A plausible mechanism to explain thrombotic risk differences associated with the use of second- and third-generation oral contraceptives (OCs), particularly in carriers of factor V\textsubscript{Leiden}, is still lacking. In a double-blind trial, 51 women without and 35 women with factor V\textsubscript{Leiden} were randomized to either a second- (30 μg ethinyloestradiol/150 μg levonorgestrel) or third- (30 μg ethinyloestradiol/150 μg desogestrel) generation OC. After 2 cycles of use and a wash-out of 2 cycles, the participants continued with the corresponding progestagen-only preparation. Hemo-
static variables that probe the activity of the anticoagulant protein C system were determined. Compared with levonorgestrel, desogestrel-containing OCs significantly decreased protein S and increased activated protein C (APC) resistance in both groups. OCs with desogestrel had the most pronounced effects in carriers of factor V\textsubscript{Leiden}. Progestagen-only preparations caused changes of anticoagulant parameters opposite to those of combined OCs, which in a number of cases were more pronounced with levonorgestrel. Our data show that progestagens in combined OCs counteract the thrombotic effect of the estrogen component. The higher thrombotic risk associated with third-generation OCs compared with second-generation OCs may be explained by the fact that desogestrel appeared less antithrombotic than levonorgestrel, especially in women with factor V\textsubscript{Leiden}. (Blood. 2004;103:927-933) © 2004 by The American Society of Hematology
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coagulation factor Va and VIIIa. Protein S, which acts as cofactor of APC, also exhibits anticoagulant activity independent of APC by directly inhibiting thrombin formation. The anticoagulant activity of protein S is modulated by C4b-binding protein, which binds some 60% of plasma protein S. The physiologic importance of the protein C system is illustrated by the fact that defects in this pathway are associated with an increased risk of venous thromboembolism.

For a long time, the increased thrombotic risk associated with oral contraceptive use was attributed to the estrogen component. Because low-dose second- and third-generation oral contraceptives contain the same estrogen dose, the differences may reflect a progestagen-specific effect. Although it has been proposed that progestagens have estrogen-like effects, among others on hemostasis, there are as yet no data on the influence of levonorgestrel or desogestrel in the absence of the estrogen component on the protein C pathway.

To gain more insight in the biologic basis of an enhanced risk of venous thrombosis in oral contraceptive users, particularly in carriers of factor V Leiden, we performed a double-blind randomized trial in which we determined the effects of second- and third-generation progestagens, alone or in combination with estrogens, on the protein C pathway in the absence or presence of the factor V Leiden mutation.

**Patients, materials, and methods**

**Study design and participants**

Figure 1 shows the flow of the participants during the study. In 1998, 1083 female students and employees of Utrecht University aged 18 to 40 years were screened for the presence of the factor V Leiden mutation. Of the women, 60% (5.5%) were heterozygous carriers of the factor V Leiden mutation. These women and 111 women without factor V Leiden were approached for the trial; 36 women with and 51 women without V Leiden agreed to participate and were tested whether or not they met the exclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria included the following: a history of any malignant disorder; cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, hepatic or renal diseases; venous thrombosis; epilepsy or classical migraine; vaginal bleeding of unknown etiology; pregnancy; rheumatoid arthritis; diabetes mellitus; psychiatric disorders; alcohol abuse or drug abuse within the last 12 months; heavy smoking; excessive obesity; chronic infectious diseases; and any other serious disease. Moreover, volunteers with a contraindication to estrogens and/or progestagens were excluded. One woman with V Leiden was excluded because of a psychiatric disorder. From the women without factor V Leiden no one met the exclusion criteria.

One participant was excluded from phase 2 because the wrong medication was supplied. Another woman withdrew consent in phase 2 because of side effects of the progestagen-only pill (symptoms of depression). Both were factor V Leiden-negative. The trial started in January 1999 and ended in October 1999.

Figure 2 illustrates the design of the trial. Women with and without factor V Leiden were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 different combination pills containing either 30 \( \mu \)g ethinylestradiol and 150 \( \mu \)g levonorgestrel or 30 \( \mu \)g ethinylestradiol and 150 \( \mu \)g desogestrel. These formulations are identical to those commercially marketed. The oral contraceptives were
used for a period of 2 menstruation cycles (phase 1). After a wash-out of 2 menstrual periods, the participants were treated with a corresponding progestagen-only preparation containing either 150 μg levonorgestrel or 150 μg desogestrel. These progestagens were used for a period of 2 menstruation cycles without a stop week to provide full contraceptive safety (phase 2). The study medication was labeled in blocks of 10 according to a randomization list generated by the medication manufacturer. Participants were enrolled and assigned to their groups by the investigators. Participants, those administering the intervention, and those assessing the outcomes were not aware of group assignment. There were 2 blood samples taken at the end of phase 2, at the end of the wash-out period (days 101 ± 2 and 105 ± 2), and at the end of phase 2 (days 152 ± 2 and 154 ± 2). We used duplicate sampling to reduce random measurement error. For reasons of safety, ultrasound examination of the veins of both legs was performed before the start of the study, after the wash-out period, and at the end of phase 2, to exclude venous thrombosis.

A power calculation showed that if 25 women were allocated in each treatment group, assuming an APC-sr of 380 nM/minute ± 50 in healthy individuals, a differences in APC-sr of 40 nM/minute or more could be detected (α = 0.05; β = 0.20). This difference is enough to achieve statistical significance in the APC-sr calculation. With this sample size, we also expected to be able to demonstrate differences in the other hemostatic variables.

Before start of the study, all selected women were informed about the aims and potential risks of the study. All participants gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht.

Laboratory methods

All blood samples were drawn in the morning after an overnight abstinence from intake of food, caffeine, alcohol, or nicotine. Cell-free, citrated plasma was prepared and centrally stored at −80°C. Anticoagulant parameters were determined after all participants had completed the treatment regimen and were done in duplicate.

All commercially available assays were carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Protein C was determined using the Coamatic protein C activity kit from Chromogenix (Möln达尔, Sweden). Total protein S antigen was assayed by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using antibodies from DAKO (Glostrup, Denmark). Free protein S was measured by precipitating the C4b-binding protein-bound fraction with polyethylene glycol 8000 and measuring the concentration of free protein S in the supernatant. C4b-binding protein antigen levels were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a combination of monoclonal antibodies against C4b-binding protein (8C11 and horseradish peroxidase–labeled 9H10). The APC-independent anticoagulant activity of protein S (PSAPCind) was determined as described by van Wijnen et al26 with 2 labeled 9H10). The APC-independent anticoagulant activity of protein S (PSAPCind) was determined as described by van Wijnen et al26 with 2 modifications. To avoid contamination with endogenous phospholipids, all plasma aliquots taken from the bottom of the tube were used for the assay. Recombinant human tissue (Innovin; Dade Behring, Marburg, Germany) diluted 100-fold was used to initiate coagulation. Antigen levels of protein C inhibitor (PCI) were determined by ELISA using a monoclonal antibody against PCI (API-93) as capturing antibody and rabbit polyclonal anti-PCI serum as secondary antibody.27 APC sensitivity ratios (APC-sr) were determined by quantifying the effect of APC on thrombin generation as described before.16 The plasma levels of the proteins determined (antigen or activity) were expressed as percentage of that present in normal pooled plasma determined in the same experiment. The presence of the factor VLeiden mutation was assessed by DNA analysis.28 All tests were performed without knowledge of the carrier status of the individual and the oral contraceptive preparation used.

Statistical analysis

To reduce random measurement error, the results of the 2 visits at the end of phase 1, the wash-out period, and phase 2 were averaged. If only one sample was available, the values obtained for that sample were used in the analysis.

According to the design of the trial (Figure 1), 3 comparisons were made in the data analysis and represented in the corresponding tables. To assess the effect of progestagens in combined oral contraceptives, mean differences in anticoagulant parameters between the 2 treatment groups relative to values when no oral contraceptives were used were tested with unpaired t tests for noncarriers and carriers of factor VLeiden separately.

The effect of combined oral contraceptives (progestagens plus estrogen) versus progestagen-only preparations was calculated by testing differences in means between phase 1 and phase 2 using paired t tests. Again, these analyses were performed separately for noncarriers and carriers of factor VLeiden.

Differential effects of a hormone preparation between women with and without the factor VLeiden mutation were assessed from the mean change in anticoagulant parameters in the period of oral contraceptive use relative to no use using unpaired t tests.

For all estimates 95% confidence intervals were calculated. In addition to the parametric t tests we also performed nonparametric tests, which yielded similar results to the parametric ones.

Results

Characteristics of the volunteers participating in the study

No clinically relevant differences in general characteristics were present between the study groups (Table 1). The values of the anticoagulant parameters determined at the various stages in the trial are summarized in Table 2. During the study none of the volunteers showed clinical signs or symptoms of venous thromboembolism or abnormalities on ultrasound examination of the leg veins.

Effect of combined oral contraceptives

Women without factor VLeiden who used desogestrel-containing oral contraceptives became significantly more resistant to APC (as indicated by the increase of the APC-sr) than users of levonorgestrel-containing oral contraceptives (mean difference in change: 0.38; 95% CI, 0.08 to 0.67) (Table 2; Figure 3). In noncarriers of factor

Table 1. Baseline characteristics according to factor VLeiden and type of oral contraceptive (OC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Factor VLeiden-negative</th>
<th>Factor VLeiden-positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Levonorgestrel-containing</td>
<td>Desogestrel-containing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>22.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>24.1 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, kg</td>
<td>67.6 ± 10.6</td>
<td>67.6 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>168.6 ± 5.5</td>
<td>170.9 ± 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>23.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>23.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous OC use</td>
<td>19 (79)</td>
<td>20 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second generation</td>
<td>5 (21)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third generation</td>
<td>13 (68)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD or numbers with percentage in parentheses. BMI indicates body mass index.
Table 2. Effects of combined oral contraceptives (OCs) and progestagen-only preparations on anticoagulant parameters in the absence or presence of the factor V<sub>Leiden</sub> mutation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Levonorgestrel-containing OC</th>
<th>Desogestrel-containing OC</th>
<th>Mean difference in change (95% CI)</th>
<th>Levonorgestrel only</th>
<th>Desogestrel only</th>
<th>Mean difference in change (95% CI)‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OC use, mean ± SD</td>
<td>OC use, mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>OC use, mean ± SD</td>
<td>OC use, mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor V&lt;sub&gt;Leiden&lt;/sub&gt; negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein C</td>
<td>96.0 ± 18.3</td>
<td>108.8 ± 21.7</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>96.7 ± 18.3</td>
<td>92.7 ± 18.6</td>
<td>-3.1 (-6.8 to 0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein S total</td>
<td>88.5 ± 13.2</td>
<td>83.4 ± 13.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>88.6 ± 13.4</td>
<td>94.9 ± 13.4</td>
<td>0.3 (-4.2 to 4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein S free</td>
<td>39.9 ± 7.0</td>
<td>43.9 ± 8.0</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>40.0 ± 7.0</td>
<td>47.9 ± 8.4</td>
<td>-4.0 (-6.4 to -1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4BP</td>
<td>89.9 ± 20.1</td>
<td>77.1 ± 17.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>89.2 ± 20.3</td>
<td>82.0 ± 15.8</td>
<td>6.0 (0.03 to 12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS&lt;sub&gt;APC&lt;/sub&gt;½-</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.08</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.23</td>
<td>-0.13 (-0.20 to -0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>90.6 ± 16.6</td>
<td>101.0 ± 15.0</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>88.9 ± 14.6</td>
<td>90.4 ± 17.7</td>
<td>-2.0 (-7.8 to 3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC-sr</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.61</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.72</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.63</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.11 (0.09 to 0.30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Factor V<sub>Leiden</sub> positive |
| Protein C            | 92.1 ± 12.3                  | 102.5 ± 16.3               | 5.7                                | 92.1 ± 12.3         | 86.2 ± 11.1     | -4.2 (-9.0 to 0.7)                |
| Protein S total      | 88.2 ± 11.4                  | 85.9 ± 12.9                | -16.9                              | 88.2 ± 11.4         | 95.2 ± 10.5     | -3.2 (-8.1 to 1.6)                |
| Protein S free       | 42.2 ± 9.4                   | 45.9 ± 9.9                 | -10.5                              | 42.2 ± 9.4          | 48.9 ± 7.8     | -2.9 (-5.9 to 0.1)                |
| C4BP                 | 80.5 ± 11.2                  | 72.3 ± 9.8                 | -8.0                               | 80.5 ± 11.2         | 75.1 ± 9.7     | -2.9 (-6.4 to 4.9)                |
| PS<sub>APC</sub>½-     | 1.24 ± 0.10                  | 1.16 ± 0.10                | 0.10                               | 1.24 ± 0.10         | 1.33 ± 0.16     | -0.09 (-0.14 to -0.03)            |
| PCI                  | 86.0 ± 14.9                  | 92.2 ± 16.3                | 8.2                                | 86.0 ± 14.9         | 85.7 ± 18.3     | -0.6 (-8.0 to 6.8)                |
| APC-sr               | 4.60 ± 1.02                  | 5.95 ± 1.38                | 1.41                               | 4.60 ± 1.02         | 4.09 ± 0.97     | 0.32 (-0.05 to 0.68)             |

The individual plasma components are expressed as percent of normal pooled plasma. PCI indicates protein C inhibitor; APC-sr, activated protein C sensitivity ratio; C4BP, C4b-binding protein; and PS<sub>APC</sub>½- APC-independent anticoagulant activity of protein S.

*Formula: (no use of levonorgestrel containing OC - use of levonorgestrel containing OC) - (no use of desogestrel containing OC - use of desogestrel containing OC).

†For this analysis, one woman was excluded due to protocol violation in phase 2.

‡Formula: (no use of levonorgestrel - use of levonorgestrel) - (no use of desogestrel - use of desogestrel).

V<sub>Leiden</sub>, the use of levonorgestrel- and desogestrel-containing oral contraceptives also caused significant differential changes of total protein S (−9.1; 95% CI, −13.4 to −4.7), free protein S (−9.2; 95% CI, −12.0 to −6.4), and protein S<sub>APC</sub>½ (−0.08; 95% CI, −0.13 to −0.02). No differential changes were observed for protein C, C4b-binding protein, and protein C inhibitor.

In carriers of factor V<sub>Leiden</sub>, the use of desogestrel- and levonorgestrel-containing oral contraceptives had significant differential effects on all anticoagulant parameters, except for protein C (Table 2; Figure 4A).

Effect of progestagen-only preparations

The changes observed in noncarriers of factor V<sub>Leiden</sub> were significantly less pronounced on desogestrel than on levonorgestrel for the increase of free protein S (−4.0; 95% CI, −6.4 to −1.7) and PS<sub>APC</sub>½ (−0.13; 95% CI, −0.20 to −0.06) and the decrease in C4b-binding protein (6.0; 95% CI, 0.03-12.0) (Table 2; Figure 4B). In carriers of factor V<sub>Leiden</sub> the only significant differential effect between levonorgestrel and desogestrel was observed for PS<sub>APC</sub>½ (−0.09; 95% CI, −0.14 to −0.03).

The effects of the combination of estrogens and progestagens versus progestagen-only were estimated from the difference between the anticoagulant parameters in phase 1 and phase 2 (see Supplemental Table S1 on the Blood website; click on the “Data Set” link at the top of the online article). Except for free protein S
and C4b-binding protein in carriers of factor V Leiden. All anticoagulant parameters differed significantly between phases 1 and 2.

Comparison of women with and without the factor V Leiden mutation

During the use of levonorgestrel-containing oral contraceptives a significantly more pronounced decrease was found in noncarriers than in carriers for C4b-binding protein and PS APCind (Supplemental Table S2). In contrast, the changes observed during the use of desogestrel-containing oral contraceptives were more pronounced in carriers than in noncarriers of factor V Leiden, which was statistically significant for the increase in the APC-sr (Figure 3) and the decrease in the plasma level of C4b-binding protein.

Discussion

Oral contraceptive use has repeatedly been associated with an increased risk of venous thrombosis. Particularly, the differential changes of the proteins involved in the protein C system may contribute to the thrombotic effects of oral contraceptives. In the present study, we found that third-generation oral contraceptives have a stronger effect on anticoagulant parameters than second-generation preparations. These effects were not found with the progestagen components of these pills only. On the contrary, the effects of progestagen-only preparations were in general more pronounced with levonorgestrel compared with desogestrel. Our findings suggest that, compared with levonorgestrel, desogestrel is less effective in counteracting the thrombotic effects induced by the estrogen component in combined oral contraceptives.

Despite the small number of women with factor V Leiden, which may have led to less precise estimates, we showed that earlier reported changes in anticoagulant parameters induced by oral contraceptives in healthy women also occurred in women with factor V Leiden. In carriers as well as in noncarriers of the factor V Leiden mutation both oral contraceptives induced APC resistance, increased the plasma levels of protein C and protein C inhibitor, and decreased the APC-independent anticoagulant activity of protein S and the plasma levels of total protein S and C4b-binding protein. In both study populations, free protein S increased on levonorgestrel and decreased on desogestrel-containing oral contraceptives. This increase of free protein S on combined pills with levonorgestrel is explained by the fact that on this contraceptive total protein S hardly changes, which, together with the decrease of C4b-binding protein (which complexes protein S), results in an elevation of the plasma level of free protein S. In both carriers and noncarriers of factor V Leiden the changes in anticoagulant parameters were most pronounced in the women using desogestrel-containing oral contraceptives.

Since hereditary defects of the protein C pathway are associated with an increased risk of venous thromboembolism, the differential effects of levonorgestrel- and desogestrel-containing oral contraceptives particularly on the plasma levels of protein S and on the degree of acquired APC resistance may well explain the differences in thrombotic risk associated with these preparations. Although the effects of third-generation OCs on the plasma levels of total and free protein S is relatively small, it should be emphasized that these concern mean changes and that in some individuals the changes are larger than the mean. Thus, it is possible that in a woman with a low protein S at baseline, a change in protein S larger than the mean may further disturb the hemostatic balance and increase the thrombotic risk.

With respect to the clinical relevance of acquired APC resistance, it was recently demonstrated that APC-sr determined with the APC resistance test used in this study predicts for venous thrombosis, in men and in women both in the absence and presence of the factor V Leiden mutation. This means that acquired APC resistance may well explain the occurrence of venous thrombosis and different thrombotic risks in OC users. Moreover, the pronounced increase in APC resistance in women with factor V Leiden who use desogestrel-containing oral contraceptives may account for the reported elevated risk of venous thrombosis in carriers of this mutation taking third-generation oral contraceptives.

To determine to what extent the progestagens levonorgestrel and desogestrel are responsible for the changes of anticoagulant parameters induced by combined oral contraceptives, we also investigated the effect of progestagen-only pills on the protein C pathway. Some years ago, Egberg et al studied 2 long-term contraceptive implants (containing the biologically active metabolite of desogestrel or levonorgestrel) with respect to hemostatic variables. They found that both implants had similar small effects on hemostasis. Winkler et al compared 2 progestagen-only pills containing either 30 μg levonorgestrel or 75 μg desogestrel. They reported increased protein S levels for desogestrel compared with levonorgestrel. However, the doses of progestagen used in these pills differed from those normally used in oral contraceptives. In
our study we compared progestagen-only preparations that contained the same dose of progestagen as present in oral contraceptives (150 μg levonorgestrel or desogestrel). Almost all effects of combined oral contraceptives on anticoagulant parameters disappeared or even went in an opposite direction when the participants used progestagen-only preparations. Our observations are supported by reports indicating that the changes of several other hemostatic parameters on progestagen-only pills and implants are the inverse of those induced by combined oral contraceptives, which may indicate a net antithrombotic effect. Progestagen-only pills may thus be a safer method of contraception. However, during the study many women complained about irregular bleedings when these preparations were used (65% compared with 15% during the use of combined oral contraceptives).

The present study may provide an explanation for the observation that combined oral contraceptives with desogestrel cause more marked changes of the anticoagulant protein C system than levonorgestrel-containing oral contraceptives. We assume that estrogens such as ethinyloestradiol cause changes of anticoagulant parameters that are in the same direction, but more profound than observed with combined oral contraceptives. Due to their androgenic properties, progestagens induce changes in the anticoagulant system that are opposite to those of estrogen and that, due to the higher androgenicity, are more pronounced with levonorgestrel than with desogestrel. Hence, we propose that combined oral contraceptives with desogestrel induce more profound changes of the anticoagulant system than levonorgestrel-containing oral contraceptives because the effects of ethinyloestradiol on anticoagulant parameters are less well compensated by desogestrel than by levonorgestrel. This view is supported by the observation that APC resistance correlates inversely with the dose of levonorgestrel present in 5 different combined oral contraceptives, suggesting that high concentrations of levonorgestrel counteract the increase in APC resistance.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that desogestrel-containing oral contraceptives have a more pronounced effect on the anticoagulant protein C system than levonorgestrel-containing oral contraceptives, especially in women with factor V<sub>Leiden</sub>. Particularly the decrease in protein S and the profoundly increased resistance to APC might contribute to the elevated risk of venous thrombosis in carriers of factor V<sub>Leiden</sub> who use third-generation oral contraceptives. The differential effects of second- and third-generation oral contraceptives on the anticoagulant pathway can at least be partially explained by the observation that levonorgestrel is more effective than desogestrel in counteracting the thrombotic effect of ethinyloestradiol.
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