Th2 cells are less susceptible than Th1 cells to the suppressive activity of CD25+ regulatory thymocytes because of their responsiveness to different cytokines
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T-cell clones generated from both CD4+CD25+ and CD8+CD25+ human thymocytes were assessed for their ability to suppress the proliferative response to allogeneic stimulation of type 1 T-helper (Th1) or type 2 T-helper (Th2) clones derived from autologous CD4+CD25+ thymocytes. Both CD4+ and CD8+ T-regulatory (Treg) cells completely suppressed the proliferation of Th1 clones but exhibited significantly lower suppressive activity on the proliferation of Th2 clones. The partial suppressive effect on Th2 cells was further reduced by the addition in culture of interleukin-4 (IL-4), whereas it was increased in the presence of an anti-IL-4 monoclonal antibody (mAb). The suppressive activity on Th2 clones was also completely inhibited by the addition of IL-7, IL-9, and IL-15 but not of IL-2, whereas the suppressive effect on Th1 clones was only reverted by the addition of IL-15. Of note, Th2 clones expressed significantly higher amounts of mRNA for IL-4 receptor (IL-4R) and IL-9R α chains than Th1 clones, whereas the expression of mRNA for IL-2R, IL-7R, and IL-15R α chains was comparable. Taken together, these findings demonstrate that Th2 cells have a lower susceptibility than Th1 cells to the suppressive activity of human CD25+ regulatory thymocytes, because they are able to produce, and to respond to, growth factors distinct from IL-2, such as IL-4 and IL-9.
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Introduction

CD4+CD25+ T-regulatory (Treg) cells have been isolated from the periphery and thymus of mice and humans.1,4 Recently, a small subset of CD8+CD25+ cells sharing similar characteristics and functions with CD4+CD25+ thymocytes has been detected in human postnatal thymuses.5 Both CD4+CD25+ and CD8+CD25+ human thymocytes constitutively expressed Foxp3 and glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor (GITR) mRNA, as well as surface CCR8, surface TNF receptor 2 (TNFR2), and cytoplasmic CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T-lymphocyte–associated antigen-4) proteins, which are usually a feature of Treg cells.5-8 Following activation, they did not produce cytokines, but many of them expressed both CTLA-4 and TGF-β1 (transforming growth factor-β1) on their surface.5,5 These cells did not proliferate but suppressed the proliferation of autologous CD4+CD25+ thymocytes to allogeneic stimulation by a contact-dependent mechanism. Their suppressive activity appeared to be related to the combined action of surface CTLA-4 and TGF-β1 that inhibited the expression of the interleukin-2 receptor (IL-2R) α chain on target T cells.5,5 Treg cells that develop in, and emerge from, the thymus are certainly responsible for the maintenance of self-tolerance and prevention of autoimmune disorders, which often result from type 1 T-helper (Th1)–mediated immune responses against autoantigens.8 Thus, the suppressive effect of CD4+CD25+ on Th1 cells is predictable.

To establish whether CD4+CD25+ T cells exert the same regulatory activity even on Th2 cells, T-cell clones from both CD4+CD25+ and CD8+CD25+ human thymocytes were generated and assessed for their suppressive activity on the proliferative response to allogeneic stimulation of T-cell clones showing Th1 or Th2 profile of cytokine production, which were contemporaneously derived from autologous CD4+CD25+ thymocytes. The results showed that the proliferation of Th1 clones was completely suppressed by both CD4+ and CD8+ autologous Treg cells, whereas the same Treg cells exhibited much lower suppressive activity on the proliferation of Th2 clones. This difference was due to the ability of Th2 cells to produce cytokines that allow them to proliferate even in the absence of responsiveness to IL-2. Indeed, the suppressive activity on Th2 clones was enhanced by neutralization in culture of IL-4, whereas it was completely blocked by the addition of IL-4, IL-7, IL-9, and IL-15 but not of IL-2. By contrast, the proliferation of Th1 cells was only restored by the addition of IL-15. Of note, Th2 clones expressed significantly higher levels of IL-4R and IL-9R mRNA than Th1 clones, whereas the expression on Th1 and Th2 cells of IL-2R, IL-7R, and IL-15R was comparable.

Materials and methods

Reagents and Abs

The medium used was RPMI 1640 (Seromed, Berlin, Germany), supplemented with 2 mM l-glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, 1%
Flow cytometry analysis

Flow cytometry analysis on thymic cell suspensions or T-cell clones was performed as detailed elsewhere. Intracellular synthesis at single cell level of IL-4 and IFN-γ was performed as described.4,5

Assessment of suppressive activity

The assessment of suppressive activity by thymocyte suspensions or T-cell clones was performed by using a proliferation assay, as detailed in previous papers.4,5 Briefly, 5 × 10^5 CD4^+CD25^- thymocytes were cultured with 10^5 irradiated T-cell-depleted allogeneic PBMCs and soluble anti-CD3 (1 μg/mL) in the presence of different numbers of CD4^+CD25^- autologous thymocytes (target-regulatory cell ratio 1:1, 2:1, 4:1). In some experiments, mixed lymphocyte cultures (MLCs) were performed in presence or absence of IL-2 (10 IU/mL), IL-4 (2 ng/mL), IL-7 (1 ng/mL), IL-9 (2 ng/mL), or IL-15 (7 ng/mL). On day 5, after 8 hours of pulsing with 0.5 μCi (0.0185 MBq) 3H-TdR/well (Amersham), cultures were harvested, and radioactivity uptake was measured by scintillation counting. The average levels of proliferation, measured as cpm (± SD), were 41.375 ± 13.499 for the 8 Th1 clones, 36.553 ± 14.133 for the 9 Th2 clones, and 570 ± 370 for the 3 Treg clones. The suppressive activity was expressed as percentage of inhibition of proliferation.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR (TaqMan)

TaqMan reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was performed as described elsewhere.5 Quantitative analysis of Foxp3, GITR, and IL-2R, IL-4R, IL-7R, IL-9R, and IL-15R α chains was performed by using Assay on Demand (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, United Kingdom). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) quantitative analysis was performed by using predeveloped TaqMan assay reagents target kits (Applied Biosystems). GAPDH was used for normalization.

Results

Generation and characterization of human T-cell clones

CD4^+CD25^-, CD4^+CD25^+, CD8^+CD25^+, and CD8^+CD25^- thymocyte populations were first purified (purity > 98%) and then cloned by limiting dilution. Twelve T-cell clones (clonal efficiency, 3.2%) were generated from CD4^+CD25^+, 11 (clonal efficiency, 2.9%) from CD8^+CD25^+, 53 (clonal efficiency, 18.2%) from CD4^+CD25^-, and 38 (clonal efficiency, 10%) from CD8^+CD25^- thymocytes. All clones from each thymocyte population were isolated and expanded for analysis of their function. The CD4^+CD25^- and the CD8^+CD25^- T-cell clones were heterogeneous in their ability to inhibit the proliferative response of...
autologous CD4+CD25+ thymocytes in response to allogeneic stimulation (Figure 1A), whereas none of the T-cell clones derived from CD4+CD25− or CD8+CD25+ thymocytes showed any suppressive effect (data not shown). However, none of the clones derived from CD4+CD25+ or CD8+CD25+ thymocytes produced IL-4 or IFN-γ following stimulation with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) plus ionomycin, as evaluated by analysis of intracellular synthesis at the single cell level (Figure 1B), whereas all clones generated from CD4+CD25+ thymocytes produced detectable amounts of IL-4 and/or IFN-γ in response to stimulation with PMA plus ionomycin (Figure 1B). On the basis of their cytokine production profile, 8 CD4+ T-cell clones were classified as Th1 (production of IFN-γ but no IL-4), 9 as Th2 (production of IL-4 but no IFN-γ), and 36 as Th0 (production of both IL-4 and IFN-γ).

Suppressive activity of CD4+CD25+ and CD8+CD25+ T-cell clones on the proliferation of autologous Th1 or Th2 clones

One CD8+ (no. 9) and 2 CD4+ (no. 2 and no. 8) clones showing strong suppressive activity on autologous CD4+CD25+ thymocytes, as well as the expression of Foxp3 and GITR mRNA (data not shown), were selected. As target cells, 2 Th1 and 2 Th2 clones were chosen (Figure 2A). The TCR usage of all clones was determined by flow cytometry and revealed that all T-cell blasts of common clonal origin (data not shown). The 2 Th1 and the 2 Th2 clones were then stimulated with irradiated allogeneic PBMCs for 5 days in absence or presence of different concentrations of T-cell blasts from the 3 suppressive clones. The results of this experiment are depicted in Figure 2B. At 1:1 target–regulatory cell ratio, all 3 clones strongly suppressed the proliferation of Th1 clones (between 80% and 98%), but they exerted much lower suppressive effect on the proliferation of Th2 clones (between 35% and 63%) (Figure 2B). As shown in Figure 2C, the mean suppressive activity of the CD4+CD25+ clone no. 8 was significantly higher on the 8 Th1 clones than on the 9 Th2 clones not only at 1:1 but also at 2:1 target–regulatory cell ratio. Both the CD4+CD25+ no. 2 and the CD8+CD25+ no. 9 clones also exerted similar effects (data not shown). The suppressive activity of the CD4+CD25+ clone no. 8 was also assessed on the proliferation of 10 randomly selected Th0 clones. The mean of inhibition (± SD) of proliferation was equal to 66% ± 9%.

Lower susceptibility of Th2 clones to the suppressive activity of Treg clones is at least in part due to their ability to produce IL-4

We asked, therefore, whether the lower susceptibility of Th2 cells to the suppressive activity of either CD4+CD25+ or CD8+CD25+ thymocytes could be related to their ability to produce a T-cell growth factor distinct from IL-2, such as IL-4. To test this hypothesis, we assessed the suppressive activity of the suppressive CD4+CD25+ no. 8 clone on the proliferative response to allogeneic stimulation of the 8 Th1 and the 9 Th2 clones, in the absence or the presence of IL-4 or an anti–IL-4 mAb. Neither the addition of IL-4 nor the addition of anti–IL-4 mAb had any effect on the suppressive activity exerted by this clone on the proliferation of Th1 cells, whereas the addition of IL-4 strongly reduced its suppressive activity on the proliferation of Th2 cells. Moreover, the addition of anti–IL-4 mAb consistently enhanced the suppressive activity exerted by the same clone on Th2 cells (Figure 3A). Because IL-4 has been reported to revert the anergic state of CD4+CD25+ Treg cells,3 the possibility that the lower suppressive effect exerted on
Th2 clones was due to IL-4–induced Treg cell proliferation was investigated. To this end, all the 3 Treg clones were assessed for their ability to proliferate in response to exogenous IL-4. As shown in Figure 3B, IL-4 had virtually no effect on the proliferative response of Treg clones.

Not only IL-4, but also IL-7, IL-9, and IL-15, but not IL-2, bypass the suppressive activity of Treg cells on the proliferation of Th2 cells, whereas only IL-15 restores the proliferation of Th1 cells

The effect in the same system of other cytokines, such as IL-7, IL-9, and IL-15, which also share the α chain with the IL-2R, was then investigated. Not only IL-4 but also IL-7, IL-9, and IL-15, but not IL-2, completely restored the proliferative response of Th2 cells, whereas only IL-15 exhibited the same restoring effect on the proliferation of Th1 cells (Figure 4). Of note, neither IL-7 nor IL-9 were able, even in the presence of IL-4, to induce the proliferation of CD4+ or CD8+ Treg clones (data not shown).

The expression of private α chains of receptors for IL-2, IL-4, IL-7, IL-9, and IL-15 was also evaluated by measuring the amount of their mRNAs by real-time quantitative RT-PCR on T-cell blasts from the 8 Th1 and the 9 Th2 clones before their testing in the suppressive assays. Th1 and Th2 clones showed the expression of comparable amounts of mRNA for IL-2R, IL-7R, and IL-15R α chains, whereas the expression of mRNA for IL-4R and IL-9R α chains was significantly higher in Th2 than in Th1 cells (Figure 5).

**Discussion**

In this study, we have compared the suppressive effect of CD4+CD25+ or CD8+CD25+ T-regulatory thymocytes on the proliferative response of Th1 and Th2 lymphocytes. Three selected Treg clones (2 CD4+ and 1 CD8+), generated from purified CD25+ human thymocyte suspensions, showed the ability to virtually abolish the proliferative response of autologous Th1 clones, whereas the same Treg clones exhibited only partial inhibitory effect on the proliferation of Th2 clones. An intermediate suppressive effect on the proliferation of Th0 clones was observed.

In previous studies, we demonstrated that the combined activity of CTLA-4 and TGF-β1 expressed by activated CD4+CD25+ thymocytes was able to inhibit the expression of the α chain of the IL-2R on target T cells. This effect made these cells unresponsive to the growth activity of IL-2 but not of IL-15, a T-cell growth factor that shares with IL-2 the γ chain of its receptor, but possesses its own private α chain.

We, therefore, hypothesized that the lower susceptibility of Th2 cells to the suppressive activity exerted by Treg thymocytes was related to the peculiar ability of these cells in comparison with Th1 cells to produce, and to respond to, growth factors distinct from IL-2, such as IL-4 and IL-9, whose receptors share the γ chain with IL-2R but possess a distinct α chain.

The results of this study provide convincing evidence on the validity of this hypothesis. First, the suppressive activity of Treg clones on the proliferation of Th2 cells was strongly increased by the addition in culture of an anti-IL-4 Ab but was further reduced by the addition of IL-4. More important, not only IL-4 and IL-15 but also IL-7 and IL-9 inhibited the suppressive activity of Treg clones on the proliferation of Th2 cells, whereas only IL-15 showed this effect on Th1 cells. Finally, at least before their testing in the suppressive assays, Th2 clones exhibited higher amounts of mRNA for IL-4R and IL-9R α chains than Th1 clones, whereas the amounts of IL-2R, IL-7R, and IL-15R α chain mRNAs were comparable. A possible explanation for the inhibitory effect exerted by IL-7 only on the suppressive activity by Treg cells on Th2 cells, despite the similar expression of the IL-7R in both Th1 and Th2 clones, may be that IL-7 is an early inducer of IL-4 production. Thus, because IL-15 is a T-cell growth factor produced by non-T cells, such as monocytes, dendritic cells, and other cell types, it is possible to hypothesize that the suppressive activity exerted by CD4+CD25+ or CD8+CD25+ that emerges from thymus can be bypassed when these cells operate under in vivo conditions characterized by the presence of high IL-15 production. By contrast, it is likely that the suppressive activity of Treg cells on Th2 lymphocytes can be inhibited not only under in vivo conditions.
characterized by the production of non-T cells of either IL-7 or IL-15 in their microenvironment but also the ability of Th2 cells to produce, and to respond to, both IL-4 and IL-9. The results of this study are apparently in variance with previous reports, showing that both IL-2 and IL-4 partially inhibit the suppressive activity of CD4+CD25+ human Treg cells by inducing their proliferation.3,16 This discrepancy probably rests on the different experimental models used (ie, circulating CD4+CD25+ T cells versus thymus-derived CD25+ Treg clones). Circulating CD4+CD25+ T cells, unlike thymus-derived CD25+ Treg clones, consist not only of Treg cells but also of in vivo-activated T-cell effectors, which are highly susceptible to the activity of growth factors, such as IL-2 and IL-4.

The observation that both CD4+CD25+ and CD8+CD25+ Treg cells that emerge from the thymus and then colonize secondary lymphoid organs can exert strong suppressive activity on Th1, but much lower on Th2, cells is of great potential interest. The resident Treg cells that develop in the thymus and are exported to peripheral tissues are certainly responsible for the maintenance of self-tolerance and prevention of autoimmune diseases,7,18-21 which peripheral tissues are certainly responsible for the maintenance of self-tolerance and prevention of autoimmune diseases,7,18-21 which are thought to result from Th1-mediated immune responses.2,3 By contrast, Th2 cells are more rarely detectable in tissues affected by autoimmune disorders, and their cytokines can even play a protective role by either counteracting the development and prevention of Th2 cells (and also CD8+CD25+ Treg cells) by induced their proliferation. 3,16 Thus, it is reasonable that Th2 cells are lessTh2 cells are less susceptible to, and can easily escape from, their activity. This result is consistent with the observation that depletion in mice of CD4+CD25+ T cells prevents antigen-induced Th2 differentiation by increasing the differentiation of Th1 cells.29 Obviously, the possibility that Th2 responses are suppressed by other types of Treg cells, which are generated from mature T cells under certain conditions of antigenic stimulation and exert their suppressive activity by way of the production of cytokines, such as IL-10 and TGF-β,21 cannot be excluded. However, the results of this study indicate that different regulatory mechanisms are involved in dampening Th1 and Th2 responses; therefore, it is probably incorrect to evoke the same explanation for the increased prevalence of both autoimmune and allergic disorders.

Another important implication emerging from the results of this study deals with the current debate on whether missing immune deviation or reduced immune suppression accounts for the increased prevalence of allergy (a Th2-mediated disorder), which has been attributed to the reduced microbial burden in childhood (“hygiene hypothesis”).25-26. Recently, on the basis of epidemiologic observations showing a contemporaneous increase in the prevalence of type 1 diabetes mellitus, multiple sclerosis, and Crohn disease (which are considered as Th1-mediated disorders), the role of reduced immune suppression has been emphasized.27,28. However, the results of this study provide clear evidence that at least CD4+CD25+ (and also CD8+CD25+) Treg cells cannot be considered as equally responsible for the suppression of Th1- or Th2-mediated immune responses, because Th2 cells are poorly susceptible to, and can easily escape from, their activity. This result is consistent with the observation that depletion in mice of CD4+CD25+ T cells prevents antigen-induced Th2 differentiation by increasing the differentiation of Th1 cells.29 Obviously, the possibility that Th2 responses are suppressed by other types of Treg cells, which are generated from mature T cells under certain conditions of antigenic stimulation and exert their suppressive activity by way of the production of cytokines, such as IL-10 and TGF-β,21 cannot be excluded. However, the results of this study indicate that different regulatory mechanisms are involved in dampening Th1 and Th2 responses; therefore, it is probably incorrect to evoke the same explanation for the increased prevalence of both autoimmune and allergic disorders.
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