first investigated whether EBV-LCL feeder cells could be used to enhance the expansion of bulk NK cell populations. NK cells (1 × 10^5) enriched from PBMCs by negative depletion (typically 90% or more CD3^− and CD56^−) were cultured in stem cell growth medium (SCGM) containing 500 IU of IL-2, alone or with 1 × 10^6 irradiated EBV-LCLs. After 14 days, NK cells cultures containing EBV-LCL feeders expanded more than 10^3-fold compared with NK cell populations cultured in IL-2 containing SCGM media alone, where approximately a 10^3-fold net expansion was typically observed (data not shown). No significant differences in NK cell expansion were observed when using EBV-LCLs with HLA-C alleles that were matched compared with mismatched NK cell HLA-C groups. However, a significantly higher percentage of KIR 2DL2/3-positive NK cells was expanded from an HLA-C group 1 homozygous donor when EBV-LCL feeders were used that either lacked or had heterozygous expression for HLA-C alleles known to ligate KIR2DL2/3 (Table 2). Varying the IL-2 concentration from 5 to 500 IU/mL did not influence NK cell expansion when EBV-LCLs were used as feeders.

**Susceptibility of RCC and melanoma cells to NK cells with or without KIR ligand incompatibility: bulk NK cultures**

We first assessed in vitro whether melanoma and RCC cells would be more susceptible to killing by bulk allogeneic NK populations when the tumor lacked an HLA-C allele for a specific KIR expressed on NK cell effectors. NK cells enriched from PBMCs by negative selection from a donor homozygous for HLA-C group 1 (C-G1) were expanded in vitro using irradiated EBV-LCLs as feeder cells. Two weeks later, NK cells expressing CD158b (KIR 2DL2/3) were isolated by flow sorting and were then expanded for 2 more weeks to remove remaining bound antibodies (Figure 1A). KIR ligand compatible (eg, homozygous for C-G1) or KIR ligand incompatible (eg, homozygous for C-G2) tumor targets were then tested in vitro for differences in susceptibility to the cytotoxic effects of CD158b-enriched (approximately 80% positive) bulk NK cells.

A significantly greater percentage of KIR incompatible, C-G2 homozygous, melanoma, and RCC lines were lysed by CD158b-enriched NK cells than by KIR compatible C-G1 homozygous tumor counterparts (Figure 1B). A similar pattern of cytotoxicity was observed against KIR ligand-compatible (autologous) compared with KIR ligand-incompatible EBV-LCL targets. Likewise, CD158a-enriched NK cells lysed a higher percentage of KIR incompatible, C-G1 homozygous EBV-LCL and melanoma cells compared with C-G2 homozygous KIR-matched targets (Figure 1B,D).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus and concentration</th>
<th>Expansion fold</th>
<th>CD3^−CD56^+ or CD3^−CD16^−, %</th>
<th>CD3^−CD56^−CD158b^+, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HLA-Cw locus of feeder cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homozygous for C-G2</td>
<td>(1.8 ± 1.6) × 10^4</td>
<td>79.5 ± 5.2</td>
<td>41 ± 9.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homozygous for C-G1 (autologous)</td>
<td>(1.32 ± 0.67) × 10^4</td>
<td>72.5 ± 11.6</td>
<td>24 ± 8.8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterozygous for C-G2 and C-G1</td>
<td>(1.8 ± 0.45) × 10^4</td>
<td>78.6 ± 4.5</td>
<td>33 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2 concentration, IU/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.6 × 10^4</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5 × 10^4</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.8 × 10^3</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NK cells were enriched by negative selection from PBMCs of a healthy donor (KR0350) homozygous for HLA-C group 1 (C-G1), then expanded in vitro using irradiated EBV-LCL feeders: (1) HLA-C group 2 (C-G2) EBV-LCLs; (2) autologous EBV-LCLs; (3) HLA-C group is heterozygous EBV-LCLs. Two weeks later, expanded NK cells were counted, and phenotype analyses were performed. The difference in CD5^−CD56^−CD158b^+ population between homozygous for C-G2 feeder cells and autologous feeder cells was significant (P = .0085). Values represent averages ± SD from 3 independent experiments.

*Values represent averages from 2 independent experiments.
†P = .0085.
cells homozygous for C-G2 (35%–100% lysis at an effector-target [E/T] ratio of 20:1), with minimal to no lysis of KIR-matched (autologous) EBV-LCLs (data not shown). Line 8-5 expanded sufficiently for further experiments (Figure 2A).

Although this line had no cytotoxicity against autologous EBV-LCLs, it lysed nearly 100% of EBV-LCLs homzygous for C-G2, consistent with killing through KIR incompatibility. At a 20:1 E/T ratio, a significantly higher percentage of KIR ligand–mismatched melanoma and RCC cells (homozygous for C-G2) were killed than KIR ligand–matched (homozygous for C-G1) tumor targets (Figure 2B). Similarly, enriched NK cells were expanded after limiting dilution from the PBMCs of a patient (St) with widely metastatic melanoma who was homozygous for C-G1; NK line S-8 from this patient killed a significantly higher percentage of KIR ligand–mismatched EBV-LCLs (95%) and melanoma cells (72%) than KIR ligand–matched autologous targets (5% and 19% of EBV-LCL and melanoma cells respectively; Figure 2C).

NK lines could also be expanded from a healthy donor homozygous for C-G2 with enhanced cytotoxicity against KIR ligand–mismatched allogeneic EBV-LCLs and melanoma cells homozygous for C-G1 compared with KIR ligand–matched allogeneic EBV-LCLs and melanoma cells homozygous for C-G2 (Figure 2D).

**Impact of MHC class I expression on KIR-matched and -mismatched NK cell targets**

MHC class I blockade has been shown to enhance NK cell cytotoxicity against KIR ligand–matched tumors in vitro. Therefore, we investigated the cytotoxic potential of allogeneic KIR ligand–mismatched NK cells against RCC and melanoma cells compared with KIR ligand–matched NK cells targeting tumors in which MHC class I had been blocked with the monoclonal antibody W6/32.

NK cells enriched from the PBMCs of a healthy donor, SKEM (C-G1 homozygous; HLA-identical sibling to RCC patient SJ) were expanded after limiting dilution and screened for cytotoxicity against KIR-matched (C-G1 homozygous) versus KIR-mismatched (C-G2 homozygous) targets. Three NK lines (SKEM NK lines 2, 6, and 7; Figure 3A) with significantly greater cytotoxicity against C-G2–mismatched versus C-G1–matched EBV-LCLs were identified.

At a 10:1 E/T ratio, NK lines lysed 4% to 25% of Völle EBV-LCLs (matched for C-G1); the cytotoxicity of all 3 lines increased significantly (greater than 80%) when Völle EBV-LCLs were precultured with the monoclonal antibody W6/32 (pan class 1 MHC antibody), consistent with MHC class I molecules on the target cells functioning as NK cell KIR ligands (Figure 3B). SKEM NK lines were significantly more cytotoxic against KIR ligand–mismatched, C-G2 homozygous R-melanoma cells than against KIR ligand–matched, C-G1 homozygous SJ RCC cells, in which virtually no cytotoxicity was observed. Preculturing SJ RCC cells with the pan MHC class I monoclonal antibody W6/32 substantially increased the cytotoxic effects of all 3 NK cell lines against this KIR-matched tumor target.

**Impact of gamma irradiation on NK cell function**

Strair et al recently demonstrated that metastatic RCC may regress in humans after the adoptive infusion of irradiated HLA-mismatched allogeneic PBMCs. Our in vitro data suggest adoptively infused KIR-incompatible allogeneic NK cells might also have beneficial antitumor effects in vivo. Therefore, the impact of gamma irradiation on NK cell–mediated tumor cytotoxicity was...
further investigated. Bulk NK cells expanded from PBMCs of healthy donors (as previously described) were gamma irradiated (50 Gy) and then tested for cytotoxicity against NK cell-sensitive K562 cells 0, 24, 48, 60, and 96 hours after irradiation (Figure 4A).

Although most NK cells quickly became annexin V- or propidium iodide (PI)—positive (Figure 4B) by FACS (consistent with radiation-induced apoptosis and death), significant cytotoxicity against K562 cells could still be measured 48 hours after irradiation. NK cell line 8-5 from healthy donor KR0350 maintained its ability to kill KIR-incompatible EBV-LCL cells 24 hours after irradiation, with only a slight decrease in its cytotoxic capacity compared with a nonirradiated control (Figure 4C).

Discussion

Ruggeri et al15,16 and others17 have shown that the risk for AML relapse in patients receiving KIR-incompatible haploidentical or partially mismatched unrelated allogeneic transplants is significantly reduced when a KIR epitope mismatch in the direction of GVH exists. In this population, NK cells can be expanded after irradiation, with only a slight decrease in its cytotoxic capacity compared with a nonirradiated control (Figure 4C).
transplantation that kill AML and CML cells in vitro through KIR incompatibility. Furthermore, these alloreactive NK cell populations can mediate curative antileukemia effects against AML when adoptively infused into leukemia-bearing NOD/SCID mice. Equally important, alloreactive NK cell populations appear to mediate these graft-versus-leukemia effects without causing graft-versus-host disease (GVHD).

Here we demonstrate that similar NK cell–mediated effects occur in vitro against neoplastic cells of epithelial origin. Specifically, we show that melanoma and RCC cells are more susceptible to the cytotoxic effects of allogeneic NK cells expressing KIR that cannot be ligated by the tumor’s inhibitory HLA-C alleles because of HLA mismatching. In this study, we generated NK cell lines from donors or patients who were homozygous for group 1 or group 2 HLA-C alleles, in whom the frequency of NK cells with alloreactivity against targets lacking the same HLA-C allele group was high. Furthermore, we used EBV-LCL, melanoma, and RCC tumor targets that were homozygous for group 1 or group 2 HLA-C alleles, so that the impact of KIR mismatching on NK cell cytotoxicity could be easily evaluated.

Although they varied in frequency, NK cells that selectively killed HLA-C group–mismatched EBV-LCLs could be isolated from all donors studied. In most cases, NK cells with enhanced cytotoxicity against KIR-incompatible EBV-LCLs also killed a significantly higher percentage of melanoma and RCC cells that lacked a matching inhibitory HLA-C KIR ligand compared with KIR ligand–matched tumor cells. The differences in the level of cytotoxicity were often striking, with substantially higher (more than 60%) lysis of KIR ligand–mismatched tumor targets compared with KIR-matched targets in which little to no lysis occurred. This NK cell phenomenon was not restricted to healthy donors; NK cell lines from cancer patients homozygous for C-G1 or C-G2 were identified that failed kill autologous tumor cells while having significantly greater cytotoxicity against allogeneic KIR ligand–mismatched tumor lines. In this study, we restricted KIR incompatibility to the HLA-C locus. Although we did not evaluate the impact of KIR mismatching in HLA-B or -A loci, one might speculate from our findings that such NK cell populations might have enhanced cytotoxicity similar to that shown to occur against AML and EBV-LCL cells.

The balance between activating and inhibitory signals ultimately determines whether target cells will be susceptible to NK cell–mediated lysis. In contrast to myelogenous leukemia (AML and CML), Ruggeri et al.15 found only a minority of ALL cells were killed in vitro by KIR-mismatched NK populations. This in vitro finding correlated well with their clinical data showing no beneficial impact of NK cell alloreactivity on ALL relapse. The presence of LFA-1 on AML cells and its absence on NK cell–resistant ALL cells suggested this adhesion molecule might function as a ligand for NK cell–activating receptors. The activating ligands on tumor cells used in these experiments that were necessary to initiate alloreactive NK cell killing are unknown but are being investigated. Flow cytometric analysis showed all our RCC and melanoma lines to be LFA-1 negative (data not shown). However, our tumor lines had variable densities of MHC class I chain–related antigens (MICA or MICB)27,28 or UL16-binding proteins29-32 (ULBPs; Table 3), known ligands for the NK cell–activating receptor NKG2D.

Previous studies have shown that leukemia, lymphoma,33 and melanoma lines34,35 with these ligands can be specifically lysed by NKG2D–expressing NK cells. It remains to be determined whether the level of expression of different activating ligands might be used as a surrogate to identify those malignancies with susceptibility to KIR-incompatible NK cells.

Efforts to boost host NK cell–mediated immunity against solid tumors through IL-2 administration or the adoptive infusion of LAK cells have been largely unsuccessful.37,38 KIR-mediated inhibition of NK cell function by tumor HLA class 1 or class 1-like ligands may be a major factor limiting autologous-based NK cell therapies. Murine and human studies have shown that alloreactive NK cells do not induce a GVH reaction.16 These findings, combined with our data showing that KIR-incompatible NK cells have enhanced tumor cytotoxicity compared with KIR-matched or autologous NK populations, could be used as the rationale to investigate their antineoplastic potential in humans. Using irradiated EBV-LCLs as feeders, we successfully expanded bulk NK populations10,14-fold, 10,2-fold higher than the maximum expansion previously reported achievable when PBMCs were used as feeders. Therefore, it appears feasible that 1010 or more allogeneic NK cells could be expanded ex vivo for adoptive infusion from a starting source of only 10 to 25 mL of peripheral blood. Such populations would likely have to be irradiated before their adoptive infusion in

**Table 3. Expression of NKG2D ligands measured by flow cytometry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NKG2D ligands</th>
<th>Tumor cell lines, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>St-MEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC A/B</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULBP1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULBP2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ULBP3</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expression of NKG2D ligands; stress-inducible surface glycoprotein MIC A/B, UL16-binding protein (ULBP), ULBP 1, ULBP 2, and ULBP 3 were measured using flow cytometry.
the allogenic setting to prevent long-term engraftment and possible transfusion-associated GVHD as a consequence of T-lymphocyte contamination. Not surprisingly, irradiation induced NK cell apoptosis and, ultimately, cell death. However, our in vitro data showed that some level of NK cell cytotoxic function was maintained for up to 48 hours after exposure to 50 Gy. Indeed, γ-irradiated, partially mismatched allogeneic PBMC infusions have recently been reported to induce disease regression in some patients with metastatic RCC. Our data suggest that adoptively infused and irradiated allogeneic NK cells with KIR incompatibility could have similar or even enhanced antitumor effects compared with unmanipulated allogeneic PBMC infusions containing a relatively small proportion of NK cells.

A potential limitation of our study was the use of RCC and melanoma cell lines, which potentially could represent a minor subset of the entire tumor cell population. Low numbers of tumor cells and poor tumor cell purity from contamination of metastatic lesions with nonmalignant cells (stromal, endothelial, hematopoietic) are technical factors that limited the use of fresh tumor samples in these experiments. Although studies are ongoing, preliminary data on fresh and early-passage tumor cells isolated from large metastatic lesions have shown similarly enhanced susceptibility to KIR-incompatible NK cells as was observed with established tumor lines (data not shown).

Finally, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation has recently been shown to induce graft-versus-tumor effects in some patients with advanced cytokine-refractory metastatic RCC. Because HLA-matched siblings were used as donors, allogeneic NK cells would not be expected to expand. Our data showing enhanced susceptibility of RCC and melanoma cells to NK cells with KIR incompatibility suggest the NK cell–mediated antitumor effects seen against AML after KIR-incompatible mismatched allografting might be induced in a similar fashion against select solid tumors. Transplantation trials for metastatic RCC using unrelated donors are already in progress. Based on our findings, trials that evaluate the use of single HLA-mismatched unrelated donors with KIR incompatibility (in the direction of the GVH) should be considered. This would also provide an opportunity to investigate the impact of posttransplantation allogeneic NK cell infusions, which have been previously shown to facilitate engraftment, reduce the risk for GVHD, and eradicate leukemia in murine models of mismatched transplantation.
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